ORIGINAL

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before The
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

In re Applications of	)	MM Docket No. 93-75
	)	
TRINITY BROADCASTING OF FLORIDA, INC.	)	BRCT-911001LY
	)	
For Renewal of License of Television Station WHFT(TV) Miami, Florida	)	
	)	
GLENDALE BROADCASTING COMPANY	)	BPCT-911227KE
	)	
For Construction Pedmit for New Television Station in Miami, Florida	)	

RECEIVED
JAN 2 2 1997
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

To: The Commission

GLENDALE'S OPPOSITION TO REQUEST OF WOULD-BE INTERVENOR TO FILE REPLY COMMENTS

The would-be intervenor's request to file reply comments, dated January 13, 1997, should be denied:

1. The motion to vacate the record on improvidently designated issues, etc. etc. filed by the Trinity monolith was an interlocutory motion in a broadcast hearing proceeding governed by 47 C.F.R. §1.294, which does not permit a reply pleading relative to the type of interlocutory motion that Trinity undertook to file.[1]

2. The would-be intervenor's request and tendered reply pleading were filed on January 13, 1997, which is 27 days after the filing of the opposing pleading on December 17, 1997 to which the reply ostensibly is directed. This is untimely under any standard even if the reply were allowed, which it isn't. For

[1] Under the same rule, no reply pleading may be filed with regard to the instant "request."

No. of Copies rec'd 0+14
List ABCDE

those categories of reply pleadings that are allowed relative to interlocutory filings under 47 C.F.R. §1.294, the time period would have expired on December 30, 1996. For another example (while not applicable here), under the omnibus provision for pleadings not in hearing status, 47 C.F.R. §1.45, the time period for reply also would have expired on December 30, 1996.

3. The Trinity monolith (including NMTV under its control and domination) has already tendered two separate, extensive, unauthorized replies to oppositions to Trinity's opening interlocutory motion to vacate the record on improvidently designated issues, etc. etc. The reply tendered by the would-be intervenor under a cover as communications counsel for the Trinity monolith is the third unauthorized reply relative to that motion.

4. The Trinity monolith (including NMTV and their communications counsel) has every incentive to delay the final outcome of this proceeding and place every conceivable litigation burden and roadblock on opposing parties. The multiplicity of outlaw pleadings and interrelated successive rounds of pleadings now being filed by three fine law firms is a flood of legal papers that assuredly will continue until the end of the process. Where legitimate pleadings are filed in accord with the rules as a matter of right, of course they should be accepted and considered. Those are opportunity enough for the Trinity monolith to argue its cause in all legitimate respects. The accumulated stack of outlaw pleadings currently on hand, and all

future outlaw pleadings, should be disregarded and dismissed out-of-hand.

Respectfully submitted,

Gene A. Bechtel

Bechtel & Cole, Chartered
Suite 250
1901 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Telephone 202-833-4190
Telecopier 202-833-3084

Counsel for Glendale
Broadcasting Company

January 22, 1997

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that copies of the foregoing GLENDALE'S OPPOSITION TO REQEUST OF WOULD-BE INTERVENOR TO FILE REPLY COMMENTS are being served this 22nd day of January 1997 on the following:

By first class United States mail, postage prepaid:

Nathaniel E. Emmons, Esq.
Howard A. Topel, Esq.
Mullin, Rhyne, Emmons & Topel, P.C.
Suite 300, 1225 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for Trinity Broadcasting of Florida, Inc. and Trinity Broadcasting Network

Kathryn R. Smeltzer, Esq.
Fisher, Wayland, Cooper, Leader & Zaragoza, L.L.P.
Suite 400, 2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Counsel for National Minority TV, Inc.

David E. Honig, Esq.
Law Offices of David E. Honig
3636 16th Street, N.W., B-863
Washington, D.C. 20010
Counsel for Spanish American League Against Discrimination

Barbara McDowell, Esq.
Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue
Metropolitan Square
1450 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Counsel for Colby May

By hand delivery:

James Shook, Esq.
Hearing Branch, Mass Media Bureau
Suite 7212, 2025 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

The Hon. Joseph Chachkin
Administrative Law Judge
Suite 226, 2000 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Gene A. Bechtel