

ORIGINAL

BELLSOUTH

Kathleen B. Levitz
Vice President-Federal Regulatory

Suite 900
1133-21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-3351
202 463-4113
Fax: 202 463-4198
Internet: levitz.kathleen@bsc.bls.com

September 14, 1998

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

EX PARTE PRESENTED

RECEIVED

SEP 14 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: Written Ex Parte in CC Docket No. 98-121

Dear Ms. Salas:

This is to inform you that BellSouth Corporation has submitted today a written ex parte to the staff of the Common Carrier Bureau's Policy and Program Planning Division. That ex parte sets forth written answers to questions posed during a teleconference on September 9, 1998, in which the relationship between line class codes and uniform service ordering codes and field identifiers was discussed. The ex parte was made by facsimile machine on Friday evening, September 11, 1998, after the Commission had officially closed for the day. The information contained in that ex parte was submitted at the request of the staff of the Common Carrier Bureau.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission's rules, we are filing two copies of this notice and that written ex parte presentation. Please associate this notification with the record of CC Docket No. 98-121.

Sincerely,



Kathleen B. Levitz
Vice President-Federal Regulatory
Attachment

cc: Carol Matthey

08/1

Kathleen B. Levitz
Vice President-Federal Regulatory

September 14, 1998

Suite 900
1133-21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-3351
202 463-4113
Fax: 202 463-4198
Internet: levitz.kathleen@bsc.bls.com

**Ms. Carol Matthey, Chief
Policy and Program Planning Division
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554**

Written Ex Parte in CC Docket No. 98-121

Dear Ms. Matthey:

On September 9, 1998, Keith Milner, Ramiro Martinez, Bill Gulas, and I, all of BellSouth, participated in a teleconference with members of your staff. During that teleconference your staff posed questions about the relationship between line class codes and the uniform service order codes and field identifiers. The staff asked that BellSouth respond to these questions in writing. On Friday evening, September 11, 1998, after the Commission had officially closed for the day, I faxed the attached BellSouth written response to that request.

If after reviewing this attachment your staff concludes that it needs additional information, please call me at (202) 463-4113.

In compliance with Section 1.1206(a)(1) of the Commission's rules, we have today filed with the Secretary of the Commission two copies of this written ex parte presentation and requested that it be associated with the record of CC Docket No. 98-121.

Sincerely,



Kathleen B. Levitz
Vice President-Federal Regulatory

Attachment

cc: William Bailey

Jake Jennings
Michael Pryor

David Kirschner

Responses to FCC Staff Questions Posed on Telephone Conference on
September 9, 1998

Question 1. Where would a CLEC enter a FID to order Selective Call Routing on a Local Service Request, or LSR?

Answer

A field identifier, or FID, is a four-digit alphanumeric code that tells the provisioning systems that BellSouth is to provide a particular capability designated by the variable that follows the FID. For selective routing, that variable is called the selective routing code, or SRC. That code contains the selective routing and calling restrictions intelligence required to provision a CLEC line. To order Selective Call Routing, a CLEC would enter the FID "ZSRC" on the feature code line of the Port Service Form of an LSR, followed by the SRC in the adjacent field, called Feature Detail.

The following table illustrates the various calling patterns that have been translated to support AT&T's selective routing:

SRC	RESTRICTIONS
AxU	NO RESTRICTIONS
Ax2	0-,0+,00-,01+,976,PULSELINK
Ax3	1+,0-,0+,00+,01+,011+,900
Ax4	900,976
Ax5	976
Ax6	900,976,N11
Ax7	011,10XXX+011,
AxW	0-,0+,00-,01+,976,011+
AxX	976,900,011+
AxY	976,900,N11,011+
AxZ	976,011+

Where:

- x= R for POTS with hunting.
- x = T for POTS without hunting
- x= H for PBX with hunting
- x= B for PBX without hunting

As indicated above, regional SRCs have been duplicated for POTS and PBX with and without hunting. This represents a total of 44 SRCs that are specific to AT&T. This convention was adopted because before selective routing can be offered to a CLEC, it is necessary to build ahead of time the parameters and issue its orders accordingly.

switch line class code, or LCC, that will support the service. By using CLEC-specific SRCs, BellSouth can ensure that CLEC orders will be processed quickly and accurately.

Question 2. If a CLEC orders Selective Call Routing, can that LSR through which it places that order still receive mechanized treatment?

Answer

No. BellSouth's systems cannot handle such LSRs mechanically.

Question 3. Has AT&T filed a blanket request that 411 calls placed by any AT&T customer in the BellSouth region be routed to the AT&T platform?

Answer

No. AT&T also has not requested that one line class code be used as a default for all orders it would submit.

Question 4. Is 900 blocking triggered by a Uniform Service Code, or USOC, or an LCC in the LSR?

Answer

Neither a Universal Service Order Code (USOC) nor a LCC will provide this capability. In a selective routing environment in order for the CLEC account to provide 900 blocking the LSR would have to be populated as described in the answer to Question 1 so that the specific selective routing code designed to block 900 calls appears. In the case of AT&T, several FIDs will provide 900 blocking. The reason for the multiple number is that these FIDs also provide blocking for other dialing patterns, such as international, 976 and N11.

Question 5

Could AT&T use one SCR throughout BellSouth's region to indicate when AT&T wanted selective routing to its platform?

Answer

Yes., but, if it used this arrangement, AT&T could have only one set of criteria for its services rather than the 44 that BellSouth now accommodates in Georgia through the assignment of 44 SCRs.

As indicated in response to Question 1 above, one of the benefits of BellSouth's selective routing process is that any CLEC can arrange ahead of time which dialing characteristics it desires and BellSouth will build the appropriate line class codes. In this way, when a service order is processed, the CLEC will only have to remember a single set of dialing