

November 22, 1997

DEC 18 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Office of the Secretary, Docket 97-182
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Docket No. 97-182

Preemption of State and Local
Land Use Restrictions on Citing
Placement and Construction of
Broadcast Station Transmission
Facilities

Dear Sir or Madam:

As a member of EAA Chapter 323, I strongly protest the above
referenced Docket No. 97-182.

The EAA does not believe there is a benefit to the public in
implementing this rule. At the very least this proposed rule should be
revised to allow local authorities to regulate the construction of towers
considered to be obstacles by the FAA.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposal.

Sincerely,
EXPERIMENTAL AIRCRAFT ASSOCIATION

C Stuart Rowland

EAA # 139896

IAC # 9533

Airport Manager - Rowland R Airfield

115 Rowland Dr.

Whitewright, Texas 75491

0

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

216 75th Street NW
Rochester MN 55901
December 3 , 1997

Office of Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington DC 20554

DEC 8 1997

Re: FCC proposal (Docket No. 97-182)
titled: Preemption of State and Local Zoning and Land Use Restrictions on the Citing,
Placement, and Construction of Broadcast Station Transmission Facilities.

I think this is a very bad idea.

I can understand broadcasters wanting to avoid selling the need for their broadcast towers to the communities. They will have to explain why they need a certain location and get the approval of community leaders and also answer concerns from interested citizens. This will have a cost and they may not get their first choice of location. This does not excuse them from the rules that apply to any other business wishing to serve an area.

Broadcasters are in the business to make money by providing a service to their customers. They are no more important than any other business. Olmsted County has reasonable land use policies in place to protect the safety of property owners who live adjacent to commercial property. This includes set back rules to assure that a falling tower will stay within the business property. I see no reason to preempt such rules.

Please do not allow this power grab.

Thank You,


Fred Daly

DEC 10 1997 0

November 22, 1997

DEC 8 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Office of the Secretary, Docket 97-182
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Docket No. 97-182 Preemption of State and Local
Land Use Restrictions on Citing
Placement and Construction of
Broadcast Station Transmission
Facilities

Dear Sir or Madam:

As a member of EAA Chapter 323, I strongly protest the above
referenced Docket No. 97-182.

The EAA does not believe there is a benefit to the public in
implementing this rule. At the very least this proposed rule should be
revised to allow local authorities to regulate the construction of towers
considered to be obstacles by the FAA.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposal.

Sincerely,
EXPERIMENTAL AIRCRAFT ASSOCIATION

Carl S Rowland

EAA # 88246

*This is a case of the FCC not looking
at a safety factor*

G