

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of)
)
Amendment of the Commission's) WT Docket No. 97-82
Rules Regarding Installment Payment)
Financing For Personal Communications)
Services (PCS) Licenses)
)

ERRATUM

Omnipoint Corporation files this erratum to correct certain minor errors contained in its "Opposition to and Comments in Support of Petitions For Reconsideration and Clarification" filed on December 30, 1997 in the above-captioned proceeding. Specifically, Page 5 of the Opposition, line 6-7, which cites "Second Report and Order, para. 155," should be replaced with "Id." Omnipoint also corrects the following footnotes of its Opposition to read:

Footnote 16 (page 9): "See Sixth Report and Order, PP Dkt. No. 93-253, 11 FCC Rcd. 136, 143(1995) (legal uncertainty associated with rulemaking alternative is itself a rationale for taking course which "would be minimally disruptive to as many of the interested parties, potential bidders as well as members of the financial and investment communities as possible"), aff'd, Omnipoint Corp. v. FCC, 78 F.3d 620 (D.C. Cir. 1996)."

Footnote 17 (page 9): "Petition for Reconsideration of DiGiPH PCS at 11."

Footnote 18 (page 10): "NextWave Petition at 16."

Footnote 24 (page 12): "Petition for Reconsideration of Cellular Holding at 2."

Footnote 26 (page 12): "Petition for Reconsideration of Central Oregon Cellular at 3."

Footnote 28 (page 13): "Petition for Reconsideration of Carolina PCS I at 9."

Footnote 29 (page 14): "Petition for Reconsideration of Americall International at 4."

Respectfully submitted,

OMNIPOINT CORPORATION

By: 
Mark J. O'Connor

Piper & Marbury L.L.P.
1200 19th Street, N.W., 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 861-3900
Its Attorney

Date: December 31, 1997