

RECEIVED

DEC 9 1996

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of Secretary

**From:** TD Thompson <tthomps@aloha.com>  
**To:** A16.A16(rm8775)  
**Date:** 12/9/96 12:13pm  
**Subject:** Message to the FCC

FCC,

This is in regard to the phone companies' request to be able to charge internet users for using telephone lines in addition to paying the regular bill. I am not in favor of this request. I implore the FCC to NOT grant this request to the phone company.

Thank you,

Thomas D. Thompson  
99-126A Kealakaha Dr.  
Aiea, HI 96701-3545

home: (808) 486-3768 work: (808) 486-4658  
fax: (808) 486-5202 data: (808) 486-9964

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED

DEC 9 1996

**From:** Kevin Werbach  
**To:** FCCMAIL.SMTP("modern1@electrotex.com")  
**Date:** 12/9/96 11:33am  
**Subject:** Internet phone ( petitions ) -Reply

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of Secretary

Thank you for your comments. I have forwarded your message to the mailbox, rm8775@fcc.gov, that has been established for receiving input on this issue.

-k-

>>> Keith Hanson <modern1@electrotex.com> 12/06/96 11:06am >>>  
TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN AT THE FCC

I am writing to voice my concerns, I do not think the FCC should let the telephone companies use you like they are. The telcos have been ripping the public off for years and it seems we have not been able to do much about it!

Now with the internet we, the public seem to be able to to save a few dollars. Yeah right, I personally have several thousand dollars tied up in my system and I am paying the local telco to have an second line. They are not losing out like they are saying they are.

I believe that the Internet phone software manufacturers and distributors are just that. They are not making a connection or hard wiring anyone in, they cannot guarantee that internet communications will go through. They sell software for me to INSTALL IN MY OWN MACHINE, THAT I MAKE THE "CALL". They should not be classified as telephone companies or regulated by the fcc. And with the www as big as it is, and the US govts deficit as big as it is, the fcc would do well to stay out of it. Let the courts decide, let a jury have at it.

sincerely, Keith Hanson  
modern1@electrotex.com

CC: rm8775

No. of Copies rec'd 1  
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

DEC 9 1996

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of Secretary

**From:** maq <maq@centraltx.net@centraltx.net>  
**To:** A16.A16(rm8775)  
**Date:** 12/7/96 10:39pm  
**Subject:** [Fwd: Phone line charges for internet use]

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----611C4F542234  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Please do not let this injustice happen!!!! Marvin

-----611C4F542234  
Content-Type: message/rfc822  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
Content-Disposition: inline

From: applejax <applejax@webname.com>  
Newsgroups: news.newusers.questions  
Subject: Phone line charges for internet use  
Date: Mon, 25 Nov 1996 23:17:07 +0000  
Organization: Internet News Server  
Lines: 15

Message-ID: <329A28A2.119B@webname.com>  
Reply-To: applejax@webname.com  
NNTP-Posting-Host: ppp2008.inreach.com  
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01 (Macintosh; I; PPC)  
Path:

news.centraltx.net!cpc-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.bbnplanet.com!cpc-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!newsserver.j  
vnc.net!news.join.ad.jp!wnoc-tyo-news!news.imnet.ad.jp!usenet.seri.re.kr!news.kreonet.re.kr!newsfeed.kreonet.re.kr!  
snunews.snu.ac.kr!newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!usenet.kornet.nm.kr!howland.erols.net!news.sprintlink.net!news-peer.spri  
ntlink.net!newsfeed.internetmci.com!news.inreach.com!usenet

Date Nov. 25, 1996

I understand that the major phone companies are petitioning the FCC to charge Internet users a fee, much like long distance, to use their telephone lines for all their on-line time. Please do not grant this request!! Phone companies don't charge long distance charges when local lines are used extensively and this is the same thing and would be unfair.

Email this msg with Your Name and email address  
Send above info to: rm8775@fcc.gov

Info received from: debbiet@loop.com  
DEBORAH TRUJILLO, CCLS  
Telephone: (310) 397-9434

-----611C4F542234--

No. of Copies rec'd  
List ABCDE

1

KM 0110

**From:** <Robalomts@aol.com>  
**To:** A4.A4(fccinfo)  
**Date:** 12/8/96 3:58pm  
**Subject:** protest phone companies proposed "access charges"

RECEIVED

DEC 9 1996

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of Secretary

Subj: PHONE COMPANIES PROPOSED "ACCESS CHARGES"

I STRONGLY PROTEST ANY ADDITIONAL CHARGES FOR ACCESS LINES USED BY PHONE CUSTOMERS IN ORDER TO GET "ONLINE", SUCH ADDITIONAL CHARGES WOULD LIMIT MANY INDIVIDUALS FROM PARTICIPATING IN ONLINE SERVICES, THE INTERNET, ETC.,ETC.

"NO" TO ANY ADDITIONAL "TAXES" OR CHARGES!!!!

I'M ALSO DISABLED,& BEING ONLINE IS MY WINDOW TO THE WORLD"

THANK YOU, mR. william f.mckeon(robalomts@AOL.COM )

No. of Copies rec'd 1  
List ABCDE

KM 8775

**From:** Alyssa Jenkins <alyssaj@netcom.com>  
**To:** A4.A4(fccinfo)  
**Date:** 12/8/96 2:09pm  
**Subject:** regional bell's petition

RECEIVED

DEC 9 1996

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of Secretary

Dear Mr. Hundt,

I implore you to deny the Regional Bell Operating Companies' petition to charge long distance rates for local calls to ISPs. Such charges would throw individuals like me off of the Internet. Additionally, it would cause me to cancel the second phone line that I currently have. I already pay Pacific Bell approximately \$20 per month for my phone line to the Internet - if I have to start paying more, it will force me to cancel both my account and my phone line. I can't imagine that the RBOCs or the FCC want that.

Thank you for your consideration.

Alyssa Jenkins

-----  
The only difference between a rut and a grave is the depth.

alyssaj@netcom.com

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_ /  
List ABCDE \_\_\_\_\_