

MASS MAILING

The attached document is part of a mass mailing received in Docket Nos. 96-83 and 95-59. The following list specifies the names of the parties filing formal comment. The number of identical documents as specified in the File Number/City, St. field have been received by the Commission on this same date. You may contact an information technician in the Public Reference Room, Room 239 or 230 to view the documents.

Docket Number	Receipt/Adopted/Issued	Name of Applicant
96-83	9/20/96	420 EAST OHIO APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	ADMIRAL FARRAGUT
96-83	9/20/96	AQUAHART MANOR
96-83	9/20/96	ARTCRAFT COMPANIES, THE
96-83	9/20/96	BAYVUE
96-83	9/20/96	BEAUREGARD HEIGHTS
96-83	9/20/96	BRYANT MANAGEMENT COMPANY
96-83	9/20/96	BURNS PROPERTIES, INC.
96-83	9/20/96	CAPITAL VIEW
96-83	9/20/96	CAPITOL MANAGEMENT COPROR
96-83	9/20/96	CAPITOL MANAGEMENT CORPOR
96-83	9/20/96	CAPITOL MANAGEMENT CORPOR
96-83	9/20/96	CAPITOL MANAGEMENT CORPOR
96-83	9/20/96	CAPITOL MANAGEMENT CORPOR
96-83	9/20/96	CAPITOL. MANAGEMENT CORPO
96-83	9/20/96	CARLYLE
96-83	9/20/96	CARRIAGE HILL
96-83	9/20/96	CASFOX, INC
96-83	9/20/96	CAVALIER CLUB
96-83	9/20/96	CENTURY 21 COMMONWEALTH
96-83	9/20/96	CHARLES TOWERS
96-83	9/20/96	CHARTER PROPERTIES, INC
96-83	9/20/96	CHARTER PROPERTIES, INC.
96-83	9/20/96	CHARTER PROPERTIES, INC.
96-83	9/20/96	CHARTER PROPERTIES, INC.
96-83	9/20/96	CHATEAU
96-83	9/20/96	CHERRY ARMS
96-83	9/20/96	CHESTNUT RIDGE
96-83	9/20/96	CLARIDGE HOUSE
96-83	9/20/96	COLONY AT KENILWORTH, THE
96-83	9/20/96	CONTINENTAL REALTY CORPOR
96-83	9/20/96	CORPORATE PROPERTY INVEST
96-83	9/20/96	CORPORATE PROPERTY INVEST
96-83	9/20/96	COURT APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	COURTYARDS OF KENDELL APA
96-83	9/20/96	CSA FRATERNAL LIFE
96-83	9/20/96	DANIEL'S RUN
96-83	9/20/96	DEERTREE
96-83	9/20/96	DEL LAGA APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	DIANA M. JOHNSON
96-83	9/20/96	DONA
96-83	9/20/96	EQUITY OFFICE PROPERTIES
96-83	9/20/96	EWN PROPERTIES, INC
96-83	9/20/96	FIRDALE VILLAGE
96-83	9/20/96	FOOTHILL VILLAGE APARTMEN
96-83	9/20/96	FOUNTAIN CLUB
96-83	9/20/96	FOUNTAIN PARK
96-83	9/20/96	FREEMAN WEBB CO. REALTORS
96-83	9/20/96	FREEMAN WEBB CO. REALTORS
96-83	9/20/96	FREEMAN WEBB CO. REALTORS
96-83	9/20/96	FREEMAN WEBB CO. REALTORS
96-83	9/20/96	FREEMAN WEBB CO. REALTORS

Docket Number	Receipt/Adopted/Issued	Name of Applicant
96-83	9/20/96	GATEWAY GARDENS
96-83	9/20/96	GLENEAGLES APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	GRADUATE GARDENS/ GRADUAT
96-83	9/20/96	HAMPSHIRE VILLAGE
96-83	9/20/96	HAMPSHIRE WEST
96-83	9/20/96	HAYSTACK APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	HIDDEN VILLAGE APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	HIGHVIEW
96-83	9/20/96	HOLLOWBROOK APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	HORIZON HOUSE
96-83	9/20/96	INTEREAL CORPORATION
96-83	9/20/96	JOHN R. KENGER REAL ESTAT
96-83	9/20/96	JOHN R. KRENGER REAL ESTA
96-83	9/20/96	JULIAN LECRAW & CO, INC
96-83	9/20/96	KAY FULGHAM
96-83	9/20/96	KENT VILLAGE
96-83	9/20/96	KINGS GARDEN
96-83	9/20/96	KRENGER REAL ESTATE
96-83	9/20/96	LAKESIDE NORTH
96-83	9/20/96	LAKESIDE NORTH
96-83	9/20/96	LAKESIDE NORTH
96-83	9/20/96	LANDMARK RIDGE
96-83	9/20/96	LAUREL PARK
96-83	9/20/96	LAURELTON COURT
96-83	9/20/96	LINCOLN PROPERTY COMPANY
96-83	9/20/96	LINCOLN RESIDENTIAL SERVI
96-83	9/20/96	LONGVIEW
96-83	9/20/96	LOS ALTOS APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	LOS AROLITOS APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	LOST ARBOLITOS APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	LOST ARBOLITOS APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	LURIE COMPANY, THE
96-83	9/20/96	LURIE COMPANY, THE
96-83	9/20/96	MARBOROUGH HOUSE
96-83	9/20/96	MARBRISE APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	MERION
96-83	9/20/96	MIDDLETOWNE
96-83	9/20/96	MIRAMONT
96-83	9/20/96	NOB HILL
96-83	9/20/96	NORTH CREEK HEIGHTS APART
96-83	9/20/96	NORTH FOREST
96-83	9/20/96	OAK BROOK REGENCY TOWERS
96-83	9/20/96	OAKS APARTMENTS, THE
96-83	9/20/96	OAKWOOD VILLAGE APARTMENT
96-83	9/20/96	OXON HILL VILLAGE
96-83	9/20/96	P.A.C. LAND DEVELOPMENT
96-83	9/20/96	PAPERMILL SQUARE APARTMEN
96-83	9/20/96	PARK RITCHIE
96-83	9/20/96	PARLIAMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	PELICAN POINTE APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	PENN SOUTHERN
96-83	9/20/96	POWDER MILL VILLAGE
96-83	9/20/96	PRENTISS PROPERTIES LIMIT
96-83	9/20/96	QUAKER HILL PLACE
96-83	9/20/96	ROLAND RIDGE
96-83	9/20/96	SANSOME ASSOCIATES
96-83	9/20/96	SENATOR, THE
96-83	9/20/96	SILVER SPRING TOWERS
96-83	9/20/96	SOUTH HILL
96-83	9/20/96	SOUTHVIEW

Docket Number	Receipt/Adopted/Issued	Name of Applicant
96-83	9/20/96	SOUTHVIEW
96-83	9/20/96	ST. CHARLES
96-83	9/20/96	STERLING APARTMENTS HOMES
96-83	9/20/96	STEWART MANOR
96-83	9/20/96	SUKMMIT CREST
96-83	9/20/96	SUMMERWALK APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	SUMMIT HILLS
96-83	9/20/96	SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC.
96-83	9/20/96	SUN LAKE APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	SYCAMORES
96-83	9/20/96	TEXAS SQUARE MANAGEMENT
96-83	9/20/96	TIFFANY OAKS APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	TIMBERLAKE APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	TIMBERS APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	TIMBERS APARTMENTS, THE
96-83	9/20/96	TODAY MANAGEMENT INC.
96-83	9/20/96	TOP OF THE PARK
96-83	9/20/96	TOWERS OF WESTCHESTER PAR
96-83	9/20/96	TOWNE PROPERTIES ASSET MA
96-83	9/20/96	TRIANGLE TOWERS
96-83	9/20/96	UNIVERSITY GARDENS
96-83	9/20/96	VENTURA LANDING APARTMENT
96-83	9/20/96	VIENNA PARK
96-83	9/20/96	VILLA CAMINO LTD
96-83	9/20/96	VILLAGE GREEN APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	WALDEN RESIDENTIAL PROPER
96-83	9/20/96	WALDENGREEN APARTMENTS
96-83	9/20/96	WALTERS/ GOTTLIEB PARTNER
96-83	9/20/96	WARD ASSOCIATES REAL ESTA
96-83	9/20/96	WILDERCROFT TERRACE
96-83	9/20/96	WOODBRI:GE FOREST
96-83	9/20/96	WOODLAND LANDING

TOTAL : 144

Equity Office Properties, L.L.C.

Two North Riverside Plaza, Chicago, Illinois 60606
312.466.3300 Fax 312.454.0332

September 17, 1996

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

SEP 20 1996

FCC MAIL ROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Re: Preemption of Local Zoning Regulation of Satellite Earth Stations,
IB Docket No. 95-59 and Implementation of Section 207 of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996, CS Docket No. 96-83

Dear Mr. Caton:

We are writing in response to the FCC's Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking released on August 6, 1996, which asks for comments with regard to placement of an antenna on common areas of leased premises, property not within the exclusive control of a person with an ownership interest, where a landlord is legally responsible for maintenance and repair and can be liable for failure to perform its duties properly. We enclose six (6) copies of this letter, in addition to this original.

Equity Office Properties is in the commercial real estate business. We own over 30 million square feet of commercial office space in 25 states around the country.

We are concerned that imposition of a rule granting persons a presumptive right to receive over-the-air signals -- persons who do not have an ownership interest in the property they occupy through lease agreement with a property owner -- will adversely affect the conduct of our business without justification and needlessly raise additional legal issues. We question whether the Commission has the authority to require us to allow the physical invasion of our property. We must retain the authority to control the use of our property, for several reasons.

The FCC should not extend regulations implementing Section 207 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to situations in which the viewer does not have exclusive use or control and a direct ownership interest in the property where the antenna is to be installed, used and maintained. There are many factors such as safety, security, aesthetics, liability, and insurance costs that a private property owner must consider and manage on a day-to-day basis. All of these factors are vital to the operation of an office building and cannot be discounted or property compensated for on a uniform basis.

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

Mr. William F. Caton
September 17, 1996
Page 2

The weight or wind resistance of a satellite and the quality of installation may create maintenance problems and -- more importantly -- a hazard to the safety of occupants, building employees, and passers-by. Damage to the property caused by water seepage into the building interior, corrosion of metal mounts, or weakening of concrete could lead to safety hazards and very costly maintenance and repair. Additionally, slipshod or faulty contractors might create safety problems during installation.

The technical limitations of satellite technology create problems because all of our tenants may not be able to receive certain services. It is our understanding that satellites are only positioned in certain areas, thus limiting access. But a building-type of satellite dish or antenna mounted on the roof of our property is not necessarily the answer because of the great variation in condition and quality of roofs, and it may be totally impractical and uneconomical to provide service to a small universe of potential subscribers.

In conclusion, we urge the FCC to avoid interfering in our relationships with our tenants. All of the potential problems we cite will adversely affect the safety and security of our property as well as our bottom line and our property rights. Thank you for your attention to our concerns.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Steele
President, COO

MAS:jtj