

Decades ago the federal government required Boeing to split away from the airline that Boeing formed. Boeing had owned the content (building airplanes) and had owned the piping (owning an airline). That airline they owned was United Airlines.

The same reasoning should be applied to Comcast to deny their request. The FCC's reputation has already been tarnished since the FCC approved Comcast's acquisition of NBC Universal only to have one of the commissioners join Comcast after she approved the deal.

The Economist magazine is also quite critical of Comcast's play on the internet and also mentions the former commissioner.

My personal experience with Comcast is not positive. The corporation could not transfer my internet service to my new home for over a week. A simple process actually but one complicated by a bureaucracy whereby I was transferred from one department to the next. Even an overseas phone number, only to be transferred to the original department. About a 30 minute process that I endured on 3 separate occasions. An automated voice asked me if I would like to file a complaint and a customer service rep would call me. I replied "yes" but no one called me back. I also did this on several occasions.

I ultimately told Comcast to cancel my internet service. My point is they have been allowed to become much too large resulting in an inefficient bureaucracy, a lack to respond to basic customer requests, and prices that will continue to increase. I don't carry cable service myself because I don't find the pricing structure valuable. Comcast will not become a better steward if this deal goes through as a result. They will become much more inefficient because they can regardless of their own reasoning to the opposite. The math already proves this.

I request that you to take a look at their corporate headquarters in Philadelphia and ask yourself if a company really experiences true competition as in the airlines, would they still be able to build a colossal structure like this?

Thank you.