

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: Lemuel Shattuck
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: lemo@iland.net
Address Line 1: 964 NE 10 RD
Address Line 2:
City: Knob Noster State: MO
Zip Code: 65336 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: 1/10/98 23:25:09 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998110470211

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I am unalterably opposed to any policy change that will result in a per-minute use fee or an increase in telephone access rates to my internet service provider. The internet is extremely important to my work as a university professor at Central Missouri State University. The institution's computer system is so primitive that I rely exclusively on my personal dial-in account.

My ISP is excellent and took a chance on a small market out here in the sticks at the same time I was being unmercifully screwed by two phone companies, Sprint United and Southwestern Bell Telephone. I have had bills as high as \$400 dollars prior to the time that my ISP began business.

I suspect that this is a ploy by the telephone companies to get rid of the independent ISPs in order to get the lucrative business for themselves.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: Jay Gill
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: quake@wvinter.net
Address Line 1: 1901 Kanawha Terrace
Address Line 2:
City: St. Albans State: WV
Zip Code: 25177 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: 1/10/98 23:30:43 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998110337268

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I feel it is totally inappropriate to assign a fee to internet online users. We already pay a phone bill and should not be billed extra because we use it for computer online services as opposed to normal voice conversations.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: David Partain
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email:
Address Line 1: winegeek@prodigy.net
Address Line 2:
City: Albuquerque State: NM
Zip Code: 87123 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: 1/10/98 23:41:40 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998110771156

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Sir or Madam:

I strongly oppose the additional per minute charges for internet access being considered. I use the internet for school, work and entertainment. I dont want to lose this priviledge. I will vote against any such action if given the chance.

Sincerely,
David Partain

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: Donald Hotz
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: gersh@iland.net
Address Line 1: 1505B W 8th St Terrace
Address Line 2:
City: Knob Noster State: MO
Zip Code: 65336 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 00:07:25 Date Filed: Date Rpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998111600525

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I'm writing in response to what might be happening to myself if the local phone companies begin charging per minute rate for internet access. I'm currently using a local ISP with unlimited usage. This works out fantastic for my daughter when she has to do research for homework. If there was a per minute charge for usage I would have to seriously consider discontinuing my service because of the cost of my phone bill. I find it strange that the local phone companies are complaining that they're while simultaneously running to open their own ISPs (which of course would NOT be subject to these charges). This to me is monopolizing the phone lines for internet access. How can the phone companies be complaining about having overloaded phone lines but seem to have enough for them to start ISP's?

I see this action as the phone companies wanting the ISP business to themselves. On average, I am on the internet at least 1 hour per day. If these charges were to take effect (considering Long distance companies pay \$.06/min access charges to local telephone companies) I would see my bill around \$108 per/month. This is unacceptable for me. Currently I pay my local ISP less than \$20 per/month. That's a big jump in charges!

Thanks for listening
Don Hotz

RECEIVED
JAN 12 1998
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: Sean Huggans
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: huggnz@iland.net
Address Line 1: 1306 W. 16th
Address Line 2:
City: Sedalia State: MO
Zip Code: 65301 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 00:34:50 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998111447956

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96 - 45
11/2/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear sirs or madams of FCC,

I'm a concerned US citizen, which uses a local ISP. I have watched the federal government and state colleges develop the Internet, as the years have progressed and the Internet has become more public. It has been a free Internet every since.

This would be a shame to Telco companies take over a great form of communication. This communication would be reduced by a great number if there was a additional charge placed on Internet access. Many children beg and plead with the parents to get Internet access in there home everyday. The children of our country, are using it to learn, at home!!! There are thousands of other things that children could be doing instead(Gangs, drugs, etc....) Now if Telcos are able to place a per min charge on the Internet access, many parents are not going to subscribe to an online service provider. And yea, they could get access from their local telephone company, but this would intern create unemployment for many ISPs and small telephone companies that are not able to provide Internet access.

If lines being used on the Internet are becoming congested, make the owners upgrade their lines. How/Why? First of all, you are the government, you can do thing like this. You, the federal government, have been controlling these large business for a long time, without this control, we would have a crisis on our hands. You would see one long distance phone company in the future. This company will have the monopoly on Internet and on audio phone. You don't want this to happen, because you will then have to step in and set regulations for that company, that are fare to the public.

So, please, force the owner of these Internet line to upgrade, and deal with it. I don't think they will go out of business. I've seen some of them pay millions of dollars for a TV advertisement. Tell them, "why don't you not advertise over the Superbowl, and take that money and upgrade your lines". Believe me, the folks watching the superbowl, don't care about the phone service they have while they are watching the game. Just skip the advertising for one day and upgrade their line. Bite the bullet Telco!!!

Keep up the good work FCC, were in your corner,
Sean Huggans

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1

Applicant Name: Alan Robinson

Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:

Lawfirm Name:

Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email:

Address Line 1: 1436 Northfield Park Blvd

Address Line 2:

City: Warrensburg State: MO

Zip Code: 64093 Postal Code:

Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED

Subject:

DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:

Date Submission: 1/11/98 00:43:48 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00

Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:

Confirmation # 1998111611412

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Local phone companies in general want the ISP business to themselves. They're claim that all this Internet use is overloading their systems -- while simultaneously running to open their own ISPs (which of course would NOT be subject to these charges). Obviously they think the ISP business is worth pursuing, they just want to price everyone else out of the market.

If these access charges go through, most users Internet access will cost significantly more -- either directly, by the telephone company's charging you more for your phone line(s), or indirectly, by ISPs raising their rates in order to cover their additional costs.

Long distance companies pay \$.06/min access charges to local telephone companies. If similar charges were placed on Internet calls, users who spend an average of 60 minutes per day on-line would see their monthly bill go up ~\$108/month. That's not small change.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Proceeding: **UNIVERSAL SERVICE** Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: **James W. Blair**
Proceeding Name: **96-45** Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: **applicant_name** Contact Email: **jblair@flash.net**
Address Line 1: **13050 Beacon Park**
Address Line 2:
City: **San Antonio** State: **TX**
Zip Code: **78249** Postal Code: **3610**
Submission Type: **7** Submission Status: **ACCEPTED** Viewing Status: **UNRESTRICTED**
Subject:
DA Number: Ex parte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: **1/11/98 01:10:16** Date Filed: Date Rcpt: **1/12/98 00:01:00**
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # **1998111751414**

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Let it be known to all parties in consideration of this legislation, that I am opposed to any type of levy or charge against any local access to an ISP for internet access. The costs for these services have already been established and the proposed actions only serve the interests of the "Telco Entities" and not the general public. Serve the public you represent and reform business related access charges accordingly.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1

Applicant Name: Darren Stanford

Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:

Lawfirm Name:

Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: allah@iland.net

Address Line 1: 307 Acorn Place

Address Line 2:

City: Warrensburg State: MO

Zip Code: 64093 Postal Code:

Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED

Subject:

DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:

Date Submission: 1/11/98 03:46:48 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00

Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:

Confirmation # 1998111075752

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96 - 45

1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

DO NOT allow telephone companies to impose a per minute toll on people accessing the internet. That is about the stupidest, ill-conceived idea anyone has had since they wanted to put a special tax on modems. You'll have an outright civil disturbance if you allow it, and it will hurt an emerging industry/commerce as well.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: William & Cindy Calafaty & Family
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: calafaty@iland.net
Address Line 1: 1806 6th Street Court
Address Line 2:
City: Knob Noster State: MO
Zip Code: 65336 Postal Code: 1007
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 08:09:03 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998111043759

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45
112198

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

My family and I feel that if "per-minute" charges are applied to Internet access, we will not be able to afford this service. The Internet is a very valuable tool which my family uses quite often. It has become a necessity in today's world. Often times, my children could not complete their homework without downloading something from the Internet.

"Per-minute" access are a very bad idea. The phone company's are rich enough without trying to get blood out of stones. Do not allow this to happen. Thank you.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: Tomya & Thom Vandiver
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: niki@iland.net
Address Line 1: 414 N. Engineer
Address Line 2:
City: Sedalia State: MO
Zip Code: 65301 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 57 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 08:28:20 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 199811122091

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

INTERNET FILING

96 - 45
112198

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I think we already pay enough money for internet services as well as telephone bills. If you let the Telephone Companies do this, then the kids at school will miss out on the information highway. Don't let the telephone Co. take away from us!

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: Margaret L. Sessions
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: m.sessions@worldnet.att.net
Address Line 1: 1863 Maple Drive
Address Line 2:
City: Fort Myers State: FL
Zip Code: 33907 Postal Code: 2326
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 08:42:11 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998111543684

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I am a disabled person to whom a per minute charge for computer usage would be detrimental. We already pay enough. We cannot, on the little bit we get from SSDI survive with our computers. These computers are our lifeline. I do not get out much, and the computer and the lists I am on are how I communicate with the world. I could not afford this if there was a per minute charge on top of the already high charges. Please, do not put this burden on the general and disabled public. We cannot take it and we need the online services in order for many of us to survive. If it has to be done, put it on the ISP and make it clear they are not to charge us for it. If we were on 1 hour a day for a month, the charges would be about \$108.00 more a month and that is grocery or medicine money. I ask you to turn down the proposal for a per minute charge for online time on bil 96-45.
Thank you, Margraet Sessions

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY**

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: Lynn Holloway
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email:
Address Line 1: 2323 Reagan Mill Rd
Address Line 2:
City: Maryville State: TN
Zip Code: 37803 Postal Code: 0158
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 09:00:50 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998111554874

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96-45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I object to any ruling by the FCC that will
result in any "per minute" charges being
levied on individual end-users by any means...
directly or indirectly.

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Proceeding: UNIVERSAL SERVICE Record 1 of 1
Applicant Name: William R. Sabo Jr.
Proceeding Name: 96-45 Author Name:
Lawfirm Name:
Contact Name: applicant_name Contact Email: wsabo@sopris.net
Address Line 1: P.O. Box 5452
Address Line 2:
City: Snowmass Village State: CO
Zip Code: 81615 Postal Code:
Submission Type: 7 Submission Status: ACCEPTED Viewing Status: UNRESTRICTED
Subject:
DA Number: Exparte Late Filed: File Number:
Date Submission: 1/11/98 09:41:29 Date Filed: Date Rcpt: 1/12/98 00:01:00
Date Released: Date Accepted: Date Disseminated:
Confirmation # 1998111963337

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

INTERNET FILING

96 -45
1/12/98

RECEIVED

JAN 12 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY