

60000868425.txt

Net Neutrality is a major issue in America right now. The internet has become a large tool and resource for people all around the world. While there is a bill that is being hotly debated over whether or not the internet should or should not be transformed into a two-tier platform of varying internet speeds, I firmly stand in opposition to the creation of this two-tier system and the destruction of net neutrality. The internet is a level playing ground where an individual can blog, vlog, comment, research, procrastinate, and much more. It should stay a level playing field because it works well this way.

Individuals, start-up companies, and large corporations would be forced to pay fees in order to increase their service connection so the people of America can receive their internet information at secure and quick speeds. This "fast lane for everybody and a hyper-speed lane for others," says telecommunications lawyer George Foote, is just politically skewed wording that stands for future fast and slow lanes of the two-tiered system (3:36-3:40, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fpb0EoRrHyU>). I along with others oppose this unfair interference over quick internet accessibility. Just because someone does not have the financial backing to pay for this so called hyper-speed connection, does not mean that the right to access information quickly and securely should be taken away.

The cable corporations, such as Comcast, are funding the bill to end net neutrality. These corporations are already being paid by customers for their internet access in the first place; why must companies and individuals also pay additional costs for quick connections when they already pay website start-up fees for the URL domain? This greed for power and money is going unchecked. It must stop. And also there is a large conflict of interest occurring in the matter. Why is former top lobbyist for cable and wireless companies, Tom Wheeler, the new chair of federal communications commission? This in no way allows for a bias ruling.

"Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed," states our founders in the Declaration of Independence (para. 3). America have always been very much in support of this statement. The people do not want to have a two-tiered system that allows for already monopolizing cable companies to continue to ring every last cent they can from us (not to mention competition of industries should be promoted not monopolization). America is a nation of free people and although it is not perfect it is great to be a part of this country. Should not the efforts of the government be used more effectively to regulate other areas of this nation that need it? The internet is not a broken system, therefore why must you "fix" it? Many other issues would be more suited for the government to become involved in to fix.

The accumulating national debt, the lack of FDA regulations on dietary supplements, the unnecessarily large number (4,803- p. 1 para. 2 http://policy.defense.gov/portals/11/documents/gsa/2014_fact%20sheet_us%20nuclear%20Transparency_042414.pdf). of nuclear warhead missiles that could be reduced when only a handful are necessary to level the population of the world, unsustainable corporate practices that adversely affect the world—are just a handful of issues in need of more government involvement. Those issues hold more importance over net neutrality. So why is Washington D.C. bending over at the whim of cable companies, when they should be doing what Americans want and need? The people do not want a two-tiered internet system. Please listen to us, instead of being bought off by cable companies. And this advise of not being a marionette doll for company puppeteers applies for everything. Do not sell your integrity and morals just so you can live a lavish life. You were elected to serve the people justly, please do so.