

MASS MAILING

The attached document is part of a mass mailing received in Docket Nos. 96-83 and 95-59. The following list specifies the names of the parties filing formal comments (ex parte presentations). The number of identical documents as specified in the File Number/City, St. field have been received by the Commission on this same date. You may contact an information technician in the Public Reference Room, Room 239 or 230 to view the documents.

Docket Number	Receipt/Adopted/Issued	Name of Applicant
95-59	7/12/96	1ST LAKE PROPERTIES, INC.
95-59	7/12/96	1ST LAKE PROPERTIES, INC.
95-59	7/12/96	1ST LAKE PROPERTIES, INC.
95-59	7/12/96	1ST LAKE PROPERTIES, INC.
95-59	7/12/96	1ST LAKE PROPERTIES, INC.
95-59	7/12/96	ARONOV REALTY MANAGEMENT
95-59	7/12/96	C & G INVESTMENT ASSOCIAT
95-59	7/12/96	CEDARBROOK PARK APARTMENT
95-59	7/12/96	CHESAPEAKE APARTMENT HOME
95-59	7/12/96	CITY OF WILDWOOD, MISSOUR
95-59	7/12/96	COLONIAL AMERICAN DEVELOP
95-59	7/12/96	COPPER MILL
95-59	7/12/96	FDC MANAGEMENT, INC.
95-59	7/12/96	HOLLY COURT APARTMENTS
95-59	7/12/96	JULIAN LECRAW & CO., INC.
95-59	7/12/96	LEGOW MANAGEMENT COMPANY
95-59	7/12/96	LYNNEWOOD GARDENS APARTM
95-59	7/12/96	MIRAMAR UNIVERSITY APARTM
95-59	7/12/96	OAKWOOD MANAGEMENT COMPA
95-59	7/12/96	RAFANELLI, NAHAS AND AMBR
95-59	7/12/96	RMK MANAGEMENT CORPORATIO
95-59	7/12/96	STARWOOD CAPITAL GROUP

TOTAL: 22



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

JUL 12 1996

FC 95-59

July 9, 1996

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: Restrictions on Over-The-Air Reception Devices, CS Docket No. 96-83; and
Preemption of Local Zoning Regulation of Satellite Earth Stations IB Docket No. 95-59**

Dear Mr. Caton:

We write to request clarification regarding the possible effect on our business of the rules proposed in the above dockets. An original and 13 copies of this letter are enclosed for filing in the record. We understand that the proposed rules would invalidate "nongovernmental restrictions" that 'impair' a viewer's ability to receive video programming over the air, through a wireless cable or similar system, or by direct broadcast satellite.

1st Lake Properties, Inc. owns and operates multi-unit, residential apartment buildings. Consequently, we have entered into approximately 6000 leases with our residents. We are concerned that our leases might contain terms that are "nongovernmental restrictions" that "impair" viewing, but we do not know how the proposed rules would be applied. This uncertainty could very well create unnecessary disputes with our residents.

We would appreciate your guidance in determining which provisions of our lease contain certain terms that might be considered "nongovernmental restrictions" or "impairments" under the rules you propose in our circumstances.

Accordingly, we enclose a copy of one of our representative lease forms. Please read it and let us know which terms of the enclosed form would violate either of the proposed rules.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Randall P. L'Hoste".

Randall P. L'Hoste, CAPS
Vice President, General Manager

Enclosures