

NYNEX

Documentation Source	NE Operations Meeting Minutes
Document Type	Word document stating 'a gradual cut is being considered at this time'
Date	May 28, 1997
Page(s)	7

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

**NORTHEAST
OPERATION/IMPLEMENTATION SUB-COMMITTEE MEETING
May 28/29/30, 1997**

Next Meeting Schedule (Implementation will begin at the conclusion of Operations)

June 18th 9:30 to 5:00 PM Operations
 19th 8:30 to 5:00 PM Operations / Implementation
 20th 8:30 to 3:00 PM Implementation
 Location: 125 High St. Room 931 Boston, Mass.

Suggested Hotels: Parker House \$\$\$, Bostonian \$\$\$\$\$, Meridian \$\$\$\$, Marriott Long Wharf \$\$\$\$
 Boston Harbor \$\$\$\$\$, Swiss Hotel \$\$\$\$

July 16th 9:30 to 5:00 PM NE Region Operations
 17th 8:30 to 5:00 PM Operations / Implementation
 18th 8:30 to 3:00 PM Implementation
 Location - Probably Manhattan, Nynex Headquarters.

August 12th 9:30 to 5:00 PM NE Region Operations
 13th 8:30 to 5:00 PM Operations / Implementation
 14th 8:30 to 3:00 PM Implementation
 Location - Hartford, CT

September 9th 9:30 to 5:00 PM NE Region Operations
 10th 8:30 to 5:00 PM Operations / Implementation
 11th 8:30 to 3:00 PM Implementation
 Location - TBD

October 14th 9:30 to 5:00 PM NE Region Operations
 15th 8:30 to 5:00 PM Operations / Implementation
 16th 8:30 to 3:00 PM Implementation
 Location - TBD

November 12th 9:30 to 5:00 PM NE Region Operations
 13th 8:30 to 5:00 PM Operations / Implementation
 14th 8:30 to 3:00 PM Implementation
 Location - TBD

Attendees:

NAME/COMPANY	NAME/COMPANY	NAME/COMPANY
*Phil Triola AT&T	*Alice Shocket NYNEX	*Scot Lewis WORLDCOM
*Paul LaGattuta AT&T	*Ray Drollinger NYNEX	*Dick Dowd MCI
*Maggie Lee Illuminet	*Alan Thompson RCN	*Terry Maguire NYNEX
*John Shea LOCKHEED	*Al Cerino SNET	*Art Doskow NYNEX
*John McCluskey MCI	*John McHugh TANE	*William Gallo TCG
*Karen Kay TWC	*Greg Smith NYNEX	

Attachments:

contact.doc	Contact and Distribution list.
neorg.doc	NE Region Organization chart
codeopen.ppt	Code Opening Process *I need a copy to ditribute, will find
inp.ppt	Interim Number Portability to Location Number Portability process
inpverb.doc	INP to LRN process verbiage
nenadoc.doc	NENA Standards (to support LRN)
spocinfo.doc	Northeast LRN Test Participant Network Details Form
ileclet.doc	Alice and Johns darft letter to the Independant LECs.

ACTION ITEMS

- *Review Nynex loop prevention proposal. Bring your company's solution to share at the next meet. - All
- *Provide your company's 10 Digit Trigger timing (when it will be applied and removed.) - All
- *SV re-broadcast when an error is detected during a SP to SP audit. - John Shea (included in the minutes)
- *DID trunk group for INP to LNP. - Alice Shocket
- *Identify Independent company name and contact number. - John and Alice
- *IVR Company Position. - All

June Meeting Agenda

Code Opening Process
Looping
Mass Calling/Choke Networks
NYNEX DCAS Web Access
NY MSA Schedule (if available)
INP Transition to LNP
Implementation Project Plan

TCAP Looping

Art Daskow, Nynex, reviewed the loop prevention techniques as reference in the SCP Requirements addendum document including some additional industry solutions. Nynex is considering an architecture which is a hybrid of the SCP requirements. A second alias pointcode will be assigned for Post-query. Messages using the Pre-query alias will route the call the same as it does today (6 digit GTT.) Messages using the Post-query alias will use 10 digit GTT. In keeping with Nynex's policy that they perform the final GTT, DPCs found to be outside the Nynex network will be discarded. The Post-query alias pointcode is part of the subscription version data and will be broadcast to all service providers. This architecture should effectively prevent a looping situation.

Moving forward - Nynex is looking for feedback on their proposal. Participants should review the Nynex proposal and verify if it will work with whatever solution your company is planning to deploy. (Does the Nynex solution present any problems?) Be prepared to discuss your company's loop prevention methodology. The NYNEX proposal appears to provide the simplest solution and doesn't require additional software and translations resources. Nynex will require the providers have looping prevention in place before they will be allowed to inter-connect to their network.

Process Flows

The NANC Process flows and verbiage were officially accepted. The Region specific information will be added.

Figure 1 (provisioning)- NE Region Accepted

Verbiage - Add NPAC hours (8 to 8 ET) and NPAC holidays (NY, Memorial Day, July 4th, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, Christmas.)

Figure 3 (10 digit trigger =N)- NE Region Accepted

Verbiage - Need to identify when the 10 digit trigger will be in place. The SPs were polled to determine their plans. Please bring your company's position to the June meeting.

<u>APPLIED 11:59 DD-1</u>	<u>REMOVED DD+1 (or when the NPAC broadcasts the SV activation)</u>
Nynex	Nynex
TWC	TWC
Worldcom	Worldcom

Figure 2 (10 digit trigger =N)- NE Region Accepted

Verbiage - Need to add the timing for the old SPs disconnect.

Figure 4 (conflict) - NE Region Accepted

Verbiage - add NE Region NPAC business hours (8 to 8 ET)

Figure 5 (cancellation)- NE Region Accepted

Verbiage - add NE region Business hours (8 to 8 ET)

Figure 6 (cancellation conflict)- NE Region Accepted

Figure 7 (disconnect) - NE Region Accepted

Figure 8 (audit) - NE Region Accepted

Verbiage - add a note that the error is automatically corrected. The LSMS is not notified by the NPAC when an error(s) is detected. Instead they can request a Report from the NPAC. The question was asked "What does the correction message look like? Does it look the same as the original activation?" John Shea will investigate and have an answer at the June meeting. John Shea's response:

Q2. Is the download from the NPAC/SMS to an LSMS, to correct a mismatch uncovered via the Audit process, distinct from the normal download for provisioning? (The objective here was to identify when changes are made to a service provider's LSMS.)

A2. No, not at this time. I believe this is an issue for change with NANC. This also came up at meetings with the Southwest teams and it was their intention to bring to NANC.

Figure 9 (code opening) - Still open until we discuss code opening in it's entirety. Added to the June agenda.

NPAC Business Hours

8 to 8 ET was accepted as the NPAC business hours. Majority ruled on this issue instead of the usual consensus. John McCluskey will compose a letter with our requirements and forward to the LLC.

8 to 8 ET

NYNEX - Y	TWC - Y	MCI - Y	TCG - Y	AT&T - Y
RCN - Y	RTC - Y	TANE - Y	WorldcM - Y	SNET - Y
Winstar - ?	Sprint - ?	CableV - ?	ACC - Y	Frontier - ?

NPAC Holidays

The NPAC holidays are *New Years, Memorial Day, *4th of July, Labor Day, Thanksgiving, and *Christmass. If the holiday falls on a Saturday it will be observed the Friday before. If the holiday falls on a Sunday it will be observed the following Monday. Majority ruled on this issue instead of the usual consensus. John McCluskey will compose a letter with our requirements and forward to the LLC.

NYNEX - Y	TWC - Y	MCI - Y	TCG - Y	AT&T - Y
RCN - Y	RTC - Y	TANE - Y	WorldcM - Y	SNET - Y
Winstar - ?	Sprint - ?	CableV - ?	ACC - Y	Frontier - ?

NPAC WEB Site

John McCluskey agreed to be the NE Region's single point of contact for sending updates to the Web site. See attachment "neorg.doc" for the site organization picture.

www.npac.com

INP to LRN

Alice Shocket provided a draft copy of the verbiage for the INP to LRN Process Flow. See attachments "inplrn.doc" for the verbiage (including changes made at this meeting) and "inp.ppt" for the process flow.

Open Issues (from last meetings discussion):

1. 911 database record - A single record using the shadow TN exists today for INP. RCFed TNs don't have a record in the ALI database. Instead the RCFed TN exists as a data fields in the shadows TN's record. The current SP (NEW SP) would be responsible for adding a new E911 record for the ported number and removing the Ported Number data field from the shadow TN's record (if applicable.)

Example: 221-1000 RCFed to 344-1234 (shadow number.)

E911 Record for TN= 344-1234

Name = John Smyth
Address = 100 Federal Avenue
Emergency Provider = Johnson County EMS
Ported Number = 221-1000

E911 Record for TN= 221-1000

Doesn't exist

E911 Record for TN= 344-1234 (after migration)

Name = John Smyth (kept the TN as an additional line or service)
Address = 100 Federal Avenue
Emergency Provider = Johnson County EMS

“or”

Doesn't exist (Shadow TN is no longer translated in the New SP switch.)

E911 Record for TN= 221-1000 (after migration)

Name = John Smyth
Address = 100 Federal Avenue
Emergency Provider = Johnson County EMS

2. What to do with the DID trunk group once all INP customers have been migrated to LNP. Alice will find out more information around this issue for the June meeting. It was suggested we add a note to remind both (Donor and Current) that they might want to do something with the trunk groups that use for INP route indexing. Once all customers migrate, the trunk group will be idle (not carrying any traffic.) The Service Providers might want to consider converting the TG to inter-office

3. There was a concern that when the Donor SP (Nynex) disconnected the RCFing, a disconnect would also be sent to DA. Alice verified that this does not take place. The DA listing for the RCFed TN is disconnected by the Donor and becomes the responsibility of the New SP when the INP originally took place.

4. Current NYNEX plans are not to provision a 10 Digit trigger to INP to LNP migrating TNs. The disconnect will be worked as normal (DD +1.)

Lockheed Contract

John M. provided an update. Expect to have a completed contract by next week and signed the following.

NANC Update

The LNPA T&O Task Force met on Tuesday, May 13 in Washington, DC at a Bellcore location. Marilyn Murdock began the meeting by describing the work activities assigned to the Task Force during the May 2, 1997, LNPA Working Group meeting. As previously announced, a separate meeting is scheduled for June 5 and 6 in St. Louis to discuss the following issues delegated to the T/O team:

- LNPA Deployment Oversight
- LNPA Long Term Oversight
- Permanent Change Management Process
- Incorporation of Wireless LNP Issues
- Dispute Resolution Process

E911 U and M timing

Nynex will be sending the U order the day of the due date (probably early morning.)

10 Digit trigger order complete by 11:59 PM the day before the due date.

This is the stimulus to send the U to the ALI database.

The U gets sent in the wee hours the day of the due date.

Please refer to the NENA standards document for a more complete description of the "U" and the "M"
(attachment nena.doc.)

E911

Connecticut and NY are the only ones to have a CLEC certification process in place. It's unknown whether these include E911 connectivity and database arrangements. John will verify with Greg (NYPUC) if 911 set-up is included as part of the LEC certification. The other states don't have a certification. The new LECs need only to file a Tariff to do business.

It's expected each SP will make arrangement for ALI record updates with the E911 provider in their serving area. In most cases the ILEC can advise the CLEC on the process used (E911 contact names and numbers) to set up E911 service in that area (pro-active process.) This takes place prior to a CLEC offering LNP.

Steering Committee Update

The CLECs requested a review of the targeted end office list and turn-up priority. Changes to the original list up until the FCC date were also discussed.

Targeted End Offices NY

Processor Upgrades:

The Processor capacity is some end offices may need to be increased. These offices are nearing processor capacity and it's unknown at this time if more will be needed for LRN deployment.. Because of this, these end offices have been put at the end of the schedule to accommodate a possible processor upgrade. This will protect the integrity of the network and allow time to analyze the data which will determine if the upgrade is necessary. The effected end office CLLIs are:

nycmnyea56dso

nycmnyea56ds2

nycmnyws50ds2

nycmnyea37ds0

Independents in the NY MSAs:

The Operations team will request a list of the independents from Greg Pattenau. The NYSTA and TANE may also be able to assist in the identification process. The Operations team will contact the independents to help identify the end offices for the MSA. Once the Operations team has a complete CLLI list (Master CLLI list) for the MSA, it will be sent to Greg Pattenau for distribution to the CLECs. The CLECs can select their end offices from the master CLLI list.

Karen will send Alice a draft letter for requesting the MSA CLLIs from the independents. Alice and John will pursue compiling the Independent company name and contact number. For the the Nassau, Buffalo, Rochester and Hartford MSAs, the targeted date for sending the letter to the independent ILECs is June 10th and targeted date for having a master CLLI list available to the CLECs is June 30th.

Karen will talk to RTC to verify their assistance in putting together the master CLLI list for the Rochester MSA. Alice will send the Nynex a list of their end offices to RTC.

Targeted End Offices (All MSAs)

The team outlined the steps we will use for the other MSAs.

1. A master CLLI list of all the end offices in the MSA will be compiled by the Operations team.
2. A master CLLI list will be sent to the neutral 3rd party for distribution to the CLECS (*Master CLLI List Available*)
3. The CLECs will be asked to send their targeted end office lists back by the *ILEC Date* indicated on the grid below. This will allow the ILECs more time to accommodate the CLECs office turn-up priority.
4. CLECs can wait until the *FCC Date* to send in their lists. If the CLECs chose this option, the ILECS may not be able to accommodate the turn-up priority. The end office will be upgraded, but this could take place towards the end of the MSA deployment schedule.
5. Lists received after the *FCC Date* will not be upgraded during the deployment timeframes. Refer to the FCC reconsideration order for more details.

Notes*

* *Independents exist in the MSA and will need to be identified.

** RTC wasn't at this meeting. I agreed to contact them before the next meeting.

*** CT is holding a meeting June 2nd to determine the neutral 3rd party and pursue more active participation from the states PUC. John McCluskey will update us at the next meeting.

MSA	Master CLLI List Available	ILEC Date	FCC Date	Phase
Manhattan	April 21,1997	May 15,1997	June 30,1997	I
Boston	April 21,1997	July 1,1997	August 15,1997	II
Hartford, CT	IP ***	Open***	October 1,1997	III
Nassau, NY	June meeting	August 1, 1997	October 1,1997	III
Buffalo,NY *	June meeting	August 1, 1997	October 1,1997	III
Rochester, NY *	No Status **		October 1,1997	III
Providence, RI		Nov. 1, 197	January 1,1998	IV
Albany, NY*		November 1	January 1,1998	IV
Syracuse, NY*		Feb. 1, 1998	April 1,1998	V
Springfield, MA *		February 1, 1998	April 1,1998	V
New Haven, CT *	IP ***	January 1, 1998	April 1,1998	V

Note: Targeted end offices received afterwards. will be scheduled as soon in the schedule as possible. If you want an office prioritized early in the schedule, it's would be to a companies benefit to provide the list by the ILEC date.

CT CLEC Working Group

A newly formed CLEC working group in Conn is holding a meeting to discuss number portability in Conn. The office schedule will be addressed and one of the meetings purposes will be to verify the PUC is actively involved. The meeting is being held June 2nd hosted by TCG (Paul Kauroupis 202-739-0030) at 10 AM, location DPUC New Briton, Conn. Hearing room #3. The NE team was not sent a formal notice of this meeting (the 1st we knew about it.) John McCluskey will update us at the next meeting.

Flash Cut / Gradual Cut

A gradual cut-over is still being considered at this time.

IVR

Lockheed has an IVR module available. Information provided includes the TN to SP cross-reference. Security is probably also provided. Each Service Provider should verify their company's position and provide at the next meeting.

St. Louis Meeting

A Focus Group meeting is being held in ST Louis hosted by SWBT to discuss LNP Complex LSR Ordering and Provisioning issues. Karen will bring the results to the NE Region June meeting.

End of Operations, begin Implementation

New York Implementation

Action Items:

- *Identify a SPOC if you haven't already done so.
- *NPAC questions - John Shea (response included in these minutes.)

Meeting

The meeting began with a question:

“When will the Service Providers be available for inter-company testing?”

Karen provided a list of what needs to be complete before we can start “Inter-company” testing and should be tracked internally by each Service Provider.

Dick started collecting the information he will need to manage the testing. Participants need to provide a SPOC, populate a Network form, and identify their individual test requirements. It's expected this will involve conference calls and some face to face meetings. The 1st conference call is scheduled for June 12th. Dick will be contacting the SPOCs and sending them the network form.

Single Point Of Contact

Dick polled the service providers for their SPOC. Each Service Provider will need to assign a SPOC for the Inter-Company testing. The following Service Providers were identified and the SPOCs provided (if available.) All participants are encouraged to assign an SPOC ASAP. Dick will start distributing material in the near future.

Service Providers SPOCs

AT&T = *Paul LaGattutta
MCI = Hampton Overle
NYNEX = Gregory Smith
RCN = *Al Thompson
TCG = *Bill Gallo
TWC = *Karen Kay
WorldCom = *Scott Lewis

Service Providers SPOCs ???????

Winstar = ?
Cablevision = ?
Frontier = ?
SPRINT = ?
RTC = ?

Vendors

Illuminet = Maggie Lee
Lockheed = *Steve Markowski

*: temporary contact

Northeast LRN Test Participant Network Details Form

The SPOCs will need to populate a Network Details form. An electronic copy of the form is being distributed with the minutes as “spocinfo.doc. Dick will also be distributing this form to the SPOCs.

Ready for Inter-company Testing

Nynex - 10-6-97. This could be later depending on their SOA. The SOA is the critical piece and will take the longest to complete. When the SOA is complete, the rest of the systems will also be tested and available for inter-company testing.

Lockheed Update

The following questions were asked (during the course of the meeting.) *John Shea provided the answers (via Email the week following the meeting, Thanks John for your timely responses.)*

Q1. Can the LSMS turn-up testing be performed separately from the SOA turn-up testing? Also, could the Low Tech Interface be used during this time until the SOA is available?

A1. Yes

Q3. The NPAC organization in Chicago is creating a new service provider package to introduce the NPAC and describe procedures for getting started. Can this be e-mailed?

A3. No, not at this time. The package is due to be available at the end of next week (6/13) and I hope to have handouts at our meeting in Boston (6/18).

Q4. Are the Turn-up Test Plans (Inter-operability & Turn-up) available on the npac.com web site. Yes and no. In addition to the public site (npac.com) there is another site that is proprietary. The test plans are available on the latter. In order to obtain a login and password a non-disclosure is required. John Shea offered to provide us with an early copy of the NPAC test package Lockheed is putting together. This package contains detailed information for what each Service Provider must do in order to connect and test with the NE Region NPAC. This copy is an FYI for the Operation team and will be distributed to the companies NPAC SPOCs. He also provided a copy of the schedule for future Lockheed inter-region meetings.

Project Plan

The sections which reference a providers internal work were removed. Some additional changes were made.

NE Region Contract Negotiations

Friday, May 30, Monday and Tuesday, Thursday, Friday June 2, 3, 5 & 6. Expected to close Friday June 6th. Pending Issue: Liability. If insurance doesn't cover damages, who would be responsible for the remaining costs, the LLC and/or all participating users.

END

Pacific Telesis

Documentation Source	CA Task Force Meeting Minutes
Document Type	Word document 'no schedule available yet', 'PacBell does not intend to flash cut'
Date	May 28, 1997
Page(s)	2

CALIFORNIA LOCAL NUMBER PORTABILITY TASK FORCE

REGULAR MEETING

Notes

May 15, 1997

San Francisco, CA

Agenda

- * Introductions
- * Review Agenda
- * Review Minutes
- * Subcommittee Readouts
- * Overview/New Participants
- * Switch Request Status
- * CPUC Consensus Document
- * Regional Expansion
- * Mated Pairs
- * CPUC Extension
- * Future Meetings

Review of Previous Meeting Minutes

Minutes adopted with changes. Revised Minutes will be delivered by GTE to Richard Scheer for forwarding to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC).

Subcommittee Readouts

Operator Services (Laurie Johnson/Pacific - Chair)

Workshop held on BLV/I issues. Sixteen participants with switch vendors and Bellcore. Solution will be deployed by 10/1. Recommend dedicated trunking from Operator Switches to the Tandem to eliminate the need for pseudo codes. Time Warner raised issue of carriers with operator services platform located outside of California which will require a different solution. Options and recommendations will be presented at next meeting. Next meeting is June 9. Subcommittee minutes attached.

Rating & Billing (Tom Santos/Pacific - Chair)

Rating and Billing Minutes were distributed. A national R&B forum is being established (LNP National Billing Forum).

AT&T (Scheer) asks if OBF is not the appropriate, established forum? MCI (Raissyan) replies that intent is not to form a "standards forum" but simply to combine Regional activities.

Switching & Signaling

No readout. Any comments on draft Generic Switching and Signaling Requirements should be given to Kevin Moisan.

Operations & Implementation (Patrice Valentin/Pacific, John Meyer/AT&T - Co-Chairs)

Rick Theiss completed a readout of issues before the OPI.

Additional discussion took place regarding Pacific's and GTE's deployment schedules. Neither Pacific, nor GTE will deploy in 1997. GTE did not commit to schedule availability. GTE will begin implementation in mid-February on a cluster basis and stagger the cluster implementation through March 31. Primary drivers in GTE's deployment are (1) the availability of generic software for the GTD5, expected to be late 4Q97, and (2) concern for network integrity. The latter concern was explained by Rick Theiss.

Pacific will begin implementation in 1Q98, no firm schedule available yet. Pacific does not intend to do a flash cut and will implement "sooner" rather than "later" during 1Q98. Pacific agrees with GTE's concerns and drivers and additionally notes their 60-some systems requiring change are also major drivers in their schedule. Pacific not sure if its implementation will be by clusters. Will bring SMEs and diagrams to show implementation. Expects to do querying and have test sites up by 10/1/97. Pacific Bell schedule will be available July, 1997.

AT&T and MCI requested notice of availability of deployment schedules and the schedules themselves as soon as possible from Pacific and GTE. Discussion of certification with Perot, i.e., acceptance testing with an incumbent and 2 CLCs in a region. Undecided which carriers will test with Perot.

Testing Subcommittee (Pacific, Ross Richards/AT&T, MCI - co-chairs)

May 13 minutes attached. Need participation from all companies. Meetings will be all day Tuesdays prior to OPI meetings, beginning at 8:30am. Introduced Anousheh Raissyan (MCI), the testing "Czarina." **Perot holds weekly test conference call on Tuesdays at 13:00pm (MST). Beginning May 15 Perot will hold daily testing calls at 2:00pm (MST).** Perot web page should be ready in a couple of weeks. Send any questions to lnp@ps.net. Perot had received only one

completed questionnaire. Concerns raised regarding Perot's distribution list and some of the questions in the questionnaire. Perot responded companies should just answer what they can and send it in.

TW asked about testing schedule for Phase II cities. Not discussed or decided yet. AT&T suggested sending a letter to all carriers regarding testing schedule. Hazel Wagner/Perot clarified that all testing is paid for by the carriers, per the LLC Operating Agreement.

Richard Scheer mentioned California Telephone Association meeting in Sacramento on May 22. He will make a presentation on LNP and testing to inform all companies of testing schedule.

Rick Theiss raised warm line issue. All agreed at previous meeting only facilities-based provider can provide warm line, but a reseller must make sure that the underlying carrier is providing it when a customer disconnects. The Pacific SME will be at the June 24 meeting to give a status and overview of the 911 issues.

Discussed NPA split activity and whether a 3-way split can be managed by the NPAC. Looks feasible; NPAC will do either a 6 or 10 digit search.

June 2 - 4 meeting in St. Louis for Southwestern and Western regions to look at complete LSR issues, including reseller scenarios. Rick Theiss and Patrice will attend and will represent that these are issues between companies and to be handled in interconnection agreements, rather than an issue with the NPAC. Southwestern Bell is contact for the meeting and is sending information to OPI team leaders. Pacific provided reseller scenario document. Attached to minutes.

New Participant Overview

Richard Scheer lead a discussion between Task Force members and several small and mid-size local exchange carriers (S/MS LECs) and their counsel surrounding their participation in Task Force and Subcommittee activities and membership in the West Coast Portability Services, LLC. S/MS LECs were generally encouraged to participate in Task Force meetings and to either join or become represented, e.g. through a telephone association as an LLC Member, in the WCPS, LLC.

Switch Request Status

CPUC sent letter May 7; responses due back to CPUC June 2. List of CPCN certificated and pending-certificated compiled by Karen Jones. CPUC letter attached. Responses are to CPUC staff.

S/MS representatives expressed concern over the anonymity of the site-selection process.

CPUC will provide tallied results to Task Force (OPI) and incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs) with a list of carriers surveyed. CPUC staff expects to do reasonableness review of requests prior to sending out list of switches to carriers.

Consensus Document (White Paper to CPUC)

Document sent to Jack Leutza of the CPUC under signature of Co-Chairs. A copy of the letter is attached to these Minutes.

Regional Expansion

GTE will schedule a meeting with the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission. Participants in an historical presentation of LNP will be Richard Scheer, Frank Collins, Rick Theiss, Holly Wagner and Dennis Schwabe. Rick Theiss will coordinate with the participants to develop and deliver the presentation prior to July 2, 1997, which is the cut-off date for state decision regarding participation in the regional implementation. Goal is to have Hawaii PUC issue something encouraging all carriers to participate in LNP Task Force and LLC.

May take Hawaii presentation to Nevada. Nevada Bell to ask Nevada PUC if interested. Nevada PUC working on an "opting out" decision. Las Vegas scheduled for 2Q98.

Mated-Pair Architecture

as proposed by MCI/STW, *to replace*
Discussion of mated-pair or technical equivalent SCP architecture. New SCP Document (inter regional document begun in Illinois) has requirement for maximum 3 minutes down-time per year. This makes it imperative to deploy mated-pair or equivalent.

Rate Area Consistency

The California Telecommunications Coalition has recommended in their Local Competition Phase III Comments that the CPUC utilize the Task Force as a "workshop" vehicle for issues involving rate area consistency and subsequent recommendations for solutions/requirements.

CPUC Extension

On April 4 the CPUC granted the one-week extension to April 7 to execute a contract with a vendor for NPAC/SMS services. CPUC letter attached.

Future Meetings

June 3-6

AT&T(~~Sprint~~)

Pleasanton

June 24-27	ELI	Sacramento
July 15-18	AT&T <i>Spring</i>	Pleasanton <i>San Mateo</i>
August 5-8	Cox	San Diego
August 26-29	Time Warner	San Diego
September 16-19	MCI	San Francisco
October 7-10	Pacific	San Ramon
October 28-31	TBD <i>MFS Walnut</i>	TBD <i>Bay Area</i>
November 18-21	TCI	Bay Area
December 9-12	GTE	Thousand Oaks

The next Task Force meeting is June 5, 9:30 am at 4460 Rosewood Drive, Room 6111, Pleasanton at AT&T's facilities. (See Attachment F.)

NOTE: Once again the LNP Task Force is attempting to pare its distribution list. If you did not attend one of the last three Task Force meetings, please affirm that you wish to continue receiving the Task Force minutes by contacting Richard Scheer. Otherwise, you will cease to receive Task Force minutes.