

From: smartmove <smartmve@peganet.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 11/23/96 8:15am
Subject: NO NEW TAXES!!!!!!!

I believe that taxing the internet users is the fastest way to impede the growth of an amazing medium that the govt. is trying to promote.

I am against all like taxes. Why not develop cost cutting through active forums on the net.

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: David Murray <makepono@hawaiian.net>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 11/23/96 8:13pm
Subject: bogus

The phone companies make enough money as is without these additional charges for internet usage. Don't get greedy, and don't support this "Clinton-like" structure.

David Murray <http://www.hawaiian.net/~makepono/> makepono@hawaiian.net
"If you think no one cares if you are alive or dead
.....try missing your rent :-)

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: <shirann@sprynet.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 11/23/96 1:00pm
Subject: Long Distance Rates

>It is my understanding that the phone companies are
> petitioning the regulatory bodies to allow them to apply long
> distance rates to your Internet useage.
> > Meaning that besides your usual ISP charges, the phone company will
> charge you the going "per minute" rate for LDS to use the Internet.
I'm not only COMPLETELY and STRONGLY opposed to this idea, but would not be able to continue with Internet Access if this were to happen.

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE

From: <MrMomok@aol.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 11/23/96 12:13pm
Subject: Re: SPOL: Fwd: ALERT: Phone companies...

Do not allow the phone companies to charge their customers the going "per minute" rate for LDS to use the Internet. This is wrong; pure greed. It is just an attempt to make more profits at the cost of the average American.

Sincerely,

Phil Neafsey

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: <Benjam3669@aol.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 11/23/96 9:16pm
Subject: Charging for internet use

It is my understanding that the phone companies are petitioning the regulatory bodies to allow them to apply long distance rates to my internet use.

I strongly oppose this.

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE

1

From: SUSAN B. CARD <caffree@aztec.asu.edu>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 11/23/96 11:57pm
Subject: INTERNET CHARGES FROM PHONE COMPANIES

=====
This e-mail is in strong DISAPPROVAL of the phone companies charging the going "per minute" rate for LDS use
over the Internet!
=====

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

From: <ajmiller@accelnet.com>
To: A4.A4(fccinfo)
Date: 11/23/96 3:01am
Subject: Internet Charges

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Sirs: I have been notified that major phone companies are petitioning to charge internet users a fee similar to a long distance fee for time spent online. We are already paying a monthly telephone charge and a monthly fee to our internet server. To add an additional fee for internet use would force those using the internet to pay double. I urge you to reject this petition.

Sincerely,
Audra J. Miller
Wasco, CA 93280

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

From: <jcporter@ix.netcom.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 11/23/96 8:26pm
Subject: LDS for Internet users

Federal Bureau of Investigation
Office of Security

NO! Do not allow telephone companies to charge for long distance service on top of what I am paying my ISP. The telephone companies didn't think they needed to upgrade their systems five years ago, because they didn't see the Internet as a competitor. Now they do. DO NOT let them do this. They should have prepared.

Cathy Porter
NATIVE TEXAN

Webelos Den Leader, Pack 1087 <http://www.infocom.net/~mngay/p1087.html>
Advancement Chair, Troop 424 and a bunch of other stuff (yikes!)
Mustang District, Sam Houston Area Council
Katy, Texas <http://www.netcom.com/~jcporter> <mailto:JCPorter@ix.netcom.com>
Plays well with others, sometimes runs with scissors!

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

From: <NoonieP@aol.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 11/24/96 1:49am
Subject: Proposed Long Distance Rates for Internet Usage

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Talk about corporate greed ! I am opposed to the telephone company proposing to charge long distance rates for internet usage. Sounds like jealousy that the phone companies did not get into the internet business sooner and can't stand to see an opportunity pass by.

I pay and internet service provide for access and phone usage, and do not feel it fair to pay twice. Maybe you should direct your efforts towards more profitable relationships with the ISPs. But then again, if the phone companies are getting into the ISP business might this be a conflict, and unfair competition. If the phone companies want to be ISPs then let them compete at the market level with a good product and service that will benefit them, and in turn benefit the consumer.

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

From: <CDGregg@aol.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 11/24/96 3:39pm
Subject: Proposed Long Distance Charges for Internet Usage

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

The charging of long distance rates for internet usage would destroy everything the Internet has come to symbolize - free exchange of information and ideas on a global basis(minus Internet Service Provider fees). It is quite obvious that this petition is being played by the telephone companies because they feel they are losing money because more and more people are communicating electronically these days. Well tough, that's how the business world works; you're either in demand or your not.

My advice to the telephone companies would be to move away from long distance service and focus more on local service; And if they are that worried about the Internet, why don't they just start up their own internet service?

It is my opinion that use of the Federal Government to force the American taxpayer to pay for Internet usage would be a gross misuse of the governments lawmaking powers and would only serve to make more powerful the whining telephone companies more powerful instead forcing them to adapt to a changing market like every other business in America must do to survive.

I thank you for your time in reading this.

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

From: Tom Ross <shipwreck@kellnet.com>
To: A16.A16(rm8775)
Date: 11/24/96 5:06pm
Subject: charging long distance rates for the internet

Absolutely no!!!!!!!

If the long distance companies have a problem with this then they should become providers. This is a service which we are paying for and are in compliance with current laws. Everyone using the internet is paying a provider or is working for someone using a provider.

Get with it or stop the whining.

Karen Gregg, shipwreck@kellnet.com,
1434 Owego Street
Lakewood, Ohio 44107

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

From: <StarTaurus@aol.com>
To: A16.A16(m8775)
Date: 11/23/96 4:23am
Subject: Re: [Crystals] Petition to charge online service thru phone companies -Reply

>>>On a news broadcast last night from Denver, they said that the major
>phone
>>>companies are petitioning the FCC to charge Internet users a fee, >much
>>>like long distance, to use their telephone lines for all their on-line
>>>time.

>>
>>>I am writing as an internet user, in regards to any petition by the major
>phone companies to allow them to charge us a fee(similar to long
>distance) to use phone lines for all online time. Personally, I feel I am
>being charged a premium rate for my local and long distance services
>already...I feel these companies should be denied an opportunity to extort
>more dollars from an already captive public! I am already paying long
>distance rates when I use long distance...I am already paying for my
>local service...it cannot be legal for companies to double charge for
>something I am already paying for! As it is, whether I pay local or long
>distance for online time is dependent on my location in reference to
>available servers...the servers are already being paid for their service as
>well!

>
>Thank you for taking the time to read my concerns,
>Cheryl M. Short

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

NOV 25 1996

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

From: Lois <ljboye@ime.net>
To: A4.A4(fccinfo)
Date: 11/25/96 5:03pm
Subject: I employ you.....

I employ you.....

Please do not grant the phone companies the right to charge to be on line..... We DO NOT live in Europe... Lets keep it that way!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Lois Linnie
35 Westmore.Ave.
Biddeford, Maine 04005

ljboye@ime.net

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE