



RECEIVED

JUL 23 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Samuel A. Simon
President

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

July 23, 1997

Main Office:

1919 M Street, NW

Room 222

Washington, DC 20005

Phone: 202-418-1400

Fax: 202-418-1101

San Francisco Office:

101 California Street

San Francisco Office:

101 California Street

San Francisco

San Francisco, CA 94111

Phone: 415-439-5255

Fax: 415-439-5256

Mr. William Caton
Acting Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Room 222
Washington, DC 20554-0001

RE: Ex Parte Meetings
PR Docket 93-61, Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission's Rules
for Automatic Vehicle Monitoring Systems

Dear Mr. Caton:

On July 23, 1997 I met with David Siddall, from the office of Commissioner Susan Ness, and James Rubin, from the office of the General Counsel, to discuss benefits to the education community of unlicensed wireless technology and the potential impact of the current proceeding, PR Docket No. 93-61, on behalf of the Connectivity for Learning Coalition. We discussed the Connectivity for Learning Coalition's Petition for Reconsideration, filed with the FCC on 4/24/95. We also discussed the Coalition's letter to the Chairman submitted 7/18/97. Both items are attached.

Sincerely,


Jill Bond

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

041

CONNECTIVITY FOR LEARNING COALITION

RECEIVED

July 18, 1997

JUL 23 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ex-Parte
Docket No. 93-61

The Honorable Reed Hundt
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt:

More than two years ago, the Connectivity for Learning Coalition, composed of education and library organizations, filed a Petition for Reconsideration in the LMS proceeding, Docket No. 93-61. The Coalition recognized the significant value of having affordable wireless technology in the classroom and the local library. The FCC, in the universal service proceeding earlier this year recognized that without a wireless alternative, schools may find it impossible to connect classrooms to the Internet. Therefore, the members of the Connectivity for Learning Coalition urge the FCC to adopt final rules in the LMS proceeding which will ensure affordable and reliable access to wireless Part 15 services for schools and libraries. Part 15 devices must be allowed to operate at full power at an antenna height of up to 15 meters if schools and libraries are to fully benefit from the technology.

Wiring schools and libraries represents a massive expense, particularly for older buildings. Wiring costs are estimated to be billions of dollars. Wireless technology can be an affordable and reliable option to connect schools and libraries. The new height and power requirements outlined in the FCC's report and order will limit the further development of low-cost wireless options, thereby limiting schools and libraries' ability to take advantage of the technology.

For example, in the case of Metricom's Ricochet wireless service, height and power limitations effect the pole top radios that comprise the network that connects the wireless modems to the Internet. If the pole top radios have to operate at reduced power, more pole top radios will be required, therefore, raising the cost of the service. Height and power limitations also threaten the efficiency and reliability of the network which is now being used by teachers in the San Francisco Bay area, Seattle and Washington, D.C.

These limitations were proposed to minimize interference, however the effect of the limitations is to make Part 15 devices a less attractive option for schools and libraries.

If the FCC is unable to allow operation of Part 15 devices at full power at an antenna height of 15 meters, then it is essential that the FCC extend to Part 15 devices serving as "final links" to schools and libraries the same exemption from power and height limitations given to public safety and medical uses.

The Connectivity for Learning Coalition urges you to support rules in the LMS proceeding which will ensure that wireless technology is both accessible and affordable for schools and libraries.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kari Arfstrom
American Association of School
Administrators
1801 North Moore Street
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Drew Allbritten
American Association of Adult Continuing
Education
1200 19th St. NW
Suite 300
Washington, DC 20036

Dr. Dennis Bybee
Global Village Schools Institute
Post Office Box 4463
Alexandria, VA 22303

Michelle Richards
National School Boards Association
1680 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Keith Kreuger
Consortium for School Networking
1555 Connecticut Ave. NW
Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036

Barbara Yentzer
National Education Association
1201 16th St. NW
Suite 510
Washington, DC 20036

Carol Henderson
American Library Association
1301 Pennsylvania Avenue
Suite 403
Washington, D.C. 20004

cc: Commissioner James Quello
Commissioner Susan Ness
Commissioner Rachelle Chong
William Kennard, Chief Counsel
William Caton, Secretary (2 copies)
Jackie Chorney, Special Assistant, Chairman Hundt
Rudy Baca Senior Legal Advisor, Commissioner Quello
Suzanne Toller, Legal Advisor, Commissioner Chong
David Siddall, Legal Advisor, Commissioner Ness

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
)
Amendment of Part 90 of the) PR Docket No. 93-61
Commission's Rules to Adopt)
Regulations for Automatic)
Vehicle Monitoring Systems)

RECEIVED

To: The Commission

JUL 23 1997

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION
OF THE
CONNECTIVITY FOR LEARNING COALITION

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

1. The Connectivity for Learning Coalition(1), requests the Commission to reconsider and clarify the Report and Order released on February 6, 1995 in this proceeding (the "Order"). There are four parts of the Order we would like to see changed, namely:

- Revise the new rules to allow full Part 15 power with antenna heights up to 15 meters so schools and libraries can use the technology;
- In the alternative, include "educational uses" of the spectrum to employ full Part 15 power with antennas up to 15 meters along with the existing exemption for public safety and special emergency uses;
- Do not permit voice communications that interconnect with the public switched network; and,
- Do not grandfather incompatible uses that would cause interference on this part of the spectrum

What follows is a more detailed explanation of our concerns and requests.

I. Upon Reconsideration, The Commission Should Remove Antenna Height From Being Part of Any Criteria To Determine If A Part 15 Device Is Causing Harmful Interference To Location Monitoring Service (LMS) Multilateration Systems. Alternatively, The Commission Should Revise Its New Rules To Provide That Antenna Height of 15 Meters Or Less Will Be Deemed Not To Be Causing Interference To LMS Multilateration Devices.

2. The Connectivity for Learning Coalition is concerned about providing affordable and efficient connections to the National Information Infrastructure (NII) for schools, libraries, and other learning institutions. We believe that wireless communication is an inexpensive option for accomplishing this goal.

3. At all levels, the ability of students, teachers, and parents to communicate with one another and to access on-line resources and the Internet will be a critical part of education in the information age. Part 15 devices using the 900 MHz band have the potential to provide the breadth of services schools need at a cost/value relationship that schools and libraries can afford. To increase arbitrarily the cost of using these networks would negatively impact the public interest in many respects.

4. In 1985, the Commission adopted rules that allowed unlicensed spread spectrum operations in the 902-928 Mhz band subject to specified power limits.(2) In response to the Commission's encouragement, Part 15 manufacturers have invested hundreds of millions of dollars in the research, development and production of new commercial and consumer products. Commission actions encouraging Part 15 manufacturers to develop more and better products were in the public interest. The Commission has, by these actions, stimulated technological advances that can provide low cost, reliable, Part 15 wireless communications products and services for all public and private sectors of our economy, including the education sector. Whether these services are communications from a wireless phone, the use of wireless modems in schools, colleges, universities and libraries, or the provision of data to children with computers in schools that are not hardwired for interconnection to the telephone

data to children with computers in schools that are not hardwired for interconnection to the telephone network which is a necessary gateway to the NII, the public interest and our economy are being well served.

5. Some manufacturers of certain Part 15 devices that could provide NII connectivity to schools and libraries provide those connections by using outdoor antennas that are higher than 5 meters.(3) The FCC's new rule section 90.361(c)(2)(ii)(A) threatens the viability of this technology for schools and libraries. It allows a Part 15 device to use outdoor antennas that are higher than 5 meters and still be deemed not to be causing interference to LMS multilateration receivers if the antenna is less than 15 meters and reduces its power as specified as the antenna height is increased over 5 meters. The Coalition believes that this height power tradeoff will result in increased expense to schools, libraries, and other learning institutions seeking to make use of such Part 15 devices because more devices will be required as power is reduced. The costs of connectivity should not be increased by such FCC actions.

6. Many Part 15 devices for the various applications that the Coalition cares about are located above the 5 and 15 meter thresholds(4) (on building tops, utility light poles, water tanks, and on communication towers) in order to attain necessary building penetration and coverage. In the overwhelming majority of cases, these Part 15 devices are located on street light poles up to 15 meters above the ground. The power reduction proposed for 15 meter above-the-ground operations by new rule section 90.361(c)(2)(ii)(A) would not allow all of these pole-top radios to function in an acceptable manner. At the absolute minimum, any Commission resolution to this proceeding on reconsideration must provide for the continued and expanded operation of existing and new devices, within the limits of existing Part 15 rules, at pole-top locations.

7. As representatives of our nation's leading education and library organizations, the Connectivity for Learning Coalition asks that the FCC not disrupt the present or future use of the 900 Mhz band by Part 15 products. Deletion of new rule section 90.361 (c)(2) would remove antenna height from being part of any criteria to decide if a Part 15 device is causing harmful interference to LMS multilateration systems. Therefore, Part 15 devices using an outdoor antenna that met the provisions of new rule section 90.361 (c)(1) could be at any height and still be deemed not to be causing harmful interference to LMS multilateration receivers. The height/power limitation of new rule section 90.361 (c)(2)(ii)(A) is simply inadequate for the many beneficial applications that Part 15 devices can provide to improve the quality of learning for this country's young people.

8. If the Commission does not modify new rule section 90.361 (c)(2) as requested herein, many Part 15 devices are vulnerable to being shut down by providers of the newly created LMS due to the interference these Part 15 devices will undoubtedly cause those multilateration systems.(5) This is manifestly unfair to those whom the Coalition represents who are actual or potential users of Part 15 devices deployed and operated in complete compliance with all Part 15 rules, and who share the spectrum extremely well with other current users of the band. Users of Part 15 devices should not be penalized because of this proceeding; the record in this proceeding is replete with evidence about the vulnerability of multilateration system receivers to interference.(6) Multilateration LMS systems are not good spectrum sharers. There are other locations on the spectrum suitable for LMS multilateration technology, locations that will not affect Part 15 devices.(7) To limit or foreclose to the education and library communities the benefits of Part 15 products and services in order to encourage investment in outdated LMS technology is, therefore, not in the public interest. If the Commission cannot be dissuaded from enacting some type of height criteria, then the height criteria must be no less than 15 meters and radios at that height must be allowed to operate at the fully authorized Part 15 power levels. New rule section 90.361 (c)(2) should be eliminated or revised to read: "(2) The antenna is 15 meters or less in height above ground."(8)

9. The Coalition is concerned about the height/power issue not only from a cost perspective, but also from a health and safety perspective. Many of our nation's schools, libraries, and universities have asbestos insulation. To hardwire a school properly for NII connectivity demands a great deal of money and unnecessarily exposes our nation's youth to a potential health hazard as the asbestos insulation must be disturbed or removed. Cost efficient Part 15 wireless connectivity to the NII cannot take place without the necessary building penetration by the radio signals. Building penetration cannot take place without the requisite antenna height and power. In fact, because of the expense and logistics of dealing with the asbestos problem, many schools and libraries may not be able to consider hardwiring for

with the asbestos problem, many schools and libraries may not be able to consider hardwiring for connectivity.

II. If the Commission Does Not Delete New Rule Section 90.361 (c)(2) or Modify It As Specified Above, Upon Reconsideration, The Commission Should Include Educational Uses Among The Entities Specified In New Rule Section 90.361 (c)(2)(ii)(B).

10. However laudable the Commission's action was in including public safety and special emergency use as eligibles under Subparts B or C of Part 90 within new rule section 90.361 (c)(2)(ii)(B), the Commission's action falls short of what is needed by this nation's youth and by life-long learners who depend on libraries and other public places of learning. The Commission must include educational uses within this new rule section.(9) However important public safety and special emergency uses of the spectrum are (and the Coalition believes that they are very important), they pale by comparison to those uses focused on the education of this nation's youth. Indeed, what could be a more important use?

11. A major plank of the Clinton Administration's policy platform has been the promotion and development of the NII. The NII has been described as a "network of networks" that will eventually connect Americans in all walks of life, allowing them to use a vast array of communications technologies and services to improve the quality of life. The Vice President clearly articulated a vision of the NII in a speech in January of last year when he said:

We cannot tolerate-nor in the long run can this nation afford-a society in which some children become fully educated and others do not; in which some adults have access to training and lifetime education, and others do not. Nor can we permit geographic location to determine whether the information highway passes by your door.(10)

The Vice President also has set a worthy goal of connecting every classroom, library, and hospital to the NII by the year 2000.(11)

12. As with the NII, education has been a key issue with both the Administration and Congress, as evidenced by the passage of the "Goals 2000: Educate America Act."(12) Clearly, there is a strong linkage between the development of the NII and the improvement of the nation's K-12 education system. Secretary of Education Richard W. Riley testified last year before the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation that the NII "is an essential tool for achieving the National Education Goals."(13) As many education experts, teachers, and policy leaders have noted, including the President and key members of Congress, the NII promises to provide schools with the ability to tap into vast stores of information, get in touch with other classes and teachers to compare information, and share experiences and ideas more broadly than has ever been possible before. It promises to open a true "window to the world" for the classroom and its students, providing a rich source of information and ideas that children can access no matter where their school is located.

13. Ensuring that the NII is deployed as rapidly and widely as possible is, therefore, a key to improving our education system and enhancing the learning process. The Administration has clearly articulated that it will be strong public-private partnerships that will largely create the NII. It has also, quite rightly, said that the government has a clear role in ensuring that policies are adopted that both stimulate private sector investment and ensure that the public sector (including schools and libraries) has a primary place in, and can benefit from, the NII.

14. We believe that the current proceeding offers a unique opportunity to stimulate increased investment in technologies and services that will bring the benefits of the NII to schools and libraries more rapidly than otherwise would be the case. We do not believe this opportunity should be passed by, and we offer these comments to help the Commission in shaping an appropriate policy to encourage investment in the NII for education.

15. Incentives need to be created to stimulate increased investment by manufacturers of Part 15 devices that will ease the connection of schools and libraries to the NII in the most cost-effective way possible. We submit that including educational uses in new rule section 90.361 (c)(2)(ii)(B) will encourage such connections to the NII and is as justifiable, if not more so, as including public safety and emergency

connections to the NII and is as justifiable, if not more so, as including public safety and emergency radio uses in this new rule section.

16. Under our proposal, public schools and libraries will gain the benefit of wireless interconnection to the NII with the attendant reduction in costs associated with wireless, unlicensed devices. The Coalition estimates that it will cost as much \$10 billion to wire every classroom in America. This is a staggering cost to the nation's educators, some of whom cannot afford even teachers' salaries and books much less hi-tech learning innovations like the NII. Wireless connection to the NII, on the other hand, is less expensive by an order of magnitude. By any standard, connecting every classroom in America to the NII is in the public interest.

17. The Coalition believes that its proposal will act as an incentive to the Part 15 device manufacturing community to provide connectivity between schools and libraries and the NII because the proposal will bring more Part 15 devices within the "safe harbor" of new rules section 90.361 (c)(2)(ii)(B). Because of this proposal, Part 15 manufacturers can be expected to continue to invest in and produce Part 15 devices that will come within this safe harbor and that will provide inexpensive and reliable connectivity by schools and libraries to the NII.

18. The Coalition urges the Commission to amend new rule section 90.361 (c)(2)(ii)B to read: "Is providing the final link for communications of entities eligible under Subparts B or C of Part 90 or for communications of schools or libraries."

III. Upon Reconsideration, The Commission Should Modify New Rule Sections 90.353(b)& (c) To Clarify What Types Of Messages May Be Transmitted By LMS Licensees And Their Subscribers and To Provide That No Voice Communications That Interconnect With The Public Switched Telephone Network Will Be Permitted.

19. The Commission should not allow LMS systems to interconnect with the public switched telephone network ("PSTN") nor should voice messages be permitted on LMS systems. The 902-928 MHz band is a shared band. The band is inherently unsuitable for a voice service due to the continuous nature of voice communications. This is true of real-time or store-and-forward voice communications.

20. In a shared band environment, parties sharing the band must use it in ways that will allow sharing. Voice communications in a shared band that is as congested as the 902-928 MHz band is very likely to make educational uses of the band infeasible. The limitations the Commission has placed on voice communications in LMS systems are insufficient to avert the type of congestion envisioned by the Coalition.

21. There is no reason that LMS needs to interconnect with the PSTN to provide a vehicle location service. Voice is a totally different service from vehicle location and is totally independent from vehicle location. LMS providers can locate vehicles without a voice service. Besides, if LMS subscribers want voice capability, those subscribers have many alternatives available to them. There is no need to clutter the 902-928 MHz band with a very spectrum inefficient voice service, particularly one that interconnects with the PSTN.

22. If the Commission cannot be dissuaded from allowing LMS systems to provide voice service, the Commission must clarify new rule sections 90.353(b)& (c) and specify what constitutes permissible transmissions. Failure to do so will lead to an unrestricted voice use of the band that will intensify congestion. For example, it is unclear just what constitutes "status and instructional messages . . . related to the location or monitoring functions of the system."⁽¹⁴⁾

23. Furthermore, the Commission must specify how it is going to enforce any limitations on the permissible types of messages that can be transmitted using a LMS system. It is not obvious to the Coalition just how the Commission is going to enforce such a rule. Inability to enforce the rule will lead to abuse and congestion in the band. The same is true of emergency messages allowed in real-time to interconnect with the PSTN. How can the Commission know that these are the only types of messages being sent on a real-time interconnection basis?

24. The Commission must also define what it means by "store and forward" messages. How long does a

24. The Commission must also define what it means by "store and forward" messages. How long does a message have to be stored before it can be forwarded to qualify to be transmitted on an LMS system because it is a "store and forward" message? If the storage time is minimal, the distinction between store and forward and real time is a distinction without any difference, and the Commission will not have achieved what it says it wants to achieve in terms of limiting the types of messages that can be transmitted by means of a LMS system.

IV. Upon Reconsideration, The Commission Should Clarify That New Rule Section 90.361 Applies To Grandfathered Multilateration AVM Licensees.

25. The Coalition is concerned about the immediate applicability of new rule section 90.361 to grandfathered systems. However, new rule section 90.363 is silent on precisely when the new rules govern operation. The Commission should clarify that all new rule sections adopted by the Order apply to all grandfathered AVM systems upon their conversion to the spectrum specified in the new rules. What good will new rule section 90.361 be to schools and libraries if they get Part 15 connectivity to the NII but are unable to use it because their Part 15 devices interfere with a grandfathered multilateration LMS system?

26. New rule section 90.363 does not provide that grandfathered AVM systems converting to LMS status must operate pursuant to new rule section 90.361. Paragraph 64 of the Order provides that grandfathered AVM systems may operate pursuant to the old or new rules until these systems convert to the spectrum specified in the new rules when, presumably, the new rule sections govern operation.

27. To encourage Part 15 manufacturers to continue to produce innovative and cost-effective devices that can be used by educators and students, Part 15 manufacturers must have confidence that the Commission will not continue to allow incompatible users into the 902-928 MHz band or that the Commission will entertain wideband forward links in the 902-928 MHz band under the refined standards of new rule section 90.353(d). Those are deterrents that would create a chilling effect on investment in, and research and development by, the Part 15 Community.

CONCLUSION

28. The Coalition believes that if the Commission adopts the changes the Coalition suggests herein, it will confirm its leadership in promoting the NII. Furthermore, the Coalition believes that its suggestions are fully consistent with the intent of the Administration regarding connecting classrooms to the NII. The Coalition asks that the Commission give very serious consideration to this Petition. The Commission's decision will affect the delivery of education-enhancing services to millions of students and other learners. It will also have a direct bearing on whether schools and libraries reach the goal expressed by Vice President Gore to connect schools and libraries to the NII.

Respectfully submitted,
CONNECTIVITY FOR LEARNING COALITION

Gordon M. Ambach
Executive Director
COUNCIL OF CHIEF STATE SCHOOL OFFICERS

Drew Allbritten
COALITION OF ADULT EDUCATION ORGANIZATIONS

Bonnie Bracey
As Individual Member of NII ADVISORY COUNCIL

Christopher Dede
GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY

Tim Dyer NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SECONDARY SCHOOL PRINCIPALS

Steven Hodas
STERLING SOFTWARE/NASA K-12 INTERNET INITIATIVE

Kenneth Komoski
EDUCATIONAL PRODUCTS INFORMATION EXCHANGE INSTITUTE/LINCT

Martha Matzke
AMERICAN FEDERATION OF TEACHERS

Barbara O'Connor
Director
INSTITUTE FOR THE STUDY OF POLITICS AND MEDIA
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO

Curtiss Priest
CENTER FOR INFORMATION, TECHNOLOGY AND SOCIETY

Lewis Rhodes AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOL ADMINISTRATORS

Michelle Richards
NATIONAL SCHOOL BOARDS ASSOCIATION

Dena Stoner
COUNCIL FOR EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT AND RESEARCH

Maurice Travillian
CHIEF OFFICERS OF STATE LIBRARY AGENCIES

William Wright
CONSORTIUM FOR SCHOOL NETWORKING

Barbara Yentzer
NATIONAL EDUCATION ASSOCIATION

Carol Henderson
AMERICAN LIBRARY ASSOCIATION

David Byer
SOFTWARE PUBLISHERS ASSOCIATION

Dale Lestina

Members of ORGANIZATIONS CONCERNED ABOUT RURAL EDUCATION (OCRE)

National School Boards Association
National Rural Education Association
National Grange
National Farmers Union
National Council of Farmer Cooperatives
National Association of Counties
Credit Union National Association
Council for Education Development and Research
American Academy of Physician Assistants

Dated: April 24, 1995
