however, was that they were "not directly related to the provision of subscriber loops are not necessary for the provision of universal service" and resulted not from the provision of essential telecommunications services, but "rather result from managerial priorities and discretionary spending."[20]

Given these findings, movants should consider themselves fortunate that the Commission permitted *any* support for these costs via the Universal Service Fund. The Commission plainly did not act arbitrarily in limiting the recovery of these costs to 115 percent of the average corporate operations expenses for similarly sized companies.

C. The Commission's Rules on Support for Acquired Exchanges Are Reasonable.

There is no merit to movants' challenge to the Commission's rules on acquisition support for newly-acquired exchanges will discourage investment in rural telephone companies. Motion at 17-18. The Commission simply acted to prevent its transitional support for rural telephone companies from becoming the impetus for the purchase and sale of exchanges. Accordingly, the Commission held that for purchases occurring after the date of its order, the support afforded the exchange would not change depending on the rural or non-

---

[20]Universal Service Order ¶ 283.

rural status of the purchaser.[21] This decision was reasonable, and hardly constitutes a basis for a stay.[22]

D. The Universal Service Order Does Not Violate the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Finally, movants assert without explanation that the Commission failed to consider "significant alternatives" to the action taken in the Universal Service Order. Motion at 18. However, movants fail to identify even one such alternative the Commission should have considered. Accordingly, movants have failed to demonstrate a violation of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

III. THE BALANCE OF THE EQUITIES FAVORS DENYING THE STAY.

Movants propose three ways in which they will be irreparably harmed if the Commission does not stay the provisions capping the corporate operating expenses movants can recover through the high cost loop fund; requiring portability of Universal Service Fund support and recovery of local switching

---

[21]Id. ¶ 308.

[22]Indeed, movants cannot possibly be harmed by this aspect of the Commission's order so long as they maintain the *status quo*. The only harm they would suffer is being deprived of the opportunity to leverage the generous transitional support afforded rural carriers by acquiring as many exchanges as possible in order to expand their eligibility for that support.

costs through DEM weighting; and treating DEM weighting separations rules as a subsidy. The Commission should reject these claims.

A. Movants Will Not Suffer Irreparable Harm to their Customer Base, Goodwill, or Reputation.

On movants' theory, the new regulations will result in a "drastic reduction in revenue" which will prevent them from upgrading their networks to satisfy their customers and to meet the new FCC requirements for eligibility for Universal Service Fund support. Motion at 21-22. Movants are particularly concerned that they will lose their chance to compete for new contracts with schools, libraries, and rural health care providers in their service areas because they will not have the funds to upgrade their infrastructure appropriately. Id. at 22. Moreover, movants complain, that now that they are being forced to operate in a competitive environment, they will be prevented from recovering these losses by raising rates. Customers will simply switch to another carrier rather than pay the higher prices. Id. at 23. Movants point out that "[c]ustomer goodwill is inevitably lost when a business is unable to provide requested service or seeks to raise its prices." Id.

These claims fail for at least two reasons. First, movants have failed to show that they will suffer losses of such magnitude that they will be unable to compete for customers or bid for public contracts. In order to prove

irreparable harm, movants must demonstrate an injury that is both "certain and great." Wisconsin Gas, 758 F.2d at 673-74; see also Iowa Util. Bd., 109 F.3d at 425; In re Access Charge Reform; Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers; Transport Rate Structure and Pricing; End User Common Line Charges, FCC 97-216, slip op. at 14 n.59 (rel. June 18, 1997) ("Access Charge Stay Order"). As the court explained in Wisconsin Gas:

> Bare allegations of what is likely to occur are of no value since the court must decide whether the harm will in fact occur. The movant must provide proof that the harm has occurred in the past and is likely to occur again, or proof indicating that the harm is certain to occur in the near future.

758 F.2d at 674. In this case, movants fail to show either that their injury is likely to occur or that it will be substantial.

It is clear that the concerns of movants go to the risks inherent in a competitive market rather than the Commission rules themselves. However, competition is the policy of the country and movants must accept that. Indeed, in its orders, the Commission has taken great care to cushion the transition to competition for the rural providers. They will receive precisely the same subsidy for universal service from the Universal Service Fund that they did under the old DEM scheme for the next three years. The only difference is that CLECs are now be eligible to receive these funds. Thus, movants will

only lose revenue to the extent that competing carriers enter their markets and provide service qualifying for universal service funding.

It is simply inconceivable that a sufficient number of CLECs will enter these small markets in sufficient numbers during the time it will take to perfect an appeal in this case to cause the sorts of losses suggested by movants. Entry into the local telephone markets is not progressing at a pace that the FCC, CLECs, or American consumers would like. As has recently become public knowledge, MCI alone has invested more than a billion dollars in local markets and has yet to begin to see a return. If open competition has not started in the highly lucrative urban markets around the country, then we certainly should not expect (as movants assume) competition to develop instantaneously in the smaller markets at issue here. It is closer to fantasy than to certainty that CLECs will divert sufficient revenues to cause movants the substantial losses they are projecting.

Second, even if movants were to suffer losses of the magnitude they are suggesting, they have not shown why they could not secure alternative funding to upgrade their networks and compete to protect their market position. It is well-established that movants have a duty to mitigate any harm alleged in support of an application for stay. See Wisconsin Gas, 758 F.2d at 675; Central & Southern Motor Freight Tariff Ass'n v. United States, 757 F.2d 301,

309 (D.C. Cir.), cert. denied, 474 U.S. 1019 (1985). In this case, movants complain that under the FCC's orders, they will not be able to compete for contracts with schools, libraries, and health care facilities because they will lack the funds to upgrade their networks. If, however, a competitive market would sustain such investments, then surely movants can secure funding from a bank or other lender to make the improvements during this transitional period.

Movants also argue that their goodwill will be damaged if they raise their prices now to cover their projected shortfalls, but later lower their prices if the Commission's rules are vacated on appeal. Motion at 24. According to movants, this "'rate churn'" will leave its customers "thoroughly confused," and movants' goodwill will suffer as a result. Id. Even if such a price increase were warranted, this argument proves too much.

The Commission should reject the suggestion that any fluctuation in prices brought on by FCC orders implementing the Telecommunications Act requires that those orders be stayed. If the Commission were to take this alleged type of harm seriously, then it would counsel for a stay of every FCC order issued under the Act until the last appeal of the last detail of the Commission's program for implementing the Act was complete. Moreover, movants are not helpless in this regard. Their affirmative duty to mitigate any alleged harm from the orders certainly extends to providing a simple

explanation to their customers of any price changes attending the Act's implementation.[23]

B. Movants Will Not Suffer Irreparable Monetary Harm.

Movants next contend that the cap on the amount of corporate operation expenses that can be recovered from high cost loop support and the FCC's decision to permit CLECs equal access to universal service funds where they qualify, combine to prevent rural telephone companies from achieving a fair rate of return on their interstate investments. Motion at 26. Movants recognize that financial losses such as these are normally considered recoverable and, therefore, insufficient to support a stay. Id. at 25. Nonetheless, movants argue that they will be foreclosed from recovering these losses later due to the presence of new competitors in their markets. Id. at 27. Movants' position is untenable.

As movants recognize, economic losses do not usually suffice to demonstrate irreparable harm. See,e.g., Iowa Util. Bd., 109 F.3d at 426 (acknowledging maxim that "'economic loss does not, in and of itself,

---

[23]Movants also assert that their goodwill will be harmed because CLEC's are likely to blame them for later price increases if the FCC's orders are vacated. Motion at 24. Not only does this claim suffer from the same problems discussed with regards to the alleged threat of future price fluctuations, it is speculative and an unduly sharp criticism without of the support of any evidence.

constitute irreparable harm'") (quoting Wisconsin Gas, 758 F.2d at 674); Central & Southern, 757 F.2d at 309 (holding that "'revenues and customers lost to competition which can be regained through competition are not irreparable'").[24] Only in exceptional circumstances, as where the movant is able to show that effective monetary relief will not be available at a later date, have courts granted stays based on lost revenue. See Iowa Util. Bd., 109 F.3d at 426 (issuing stay based on finding that "[i]n this case, the [movants] would not be able to bring a lawsuit to recover their undue economic losses if the FCC's rules are eventually overturned . . . .").[25]

---

[24]See also Acierno v. New Castle County, 40 F.3d 645, 653 (3d Cir. 1994) ("[e]conomic loss does not constitute irreparable harm"); Cunningham v. Adams, 808 F.2d 815, 821-22 (11th Cir. 1987) (holding that loss of profits was not irreparable harm because could be "undone through monetary remedies"); Ohio ex rel. Celebreeze v. NRC, 812 F.2d 288, 290 (6th Cir. 1987) ("economic loss does not constitute irreparable harm, in and of itself"); Oakland Tribune, Inc. v. Chronicle Publishing Co., Inc., 762 F.2d 1374, 1376 (9th Cir. 1985) (holding that loss of customers and revenue was "purely monetary harm measurable in damages" and therefore not "irreparable"); Dos Santos v. Columbus-Cuneo-Cabrini Medical Ctr., 684 F.2d 1346, 1349 (7th Cir. 1982) ("temporary loss of income does not usually constitute irreparable injury because this deprivation can be fully redressed by an award of monetary damages").

[25]See also Multi-Channel TV Cable Co. v. Charlottesville Quality Cable Operating Co., 22 F.3d 546, 552 (4th Cir. 1994) (upholding grant of preliminary injunction where damages could not be calculated subsequently due to "relative novelty" of subject matter of suit); Baker Elec. Co-op., 28 F.3d at 1472-73 (reversing denial of preliminary injunction because defendant had Eleventh Amendment sovereign immunity from subsequent suit for money damages); Airlines Reporting Corp. v. Barry, 825 F.2d 1220, 1226-27 (8th

Even accepting for the purposes of argument that movants will not receive a fair rate of return under the rules,[26] they are in no danger of suffering unrecoverable damages. The FCC enjoys the authority to adjust rates and to order reimbursements to the rural telephone companies if its orders are overturned on appeal. See Access Charge Stay Order, slip op. at 15.[27] As is discussed above, it is highly unlikely that these incumbent carriers will face sufficient competition to preclude such corrective measures by the Commission. See id. (rejecting same argument posed by ILECs in requesting stay of Access Charge Order). Moreover, the FCC has made clear that these are only transitional measures in any event and has indicated its intent to address the ILECs claims regarding their alleged entitlement to historic cost recovery in another proceeding. Thus, any legitimate grievances voiced by movants will not go unaddressed for very long.

Cir. 1987) (granting relief where defendants likely to be judgment proof).

[26]Since MCI does not have access to the data and calculations upon which the movants rely, MCI can offer no comment on movants' projected rate of return figures. See Motion at 26-27 & exs. 2 & 3.

[27]See also Reynolds Metals, 777 F.2d at 763 (denying relief and finding it almost frivolous to argue that FERC or court of appeals would not order refunds where appropriate).

C. Movants' Future Negotiations and Arbitrations Will Not Suffer Irreparable Harm.

Finally, movants claim that the Commission's rules will irreparably harm their ability to negotiate interconnection and resale agreements with new entrants to the local markets. Motion at 29-31. Relying on the 8th Circuit's decision in Iowa Utilities Board v. FCC, movants contend that the FCC's rulings should be stayed because they "will send false, uneconomic entry signals to prospective entrants; they will tend to skew the expectations of CLEC negotiators, and state arbitrators." Motion at 30. This argument fails as well.

Again, movants press their claim too far. If the Commission accepts this argument, then it is hard to imagine any ruling or order relating to the Telecommunications Act that will not have to be stayed. This is surely not the import of the Eighth Circuit's holding in Iowa Utilities Board.

In that case, the court of appeals was reviewing the FCC's order that directly set the prices ILECs could charge new entrants for interconnection, unbundled access to network elements, and resale of their services. In other words, the order there took the central element of pricing completely off the negotiating table. In contrast, the order at issue here addresses the peripheral support that carrier may expect when providing particular services. While the

universal support provisions certainly will have some influence on negotiations and arbitrations, they are a far cry from actually setting the prices for the parties. Thus, future negotiations will not be irreparably harmed by the Commission's denial of the stay.

IV. MCI AND OTHER CLECS AS WELL AS THE PUBLIC INTEREST WILL BE HARMED IF A STAY IS GRANTED.

The harm to others and the public interest are substantially related and should be considered together in this case. MCI and other CLECs will be harmed by the proposed stay for the very reasons the FCC issued the orders. The Commission recognized that the ILECs would enjoy an artificial competitive advantage in the smaller markets if the levels of universal service support were not equalized and that this would further delay entry by CLECs. As the Commission is well aware, CLECs are having a difficult enough time as it is breaking into the larger, more lucrative local markets. Staying these provisions and permitting the ILECs continued access to funding that rightfully should be available to all competitors equally will only further delay CLEC entry into these less lucrative markets.

Such delayed entry, of course, translates directly into the sort of needless delay in the development of local competition that the Commission has

found to be against the public interest. The Commission has recognized that potential CLECs face sufficient barriers to entry without adding further disincentives, like higher access charges or insufficient universal service support. Access Charge Stay Order, slip op. at 18. Movants' arguments that its subscribers would actually benefit from a stay in this case must be rejected. The public interest in high quality, low priced telephone service lies with developing competitive local markets, not with the purported benevolence of the incumbent monopolists.

CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the Commission should deny the requested stay.

Respectfully submitted,

Donald B. Verrilli, Jr.
Paul M. Smith
Carl S. Nadler
Heather K. Gerken
Jon M. Shepard
Jenner & Block
601 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202)639-6000

Jonathan B.Sallet
MCI Telecommunications Corp.
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20006

ATTORNEYS FOR
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of August, 1997, a copy of the foregoing document was served by first-class United States mail, postage prepaid, on the counsel listed on the attached list.

Carl S. Nadler

Dated: August 7, 1997

SERVICE LIST

The Honorable Reed E. Hundt, Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814
Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Rachelle B. Chong, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Susan Ness, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832
Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Julia Johnson, Commissioner
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Gerald Gunter Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

The Honorable Kenneth McClure, Commissioner
Missouri Public Service Commission
301 W. High Street, Suite 530
Jefferson City, MO 65101

The Honorable Sharon L. Nelson, Chairman
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, WA 98504-7250

The Honorable Laska Schoenfelder, Commissioner
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
State Capitol, 500 E. Capitol Street
Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Martha S. Hogerty
Public Counsel for the State of Missouri
P.O. Box 7800
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Paul E. Pederson, State Staff Chair
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Lisa Boehley
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8605
Washington, D.C. 20554

Charles Bolle
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
State Capitol, 500 E. Capitol Street
Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Deonne Bruning
Nebraska Public Service Commission
300 The Atrium
1200 N Street, P.O. Box 94927
Lincoln, NE 68509-4927

James Casserly
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Commissioner Ness
1919 M Street, Room 832
Washington, D.C. 20554

John Clark
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8619
Washington, D.C. 20554

Bryan Clopton
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8615
Washington, D.C. 20554

Irene Flannery
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8922
Washington, D.C. 20554

Daniel Gonzalez
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Commissioner Chong
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844
Washington, D.C. 20554

Emily Hoffnar
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8623
Washington, D.C. 20554

L. Charles Keller
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8918
Washington, D.C. 20554

Lori Kenyon
Alaska Public Utilities Commission
1016 West Sixth Avenue, Suite 400
Anchorage, AK 99501

David Krech
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7130
Washington, D.C. 20554

Debra M. Kriete
Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Diane Law
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8920
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mark Long
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Gerald Gunter Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Robert Loube
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8914
Washington, D.C. 20554

Samuel Loudenslager
Arkansas Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 400
Little Rock, AR 72203-0400

Sandra Makeeff
Iowa Utilities Board
Lucas State Office Building
Des Moines, IA 50319

Philip F. McClelland
Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate
1425 Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Michael A. McRae
D.C. Office of the People's Counsel
1133 15th Street, N.W. -- Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20005

Tejal Mehta
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8625
Washington, D.C. 20554

Terry Monroe
New York Public Service Commission
3 Empire Plaza
Albany, NY 12223

John Morabito
Deputy Division Chief, Accounting and Audits
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20554

Mark Nadel
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8916
Washington, D.C. 20554

John Nakahata
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Chairman
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814

Lee Palagyi
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
1300 South Evergreen Park Drive S.W.
Olympia, WA 98504

Kimberly Parker
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8609
Washington, D.C. 20554

Barry Payne
Indiana Office of the Consumer Counsel
100 North Senate Avenue, Room N501
Indianapolis, IN 46204-2208

Jeanine Poltronieri
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8924
Washington, D.C. 20554

James Bradford Ramsay
National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners
P.O. Box 684
Washington, D.C. 20044-0684

Brian Roberts
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Gary Seigel
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 812
Washington, D.C. 20554

Richard Smith
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8605
Washington, D.C. 20554

Pamela Szymczak
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8912
Washington, D.C. 20554

Lori Wright
Federal Communications Commission
2100 M Street, N.W., Room 8603
Washington, D.C. 20554

International Transcription Service
Room 140
2100 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Pace Telecommunications Consortium and the Interactive Services Association
Edwin N. Lavergne
J. Thomas Nolan
Ginsburg Feldman and Bress Chartered
1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20036

Ellis Jacobs
Edgemont Neighborhood Coalition
Legal Aid Society of Dayton
333 West First Street, Suite 500
Dayton, OH 45402

Public Utility Commission of Texas
Vicki Oswalt
Director Office of Policy Development
7800 Shoal Creek Boulevard
Austin, Texas 78757-1098

Brite Voice Systems Inc.
David F. Hemmings
Executive Vice-President
7309 East 21st Street North
Wichita, Kansas 67206

Ronald A. Gagnon
Executive Director
NOBLE
26 Cherry Hill Drive
Danvers, MA 01923

Carolyn Purcell
Executive Director
Department of Information Resources
PO Box 13564
Austin, Texas 78711-3564

Adam Turner
Consultant to the Resident Representative
2121 R Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Mitchell Sprague
Mendocino Unified School District
PO Box 1154
Mendocino, CA 95460

Allied Associated Partners LP
Geld Information Systems
Curtis T. White
Managing Partner
4201 Connecticut Ave., NW
Suite 402
Washington, DC 20008-1158

Ronald K. Greenhalgh
Chief Engineer
National Rural Electric Cooperative
Association
4301 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22203-1860

State of South Carolina
Public Service Commission
R. Glenn Rhyne, Manager
Research Department
PO Drawer 11649
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Jeanne Hurley Simon
United States National Commission on
Libraries and Information Science
1110 Vermont Avenue, NW, Suite 820
Washington, DC 20005-3522

Lucella Harrison, President
Oakland Unified School District
1025 Second Avenue
Oakland, California 94606

Judith A. Billings
Superintendent of Public Instruction
Old Capitol Building
PO Box 47200
Olympia, Washington 98504-7200

Dr. William J. Tobin
Executive Director
Early Childhood Development Center
Legislative Coalition
3612 Bent Branch Court
Falls Church, VA 22041

Kevin Starr
State Librarian of California
California State Library
Library Court Building
PO Box 942837
Sacramento, CA 94237-0001

Wayne A. Leighton PH.D.
James L. Gattuso
Citizens for a Sound Economy Foundation
1250 H Street, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005

Dr. Stanley Gardner
Missouri State Library
PO Box 387
Jefferson City, MO 64102

Mark Savage
1535 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

Eric B. Witte
Assistant General Counsel
Attorney for the Missouri PSC
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Thomas E. Taylor
Jack B. Harrison
Frost & Jacobs
Attorneys for Cincinnati Bell Tel. Co.
2500 PNC Center
201 East Fifth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Kevin J. Connellan
Acting Director Legislation
and Public Policy
AARP
601 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20049

Dr. Mark N. Cooper
Director of Research
Consumer Federation of America
1424 16th Street, NW, Suite 604
Washington, DC 20036

Richard A. Askoff
Attorney for National Exchange Carrier
Association Inc.
100 South Jefferson Road
Whippany, New Jersey 07981

Andrew D. Lipman
Mark Sievers
Swidler & Berlin Chartered
Attorneys for MFS Communications Co.
Inc.
3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007

The Nynex Telephone Companies
Joseph Dibella
1300 I Street, NW, Suite 400 West
Washington, DC 20005

Kenneth T. Burchett
Vice President GVNW Inc./ Management
PO Box 230339
Portland, Oregon 97281-0339

The Southern New England
Telephone Company
Anne U. MacClintock
VP Regulatory Affairs & Public Policy
227 Church Street
New Haven, Connecticut 06510

Daniel L. Brenner
Neal M. Goldberg
David L. Nicoll
Counsel for the National Cable
Television Association, Inc.
1724 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036

National Association of Regulatory
Utility Commissioners
Paul Rodgers
Charles D. Gray
1201 Constitution Avenue Suite 1102
PO Box 684
Washington, DC 20044

BellSouth Corporation
BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.
M. Robert Sutherland
Richard M. Sbaratta
Rebecca M. Lough
1155 Peachtree Street, NW, Suite 1700
Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Michael J. Karson
Attorney for Ameritech
2000 West Ameritech Center Drive
Room 4H88
Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Vanguard Cellular Systems, Inc.
Roymond G. Bender, Jr.
J.G. Harrington
ITS Attorneys
Dow Lohnes & Albertson
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Suite
800
Washington, DC 20037

US West Inc.
Kathryn Marie Krause
1020 19th Street, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036

MCI Telecommunications Corporation
Chris Frentrup
Senior Regulatory Analyst
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Telecommunications Resellers Association
Charles C. Hunter
Hunter & Mow PC
1620 I Street, NW, Suite 701
Washington, DC 20006

United States Telephone Association
Mary McDermott
Linda Kent
Charles D. Cosson
1401 H Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005

The Personal Communications Industry
Association
Mark J. Golden
Robert R. Cohen
500 Montgomery St., Suite 700
Alexandria, VA 22314-1561

Robert A. Katz
Peter Harter
Netscape Communications Corp.
487 East Middlefield Road
Mountain View, CA 94043

Jeffrey Blumenfeld
Glenn Manishin
Christy Kunin
Christine Mailloux
Blumemfield & Cohen
1615 M Street, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036

NRTA
Margot Smiley Humphrey Koteen
& Naftalin LLP
1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036

NTCA
David Cosson
L. Marie Guillory
Steve Watkins
2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20037

OPASTCO
Lisa M. Zaina
Ken Johnson
21 Dupont Circle, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036

David R. Poe
Yvonne M. Coviello
Attorneys for Time Warner
Communications Holdings Inc.
Leboeuf Lamb Green & MaCrae LLP
1875 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20009

Paul B. Jones
Janis A. Stahlhut
Donald Shepheard
Time Warner Communications Holdings
Inc.
300 First Stamford Place
Stamford, CT 06902-6732

AT&T Corporation
Mark C. Rosenblum
Peter H. Jacoby
Judy Sello
295 North Maple Avenue
Room 3244J1
Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

State of New Jersey
Board of Public Utilities
Dr. Edward H. Salmon, Commissioner
CN-350
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350

Richard McKenna HQEO3J36
Attorney for GTE Service Corporation
PO Box 152092
Irving, Texas 75015-2092

Gail L. Polivy
Attorney for GTE Service Corporation
1850 M Street, NW, Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20036

NYNEX Government Affairs
Susan Guyer
1300 I Street, NW, Suite 400 West
Washington, DC 20005

Kathleen F. O'Reilly
Attorney for the Michigan Consumer
Federation
414 A Street Southeast
Washington, DC 20003

State of Washington
Washington State Library
Attention Nancy Zussy
PO Box 42460
Olympia, Washington 98504-2460

Kathleen Q. Abernathy
David A. Gross
Attorneys for Airtouch Communications,
Inc.
1818 N. Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

James R. Forcier
Attorney for Airtouch Communications, Inc.
One California Street, 9th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111

Catherine R. Sloan
Richard L. Fruchterman
Richard S. Whitt
Attorneys for Worldcomm Inc.
1120 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036

Randolph J. May
Timothy J. Cooney
Sutherland Asbill & Brennan
Attorneys for Compuserve Inc.
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004-2404

Genevieve Morelli
VP and General Counsel
The Competitive Telecommunications Assoc.
1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 220
Washington, DC 20036

Brad E. Mutschelknaus
Steven A. Augustino
Kelley Drye & Warren
1200 Nineteenth Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036

Phillip L. Verveer
Jennifer A. Donaldson
Jennifer L. Desmond
Willkie Farr & Gallagher
1155 21st Street, NW, Suite 600
Three Lafayette Centre
Washington, DC 20036-3384

Michael F. Altschul
Randall S. Coleman
Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association
1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036

Fiona Branton
Director of Govt. Relations and Regulatory Counsel
Information Technology Industry Council
1250 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005

Margot Smiley Humphrey
Attorney for Century Telephone Enterprises Inc. and TDS Telecommunications Corp.
Koteen & Naftalin
1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036

The Bell Atlantic Telephone Companie
Lawrence W. Katz
1320 North Court House Road, Eighth Floor
Arlington, VA 22201

Jay C. Keithley
Leon M. Kestenbaum
H. Richard Juhnke
Attorneys for Sprint Corp.
1850 M Street, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20036

Craig T. Smith
Attorney for Sprint Corp.
PO Box 11315
Kansas City, MO 64112

J. Manning Lee
Teleport Communications Group, Inc.
Two Teleport Drive Suite 300
Staten Island, New York 10311

Robert J. Sachs
Howard B. Homonoff
Continental Cablevision, Inc.
Lewis Wharf Pilot House
Boston, MA 02110

Brenda L. Fox
Continental Cablevision Inc.
1320 19th Street, NW, Suite 201
Washington, DC 20036

Howard J. Symons
Jeffifer A. Purvis
Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris
Glovsky and Popeo PC
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20004

Ronald Plesser
James Halpert
Mark O'Connor
Attorneys for Commercial Internet
Exchange Association
Piper & Marbury LLP
1200 19th Street, NW, Seventh Floor
Washington, DC 20036

Michael J. Shortley III
Attorney for Frontier Corporation
180 South Clinton Avenue
Rochester, New York 14646

Emily Williams
Association for Local Telecommunications
Services
1200 19th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Alison M. Hughes MPA
University of Arizona
Health Sciences Center
2501 East Elm Street
Tucson, Arizona 85716

Bruce D. Jacobs
Jason S. Roberts
Attorneys for Warephore Inc.
Fisher Wayland Cooper Leader
& Zaragoza LLP
2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006

Brian R. Moir
Moir & Hardman
Attorney for International Communications
Association
2000 L Street, NW, Suite 512
Washington, DC 20036-4907

Martin Avery
Executive Director Navajo Nation
Washington Office
1101 17th Street, NW, Suite 250
Washington, DC 20036

Mary Dent
Goldberg Godles Wiener & Wright
Attorney for Apple Computer Inc.
1229 Nineteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Richard Civille
Center for Civic Networking Inc.
PO Box 53152
Washington, DC 20008

Timothy R. Graham
Robert M. Berger
Joseph M. Sandri Jr.
Attorneys for Winstar Communications Inc.
1146 19th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

James Rowe
Alaska Telephone Association
4341 B Street, Suite 304
Anchorage, AK 99503

Gary M. Epstein
Tereas D. Baer
Michael S. Wroblewski
Latham & Watkins
Attorneys for Pacific Telecom Inc.
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 1300
Washington, DC 20004

Brad E. Mutschelknaus
John J. Heitmann
Jelley Drye & Warren
Attorneys for LCI Interenational Telecom Corp.
1200 19th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Gene Belardi
Vice President Mobile Media Communications Inc.
2101 Wilson Boulevard Suite 935
Arlington, VA 22201

David Pierce
AACC
One Dupone Circle NW, Suite 410
Washington, DC 20036

Ray Taylor
ACCT
1740 N Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Cheryl L. Parrino
Public Service Commission of Wisconsin
610 North Whitney Way
PO Box 7854
Madison, WI 53707-7854

Marc A. Stone
Fred Williamson & Associates Inc.
2921 East 91st Street, Suite 200
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74137-3300

Michael C. Strand
Montana Independent Telecommunications Systems Inc.
519 N Sanders - P.O. Box 5237
Helena, Montana 59604-5237

Michael T. Skrivan
Harris Skrivan & Associates LLC
8801 S Yale Suite 220
Tulsa, OK 74137

Deborah C. Costlow
Treg Tremont
Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005

Alabama PSC
Mary E. Newmeyer
PO Box 991
Montgomery, Alabama 36101

Richard A. Muscat
Assistant Attorney General
Consumer Protection Division
Public Agency Representation Section
PO Box 12548 Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711-2548

Richard M. Tettelbaum
Associate General Counsel
Citizens Utilities Company
1400 16th Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036

Gene Dejordy, Esq.
Christopher Johnson
Western Wireless Corporation
330 120th Avenue, NE, Suite 200
Bellevue, Washington 98005

Michael S. Fox
Director Regulatory Affairs
Telecommunications Consultants
6315 Seabrook Road
Seabrook, Maryland 20706

Dr. Stanley Gardner
Missouri State Library
PO Box 387
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Wayne A. Leighton
James Gattuso
Citizens for a Sound Economy Foundation
1250 H Street, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005

Kevin Starr
State Librarian of California
Library Court Building
PO Box 942837
Sacramento, CA 94237-0001

Dr. William J. Tobin
Early Childhood Development Center
Legislative Coalition
2612 Bent Branch Court
Falls Church, VA 22041

Hon. Albert Vann
New York State Assembly
Legislative Office Building #422
For the National Black Caucus of State
Legislators Telecom. & Energy Comm.
Albany, New York 12248

Virginia State Corporation Commission
Division of Communications
1200 East Main Street - 9th Floor
PO Box 1197
Richmond, VA 23218

Nicholas P. Miller
William Malone
Matthew C. Ames
Miller Canfield Paddock & Stone Plc.
Attorneys for the Joint Commenters
1225 19th Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036-2420

BB Knowles, Director
Utilities Division
Georgia Public Service Commission
244 Washington Street, SW
Atlanta, GA 30334-5701

Joan Mandeville
General Manager
Montana Telephone Association
PO Box 2166
Great Falls, MT 59403

Dennis L. Bybee Ph.D.
Associate Executive Officer
International Society for Technology
in Education
USA National Office
P.O. Box 4437
Alexandria, VA 22303

J. Maurice Travilliam
Assistant State Superintendent for Libraries
Division of Library and Services
Maryland State Department of Education
200 W. Baltimore Street
Baltimore, MD 21201

Howard D. Polsky
Robert Mansbach
Attorneys for COMSAT Corp. and
COMSAT
International Communications
6560 Rock Spring Drive
Bethesda, MD 20817

Kevin Taglang
Benton Foundation
1634 Eye Street NW
Washington, DC 20006

US National Commission on Libraries
and Information Science
1110 Vermont Avenue NW, Suite 820
Washington, DC 20005-3522

National Association of Development
Organizations
444 North Capitol Street, NW, Suite 630
Washington, DC 20001