



**EXHIBIT IV.2: RELATIVE PROMOTIONAL SPEND FOR ENHANCED FAX SERVICES  
(U S WEST TERRITORY)**



*Source: Marketfinders Estimate, U S WEST*

This spending increased awareness of the Enhanced Fax Services sector, but it was much less effective at promoting awareness of RBOC brands specifically. For example, U S WEST conducted tests in its territory after the promotions for Enhanced Fax Services. It found that awareness for its own branded service was typically less than half the awareness of the sector as a whole. In this respect, the presence of the RBOCs has clearly benefited the market place; their investment in marketing for their own products served to raise the profile of the whole sector, and Service Bureaus and IXCs were able to free-ride on the back of the efforts of the RBOCs.

**EXHIBIT IV.3: UNAIDED AWARENESS OF ENHANCED FAX PRODUCTS  
(U S WEST TERRITORY, 1992)**




Source: U S WEST Strategic Marketing

**IV.3. Performance by Provider Segment**

*The Enhanced Fax Services segment is dominated by independent Service Bureaus. The IXC's have also been relatively successful, despite higher prices and little sales effort. The RBOCs have not been successful and several have exited the market. This example of RBOC failure underlines the competitive nature of the Enhanced Services retail market. Clearly the RBOCs lacked either the desire, or the ability, or both to influence the development of this market.*

**This segment is still dominated by the Service Bureaus. The IXCs have made some progress in penetrating the segment, either through separate services or via their VANS networks, but the RBOCs have been very unsuccessful.**

#### EXHIBIT IV.4: ENHANCED FAX SERVICE REVENUES BY PROVIDER TYPE




Source: Marketfinders

- **Service Bureaus:** these independent providers have 58% of the market between them. They have been growing rapidly. Xpedite is the largest with Broadcast Fax revenues of \$33MM in 1994. A tier of successful Independent Fax Service providers has emerged, providing high quality service to specific market niches.
- **VANS networks:** this segment include players such as Advantis and GEIS, but it is dominated by the DCs - AT&T, MCI and Sprint. These players incorporate Fax capabilities into their E mail networks. This has not been a primary thrust of their businesses, partly because the VAN services have limited functionality and are generally priced at a higher rate than the separate Enhanced Fax offerings.
- **DCs:** in addition to their VANS-related Fax revenues, the DCs have set up separate Fax Service businesses. These have been fairly successful,

despite having higher prices than the rest of the market and despite not using dedicated sales forces. MCI has grown the most quickly, jumping ~40% in 1994.

- **The RBOCs:** Despite their efforts, the RBOCs have not been very successful in this segment. They have only managed to capture a tiny share of the market (3% in 1994). Bell South and Bell Atlantic have actually shut down their Fax operations after failing to meet revenue objectives. U S WEST has reigned back theirs for similar reasons.

**EXHIBIT IV.5: SHARE OF ENHANCED FAX SERVICE REVENUE BY MAJOR PLAYER**



Source: Marketfinders

## Conclusions

The facts demonstrate compelling benefits associated with RBOC participation in the Enhanced Services Market under the current CI-III rules environment. The overall market is thriving as evidenced by its 18% annual growth, powerful market participants, low concentration of market share and rapid rate of new service introduction. RBOCs in total have less than 10% of the market, despite participation in every segment. No individual RBOC controls more than 2% of the market. Given this fragmentation, it is highly unlikely that RBOCs would be able to act anti-competitively. Two specific cases bear out the general finding.

The case of voice messaging demonstrates the specific benefits of RBOC participation under CI-III rules. In 1990, prior to RBOC entry, voice messaging service was the preserve of business and wealthy individuals. Service bureaus charged \$15-25 per month for a voice mailbox and significantly more for live operator attendant. They attracted fewer than a million residential subscribers. RBOC voice mail, competitively priced at around \$6 per month for basic service for residential customers, has caused a dramatic expansion of the mass market by attracting some 4 million residential subscribers in four years. Similar gains have been achieved in the small business segment. U S WEST in particular has emphasized consumers across its broad service territory: not only high-income population centers, but also rural areas, low income areas and minorities. U S WEST's consumer-marketing experience and focus has brought customized services to communities of interest, such as network voicemail to parents and teachers in Arizona schools, and Spanish voicemail to residents of Albuquerque. Competitors, meanwhile, have been forced to reduce prices and step up innovation, but their businesses are healthy. The three largest independent voice mail service bureaus all enjoyed substantial growth in recent years. Equipment sales (including residential and business) have expanded \$800 MM in the past four years: more than the RBOC's new voicemail revenues. We conclude that the combination of significant public good, and lack of harm to competitors, indicates a strong net benefit from RBOC participation under current rules in this market segment.

Enhanced Fax services tell a different story. In this segment, RBOCs have failed to capture significant market share. Instead, independent service bureaus and DXCs control this segment, despite substantial efforts on the part of some RBOCs to develop this line of business. U S WEST in particular, spent \$2-3MM per year promoting its


Enhanced Fax services: far more than any other provider in its territory, according to an industry watcher. This contributed to the threefold expansion of this market between 1991 and 1994. However, the U S WEST service offering was ultimately uncompetitive, and attracted few customers, resulting in substantial losses to U S WEST. Other RBOCs with similar experiences exited the market segment altogether. Some competitors complain that under current CI-III rules, RBOCs have too much market power. But this case indicates that RBOCs were either unable or unwilling to prevent their competitors from amassing a 95%+ share in a market in which RBOCs had made substantial investments.

**APPENDIX I. MAPS OF U S  
WEST VOICE MESSAGING  
SERVICE CUSTOMERS**

**APPENDIX 1**

# DENVER COLORADO VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER

VOICE MESSAGE  
PENETRATION RATE




0 4 8

MILES

04/04/95




... PENETRATION ...  
 For use only by 20251 telephone with a land line  
 in the United States. Not to be used for other  
 purposes. Information from the Department of  
 Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis and U.S. Census Bureau  
 Washington



# DENVER COLORADO MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME BY 1990 CENSUS BLOCK GROUP

1990 CENSUS  
MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME




0 4 8

MILES


04/04/95




FOR USE ONLY BY WJCE EMPLOYEES WITH A NEED TO HAVE  
 THIS DATA FOR USE IN THEIR OWNERSHIP WJCE EMPLOYEES  
 WITH A NEED TO HAVE THIS DATA FOR USE IN THEIR OWNERSHIP  
 WJCE EMPLOYEES WITH A NEED TO HAVE THIS DATA FOR USE IN THEIR OWNERSHIP




# DENVER COLORADO HISPANIC PERCENTAGE BY 1990 CENSUS BLOCK GROUP



04/04/95



PROPERTY INFORMATION  
 This map is a general representation and is not to be used for legal purposes. It is based on the 1990 Census data and is subject to change without notice. The information is provided for informational purposes only. The user assumes all responsibility for the use of this information. The information is provided as is and without warranty. The information is provided for informational purposes only. The user assumes all responsibility for the use of this information. The information is provided as is and without warranty. The information is provided for informational purposes only. The user assumes all responsibility for the use of this information.



# COLORADO 1990 CENSUS HOUSEHOLD DENSITY BY CENSUS BLOCK GROUP

HOUSEHOLDS  
PER SQUARE MILE



0



0.01 - 60.00



60.01+



WIRE CENTER  
BOUNDARY


0 54 108

MILES


01/01/96




FOR INFORMATION ONLY  
This map was prepared by LWA and is based on data provided by the U.S. Census Bureau. It is not intended to be used for any other purpose. All rights reserved. © 1996 LWA



# COLORADO VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER




## VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE



0 54 108

MILES


01/01/95



FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY

Do not rely on USWEST equipment with a cord to hear  
911 or 999. Use of service without USWEST equipment  
under contract agreement from T.E. Electronics, Inc. 17  
New Market & Operations and C.E. Center 17 Study  
Development

# ARIZONA VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER



0 56 112




MILES

04/04/85



FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT THE  
 STATE OF ARIZONA, DEPARTMENT OF  
 COMMUNICATIONS AND PUBLIC UTILITIES  
 1700 W. WASHINGTON AVENUE, PHOENIX, AZ 85007  
 (602) 974-1000






# IOWA VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER

- VOICE MESSAGE  
PENETRATION RATE
- 0.00%
  - 0.00% - 1.00%
  - 1.01% - 5.00%
  - 5.01% - 15.0%
  - 15.1% +


0 46 92

MILES

9/14/55



FOR INFORMATION ONLY  
THIS REPORT IS NOT TO BE  
DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE THE  
SIGNAL CORPS AREA  
UNLESS AUTHORIZED BY THE  
SIGNAL CORPS CHIEF OF STAFF



# MINNESOTA

## VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER

VOICE MESSAGE  
PENETRATION RATE


0.00%

0.00% - 1.00%

1.01% - 5.00%

5.01% - 15.0%


15.1% +



0 57 114

MILES

04/01/56



FOR INFORMATION ONLY  
THIS MAP IS A SUMMARY OF THE DATA AND IS NOT TO BE USED FOR  
OFFICIAL PURPOSES. THE DATA IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITHOUT NOTICE.  
FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT THE U.S. FOREST SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D.C.

# MONTANA

## VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER

VOICE MESSAGE  
PENETRATION RATE

0.00%



0.00% - 1.00%




1.01% - 5.00%



5.01% - 15.0%




15.1% +



0 80 160

MILES

9/24/56



FOR INFORMATION ONLY - NOT TO BE USED FOR  
OPERATIONAL PURPOSES. THIS DOCUMENT IS  
CLASSIFIED "SECRET" UNDER E.O. 11652, EXCEPT  
WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE. IT IS THE PROPERTY OF  
USWEST TELEPHONE COMPANY AND IS LOANED TO YOU.  
IT IS TO BE RETURNED TO USWEST TELEPHONE COMPANY  
WHEN YOU NO LONGER REQUIRE IT.

# NEBRASKA

## VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER

VOICE MESSAGE  
PENETRATION RATE



0.00%



0.00% - 1.00%



1.01% - 5.00%



5.01% - 15.0%





15.1% +

0 64 128


MILES

04/04/75




UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA  
LINCOLN, NEBRASKA  
LWA  
1975

FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA  
LINCOLN, NEBRASKA  
LWA  
1975



# NEW MEXICO VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER


VOICE MESSAGE  
PENETRATION RATE




0 55 110

MILES

01/04/95




FOR PENETRATION INFORMATION...  
 For all other states, contact the appropriate state office. For information on the U.S. Postal Service, contact the U.S. Postal Service. For information on the U.S. Postal Service, contact the U.S. Postal Service.



# NORTH DAKOTA

## VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER


VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE




0 50 100

MILES

9/14/55




FOR INFORMATION: This map was prepared by the Library of Congress, under contract to the U.S. Army, Signal Corps, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. It is based on data furnished by the U.S. Army, Signal Corps, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.



# OREGON VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER

VOICE MESSAGE  
PENETRATION RATE




0 56 112




MILES

04/04/55




FOR THE USE OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT WITH THE EXCEPTED RIGHTS RESERVED. THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AND IS LOANED TO YOUR AGENCY. IT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE YOUR AGENCY.



# SOUTH DAKOTA

## VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER

VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE




0 54 108




MILES

6/14/56




FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY  
THIS MAP IS NOT A CONTRACT AND DOES NOT BIND THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IN ANY MANNER. THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ASSUMES NO LIABILITY FOR THE USE OR MISUSE OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN.



# UTAH VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER


VOICE MESSAGE  
PENETRATION RATE



0 50 100


MILES

04/04/95



PERFORMANCE


For use only by USWEST employees with a need to know. All other employees, and all other entities, are prohibited from using this information. From T3 Systems, Inc. © 1995. All Rights Reserved. LWA



# WASHINGTON

## VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE BY WIRE CENTER


VOICE MESSAGE PENETRATION RATE



0 51 102

MILES

04/11/55



FOR THE RECORD, THE WASHINGTON WIRE CENTER HAS BEEN OPERATING SINCE 1955. THE WASHINGTON WIRE CENTER IS THE ONLY WIRE CENTER IN THE WASHINGTON AREA WHICH IS OPERATED BY THE WASHINGTON WIRE CENTER. THE WASHINGTON WIRE CENTER IS THE ONLY WIRE CENTER IN THE WASHINGTON AREA WHICH IS OPERATED BY THE WASHINGTON WIRE CENTER.

