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In the matter, on the Commission's own motion, to consider Ameritech Michigan's compliance with the competitive checklist in Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Case No. U-11104

At the June 5, 1996 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing, Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. John G. Strand, Chairman
Hon. John C. Shea, Commissioner
Hon. David A. Svanda, Commissioner

ORDER

The federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 added Section 271 to the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 USC 151 et seq. That section specifies the conditions under which a Bell operating company, such as Ameritech Michigan, may provide interLATA services. Subsection (c)(2)(B) sets forth a competitive checklist, which consists of the access and interconnection that a Bell operating company must provide or offer to other telecommunication carriers before the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) can authorize it to provide interLATA services. Subsection (d)(2)(B) requires the FCC to consult with state commissions with respect to the Bell operating company's compliance with the competitive checklist.

The Commission finds it appropriate at this time to open this docket for purposes of consulting with the FCC concerning Ameritech Michigan's compliance with the requirements of the competitive checklist. Ameritech Michigan and other interested persons may file in this docket any documents that
The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ John G. Strand
Chairman

(S E A L )

/s/ John C. Shea
Commissioner

/s/ David A. Svanda
Commissioner

By its action of June 5, 1996.

/s/ Dorothy Wideman
Its Executive Secretary
LANSING, June 5. The Michigan Public Service Commission today opened a docket as a forum in which to consider Ameritech Michigan's progress toward compliance with the competitive checklist in Section 271 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. Under the law, a Bell operating company, such as Ameritech Michigan, may provide interLATA* service once it has complied with a fourteen point competitive checklist and has received approval from the Federal Communications Commission. Under the Act, the FCC must consult with state public service commissions regarding the company's compliance before approving the request. Ameritech Michigan has not filed a request with the FCC to provide interLATA service at this time, but the MPSC is moving forward in preparation for consultation with the FCC.

The competitive checklist includes issues such as number portability (the capability of a customer to change providers without changing his telephone number), availability of white page listings, and access to 911, directory assistance and operator services.

Today's order permits Ameritech Michigan and other interested persons to file any documents that relate to Ameritech Michigan's compliance with the fourteen point checklist. The MPSC will seek public comment and conduct hearings as necessary.

Case No. U-11104
June 5, 1996
(Competitive Checklist)

*InterLATA service would carry telephone calls between LATAs, which are geographical areas similar in size and location to an area code.
May 22, 1996

Michigan Public Service Commission
Mercantile Building
6545 Mercantile Way
P.O. Box 30221
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7721

Dear Commissioners:

The Association of Directory Publishers ("ADP") is a 100-year-old international trade association representing the interests of independent telephone directory publishers (those not affiliated with a local exchange carrier). ADP appreciates the opportunity to participate in the Michigan Public Service Commission's proceedings directed to opening local telecommunications markets to new entrants and competition pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

ADP and its publisher members have a particularly strong interest in ensuring that consumers and advertisers get the full benefit of the competitive opportunities in the telephone directory business that new Section 222(e) of the Communications Act is intended to provide. As you implement the 1996 Act, ADP hopes to work with the Michigan Public Service Commission to ensure that both incumbent local exchange carriers (LECs) and new entrants in the local telephone business comply with Section 222(e).

As enacted by Congress, Section 222(e) requires LECs to make available subscriber list information to competing directory publishers "on a timely and unbundled basis, under nondiscriminatory and reasonable rates, terms, and conditions." In short, local exchange carriers (both incumbents and new entrants) must provide subscriber list information to competing directory publishers. ADP believes that Section 222(e) should be part of your formulation as you craft rules to open the local loop to competition and new entrants. In ADP's view, compliance with Section 222(e) requires that the Michigan Public Service Commission's rules or company tariffs provide specifically for the provision of subscriber list information (both current subscribers and new subscribers) to competing directory publishers in a form and manner that makes such information of practical competitive use to independent publishers. In accordance with the legislative history to Section 222(e), the charge for providing such information should be based on incremental cost.
May 22, 1996
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Please feel free to call me with any comments or questions concerning this matter. We would be happy to provide you with further documentation or pleadings.

Sincerely,

Richard Lewis
President
STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter, on the Commission's own motion,
to consider Ameritech Michigan's compliance
with the competitive checklist in Section 271
of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. U-11104

NOTICE OF PARTICIPATION OF
TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC.

At its meeting held on June 5, 1996, the Michigan Public Service Commission
("Commission") issued an Order which opened the above-captioned docket "for purposes of
consulting with the FCC concerning Ameritech Michigan's compliance with the requirements of the
competitive checklist" for providing interLATA services as set forth in Subsection (c)(2)(B) of
Section 271 to the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the federal Telecommunications Act
of 1996, 47 USC 151 et seq. Teleport Communications Group, Inc. ("TCG"), through its attorneys,
Clark Hill, P.L.C., hereby provides notice that it will be participating in the above-captioned
proceeding and, in connection with the Commission's Order, states the following:

1. TCG, based in Staten Island, NY, is a corporation providing a broad array of
telecommunications services across the United States. One of its affiliates, TCG Detroit, is a
licensed provider of basic local exchange service within numerous exchanges in Southeastern
Michigan pursuant to licenses granted by the Commission in its Opinion and Order issued April 27,
2. The Commission’s Order issued June 5, 1996, states that Ameritech Michigan and other interested persons may file in this docket documents that bear on Ameritech Michigan’s compliance or non-compliance with the requirements set forth in Section 271, Subsection (c)(2)(B) of the federal act. The Commission also stated that “as necessary, the Commission will seek public comment and conduct hearings.” The Commission further stated that “unless ordered otherwise, the proceedings will be conducted as a legislative inquiry.” The Commission then stated that any person may participate in this proceeding without seeking leave to intervene.

3. Pursuant to the Commission’s June 5, 1996 Order, TCG provides notice to the Commission that it will be participating in this proceeding, and requests that copies of any papers or documents which are filed in connection with this proceeding be served upon TCG’s counsel.

4. Also, TCG states that it may file with the Commission documents which bear on Ameritech Michigan’s compliance or non-compliance with the federal Act.

5. Furthermore, TCG submits that it is necessary that the Commission seek public comment and conduct hearings with respect to Ameritech Michigan’s compliance with the checklist in the federal Act. Ameritech Michigan, which has been the incumbent monopoly provider of local exchange services for the past century, currently owns and operates the vast majority of the bottleneck facilities to which other telecommunication carriers, including those providing interLATA services, must access and interconnect. In addition, Ameritech Communications, Inc., an affiliate of Ameritech Michigan, is currently seeking the ability to provide bundled local and long distance service to customers, using the facilities of Ameritech Michigan. It is therefore imperative that Ameritech Michigan’s compliance or non-compliance with the federal Act be properly evaluated so as to ensure
that Ameritech Michigan and its affiliates do not leverage their local monopoly and harm the competitive interLATA market.

WHEREFORE, TCG respectfully requests that:

1) All papers or other documents filed in connection with this case be served upon TCG as a participant in this proceeding; and

2) The Commission seek public comment and conduct hearings on the issue of whether Ameritech Michigan is in compliance or non-compliance with the competitive checklist contained in the federal Act.

Respectfully submitted,

Teleport Communications Group, Inc.

By Its Attorneys
CLARK HILL P.L.C.

Roderick S. Cay (P12290)
Stewart A. Binke (P47149)
200 N. Capitol Ave., Ste. 600
Lansing, MI 48933
(517) 484-4481

Douglas W. Trabaris
233 S. Wacker Dr., Ste. 2100
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 705-9829

Dated: June 14, 1996
STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter, on the Commission's own motion, to consider Ameritech Michigan's compliance with the competitive checklist in Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996) (Case No. U-11104)

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
)SS:
COUNTY OF INGHAM)

I, Cathy Dawn Martin, being duly sworn, depose and say that on June 17, 1996, I served a copy of the Notice of Participation of Teleport Communications Group, Inc. Upon:

See attached service list.

Except as otherwise noted on the attached list, service was accomplished by depositing same in a regular mil depository enclosed in envelopes bearing postage fully prepaid and addressed properly.

[Signature]
Cathy Dawn Martin

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17th day of June, 1996.

Karen Lamb, Notary Public
Clinton County Acting in
Ingham County, Michigan
My Commission Expires: May 4, 1997
SERVICE LIST
Case No. U-11104

Honorable Frank Strother
Administrative Law Judge
Michigan Public Service Commission
6545 Mercantile Way
P. O. Box 30221
Lansing, MI 48909

Ms. Don L. Keskey
Assistant Attorney General
Public Service Division
6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 15
Lansing, MI 48911

Mr. Peter Lark
Assistant Attorney General
Special Litigation Division
630 Law Building
P. O. Box 30212
Lansing, MI 48909

Mr. David Voges
Assistant Attorney General
Public Service Division
6545 Mercantile Way, Ste. 15
Lansing, MI 48911

Mr. Daniel J. Demlow
Mr. Richard J. Aaron
Honigman, Miller, Schwartz & Cohn
222 N. Washington Square, Ste. 400
Lansing, MI 48933

Ms. Amy Edwards Clark
Ameritech Michigan
444 Michigan Ave., Rm 1750
Detroit, MI 48226

Mr. George Hogg, Jr.
Fisher, Franklin, Ford
3500 Guardian Building
Detroit, MI 48226

Mr. William H. Keating
GTE North, Incorporated
100 Executive Drive
Marion, OH 43302

Mr. Albert Ernst
Dykema Gossett
800 Michigan National Tower
Lansing, MI 48933

Mr. Jerome L. Fine
221 W. Lake Lansing Rd., Ste. 101
East Lansing, MI 48823

Mr. Richard P. Kowalewski
8140 Ward Parkway SE
Kansas City, MO 64114

Mr. Stephen J. Moore
Rowland & Moore
55 East Monroe St., Suite 3230
Chicago, IL 60603

Mr. Larry Salustro/Ms. Cheryl Urbanski
AT & T Communications
227 West Monroe, 13th Floor
Chicago, IL 60606

Ms. Joan Campion/Mr. Brian A. Rankin
MCI Telecommunications
205 N. Michigan Ave., Ste. 3700
Chicago, IL 60601

Mr. Timothy P. Collins
Continental Cablevision
26500 Northwestern Hwy., Suite 203
Southfield, MI 48076
June 21, 1996

Ms. Dorothy Wideman
Executive Secretary
Michigan Public Service Commission
6545 Mercantile Way
Lansing, Michigan 48909

Re: In the Matter, on the Commission's Own Motion, to consider Ameritech Michigan's compliance with the competitive checklist in Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Case No. U-11104 ~

Dear Ms. Wideman:

Enclose for filing in your usual manner please find an original and fifteen copies of a proof of service regarding the Notice of Participation of WorldCom, Inc. and Request for Service of Papers.

Thank you for your attention in this matter. Please call me if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Tia C. Taitano
Secretary
STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter, on the Commission's own motion, to consider Ameritech Michigan's compliance with the competitive checklist in Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Case No. U-11104

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF MICHIGAN )
COUNTY OF INGHAM ) SS

Tia C. Taitano, being duly sworn, states that on June 21, 1996, she served copies of the Notice of Participation of WorldCom, Inc. and Request for Service of Papers by First Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, upon:

Each person or entity listed on the attached service list.

Tia C. Taitano

Sworn before me this 21th day of June, 1996.

Norman C. White, Notary Public
Clinton County acting in Ingham
My commission expires May 21, 1999.
SERVICE LIST
Case No. U-11104

HONORABLE FRANK STROTHER
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
6545 MERCANTILE WAY
PO BOX 30221
LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909

MR. GEORGE HOGG, JR.
FISHER, FRANKLIN, FORD
3500 GUARDIAN BUILDING
DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226

MR. ALBERT EARNST
DYKEMA GOSSER
800 MICHIGAN NATIONAL TOWER
LANSING, MICHIGAN 48933

MR. TIMOTHY P. COLLINS
CONTINENTAL CABLEVISION
26500 NORTHWESTERN HWY.
SUITE 203
SOUTHFIELD, MICHIGAN 48076

MR. RICHARD P. KOWALEWSKI
8140 WARD PARKWAY, 5E
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64114

MS. DON L. KESKEY
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
PUBLIC SERVICE DIVISION
6545 MERCANTILE WAY
SUITE 15
LANSING, MICHIGAN 48911

MR. DAVID VOGES
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
PUBLIC SERVICE DIVISION
6545 MERCANTILE WAY
SUITE 15
LANSING, MICHIGAN 48911

MR. WILLIAM H. KEATING
GTE NORTH, INCORPORATED
100 EXECUTIVE DRIVE
MARION, OHIO 43302

MR. PETER LARK
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
SPECIAL LITIGATION DIVISION
630 LAW BUILDING
PO BOX 30212
LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909

MR. JEROME L. FINE
221 W. LAKE LANSING ROAD
SUITE 101
EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48823

MR. STEPHEN J. MOORE
ROWLAND & MOORE
55 EAST MONROE STREET
SUITE 3230
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60603

MR. LARRY SALUSTRO
MS. CHERYL URBANSKI
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS
227 WEST MONROE, 13TH FLOOR
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606

MR. RODERICK S. COY
MR. STEWART A. BINK
CLARK HILL, P.L.C.
200 N. CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 600
LANSING, MICHIGAN 48933
June 20, 1996

Ms. Dorothy Wideman  
Executive Secretary  
Michigan Public Service Commission  
6545 Mercantile Way  
Lansing, Michigan 48909

Re:  In the Matter, on the Commission’s Own Motion, to consider Ameritech Michigan’s compliance with the competitive checklist in Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Case No. U-11104

Dear Ms. Wideman:

Enclose for filing in your usual manner please find an original and fifteen copies of the Notice of Participation of WorldCom, Inc. and Request for Service of Papers.

Thank you for your attention in this matter. Please call me if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Norman C. Witte

cc: Mr. Tim Gardella
STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter, on the Commission's own motion, to consider Ameritech Michigan's compliance with the competitive checklist in Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. U-11104

NOTICE OF PARTICIPATION OF WORLDCOM, INC. AND REQUEST FOR SERVICE OF PAPERS

WorldCom, Inc., d/b/a LDDS WorldCom ("LDDS WorldCom"), through its attorney Norman C. Witte, gives notice of its participation in this proceeding, and states as follows:

1. On June 5, 1996, the Commission issued an order commencing this docket for the stated purpose of "consulting with the FCC concerning Ameritech Michigan's compliance with the requirements of the competitive checklist [set forth in § 271 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996]." The Commission further stated that "[a]s necessary, the Commission will seek public comment and conduct hearings.... Consequently, any person may participate without seeking to intervene."

2. LDDS WorldCom is the nation's fourth largest long distance carrier and provides long distance service in this state. Headquartered in Jackson, Mississippi, this Georgia corporation provides service throughout the United States and internationally. LDDS
WorldCom is a non-dominant long distance telecommunications provider of services to residential and business customers. The products and services provided by LDDS WorldCom domestically and internationally include switched and dedicated long distance products, 800 services, calling cards, operator services, private lines, frame relays, debit cards, conference calling, advanced billing systems, facsimile and data connections, television and radio transmission and mobile satellite communications. Under authority granted by the FCC, LDDS WorldCom originates interstate and international traffic in throughout the states. LDDS WorldCom owns more than 15,000 miles of fiber optic cable and microwave equipment, and through leasing agreements has access to an additional 40,000 miles of fiber optic network, making it one of four nationwide fiber optic networks in the country. LDDS WorldCom is a publicly held corporation traded on the NASDAQ National Market under the trading symbol WCOM.

3. LDDS WorldCom also intends to provide local service in this state, as evidenced by its participation in the Commission’s local competition docket, U-10860.

4. The competitive checklist contained in § 271 touches on both Ameritech Michigan’s fulfillment as an incumbent local exchange carrier of the conditions required under the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 for creating a market for local competition, and the prospective entry of Ameritech Michigan in the long distance market as a competi-
The issues which will be considered in this docket are therefore of direct interest to LDDS WorldCom.

WHEREFORE, LDDS WorldCom provides notice to the Commission and other interested parties that it will participate in this docket and requests service of all papers or documents filed in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

WORLDCOM, INC.,
d/b/a LDDS WORLDCOM

Dated: June 20, 1996

By:

Norman C. Wise (P40546)
115 W. Allegan Avenue, Tenth Floor
Lansing, Michigan 48823-1712
(517) 485-0070
Facsimile: (517) 485-0187

Attorney for WorldCom, Inc.,
d/b/a LDDS WorldCom
June 24, 1996

Ms. Dorothy Wideman  
Executive Secretary  
Michigan Public Service Commission  
6545 Mercantile Way  
P. O. Box 30221  
Lansing, MI 48909  

Re: MPSC Case No. U-11104

Dear Ms. Wideman:

Enclosed please find an original and 15 copies of the Notice of Participation of the Michigan Cable Telecommunications Association ("MCTA") with a Proof of Service. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Fraser Trebilcock Davis & Foster, P.C.

David E.S. Marvin

Ms. Colleen McNamara