

## MASS MAILING

The attached document is part of a mass mailing received in Docket Nos. 96-83 and 95-59. The following list specifies the names of the parties filing formal comments (ex parte presentations). The number of identical documents as specified in the File Number/City, St. field have been received by the Commission on this same date. You may contact an information technician in the Public Reference Room, Room 239 or 230 to view the documents.

Docket Number	Receipt/Adopted/Issued	Name of Applicant
95-59	7/10/96	ARDMORE TERRACE, INC.
95-59	7/10/96	BRADEN FELLMAN GROUP, LTD
95-59	7/10/96	COVINGTON-RING, INCORATED
95-59	7/10/96	COVINGTON-WILSON INC.

TOTAL: 4

**BRADEN  
FELLMAN**  
  
**GROUP LTD.**

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

July 8, 1996

Mr. William F. Caton  
Acting Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
1919 M Street, NW, Room 222  
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED  
JUL 10 1996  
FCC  
L ROOM

Re: Restrictions on Over-The-Air Reception Devices, CS Docket No. 96-83; and  
Preemption of Local Zoning Regulation of Satellite Earth Stations, IB Docket No.  
95-59

Dear Mr. Caton:

We write to request clarification regarding the possible effect on our business of the rules proposed in the above dockets. An original and 13 copies of this letter are enclosed for filing in the record. We understand that the proposed rules would invalidate "nongovernmental restrictions" that "impair" a viewer's ability to receive video programming over the air, through a wireless cable or similar system, or by direct broadcast satellite.

Braden Fellman Group, Ltd. owns and operates multi-unit, residential apartment buildings. Consequently, we have entered into hundreds of leases with our residents. We are concerned that our leases might contain terms that are "nongovernmental restrictions" that "impair" viewing, but we do not know how the proposed rules would be applied. This uncertainty could very well create unnecessary disputes with our residents.

We would appreciate your guidance in determining which provisions of our lease contain terms that might be considered "nongovernmental restrictions" or "impairments" under the rules you propose in our circumstances.

Accordingly, we enclose a copy of one of our representative lease forms. Please read it and let us know which terms of the enclosed form would violate either of the proposed rules.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,



Preston Snyder  
Braden Fellman Group, Ltd.