

DOW, LOHNES & ALBERTSON
A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ORIGINAL

PETER A. BATAKAN
DIRECT DIAL 202-776-2773

WASHINGTON, D.C.

1200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W. · SUITE 800 · WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-6802
TELEPHONE 202-776-2000 · FACSIMILE 202-776-2222

ONE RAVINIA DRIVE · SUITE 1600
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30346-2108
TELEPHONE 770-901-8800
FACSIMILE 770-901-8874

May 31, 1996

VIA HAND DELIVERY

William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

MAY 31 1996

DUCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL


Re: Corrected Table of Contents to Reply Comments of Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc., filed on May 30, 1996 in Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-98

Dear Mr. Caton:

Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc. ("Comcast Cellular"), by its attorney, hereby submits an original and twelve copies of the corrected Table of Contents to the Reply Comments it filed on May 30, 1996, in the above-captioned proceeding. Please incorporate the corrected Table of Contents into the record of this proceeding and associate with the Comcast Cellular's Reply Comments.

Please do not hesitate to call, if you have any question regarding this matter.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter A. Batakan

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd 0+14
List ABCDE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY i

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. THE 1996 ACT CONFIRMS THAT THE COMMISSION MUST ESTABLISH A NATIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR LEC-TO-CMRS INTERCONNECTION AND RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION. [*Notice*, Part II(B)(2)(e)(2), ¶¶ 166-169] 4

 A. CMRS Providers Are Entitled To Interconnection to ILEC Networks Pursuant to Sections 2(a) and 332(c) of the Budget Act and Existing Commission Precedent. 5

 B. The Interconnection Provisions of the 1996 Act Expand, Rather Than Reduce, the Comprehensive Authority Over LEC-to-CMRS Interconnection Vested in the Commission by the Budget Act. 8

 C. The 1996 Act Supports Adoption of a National Rule That LECs Make Reciprocal Compensation Available to CMRS Providers for Transport and Termination of Telecommunications Based on Long Run Incremental Cost. 11

III. THE COMMISSION MUST ACT WITH DISPATCH TO REDRESS LONG-STANDING ANTICOMPETITIVE LEC-TO-CELLULAR INTERCARRIER CONTRACTS BY ESTABLISHING A NATIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR INCREMENTAL COST-BASED, RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION. [*Notice*, Part II(B)(2)(e)(2), ¶¶ 166-169] 12

 A. Granting CMRS Providers Relief Under § 332 Will Not "Favor" Wireless Technology Over Landline Competitors. 13

 B. Existing LEC-to-CMRS Interconnection Arrangements Impose Unlawful Above-Cost Surcharges on CMRS Providers. 16

 C. The Commission Must Act With Dispatch To Establish a National Competitive LEC-to-CMRS Interconnection Policy To Avoid State-by-State Anticompetitive Outcomes. 17

 D. Now Is the Time for the Commission To Remedy Past LEC Wrongs That Have Hindered Wireless Competitors from Realizing Their Full Market Potential. 18

IV. CONCLUSION 20