

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

KELLY & POVICH, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1101 30th Street, N.W., Suite 300, Washington, D.C. 20007

Writer's Direct Dial:
(202) 342-0464

Telephone: (202) 342-0460
Facsimile: (202) 342-0458

July 18, 1997

VIA MESSENGER

Mr. William F. Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Room 222
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

JUL 18 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: Ex Parte Presentation
PR Dkt No. 89-552, GN Dkt No. 93-252/ & PP Dkt No. 93-253

Dear Mr. Caton:

On behalf of INTEK Diversified Corp. ("INTEK") and pursuant to Section 1.1206(a) of the Commission's Rules, this will constitute notice that on July 17, 1996, Michael R.J. Bayly of INTEK and Robert B. Kelly of Kelly & Povich, P.C., counsel to INTEK, met with John Cimko, Jr., Martin Liebman and Nancy Boocker of the Policy Division of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau regarding the outstanding Petitions For Reconsideration of the Third Report and Order in the above-referenced Dockets. As reflected in the attached Summary of presentation, the parties discussed the matters raised in INTEK's Petition For Reconsideration, Comments on Petitions For Reconsideration and Reply on this matter.

Six copies of this notice are submitted herewith pursuant to Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Rules.

KELLY & POVICH, P.C.


Mr. William F. Caton

July 18, 1997

Page 2

Should there be any questions on this matter, kindly
communicate with this office.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. B. Kelly". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping initial "R".

Robert B. Kelly

cc: John Cimko, Jr.
Martin D. Liebman
Nancy Boocker

SUMMARY OF PRESENTATION - July 17, 1997
INTEK Diversified Corp.
PR Docket 89-552, GN Docket 93-252 & PP Docket 93-253

- I. The co-channel protection afforded by the Third Report and Order to Phase I 220 MHz licensees should be modified.
 - A. INTEK and other engineering studies reflect real world analysis of existing operational 220 MHz systems.
 - B. Studies establish that 28 dbu service contour at 220 MHz is equivalent to 40 dbu service contour at 850 MHz.
 - C. Use of 38 dbu service contour will result in harmful interference to both Phase I and Phase II licensees and in loss of available service area to end users.
 - D. Use of 28 dbu service contour for Phase I licensees will increase overall use of 220 MHz band and enhance service available to public.
- II. The spectrum efficiency standard adopted for the 220 MHz band promotes spectrum efficiency and preserves the essential character of the 220 MHz band.
- III. FCC has provided for a waiver process to permit requests for introduction of non-compliant equipment in 220 MHz band.
- IV. Waivers of the efficiency standard will interpret spectrum policy and establish precedent. Interested parties should have meaningful opportunity to review and comment on requests. Public notice can establish "short-fuse" comment periods.