DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

96-83

From: Paul Nelson <kpsinc@e-z.net>
To: A7.A7(WKENNARD)
Date: 7/17/98 8:17pm
Subject: satellite dish comments

I believe that the commission will overstep it's bounds if it attempts to require that apartment complexes allow the addition of dishes on patios or other areas of the building.
This not only interferes with private property rights it also potentially interferes with contractual arrangements that property owners may have with outside contractors and providers of ohter television signals. This ruling would be tantamount to forcing property owners to allow additions to their building that may or may not be consistent with the design and architecture of the project. Just because satellite dishes and reception is available, it does not mean that everyone has an automatic right to access them. What if the building is not facing the proper way? Does the landlord need to make alterations in the property to accommodate the resident desire to get a certain satellite? Do we need to cut down trees that interfere with the reception? Please think about the ramifications of this ruling and leave the decision to the marketplace. If the priority of the end user is to acquire satellite reception of a certain nature, they have the choice of living where it is available. Please do not more layers of bureaucracy to an already over regulated society.

RECEIVED
JUL 20 1998
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

2