

NANCY LEE BEATY
PRESIDENTIAL APTS., NO. 28
AMHERST, MASSACHUSETTS 01002

April 4, 1997

Re: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

APR 8 1997

Dear Mr. Hundt:

Having taught English Lit. and Comp./Expository Writing at the prep school and college levels for forty-plus years, and then retired with "fading vision" to limited reading and LOTS of TV-watching [I blush], I beg you to take seriously this contribution to the debate over TV ratings--even though it comes from a "layman" with no technical status commanding respect.

In my judgment, any age-based system (and perhaps any other??) is so unhelpful, so maningless, so absurd as to be totally cost-ineffective--and, indeed, counter-productive.

(and cultural)

1) As you well know, the regional differences in our nation as to what is (a) "dirty" language, (b) "disgusting" expressions of sexuality, and (c) "degrading," "dehumanizing" violence are absolutely amazing in their (divisive) extremism. The (so-called) Rev. Wildmon and his American Family Association (mostly "Bible Belt"?) are "SHOCKED" by a "Damn!" or "You S.O.B."; by Our Hero's baring his posterior on the way to taking a shower; and by fairy tales! (They teach children withcraft, I've been told, by these serious, earnest "Christians" with NO imagination, much less sense of humor about cartoons. As a committed, devoted mainstream Christian, I weep.) At the other end of the spectrum, the kids in our area use at least two of the verboten "four-letter words"--one the dreaded "F word,"--just to comment on the weather! Having grown up in an upper-middle-brow family that did not swear, much less blaspheme, I don't like these pathetic efforts to win approval by suppressing every bit of expressive vocabulary one may have learned; but the notion that parents can "PROTECT" their offspring from vulgarity via some age cut-off is simply ludicrous.

2) The same radical division applies to (b) and (c). MY students do not want "hard-core" pornography on TV--nor "gratuitous" violence; but where to draw the line between Total, Banal Goody-Goddiness for toddlers and Young Maturity?? NOT by age! (Can't YOU remember trying to read exactly what someone said was "too old for you yet, Reed"???)

Final thought: Why not follow (if you must "Do SOMETHING") the easier and more useful method of simply putting L, S, and/or V after the listed item? This really does cater to our very different priorities for punching in a V-chip; and the kids will manage to get around it anyhow!

Respectfully yours,

Nancy Beaty

(Emeritus Prof., UMass)

April 7, 1997

APR 8 1997

Office of Secretary

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communication Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CS Docket No. 97-55 FCC 97-34


Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

The parents of the students at Bent Tree Elementary School in Miami, Florida, totally oppose the v-chip rating system. We support a rating system with content description on the screen and in the periodicals that carry T.V. schedules.

We, the PTA, have collected all these letters, signed by our parents, supporting the National PTA in their opposition to the v-chip rating system. We, as parents, want to make the decision as to what our children should be exposed to on T.V. and elsewhere.

We appreciate your taking our desires into consideration, we want the best for our children and you have the power to say no to a system that can hurt them; we thank you again for your attention to this matter, and remain,

Sincerely,



Marina Vazquez
PTA President
Bent Tree Elementary School

3330 Lake Lanier Place
Buford, Georgia 30519

March 9, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing as a member of the Berkner High PTSA to voice my opposition to the V-Chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen doesn't provide enough content information so that parents can make decisions about appropriate TV programming for their children (I have 4). Parents don't want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents wish to do that!

I don't believe the industry's rating system has met the Telecommunications Act of 1996 requirements. Please!! DO NOT approve the industry's ratings. Bring in parents to help develop a rating scale on the board. Place an icon in the corner of the program for the entire length of the show or cover the set periodically during the program. Please help us protect our children — all children! Thank you in advance!

Sincerely,
L. P. Roach

3330 Lake Lanier Place
Buford, Georgia 30519

March 1997

Chairman Reed Huntt and FCC Commission
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Huntt and Commissioners
Re: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Georgia PTA to voice my opposition to the V-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valentini, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so the parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelmingly parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Media Studies Center/Report. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children. Parents want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program.

Any rating system without content description on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an issue so important to children and families.
Sincerely,

Janice Cox, President
Georgia PTA
114 Baker Street NE
Atlanta, Ga. 30308

March 1997

Chairman Fred Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W. Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Hear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners

RE: CS Docket No 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Georgia PTA to voice my opposition to the V-Chip Rating system as presented by Jack Valenti of the TV Rating Implementation Group on January 17, 1997.

The rating symbol does not provide sufficient information for a parent to make an informed decision about a TV program's content for viewing by children. It also does not stay on the screen long enough, or appear at intervals throughout the program for those who tune in later. The FCC should accept no rating system that does not include more specific content information such as V (violence), S (sexual depiction + nudity) and L (for language).

If parents are to make wise decisions about the material their children view, then we should give them at least as much warning as do to the labels on the food they prepare, items harmful to their health (smoking). Thank you for the opportunity to express concern over this important issue.
Sincerely,

March 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
90 Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W.; Room 222
Wash. D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:
RE: LS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the
Gouva PTA to voice my opposition to the V-Chip rating
system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV
Rating Implementation Group, on Jan. 17, 1997. This
system does not provide sufficient information so
that parents can make decisions on appropriate TV
programming for our children.

I am going to ask that the FCC not approve the
industry rating system. Instead, I request the following.

1. FCC should not under any circumstance approve
the industry rating system. Any system must
include content information about programs.
2. That the FCC require a V-Chip with more than
one rating system
3. The rating icon on TV screen should be much
larger and on longer.
4. The rating board be independent of the industry and
the FCC include and meet all parents need.
5. The FCC be evaluated by independent research to
determine the needs of parents.

Thank you for allowing me to comment on this important
issue. Respectfully,
Debra M. Dwyer, Director

March 8, 1997

Chairmen Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Florida PTA to voice our opposition to the V-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information to enable parents to decide what their children may watch. Parents want to make their own choices based on content information.

We do not believe the current system meet the Telecommunications Act of 1996 requirements & ask that the FCC not approve the industry rating system. We ask the following:

- Do not accept any rating system that does not include content information such as V (violence) S (sexual) and L (language).
- The FCC should require a V-chip band broad enough to allow more than one rating system.
- The rating icon should be larger, more prominent, and appear more frequently during each program.
- The rating board should be independent of the industry and the FCC. It should include parents.
- Any rating system ultimately approved by the FCC should be evaluated by independent research to determine if it meets parents' needs.

Thank you for this opportunity to share the viewpoints of parents who feel that this issue is critical to children and families.

Sincerely,
I. D. D.

2645 Semoran Drive
Pensacola, FL 32542

IDAHO PTA CARES ABOUT KIDS!

MARCH 10, 1997

CHAIRMAN REED HUNDT / FCC COMMISSIONERS
c/o FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
1919 M. STREET N.W. ROOM 222
WASHINGTON, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS DOCKET NO. 97-55, FCC 97-34

We are opposed to the V-CHIP RATING SYSTEM presented by Mr. Valenti. As concerned parents we need more information about what type of content will be in a program. We would prefer a system that uses S-V-L along with age ratings and then we could program accordingly.

TV PG is much too general. Please provide us with a content-based rating system. Also why not place the rating in the corner (in a small size) during the entire program. It is much too difficult to catch all the show ratings right at the beginning!

We are concerned parents and members of the largest parent organization in the nation - The PTA!

Thank you!

Keith R Esplin

CARYN ESPLIN

580 W. 75 SOUTH

BLACKFOOT, IDAHO 83221

208-785-3311 FAX: 208-785-3131

March 10, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt & FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W. Room 202
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt & Commissioners:
RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

As President of the Brookings High School PTA I am writing to voice my PTA Unit's opposition to the V-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on Jan. 17, 1997. The rating system does not provide sufficient content information for parents to make informed decisions about what their children should watch. Having older children I am most concerned about what my children watch and have a system which provides parents with the content information needed to make the best decision possible would be much more helpful.

Sincerely,

Ann Wimmeral . BHS PTA (Brookings, South Dakota)

3/10/97

Chairman Reed Hundt + FCC Commissioners
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED
APR 8 1997
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt + Commissioners

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Lebanon Twp Elem. PTA in New Jersey to voice my opposition to the V-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information.

Sincerely,
L. [Signature] New York

March 9, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt & FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M St., N.W., Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED
APR 8 1997
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman & Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children.

I am writing on behalf of the N.J.P.T.A. and the Bergen County Council of P.T.A.s.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on an issue so important to our children.

Sincerely,
M. G. ...

March 10, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt & FCC Commissioners
c/o Federal Communications Commission
1919 M. St. N. W. Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt & Commissioners:
R.E. CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the
15th District PTA in Louisville, Ky. to voice my
opposition to the V-Chip rating system as presented by
Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group
on Jan. 17, 1997.

The FCC, by law is required to determine whether
the industry's rating system has met statutory
requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I
do not believe this system does so and ask
that the FCC not approve the industry rating
system.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on an
issue so important to children and families.

Sincerely,
Sharon Barber
Louisville, Kentucky

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

March 10, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
% Federal Communications Commission
1919 M. Street N.W., Rm 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt & Commissioners
Re: PS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the 15th
District PTA in Jefferson Co., Kentucky

Parents want to make choices based on TV content
information about TV programs. Any rating system
without content descriptions on the screen and
publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling
is useless.

I request:

- Under no circumstances should FCC approve the industry's
rating system.
- That the FCC require a V-chip band that would allow parents
to receive more than one rating system.
- That the rating icon appear on TV screens more frequently
during the course of a program.
- The rating board be independent and include parents.
- The any rating system approved by the FCC be evaluated by
independent research to determine if it meets the
needs of parents.

Thank you.

Shirley Spanow

March 7, 1997

Chairman Reed Hunt + FCC Commissioners
40 Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W. Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Gentlemen -

I am writing as a PTA member of over 30 years who now wish to make the responsible personal

judgments for my grandchildren that I made for my children. The V-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti is totally deficient in not providing sufficient information to parents to make good judgments and I hope the Commission will elaborate on their initial effort to indicate explicit sexual content, violent content and offensive language. The industry knows this information - how parents need to know it.

Judgments should be made by a rating board that is independent of the conflict of interest which the current system gives to the industry.

This system is not for the benefit of the industry - it is for the benefit of parents and children. The system needs significant attention.

Mrs. Ann P. Kahn Williamson Ho.

Mar. 9, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
To Federal Communications Commission
1919 M St N.W. Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA to voice my opposition to the V-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV rating implementation group on Jan. 17, 1997. Parents want more information than this rating system provides.

We request the following:

- That the FCC NOT approve any rating system that does not include content information about programs.
- That the FCC require a V-chip band broad enough for parents to receive more than one rating system.
- That the icon on the TV screen be made larger, last longer + appear frequently during the program.
- That the rating board be independent of the industry and that parents be included on the board.
- That the rating system be evaluated by independent research to determine if parents needs ~~are~~ met.

Thank you,

Barbara Willie

Hooper, Wt. 84315

March 9, 1997

Chairmen Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
To Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street NW, Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing you today to express my opposition to the v-chip rating system which has been explained by the Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, Jack Valenti. The rating system is not as helpful to parents as it should or easily could be.

Parents who will use a v-chip system would like more specific information about the content of the program so they can choose for their families what is appropriate.

I do not believe the FCC has met the statutory requirements outlined in the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I request that the FCC approve a system that includes content information at least identifying violence, sexual depiction and language and a v-chip that allows parents to receive more than one rating system. The rating icon needs to be larger and appear for a longer period of time or more frequently.

The rating board selecting ratings must include parents and be separate from the FCC and the industry.

Thank you for addressing this very important concern of parents.

Sincerely,

Paula Plant

Salt Lake City, Utah

March 9, 1997

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

Re: Docket NO 97-55, FCC 97-341

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Pennsylvania State PTA to voice my opposition to the current television rating system as presented by Jack Valenti on Jan. 17, 1997. The current rating system does not provide enough content information for parents to make decisions about what TV programs are appropriate for their children. I do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for my children; I would prefer to make my choice based on content information about the program.

The FCC by law is required to determine whether the industry's rating system has met statutory requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The current system does not do so, in my opinion. Instead, I request that:

- The FCC accept not a rating system that does not include content information about programs such as V for violence, S for sexual content, N for nudity and L for language.
- The FCC require a V-chip board broad enough to receive more than one rating system.
- The rating icon be made larger & appear more frequently.
- The rating board be independent of the industry & include parents.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the issue.

Sincerely

Shuly Hrub, Pittsburgh, PA

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners

90 Federal Communications Commission

1919 M. Street N.W. Room 222

Washington DC. 20554

RECEIVED
APR 8 1997
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Hear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners,

Regarding: CS Docket No. 97-53, FCC 97-34

As our society becomes more violent and less value and character conscious parents are in need of all the help they can get in parenting their children. One of the problems faced by today's parents is working parents and lack of close supervision for children. We need all TV program to contain a rating which will provide adequate information to parents to ensure that children do not view inappropriate language; nudity, sex or violence based on an age-rated rating scale. Please help us give our children the appropriate guidance they need.

Ann James

March 9, 1997

Ref: Cocket # 97-55, FCC 97-34 RECEIVED

Dear Chairman Rud Hundt &
FCC Commissioners

APR 8 1997
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

I am writing to you concerning
the V-chip rating system in behalf
of the National PTA.

I urge you to give great consideration
to choose a workable system for
parents - and that a collaborative
partnership must be established
among technology producers, the
programming industry & parents.

Any rating system approved by
the FCC, should be evaluated by
independent research to determine
if it meets the need of parents.

I realize you have major decisions
to make, and I hope you will
consider all the pro & cons, and
do what will help parents to guide
their children through the mine fields
of T.V. programming. A healthy mind is
a terrible thing to waste on seductive T.V.
shows, whether it is sex, violence or language!

Sincerely,
Donna L. Sickey
211 Primrose Path
Sylacauga, Al. 35150

March 9, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
% Federal Communications Commission

1919 M Street NW Room 222

Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED
APR 8 1997
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

Re: CS Docket # 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing to you concerning the V-chip rating system on behalf of the National PTA. I believe that a workable system is critical for parents - and that a collaborative partnership must be established among technology producers, the programming industry and parents. Please give both the system recommended by Mr Valenti and the more precise system suggested by Rep. Markey your closest scrutiny to determine what minimally will work.

Sincerely,
Lott. Lott. Alt

March 9, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
40 Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W. Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED
APR 8 1997
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt & Commissioners,
RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and Community Preschool to voice our opposition to the V-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997.

Parents of preschool children need clearer understanding of what the rating system means. We would also like to see the rating icon appear for a longer time on the screen. Fifteen seconds does not give a parent enough time to change the channel and view another program to scan for its rating.

Please seek and consider more input from parents regarding this issue. Sincerely,

March, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
40 Federal Communications Commission
1919 M. Street N.W. Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners:

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

RECEIVED
APR 8 1997
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

I am writing on behalf of the National PTA and the Arkansas State PTA to voice my opposition to the v-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. The rating symbol on the TV screen does not provide sufficient content information so that parents can make decisions about what is appropriate TV programming for their children. Major surveys released this fall which demonstrate overwhelming parent preference for a rating system that gives parents information about the content of programs were conducted by the National PTA, U.S. News and World Report, and Media Justice Center/Roper. Any rating system without content descriptions on the screen and publicized in periodicals that carry TV scheduling is useless.

Sincerely,

Linda Hamilton

March 9, 1997

RECEIVED

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commission
c/o Federal Communications Commission

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

1919 M Street N.W., Room 222
Washington DC 20554

Dear Mr. Chairman and Commissioners:

Re: CS Docket No 97-55, FCC 9734

I am writing in opposition to the 4-ship age-category based rating system as presented by Jack Valenti, Chair of the TV Rating Implementation group, on January 17, 1997. Parents do not want the TV industry to interpret what is best for their children - they want to make those choices themselves based on content information about the program. Rating system without content descriptions do not provide sufficient information to be useful.

Further, any rating icon on the TV screen for a minute or less at the beginning of the program is insufficient. The rating must appear on the screen more prominently and longer, and more frequently - preferably for the entire program. Bad ratings should not be developed by the industry, but rather such a rating board should be independent of the industry, and it must include parents.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Sincerely,
Betty Reed
San Francisco, CA

March 9, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt and FCC Commissioners
90 Federal Communication Commission
1919 M. Street N.W., Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

APR 8 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt and Commissioners

RE: CS Docket No 97-55, FCC 97-34

We are writing to protest the V-chip rating system proposed by Jack Valenti, Chairman of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on January 17, 1997. This system, with a symbol which is flashed "briefly" at the beginning of a television program, does not provide parents with sufficient information to make appropriate television programming choices for their children. It is our opinion that parents are better served by a program "content" rating system, than an "age" based system. This opinion is based on surveys of parents conducted by the National PTA, US News and World Report, and Media Center (Paper).

Thank you for this opportunity to comment and we beg you to please reevaluate the proposed TV rating based on age and to adopt a system based on content. This is an extremely important issue to American families.

Sincerely,

Dr and Mrs Walter Desmond
4312 Del Monte Avenue
San Diego, CA 92107
619 232-2215

Mar. 9, 1997

Dear Chairman Heindt & Committee

RE: CS Docket No. 97-55, FCC 97-34

RECEIVED
APR 8 1997
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

I am a member of the National PTA & PA State PTA
& Baldwin-Whitehall Council of PTAs.

I would like to voice my opposition to the
V-chip rating system as presented by Jack Valenti,
Chair of the TV Rating Implementation Group, on Jan 17 1997.
This system does not provide sufficient content
information for parents.

Instead we request:

- That under no circumstances should the FCC approve
the industry's rating system and should accept no
system that does not include content information
about programs; V (violence) S (sex) L (language)
- That FCC require a V-chip band broad enough
to allow parents to receive more than one rating
system
- That the icon be made large & appear more frequently
- That the rating board be independent of the industry &
include parents.
- That any rating system approved by FCC be evaluated