SEP 11 1998
RECEIVED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

8-29-1998

Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street NW
Room 222
Washington DC 20554

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF
COMMISSIONER
SUSAN NESS
SEP 15 8 55 AM '98

Dear Sirs:

In regards to the following:

"In the matter of 1998 biennial Regulatory Review — Admendment of PART 97 of the Commissions Amateur Service Rules
FCC WT DOCKET 98-143"

I request the pleasure of providing to you my point of view concerning the above docket and the proposed changes therein

I thank you for allowing me to do so. Its a pleasure to do so.

With Regards

Kenneth Schulz

KENNETH W. SCHULZ
AMATEUR RADIO OPERATOR
"KB5UJY"

Remarks: Attached

Accompanying:
6 Copys

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List A B C D E

SEP 11 1998
FCC MAIL ROOM

DOCKET 98-143

1 — With regards to the FCC perposal for the reduction of the number of license classes from the present 6 classes, down too 4 classes:

We do not need all these classes to begin with, only a beginnes class - so that they can learn about operating a Amateur Radio and then only a "General Class" for all privledges of operating

The other classes are not needed they only encumber the management by the FCC

2 - With regards to the perposal be fore you about the Code speed

I am for dropping the code requirement all together, but by treaty we must have a code requirement for present, so 5 wpm is fine

The written exams should be "beefed" up in theory to compensate for dropping the code requirement to 5 wpm

MAIL ROOM

SEP 11 1998

RECEIVED

FCC WT DOCKET 98-143

3 — In regards to the FCC proposal to administering the General Class Code & theory Exams
I agree with the FCC

4 — In regards to the FCC proposal eliminating the seperate RACES licensing:
I agree with the FCC

5 — In regards to the FCC inquiry about "enforcement issues"
I would like to see the FCC put some real "teeth" into this area. The deliberate "jamming" of other stations and interference must be stopped, even if it requires the removal of a license and/or a healthy fine

SEP 1 1 1998
RECEIVED

FCC WT Docket 98-143

6 — Also I request the FCC review the issuance of call signs to operators not located in the "Call Area" of the operator.

It is my feeling that no operator should be allowed to use a call sign which does not reflect the area of operation of the station. Specially issued call signs should also reflect the area they are to be used in

7 — Also with regards to the FCC's PRD-1:

Thank you very much for this ruling. It's a help we sorely need

8 — With regards as to my background regarding my answers:

I served in the US NAVY as a Communication Technician — as such I could copy code at over 20 WPM

I have been a Amateur Operator from 1992 to the present - holding Advanced Class and the call sign of KB5UJY

Thank You