EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

KELLY & POVICH, P.C.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
2300 M Street, N.W., Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20037

Writer's Direct Dial:
(202) 973-8102

Telephone: (202) 973-8100
Facsimile: (202) 973-8101

March 26, 1998

VIA MESSENGER
Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Room 222
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Ex Parte Presentation
PR Dkt No. 89-552, GN Dkt No. 93-252 & PP Dkt No. 93-253

Dear Ms. Salas:

On behalf of INTEK Global Corp. ("INTEK") and pursuant to Section 1.1206(a) of the Commission's Rules, this will constitute notice that on March 25, 1998, Donald Goeltz, David Neibert and Michael R.J. Bayly of INTEK and Robert B. Kelly of Kelly & Povich, P.C., counsel to INTEK, met with Peter Tenhula, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Powell regarding the outstanding Petitions For Reconsideration of the Second and Third Reports and Order in the above-referenced Dockets. As reflected in the attached Summary of Presentation, the parties discussed the matters raised in INTEK's Petition For Reconsideration, Comments on Petitions For Reconsideration and Reply on these matters. Six copies of this notice are submitted herewith pursuant to Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Rules.

Should there be any questions on this matter, kindly communicate with this office.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Kelly

SUMMARY OF PRESENTATION - March 25, 1998
INTEK Global Corp.
PR Docket 89-552, GN Docket 93-252 & PP Docket 93-253

I. The co-channel protection afforded by the Third Report and Order to Phase I 220 MHz licensees should be modified.

   A. INTEK and other engineering studies reflect real world analysis of existing operational 220 MHz systems.

   B. Studies establish that 28 dbu service contour at 220 MHz is equivalent to 40 dbu service contour at 850 MHz.

   C. Use of 38 dbu service contour will result in harmful interference to both Phase I and Phase II licensees and in loss of available service area to end users.

   D. Use of 28 dbu service contour for Phase I licensees will increase overall use of 220 MHz band and enhance service available to public.

II. The spectrum efficiency standard adopted for the 220 MHz band promores spectrum efficiency and preserves the essential character of the 220 MHz band.

III. FCC has provided for a waiver process to permit requests for introduction of non-compliant equipment in 220 MHz band.

IV. Waivers of the efficiency standard will interpret spectrum policy and establish precedent. Interested parties should have meaningful opportunity to review and comment on requests. Public notice can establish "short-fuse" comment periods.