From: Tamás
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tamás
ADDRESS: Vámosszabadi
POST CODE: 9061
COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Larry Calloway  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:20  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

The proposed action to limit who has access to the internet is clearly a direct attack on Freedom of Speech in America. I believe most Americans now rely on the internet to get access objective news and information which is not provided by the "mainstream media".

Larry Calloway  
30294

From: Paul Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:20  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Protect internet freedom  
For all. Keep the internet open. We do not need fast and slow lanes. All people should have the same speed.

Paul Smith  
95630

From: sadsa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sadsa
ADDRESS: sasasa
POST CODE: 2123123
COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541057a5f35671000eb3c2b3

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Alan Phillips  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:21  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Chairman Wheeler,

Please keep the internet free. Do not allow some entities to be treated differently than everyone else. The internet belongs to everyone, not a few ‘chosen’ corporations.

Thank you,

Alan Phillips
Santa Rosa, CA
95409

Alan Phillips
95409

From: Corey Pope  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Corey Pope
ADDRESS: 466 Georgetown
POST CODE: 43616
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541057cb003331000bc7c531

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

   <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/gU8SXXz2S-SvBHjIRhEGAw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/gU8SXXz2S-SvBHjIRhEGAw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Donald
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Donald

ADDRESS: 22 Lake Charles Drive
POST CODE: 63376
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541057befbecab000b573ee2
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/m3WM1w3kQmqz2mI3CFHLeQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/m3WM1w3kQmqz2mI3CFHLeQ/uh1>.
From: Justin Dial  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Justin Dial  
ADDRESS: 62 mossy oak  
POST CODE: 62901  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---  

REF: 541057e5a26879000decb238
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicolai Larsen
ADDRESS: Læssøesgade 10
POST CODE: 2200
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541047d915ab86000d44fe7e

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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=======================================================================================

From: Steven
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steven
ADDRESS: xxxx@ xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 10036
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541057c5fbecab000dab1a20

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/i1nzConiTaa2pV1T86QKow/o.gif>
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============================================================================

From: Amanda Bradley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:22
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Amanda Bradley

94609
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 541057d6dac595000d1eaabc
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JP Merz
ADDRESS: 4464 Hamilton Court
POST CODE: 80305
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410580bf35671000c18147b

---

Want more awesome more often?
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. [https://donate.fightforthefuture.org]

[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/XnLecFdkQce_1mdO060kaA/uh1].

=======================================================================================
From: Mary Gossman
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:22
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

This comes down to a single principle, a FAIR playing ground for everyone not another opportunity for those who have the most money to push their products or agendas to the forefront. Individuals of all means and small independent companies should have the same access as companies that are behemoths or conglomerates. To remain a successful country, everyone needs to have equal access. A neighborhood bakery’s or outdoor equipment store’s website needs to be seen as readily as major players. Don’t make the internet into a Walmart that puts small businesses out of business because they can’t compete monetarily.

Mary Gossman
68154

=======================================================================================
From: R. Vázquez
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.
NAME: R. Vázquez
ADDRESS: Urb. La Vista, V. a Panorã¡mica, C6
POST CODE: 00924
COUNTRY: PR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541057fe99efdf0020c3452cd

---

Want more awesome more often?
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<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/VOxGHaGY57WKf5wDpPITog/o.gif>
From: Beth Kulkarni  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Beth Kulkarni  
ADDRESS: 87 S Warbler Bend Cir  
POST CODE: 77382  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105828a26879000bdcafe5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/ZtKpc-Q6QbyHX_dKJ9EUyA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/ZtKpc-Q6QbyHX_dKJ9EUyA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Gina Taliaferro
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:23
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Chairman Wheeler,

I am writing to urge you and the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and prevent corporations from gaining "priority" access to the internet. Inevitably, consumers will pay - financially in the form extra fees as we subsidize this access for the various service providers we utilize, and experientially as we get stuck in the slow lanes of the information superhighway we end up paying for. I am an artist, so I run the smallest of small businesses; unfettered access to the internet is key to my livelihood. As I'm already paying nearly more than I can afford to my ISP, I urge you to protect American citizens from being squeezed further.

Gina Taliaferro

46408

=======================================================================================

From: Mercedes
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mercedes
ADDRESS: CMR 415 box 5533 APO
POST CODE: 09114
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410581262b49c000cd1ee55

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541058088b7a18000e7c4365

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: R. Vázquez
ADDRESS: Urb. La Vista, Vía Panorámica, C6
POST CODE: 00924
COUNTRY: PR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410583b003331000c610c31
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=======================================================================================

From: dan hedlund
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: dan hedlund
ADDRESS: bäckgatan
POST CODE: 60347
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105841fbecab000dab1a2b
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===============================================================================

From: Markus Jã¤ckle
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Markus Jã¤ckle
ADDRESS: Germany
POST CODE: 89073
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541057bba26879000decb231
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nathan Fagerlie
4446 W Keating Cir
Glendale, AZ 85308

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:26
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Adam Chelseth
509 Chestnut St
Cloquet, MN 55720
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:26
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Antonio Bayona
12241 Vista Del Sol Dr Apt A
El Paso, TX 79936

From: Brittany
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brittany
ADDRESS: 172 Benton St
POST CODE: 14620
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410585d444842000d5b99c8
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you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Fanny Schulman
8133 Skyridge Dr
Plano, TX 75025

From: jose volquez
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jose volquez
ADDRESS: 338 center et jamaica plain boston mass
POST CODE: 02130
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105876f1110a000e42e953
---
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=======================================================================================

From: kstarr
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: kstarr
ADDRESS: 2077 center
POST CODE: 07024
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410585ba26879000e0b0f38
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From: Merita Marcille  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:26  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Please keep the net neutral for all content. The best part of the internet is that it is open to everyone equally. Corporations already control our country, our politicians and our media. We need, no, we demand to have one open source for the people to freely and openly express themselves without the filter of corporations that pollute and bastardize every good idea.

Merita Marcille  
95112

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Saucedo  
1238 Kilou St  
Wailuku, HI 96793
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105867dfbdb5000cb0be65

---

Want more awesome more often?
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Elan Jennings-jones
1016 Jeanett Way
Bel Air, MD 21014
change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Francisco Rangel
362 Memory Ln
Turlock, CA 95382

From: Janis
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Janis
ADDRESS: 123 sterling dr
POST CODE: 83704
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410589015ab86000cb1b21d

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:27
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Joseph Harris
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Justin Papas
30540 Milky Way Dr
Temecula, CA 92592
you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dewey Hamasaki
98-057 Kuleana Pl
Pearl City, HI 96782

=====================================================================

From: Emily Ocaña-Ramos
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emily Ocaña-Ramos
ADDRESS: 28960 Davenport Ln.
POST CODE: 92591
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---
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=======================================================================================

From: Lillian Mossin-Langgaard
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lillian Mossin-Langgaard
ADDRESS: Nykøbing Mors
POST CODE: 7900
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541058d01e0849000cdb2863
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâand not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of
any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lola Mckinnon
3217 E Meyer Blvd
Kansas City, MO 64132

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Simon Longoria
508 Jim Miller Dr
Kyle, TX 78640

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ryan Chapman
5800 Dotmar Way
N Highlands, CA 95660

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Victor Mamontov
1205 65th St Apt C
Brooklyn, NY 11219
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:29
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Frank Basquez
305 River Fern Ave Apt 1335
Garland, TX 75040

=================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:29
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: rose carvey
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: box672-eureka mont
Zip: 59917

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don\200\231t break the Internet. Don\200\231t abandon the â\200\234Hands off the â\200\230Netâ\200\235 approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today\200\23s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II\200\231s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T\200\231s government-created monopoly. They\200\231re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don\200\231t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today\200\231s bipartisan â\200\234Hands off the Netâ\200\235 approach. You can\200\231t have â\200\234just enough Title II â\200\235 any more than you can be â\200\234slightly pregnant.â\200\235

Title II would hurt startups most: they can\200\231t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC\200\231s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won\200\231t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it
in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws are adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

============================================================================

From: destiny
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: destiny
ADDRESS: 244 east green st
POST CODE: 13827
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541058dd1e0849000cdb2864

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:29
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Eddie Gamez
14588 Oakwood Ct
Sonora, CA 95370

From: Herb Martin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Herb Martin
ADDRESS: PO Box 1028
POST CODE: 60153
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541058d3003331000ed4d5cd

---
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=======================================================================

From: hope
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: hope
ADDRESS: 75poultney
POST CODE: 14215
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541058b48b7a18000b93cc00
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:29
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers \AT\202\224\200\234 just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual
misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the
dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ross Crawford
7 Parkway Blvd
Lk Ronkonkoma, NY 11779

=================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:29
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Amanda Orman
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 4225 N First Ave Apt 2102
Zip: 85719

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon
the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $0.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition.
Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Steve Hardin
477 Highway 91
Elizabethton, TN 37643

From: viggo hänninen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: viggo hänninen
ADDRESS: kronvägen 12
POST CODE: 91134
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=================================

From: Wesley Vanroose
NAME: Wesley Vanroose
ADDRESS: Wandelweg
POST CODE: 1521ac
COUNTRY: NL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 5410588da26879000decb254
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Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: A Duharte
ADDRESS: 8607 Spring Green
POST CODE: 77095
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:30
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Carolyn Baughan
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 4341 Honeywood Court
Zip: 43228

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what
its proponents demand: ban \200\234fast lanes.\200\235

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called \200\234vigilant restraint.\200\235 But remember Kennard\200\231s digital mantra, too: \200\234First, do no harm.\200\235 If you conclude that existing laws aren\200\231t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC\200\231s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim \200\224 whether that\200\231s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

========================================================================

From: Donna Lentini
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Donna Lentini
ADDRESS: 13555 Oaks Rd
POST CODE: Hughesville, MD 20637
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Isabel Yuvienco
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Isabel Yuvienco
ADDRESS: 8416 Cirque Dr W
POST CODE: 98467
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410591ca26879000bdcaff8
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michael Daniels
5281 Alfalfa St
Las Vegas, NV 89120

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Joyce Leible
1227 Ranney Ave
Cpe Girardeau, MO 63703
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lori Nance
12413 Old Salt Trl
Austin, TX 78732
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jon Foster
1234 Kilbourn St
Elkhart, IN 46514
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dan Ionita
109 Viscount Dr
Williamsville, NY 14221

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Wayne Eiley
6170 Boulder Hwy Apt 1024
Las Vegas, NV 89122

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jamie Mcbride
3800 Bryant Ave S
Minneapolis, MN 55409

==================================================================================================================================

From: João Martins
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: João Martins
ADDRESS: Portugal
POST CODE: 4420-033
COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors.
and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michael Beebe
331 Chinoe Rd
Lexington, KY 40502

From: Richard de Andrade Lima
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard de Andrade Lima
ADDRESS: Rua Serra do Caiapó, Goiânia, Brasil
POST CODE: 74730520
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—a bad change—and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Marcia Foster
525 Nicoll St
Savannah, GA 31401
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Scott Entzi
5652 Tulane Ave
Austintown, OH 44515

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brett Connell
2019 E 375 N
Monon, IN 47959

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Daniel Ramsey
1086 Post St Apt 208
San Francisco, CA 94109

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mike Francis
27060 Xylite St Ne
Isanti, MN 55040
Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Preeti Khandelwal
9028 Federal Ct Apt 2e
Des Plaines, IL 60016

---

From: Mireille
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mireille
ADDRESS: 08 Almond Bay
POST CODE: R2J 2K4
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541059a28b7a18000e7c4392
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Josh Ross
16709 61st Rd
Winfield, KS 67156

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:33
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: “What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control.” In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Marina Jones
704 S Bouziden Dr
Moore, OK 73160

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:33
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Steven Clark
500 County Road O
Forestville, WI 54213
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:33  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Christopher Danley  
4295 White Rd  
Douglasville, GA 30135

From: Emily Tronetti  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emily Tronetti  
ADDRESS: 1805 Tyler Avenue  
POST CODE: 80501  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410596262b49c000d164124
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:33
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Fran Adams
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 111 Sandy Lane Berea Ky.
Zip: 40403

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband
networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

------------------------
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:33
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: “What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is
not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ken Bean
221 County Road 1815
Joppa, AL 35087

=======================================================================================

From: Lars Berg
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lars Berg
ADDRESS: Bergen
POST CODE: 5063
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Mike
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Mike
ADDRESS: 23 Bradfield Ave
POST CODE: 02131
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Sivakumar
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sivakumar
ADDRESS: 500 North Point Pkwy
POST CODE: 30004
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410594cc60b21000e117eea

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Greg Ferro
2005 Garvey Ave
Corcoran, CA 93212
From: Amy Birnbaum
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:34
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please pay attention to the millions of "average" Americans who want net neutrality and not the the big fat corporations who want to once again earn more money and buy whatever they want, however they want, because they DO have the money. I want total access, fast access and complete net neutrality. It’s the right thing to do.

Amy
Amy Birnbaum
87544

====================================================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:34
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Emel Gulumoglu
383 Jervis Ave
Copiague, NY 11726
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Geir Edøy
ADDRESS: Kaasbølsvei 15
POST CODE: 6510
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541059bdc60b21000df16127

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:34
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
George Lonabaugh
8811 Taurus St
Jacksonville, FL 32222
From: Jonathan Claffey  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathan Claffey  
ADDRESS: 4301 Vineland Rd Ste E3  
POST CODE: 32811  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Gerry
126 Village Post Rd
Danvers, MA 01923
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Marie Deshommes
961 E 77th St
Brooklyn, NY 11236

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:34
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nathan Watson
Po Box 667
Ava, MO 65608
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Raymond pierce  
ADDRESS: 117 Matthews dr  
POST CODE: 70458045  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105977b7cb89000b3ce4f5

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Austin Moya
3012 S 240th St Apt 10
Kent, WA 98032
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541059c70033331000bc7c557
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/gbnnEQQ7TVqaSxFpFgw-A/uhl>.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cynthia
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 28778
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541059ec003331000ed4d5ed

---
From: dan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: dan  
ADDRESS: 935 Huntington  
POST CODE: 60007  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105964dfbdb5000cb0be8d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefutr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefutr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/tfLMhyaxT72DEb-LAy3Z7Q/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/tfLMhyaxT72DEb-LAy3Z7Q/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:35
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ€”just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

John Stigall
474 S Main St Unit 108
Ashland City, TN 37015

=======================================================================================
From: Kathy Magne
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:35
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners,

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

I think you need to resign as Chairman of the FCC. It is obvious to me you have no intention of protecting internet neutrality, otherwise you would never even consider creating a slow lane and make accessing services more costly. This is such a diabolical, machiavellian scheme. I can barely afford internet service now and you want to make internet service more costly? You want to make internet service slower for millions of people? You have no business being the FCC Chairman. Please resign immediately.

Sincerely,

Kathy Magne

55105

==========================================================================

From: Kelley Taylor
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelley Taylor
ADDRESS: 502 Perry ST
POST CODE: 72342
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541059db8b7a18000ddd556a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/B93PHtiiTlOAN0XDXGcwA/uh1> .

From: Pushkar
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pushkar
ADDRESS: 24 Smith Ave
POST CODE: 02453
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541059caf35671000d5535f5
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/YMJ-ECH1RBShAPw9JOSN1A/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/YMJ-ECH1RBShAPw9JOSN1A/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: LISA KELSEY
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: LISA KELSEY
ADDRESS: 10746 TRACIE CT.S.W.
POST CODE: 98512
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541059e38b7a18000e7c4399

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew  
ADDRESS: 12903 Sarasota  
POST CODE: 48239  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---

REF: 541059f3dfb5b5000e45faa6
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/D9jEDbZKQ4CCUwWyTwB4aA/o.gif>
If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/D9jEDbZKQ4CCUwWyTwB4aA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Andreas Larsen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.
---

NAME: Andreas Larsen
ADDRESS: CO Joan Jørgensen
POST CODE: 5240
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541059a615ab86000b62cff5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/_AznnUuQQ2eosssi7UKmcog/uhl>.

=======================================================================

From: Kayla McCullough
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kayla McCullough
ADDRESS: 12560 Wolff Street Broomfield CO
POST CODE: 80020
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105a19dfbdb5000e45faaa

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/GimHj_OXTuOQqaDvaig5dw/uh1> .

=================================================================

From: Nancy Löfling
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541059ed444842000ec58698
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Slattery Donohoe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Slattery Donohoe  
ADDRESS: 696 Columbus Ave 5478  
POST CODE: Roxbury Crossing MA 02120  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---

REF: 541059eeb7cb89000cb2ab00
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Howard Burke
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:38
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As a public institution, you are charged with protecting the best interests of the people. Making the Internet a big money pay system and leaving the voting citizen on the side will inhibit the freedom we have come to enjoy and that still exists in the rest of the world.

I ask that you do your jobs and do your duty to the government of, by, and for the people.

Howard Burke
95472

=======================================================================================

From: Thomas
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas
ADDRESS: Ä\230stvangveine 03
POST CODE: 0588
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105a2915ab86000e5ea2fc

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Gwen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gwen
ADDRESS: 1407 E Flint Street
POST CODE: 82072
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105a3bdfbd5000cb0bebd

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James
ADDRESS: 526 Pinto St
POST CODE: 11702
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105a2c62b49c000d164140

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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=======================================================================================

From: José Pichardo
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: José Pichardo
ADDRESS: 12 Hall st
POST CODE: 01841
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541059fbc60b21000df16134

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/DfAre0mIR96hXz7hKz3LJg/uh1>.

====================================================================

From: Kelsey
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelsey
ADDRESS: 2921 San Pedro Street, ABQ New Mexico
POST CODE: 87110
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105a35a26879000decb297
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=======================================================================

From: Oleg
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: Oleg
ADDRESS: ??????????? ??????? ? 33 ? 01 ?? 142
POST CODE: 109431
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105a5162b49c000d164143
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From: burnetta bradich  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:40  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

burnetta bradich  
1619 Vantage Dr  
Shorewood, Illinois 60404

---

NAME: Connor  
ADDRESS: 1722 Pershing Blvd.  
POST CODE: 52732  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: David Wessell
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Wessell
ADDRESS: 34 Hunters Lane
POST CODE: Hendersonville, NC 28791
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105a3bb7cb89000d44c3e6

---
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From: Esma
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Esma
ADDRESS: I. Ljubovica, 62
POST CODE: 71000
COUNTRY: BA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================
From: Marcus Förster  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Marcus Förster  
ADDRESS: Berlin  
POST CODE: 10119  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Michael Revette
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:40
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better. It doesn\201\231t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn\201\231t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\201\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.
Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michael Revette
124 Lincoln Park Dr
Syracuse, New York 13203

---------------------------------------------

From: Sylvia Jones
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:40
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Jones
400 Hillabee Dr
Montgomery, Alabama 36117

---------------------------------------------

From: Alice Shinn
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:41
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know
that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Alice Shinn
165 Steelhead Dr
Silver Creek, Washington 98585

=======================================================================================

From: Carl welland
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carl welland
ADDRESS: 1831 quendale lane
POST CODE: 48381
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jean-Guy Lemieux
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:41
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jean-Guy Lemieux
1041 Wilsham Dr
San Jose, California 95132

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:41
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Jon Hernandez
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 606 calder ave
Zip: 83211

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $0.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws are adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.
Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Mary E Bonner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:41  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary E Bonner  
1703 Marietta Ave Apt 2C  
Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17603

From: Vince Waldron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:41  
Subject: reclassify ISPs as common carriers

Dear FCC and Chairman Wheeler,

I tendered my comments on the FCC proposal to allow fast lanes a few days ago through my affiliate with the Writers Guild of America. But I feel so strongly about the need for the FCC to reclassify ISPs as common carriers that I felt compelled to follow up with this second individual response.
I strongly feel the needs of the people would be best served by preserving the current system of an open and neutral internet, and ask the FCC to adopt whatever measures necessary to ensure that this open internet stays in place well into the future, even if it means reclassifying internet service providers as common carriers, a change that I think makes perfect sense.

Thanks for taking my thoughts into account.

Vince Waldron
Los Angeles, CA

---

From: Deanne Castrejon
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:42
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Deanne Castrejon
519 D St
Ramona, California 92065

---

From: donald white
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:42
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

donald white
2155 S Bridge Rd
Washington, Pennsylvania 15301

From: Martinš
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Martinš
ADDRESS: riga
POST CODE: 1024
COUNTRY: LV

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105a57444842000ba90944
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:42
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: cindi allen
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 3022 holly hill rd, hendersonville n.c.
Zip: 28792

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the â€œHands off the Netâ€ approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan â€œHands off the Netâ€ approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105a70b7cb89000cb2ab06
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From: S Brooks
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: S Brooks
ADDRESS: 253 Barrett Road
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105a75a26879000ca29456
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Donald Raney
6501 Germantown Rd Lot 300
Middletown, Ohio 45042
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Erin Garrett
3512 Biltmore Pl
Augusta, Georgia 30906

From: Jan Hunley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:43
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am the single mother of a high school senior, about to become a college student. Net neutrality is very important to both of us. I do not want any of the sites we use for information on the internet to be prioritized or slowed down. Many of my favorite sites are small-time players who cannot possibly compete with big Telecom companies in a pay to play scheme. I do not want these Big Companies as the gatekeepers of the flow of information through the internet.

Freedom of speech needs to be protected and truly free. Net neutrality is important to me and my family!

Jan Hunley
78130

From: Linda Strode
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:43
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

This is such a big issue and one that will be with us for many years to come. In so many areas of our lives there is "pay to play" programs including politics. Let’s not make the internet the newest pay to play. It is time to speak up for the average citizen and use you power to keep the sites we utilize running at the same speed as everyone else. Thank you for taking the time to truly see the big picture.

Linda Strode
84129
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael
ADDRESS: 214 S 13TH ST
POST CODE: 42071
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ad2b7cb89000b3ce50a

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Monika Schärfl-Stahl  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Monika Schärfl-Stahl  
ADDRESS: Antersdorferstr.  
POST CODE: 84359  
COUNTRY: DE  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54105a4fd110a000d0e6bb1
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From: Nicole Wells
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:43
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
From: Rodrigo Augusto de Lima  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rodrigo Augusto de Lima  
ADDRESS: São Paulo-Brasil  
POST CODE: 04540050  
COUNTRY: BR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Rodrigo S. Cañibano
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Rodrigo S. Cañibano
ADDRESS: Colonia alemana 1534, Neuquen, Neuquen, Argentina
POST CODE: 8300
COUNTRY: AR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Tido von Wedel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tido von Wedel
ADDRESS: Krumbacher Str. 13
POST CODE: 80798 München
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105a8df1110a000d0e6bae
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From: Diego JosuÃ© DÃ­az Reyes
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Diego Josuã© Dã-az Reyes
ADDRESS: 201-203 Main St.
POST CODE: 75068
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ab815ab86000e5ea311

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
From: Nicholas Graham
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicholas Graham
ADDRESS: 2572 Orlando drive apt 07
POST CODE: 72701
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ae9f1110a000d0e6bb9
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Linda Thornton
ADDRESS: 2513 9th St SW Lehigh Acre, Fl
POST CODE: 33976
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b12444842000ba9095b

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Mika Kontiainen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mika Kontiainen
ADDRESS: Närhentie 05
POST CODE: 15540
COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b07dfdb5000d11e95f

---
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=======================================================================================
From: Nick
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick
ADDRESS: Elmstaed Gardens
POST CODE: KT4
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105af2dad595000ea701cd

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105af7c60b21000e117f03

---

Want more awesome more often?
NAME: Tamás Liczkai
ADDRESS: Árvá-z utca 62
POST CODE: 6724
COUNTRY: HU
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b01dfbdb5000d11e95d
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========================================================================================================

From: Daniel Montrose  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Montrose
ADDRESS: 1305 Amsterdam Avenue
POST CODE: 10027
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54105b33f1110a000d0e6bbf
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=======================================================================================
From: Paul WAHLSTROM
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:46
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

September 15, 2014

Chairman Wheeler,

The free flow of information on the WEB is a testament to the principle of free speech to one and all. Everyone benefits from this; education, commerce, entertainment. Please consider the merits of regulating as a public utility.

Paul Wahlstrom

Paul WAHLSTROM
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alix
ADDRESS: 8615 elmhurst ave 1d
POST CODE: 11373
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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From: Damian
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Damian
ADDRESS: 184 Pace Avenue
POST CODE: 38555
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b2af35671000eb3c325

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/SjkVuwWMTz-3mcфтweIlWw/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Robert Haché
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Haché
ADDRESS: 11C MAIN ST
POST CODE: 06081
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b3a99efdf000e081e1d
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/512_YQR9SKqhnXH-POzQPQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/512_YQR9SKqhnXH-POzQPQ/uh1>.

From: ajay
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ajay
ADDRESS: India
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b7bf1110a000d0e6bc5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/VW145T4rRMesPDuTeD-muQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/VW145T4rRMesPDuTeD-muQ/uh1> .
From: Anonymous
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anonymous
ADDRESS: N/A
POST CODE: N/A
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b3699efdf000d805480
From: h conrad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:49  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

In the interest of equality, the internet must serve the public as nothing less than an official Public Utility. No "tiers"!

h conrad

95688

====================================================================================================

From: Michaela  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michaela  
ADDRESS: 118 Short Oak Road  
POST CODE: 30705  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b7adfbd50000e45fac6

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/mbw3s04bSv6fdsSg6bW9-g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/mbw3s04bSv6fdsSg6bW9-g/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Ryan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan
ADDRESS: 4654 N Winchester Ave
POST CODE: 60640
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b4f003331000bc7c575

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/r1PXVLmZR9mqISrEdWdPjA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/r1PXVLmZR9mqISrEdWdPjA/uhl>.
From: Charles Dicus  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Charles Dicus  
ADDRESS: 2355 Piney Grove Loop  
POST CODE: 38475  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b6c444842000ec586c1
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Madalena Bettencourt
ADDRESS: Avenida Conde São João 8b cave
POST CODE: 2770-039
COUNTRY: PT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105bcfb7cb89000cb2ab26

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheFTR>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheFTR>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/9Lkfmc7zQnyYvWfLb0yWxw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/9Lkfmc7zQnyYvWfLb0yWxw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Malaka
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Malaka
ADDRESS: 12310 cassady drive
POST CODE: 78727
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b8bf1110a000e42e9c6

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <https://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/FRXfYYZNSjSv4DO4iYUIsQ/uh1>.

=================================================================================================

From: Marius Smørvik
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marius Smørvik
ADDRESS: Sølvveien 08
POST CODE: 4629
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b831e0849000d05c184

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/rQ1xgsbCQWSI6Gj1uS41iQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================
From: Nancy tarrant  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:51  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  

No Content Found -- Please specify some content  
We must have net neutrality. We will soon have to pay for the air we breathe. There must be limits to corporation charging us for EVERYTHING.  

Nancy tarrant  
38111  

=======================================================================================  

From: Rob W  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.  

---  
NAME: Rob W  
ADDRESS: XXX  
POST CODE: 07950  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105be3dac595000d1eab42

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/UHXHqXVfThK1RwPOpOACNA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Shelley Smith
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shelley Smith
ADDRESS: 59292 Belknap Springs Rd.
POST CODE: 97413
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b7f8b7a18000e7c43d5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/jdxx5AB9SjGF0XZIUs16eQ/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================

From: Travis Rayes
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Travis Rayes
ADDRESS: 5713 Pointe Drive
POST CODE: 46320
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b8ddac595000ea701dd

---
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From: Brett
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brett
ADDRESS: 718 Highland Springs Ct
POST CODE: 46902
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105bec15ab86000cb1b267

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105bb2b7cb89000e2b2903

---
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From: Grover Zinn
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Grover Zinn
ADDRESS: 137 Kendal Drive
POST CODE: 44074
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105b89dac595000b708645

---
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From: La Jeanne Kline
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:52
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am a Realtor and depend heavily on a good internet speed and service. Jobs will be at stake if Big Telecom is allowed to mine the Net and squeeze out extra profits. Free speech depends upon the readily available access without constraints that benefit a few.

La Jeanne Kline

97708
From: Mark Wagner  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark Wagner
ADDRESS: 3056 Cedar Xing
POST CODE: 55305
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105bb2444842000d5b9a2c
---
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=======================================================================

From: Jacques Camphausen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacques Camphausen
ADDRESS: Birkenbergstraße 237e
POST CODE: 51379
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105bec15ab860000d450109

---
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From: Ryan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan
ADDRESS: 549 Merchants ave
POST CODE: Rochester NY
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105c01444842000d5b9a35
---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105c11dfbdb5000d11e971
---
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NAME: Daniel
ADDRESS: Plaza Arzobispo Romero MenjÃ-bar
POST CODE: 14014
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or Designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105c331e0849000cdb28f9
---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sara Boström
ADDRESS: Pg vejdes väg 08
POST CODE: 35252 Växjö
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten these investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105c17b0a7e4000c165193
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefutr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefutr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/rvK5_cMASVicpLtY7psctA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: SebastiÁ¡n Vázquez Cascales
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: SebastiÁ¡n Vázquez Cascales
ADDRESS: c/ rocÀ-o 23, sevilla, spain
POST CODE: 41010
COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105c19dfb0b5000e45fad3
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/qT5NIQ3BTqy9Jop-wYtz1Q/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/qT5NIQ3BTqy9Jop-wYtz1Q/uh1> .

---

From: Gregoris
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Gregoris
ADDRESS: 797 Madison Ave
POST CODE: 22903
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105c46dac595000ea701f1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/L11XrSMgTJmAr7qcMGAVVQ/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================

From: Samantha
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Samantha
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 3253
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105c5ef35671000eb3c350

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Segert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Segert

ADDRESS: Dotingastate 118

POST CODE: 8926 MS

COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105c67f1110a000e42e9e9

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Stéphane Guidoin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Stéphane Guidoin
ADDRESS: NYC
POST CODE: 10005
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105c4dfbecab000b573f6d

---

Want more awesome more often?
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From: Antoine
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Antoine
ADDRESS: gavrus, France
POST CODE: 14210
COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105c7adfbdb5000d11e97d

---
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=======================================================================================

From: richard blake
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:56
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The educational, cultural, and economic opportunities presented by the internet MUST be AVAILABLE AND AFFORDABLE to ALL Americans, rather than being turned into just another source of profits for telecom giants which are already quite profitable thank you. In addition, the possibility of ideologically-based content censorship (by consignment to the "slow lane") is grossly anti-democratic and quasi-totalitarian.

richard blake
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=======================================================================================

From: Ronak Patel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Ronak Patel
ADDRESS: 2701 Penny Ln, Apt 221
POST CODE: 78757
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105cbcdfeb5b8000d11e98a
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From: Karen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Karen
ADDRESS: Sutton, Ma
POST CODE: 01590
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform...
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ca2dac595000ea701fb
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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From: Oliver Pedersen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Oliver Pedersen
ADDRESS: Espedammen 70
POST CODE: 2605 brÃ¸ndby
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105c6ba26879000decb2ed
---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/Xzz7AjBzROaCPsP5jy4pS0Q/uh1>.

====================================================================

From: Scott Rice
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott Rice
ADDRESS: 68 peachtree ave
POST CODE: 91311
COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105cd4003331000d009683

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Angela Keith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Angela Keith
ADDRESS: 2163 Lima Loop #71777 Laredo? TX?  
POST CODE: 78045  
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105cd6003331000ed4d64c

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Barbara Andes
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Barbara Andes
ADDRESS: 42 Tallgrass Way
POST CODE: The Woodlands 77389
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105cd88b7a18000ddd55bf

---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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=================================================================

From: John
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John
ADDRESS: 16 Carson circle
POST CODE: 5555
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105cb18b7a18000e7c43fd

---
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=======================================================================================
From: Jordan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Jordan
ADDRESS: 192 Union Street, Brooklyn
POST CODE: 11231
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105cee15ab86000cb1b283

---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/__jywUSNESkS8Jt5h1e-9XA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: mychal
Date: 09/15/2014 14:52:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: mychal
ADDRESS: 5100 Nordic dr.
POST CODE: 93309
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ccba26879000decb2fb
---
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From: B
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: B
ADDRESS: G
POST CODE: H
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105d45003331000ed4d662
---
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From: Donald Grames
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Donald Grames
ADDRESS: 4252 W Camino Pintoresco
POST CODE: Tucson, AZ 85745
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105d08003331000ed4d655
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Maria Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Maria Smith  
ADDRESS: Bøgøta 15  
POST CODE: 700  
COUNTRY: FO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ceef35671000eb3c36c

---
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From: Sebastian
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sebastian
ADDRESS: 13 n main
POST CODE: 05676
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105d0cc60b21000df1619b
---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:01
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Teresa Millwood
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 1880 Clark st.
Zip: 97814

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You
can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=======================================================================================

From: Emre Ozdemir
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emre Ozdemir
ADDRESS: Derebahçe Mah. Türkmen Sok.
POST CODE: 55055
COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers. To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=============================================================================

From: enayat khan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: enayat khan

ADDRESS: golmuri muslim basti house-no qur -04 near md ali-loha tall
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: James Mantz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James Mantz  
ADDRESS: 405 Vosler Cir  
POST CODE: 71110  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105d1c1e0849000b59697d
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
David Milan
9018 Arborside Dr
Dallas, TX 75243
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Trent Rasc
13131 Willowthorn Ln
San Antonio, TX 78249

From: Mike LaCour
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mike LaCour
ADDRESS: 300 wooded Geln
POST CODE: Sunnyvale, tx,75182
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:02
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors
of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Willie Page II
6905 Goldfield Dr
Colorado Spgs, CO 80911

============================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:03
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Barbara White
17101 Merion Dr
Omaha, NE 68136

============================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:03
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by...
bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!. 

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Charles Gray
9126 Liberty Rd
Randallstown, MD 21133

=================================================================================================

From: Cora
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cora
ADDRESS: 221B Baker Street
POST CODE: 92308
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=====================================================================

From: Link
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Link
ADDRESS: 02 north street
POST CODE: ox18 2rl
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54105d9b15ab86000b62d02d
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/IeDIlhVORMoDvLfxPC3JUQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/IeDIlhVORMoDvLfxPC3JUQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Mark
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark
ADDRESS: 5540 19th St. #413
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Name
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Name
ADDRESS: Address
POST CODE: Zip / Postcode
COUNTRY: MT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105d918b7a18000ddd55dd
---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:03
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Cindy Miles
206 Maple St
Three Oaks, MI 49128

=======================================================================================

From: asd
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: asd
ADDRESS: asd
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105da7f6ab000e3ea918

---
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From: bart
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: bart
ADDRESS: 2229 m ave hubbard ne
POST CODE: 68741
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105da4c60b21000b542647
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/JxKOZZ49R46wUX3wytOT4A/uh1>.

---

From: Carolyn Dunn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:04  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Giving up net neutrality will cause many emails and websites to open so slowly that many people will not wait for the information that they want and need. It will be a disservice to websites particularly used for health considerations, education, and communicating about governmental and political elections and voting issues and policies that people need to read, view and experience to vote intelligently that have not been bought for by the rich with their limited economic agendas. Websites used by very young children and school age children, that the internet is necessary for them to explore and have their minds opened to many new subjects, cultures, areas and travel sites and communities that they might not see otherwise or experience - you will not know what is lost by not stimulating the mind of young people and also for those people would be classified as teen agers, middle age, and the elderly.

Carolyn Dunn  
40241

---

From: Elizabeth  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth
ADDRESS: 1741 Spring Ln
POST CODE: 92882
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54105da4003331000d009693

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Moisès CD Marcàén Rosado
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:04
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Moisés CD Marcán Rosado

ADDRESS: Denton St #390 Eduardo Conde AVE

POST CODE: 00912

COUNTRY: PR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105dab62b49c000e6c5acc

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Robert Wynn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Robert Wynn  
ADDRESS: 1518 Burnam Road  
POST CODE: 64601  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105dbc15ab86000cb1b297

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dustin Shapley  
924 N Quail  
Mesa, AZ 85205

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:04
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sharon Tosi
40 Stone House Way
Newark, OH 43055

=======================================================================================
From: Solmøy Saltveit
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Solmøy Saltveit
ADDRESS: Norway
POST CODE: 5517
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform...
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105d9da26879000decb32e

---
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From: Benjamin Hedrick
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Benjamin Hedrick
ADDRESS: 2538 East Santo Stefano
POST CODE: 83642
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54105d3d444842000ec586f2

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Ida Midtbø Alstad
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ida Midtbø Alstad
ADDRESS: Holdalsnuten 08
POST CODE: 4633
COUNTRY: NO
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105dc0f1110a000d0e6c08

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:05
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tyrone Wilson
3608 Montreal Creek Cir Apt 05
Clarkston, GA 30021

From: Antonio Toriz
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Antonio Toriz
ADDRESS: Estate of México
POST CODE: 56600
COUNTRY: MX
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105dcac60b21000e117f38

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/eJ1rMWfXQemE8gY784w5Ng/o.gif>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Carlton Brown
1200 Clements Bridge Rd Apt 105
Barrington, NJ 08007

From: Damon
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Damon
ADDRESS: 20041 Ohio
POST CODE: 48221
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ddbdfbdb5000e45faef

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/Z3RaMK10TMiRcyqb_eGd0w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/Z3RaMK10TMiRcyqb_eGd0w/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Justin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin  
ADDRESS: 29 Oneck Lane  
POST CODE: 11978  
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105dcd99efdf000e081e73

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/Sm5MoYYGSs6xLG9aZd9Wqg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/Sm5MoYYGSs6xLG9aZd9Wqg/uh1> .

====================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michell García
ADDRESS: Perkins Rd
POST CODE: 14623
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105de015ab86000b62d031

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Joe Ruybal
938 S Johnson Way
Lakewood, CO 80226

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:07
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could
improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brandon Brown
17970 Tiptoe Ln
Redding, CA 96003

---

From: Mary Altair
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mary Altair
ADDRESS: 12331 Rt 78 East aurora NY
POST CODE: 14052
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105df9c60b21000c7f1131

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/XCejb2C2RrunmGf8amE5BQ/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Mike
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mike
ADDRESS: 7037 Hwy 26
POST CODE: 95245
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e0cb7cb89000d44c465
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/OG99RVyKRSOIwbqf4kSWXA/uh1>.

=================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:07
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Barb Weipert
40 E Main St
Waterville, IA 52170

From: Arlene Romeo
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Arlene Romeo
ADDRESS: 163 autumn breeze lane
POST CODE: 28422
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e3af35671000d55367a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/Pg7MiNhRh2dZzzrVTpTMeg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Pg7MiNhRh2dZzzrVTpTMeg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Eli
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eli
ADDRESS: 1741 Clearview St, Scranton, PA
POST CODE: 18508
COUNTRY: JP

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e261e0849000b59698a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/4JrpicM1QCuW0SxIvJqQqQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/4JrpicM1QCuW0SxIvJqQqQ/uh1>.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:08
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Clara Hampton-lee
3210 Indianwood Ln
Joliet, IL 60431

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:08
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Judy Ledford
215 Neeley Rd
Guston, KY 40142

From: Mustafa
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mustafa

ADDRESS: 119 r Tariq Road

POST CODE: 75530

COUNTRY: PK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e1b003331000ed4d67a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:09
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Leslie Biggs
2422 215th Ave Se
Sammamish, WA 98075

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:09
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: corey stohlquist
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: Woodstock
Zip: 60098

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon
Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim, whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:09
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on
taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Emmanuel Lopez
910 9th Ave Apt 03
Huntington, WV 25701

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:09
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Evan Antflick
3820 Country Lights St
Las Vegas, NV 89129

From: Gabriel Alves
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gabriel Alves
ADDRESS: Rua Valéria nº90
POST CODE: 15075010
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e2f444842000c5ffb9c

---
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From: Matthew Kantelis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew Kantelis  
ADDRESS: 76 Congress  
POST CODE: 92602  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e33b0a7e4000c1651c2

---
From: Terri Whealen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:09  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:  
The internet should be available equally to all.  

Terri Whealen  
53716  

From: Till Koglin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  
NAME: Till Koglin  
ADDRESS: Kollegievägen 84  
POST CODE: 22473  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e4815ab86000d450165
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:10
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on
Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sheina Caraballo
8509 Hare Ave
Jacksonville, FL 32211

==============================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:11
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Loretta Meier
542 Bruton Bends Dr Apt M
Richardson, TX 75081

==============================================

From: Lukas
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Lukas
ADDRESS: 1837 Long Point Dr
POST CODE: 48302
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e5f003331000ed4d688

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:11  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mark Woodyard  
4845 Montevista Dr 
Sarasota, FL 34231

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet.
If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Joesph Jihznan
636 Independence Ave
Provo, UT 84601

---

From: David klotz
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David klotz
ADDRESS: 8527 e parkway s
POST CODE: 39335
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Ethel Steinmetz Marmont
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:12
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC:

I want information, not corporate greed. I want equal access and control, not control by a few mega-corporations. I want a neutral internet - not Big Telecom. I depend on the internet for hundreds of things - from job search to medical information to clothes shopping, to communicating with friends - to political information. Leave the net neutral.

Ethel Steinmetz Marmont
98282

=======================================================================================

From: Lenin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Lenin
ADDRESS: 3369 John F. Kennedy Blvd.
POST CODE: 07307
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: mariel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: mariel
ADDRESS: 116 Salisbury e
POST CODE: 334
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mike Clark
5847 Abbey Rose Ct
Las Vegas, NV 89139

=====================================================================

From: Shawn
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shawn

ADDRESS: 3372 Tanglewood Dr. Augusta GA

POST CODE: 30909

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e67003331000c610d08

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/CKRoyBP2QIebR2Ouuj7YIg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/CKRoyBP2QIebR2Ouuj7YIg/uh1>.

==================================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
William Ward  
376 Deepwood Dr  
Wadsworth, OH 44281

==================================================================================================================

From: Jayne  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jayne  
ADDRESS: 3927 Appaloosa Dr, Swansea il  
POST CODE: 62226  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================
From: Jereth
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Jereth
ADDRESS: 9743 Foxhound Dr.
POST CODE: 45342
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e72b0a7e4000bf69f46
---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cindy Kanitz
215 Spruce Pine Dr
Thomasville, GA 31757
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Linda Spainer
13301 Marek Dr
Chesterfield, VA 23838

From: marcus
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: marcus
ADDRESS: Ligustervägen 83
POST CODE: 43448
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Nikki
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nikki
ADDRESS: Ringvägen 43 nb
POST CODE: 13731
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54105e56c60b21000c7f1144

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/CI4sBojfTame_db16b7pkA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/CI4sBojfTame_db16b7pkA/uh1> .

===============================================================================

From: Porque el blog debe continuar...
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Porque el blog debe continuar\200|
ADDRESS: México City
POST CODE: 11500
COUNTRY: MX
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road, and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Deborah Stewart
205 N State St
Terril, IA 51364

From: Gjøran Johnsen-Slettås
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gjøran Johnsen-Slettås
ADDRESS: sentrumsgården 3a
POST CODE: 7633
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e9dc60b21000e117f48

---
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From: Michael
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael
ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 29201
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e891e0849000d05c1e9
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From: Tara Kozocari
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tara Kozocari
ADDRESS: 28 Demos PL Victoria bc
POST CODE: v9a7a7
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105e53dfbdb5000cb0bf83
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Motty Fishtonaoster
920 Stillman Ave Apt J
Redlands, CA 92374

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:15
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable
outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Fawn Donatucci
111 W Buckingham Dr
Round Lake, IL 60073

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:15
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a “public utility” and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Larry Krist
105 Roberts St
Sandusky, MI 48471

From: LEILA AVANT
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:16
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

To protect an open internet, please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations.
I am student who has concerns about the first amendment implications of prioritizing, blocking, or slowing down access to internet information. Net Neutrality is important to me so do your jobs and protect it.

LEILA AVANT
92405

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:16
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Iliana Odonal
801 Madison St
Seattle, WA 98104

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:16
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Amy Smith
203 S Richmond Ave
Tulsa, OK 74112

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:17
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nakia Page
1010 Duncan St
Spindale, NC 28160

From: Douglas Smith
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:17
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Douglas Smith
98225

From: Jenny worked gee
NAME: Jenny worked gee
ADDRESS: 17 Amalfi dr cortlandt manor
POST CODE: 10567
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ebc99efdf000e081eab

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Janet Countrman
3203 Columbine Ct
Broomfield, CO 80020

From: Ben  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: Ben
ADDRESS: 422 W. Circle Dr. Fayetteville, AR
POST CODE: 72701
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105eb48b7a18000ddd5607
---
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From: Danielle Carr  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:18  
Subject: Preserve Women’s Voices by Protecting Net Neutrality

Dear FCC,

The proposed rules are a threat to Net neutrality

Currently, structural inequality in major media leaves women out. The internet is one of the few places where independent media can thrive, providing a place for all women to tell our stories in our own words. The proposed FCC rules for a misleadingly-named “open Internet” would not only threaten our free and easy access to these ways of making ourselves heard, by extension they would also give ISPs power to control and censor our speech online.

We use the web to organize and fight for change outside of it, but our access to the internet and the tools it offers is provided by big cable companies. We cannot allow for those we are fighting to become the very same people who have authority over the tools we use to fight.

Justice for women in its many forms is dependent on the ability to share information, ideas, and experiences freely. These proposed rules are a direct threat to my ability to be heard and to hear from the people I need to hear from. More importantly, they are a direct threat to women’s voices the world over. It is for these reasons that I strongly urge you not to pass these rules, and instead adopt rules and regulations that classify the Internet as a telecommunications service, which will preserve a truly open and equal internet for everyone.

Sincerely

Danielle Carr  
1648 W. 79th Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90047

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Hans Brodesser
1088 Bird Bay Way
Venice, FL 34285

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along — no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Harry Sargous
1022 Greenhills Dr
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

From: James Yussef
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James Yussef
ADDRESS: 5661 clarendon lane
POST CODE: 89081
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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============================================================================
NAME: Sandra
ADDRESS: Schützengarten 24
POST CODE: 92727
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54105eb6fbecab000dab1ae

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Susan Kasper
14 Windy Hill Rd
Holmes, NY 12531

---

NAME: Brian Scott
ADDRESS: Belfast, Northern Ireland
POST CODE: bt5 7bd
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ef215ab86000e5ea37d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/obRbwPURRsmh8U2oGxNIXQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/obRbwPURRsmh8U2oGxNIXQ/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Bronwen
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ebbb7cb89000cb2ab77

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Bryant  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bryant

ADDRESS: 3516 Pinellas Ln

POST CODE: 37412

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ecbf35671000bbeb5a2

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Carolyn Christopher
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carolyn Christopher
ADDRESS: 1410 Glen
POST CODE: 48103
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105edc003331000c610d25

---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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=======================================================================================

From: Dolores Guest
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:19
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The idea that our access will be so limited unless we can pay for it is scary and upsetting. It is important that the internet be free from these sorts of limitations!

I am a researcher with collaborators world-wide. It is vital to my work and the scientific community that there be NO prioritizing, blocking or slowing down of access to information on the internet.

Net Neutrality is vital to maintaining liberty of ideas. Without it we risk content that is "pre-screened" or otherwise filtered by those with means. This cannot happen if we want to maintain a free-thinking society.

Dolores Guest
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emily  
ADDRESS: 123 01/02 N. Duncan st apt 06, Stillwater OK  
POST CODE: 74075  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fábio Rafael Nunes
ADDRESS: Indaia
POST CODE: 13468500
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ebec60b21000e117f4c

---
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From: Florian Schäfer
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Florian Schäfer
ADDRESS: Wittgensteinerstr. 132
POST CODE: 57271
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ed7c60b21000c7f115e
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:19
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”200â€”and not for the better.
Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulations just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Janice Boyd
9401 Old Concord Rd Apt M
Charlotte, NC 28213

From: Steven Robbins
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steven Robbins
ADDRESS: 198 Sorauren Ave., Toronto
POST CODE: M6R 2E9
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ea999efdf000e081ea4

---
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From: Tyler
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler
ADDRESS: 1710 Tellico Drive
POST CODE: 37179
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ed6a26879000decb382

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:20
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express
creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Arturo Quiroz
4500 Mira Loma Dr Apt 370
Reno, NV 89502

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:20
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
William McCabe
4498 Wagner Dr
Bethlehem, PA 18020

From: Ege Altug
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Ege Altug
 ADDRESS: Kizilirmak Street, Ä\207ankaya, Ankara , Turkey 38/28
 POST CODE: 06510
 COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105efd003331000d0096ac
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/frsWz4NIRKeANDgFn9_IqA/o.gif>
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---

REF: 54105ebdfbecab000dab1af3
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/cPgX_6k4SKWG7RtYpG8JXQ/o.gif>
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<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/cPgX_6k4SKWG7RtYpG8JXQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Kate Ziegler
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:20
Subject: Net Neutrality is Vital to Protect Women’s & Girls’ Voices Online

Already, major media’s structural inequality continually leaves women out. The internet is one of the few places where independent media can thrive, providing a place for all women to tell our stories in our words on our own terms, uncensored and controlled by no one. The proposed FCC rules for a misleadingly-named “open Internet” would not only threaten our free and easy access to these ways of making ourselves heard, by extension they would also give ISPs power to control and censor our speech -- my speech -- online.

And the threat is twofold: we use the web to organize and fight for change outside of it. The internet allows us to spread messages farther than our feet could take us, make ideas louder than our voices can shout, and stand together on the same ground though we are miles apart. But our access to the internet and the tools it offers is provided by big cable companies. In the fight for media justice, we are at risk of the very people we fight against having authority over the tools we use to fight them. Silencing dissenting voices will be easier than ever -- and legal -- under these proposed rules.

Justice for women in its many forms is dependent on the ability to share information, ideas, and experiences freely. These proposed rules are a direct threat to my ability to be heard and to hear from the people I need to hear from. More importantly, they are a direct threat to women’s voices the world over. It is for these reasons that I strongly urge you not to pass these rules, and instead adopt rules and regulations that classify the Internet as a telecommunications service, which will preserve a truly open and equal internet for everyone.

Kate Ziegler

Boston, MA 02130

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:20
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mehmet Bozkurt
209 Tenbury Wells Dr
Cary, NC 27518

===================================================================================================

From: Miriam
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Miriam
ADDRESS: 5223 Corteen pl apt 06 Valley Village CA
POST CODE: 91607
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ee91e0849000cdb2966

---
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============================================================================

From: Robert Sawyer
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Sawyer
ADDRESS: 11 Thurston Street
POST CODE: 02035
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ebdb7cb89000b3ce547
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/k3t_AGkGSOasiRadnG9kHA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Sam
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam
ADDRESS: 20 Claflin Rd
POST CODE: 02445
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ee4c60b21000df161eb

---
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---

From: Stalin Peña  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stalin Peña  
ADDRESS: 600 Madison Ave. New York, NY  
POST CODE: 10022  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adán white
ADDRESS: bakeer St, 80
POST CODE: 10038
COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f06a26879000e0b0fbd

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:21

Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Barbara Brant
16390 Asheville Hwy
Campobello, SC 29322

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:21
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Laurence Andrews
4503 Del Rio Ct
Sebring, FL 33875

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:21
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Amber Winingham
Po Box 1010
Jamestown, TN 38556

=======================================================================================

From: sarah  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sarah
ADDRESS: 1721 Laurel Ave
POST CODE: 37916
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ef6dac595000d1eabb3
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:21
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: sybil wilson
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 2030 Kensington Drive
Zip: 45406

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $0.01 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You
Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:22
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Martin Price
507 Katherine Ct
Duncanville, TX 75137
From: Donald Kimble
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Donald Kimble
ADDRESS: 04 Short Ave Apt A
POST CODE: Petersburg WV 26847
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Joseph Ryan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph Ryan  
ADDRESS: 21079 Hawthorne CT  
POST CODE: 21064  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal...
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f1bc60b21000c7f1169
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From: Kirstin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kirstin
ADDRESS: ?
POST CODE: 95630
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f1fa26879000decb398
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=======================================================================================

From: LluÃ-s
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: LluÃ-s
ADDRESS: No.
POST CODE: 08272
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f1415ab86000cb1b2c1
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:22
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brian Lubenau
72 Park St
New Haven, CT 06513

From: Marion MacGillivray
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:22
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality has created the amazing media education/information/connection world expansion. We need to maintain service providers loading all websites at the same speed. Stop Big Telecom from changing the rules so they can extract more money from websites by making them pay to be served to customers at faster speeds.

In order to preserve net neutrality, the FCC needs to ban Big Telecom from creating these so-called fast-lanes which only large companies could afford. Start-ups and independent sites like Daily Kos would be left behind and crushed.

Our internet experience would drop into the pits in no time at all - please stop this from happening and leave the internet as it is.

Thanks,

Marion

Marion MacGillivray
95602
NAME: Angela Rush
ADDRESS: Royal Oak
POST CODE: 48067
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or Designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f3699efdf000d8054df
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=======================================================================================
From: David
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David

ADDRESS: Ä\226stra Vallgatan 2A

POST CODE: 22361

COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f2ab0a7e4000e46924e
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:23
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service, it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Donna Walterscheid
Po Box 134
Muenster, TX 76252
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:23  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Karen Wooldridge  
664 S Ellsworth Ave  
Marshall, MO 65340  

From: Alex Pollard  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex Pollard
ADDRESS: 2270 Piccardo Cir.
POST CODE: 95207
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: david Eidler
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:24
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net Neutrality is an essential part of the web landscape. Without Net Neutrality the web will change into a very unfair media.

david Eidler

70118
From: Deonna
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Deonna
ADDRESS: 12912 w 78th terr
POST CODE: 66062
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f35c60b21000e117f63
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:24
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Alejandro Garcia
4510 Rodeo Ln
Laredo, TX 78046

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: marcus schrÅ.der
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: marcus schrÅ.der
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f38dfbdb5000cb0bfce

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/jqIpPfSjRKCQDyiTun2UKQ/uh1>.
From: Romeo Zacharatos  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Romeo Zacharatos
ADDRESS: 228 Edgewater Cir
POST CODE: 16509
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Rick Ammons
150 Beech St Nw
Concord, NC 28025

From: Jérémy Haut
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f3c444842000ec58740

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/aWQnvN_4TMy5mNlkf6B0ag/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Joshua Kalinoff  
4868 Hawk Rd  
Florence, MO 65329

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f4f99efdf000c3453db

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/VAHq5_0dSKSqwJRhRJBNLg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/VAHq5_0dSKSqwJRhRJBNLg/uh1>.

=================================================================

From: Victoria Waller
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:25
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I own a small healthcare recruiting company. As a small business owner, I’m very concerned that I won’t be able to compete on the same level as the large companies who will be able to afford the "fast lane". The internet must be equally open to everyone. Giving the large, deep-pocketed businesses priority will ultimately kill small business innovation. Please keep the internet neutral! Thank you.

Victoria Waller

67042

=================================================================

From: Amy Reece
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:26
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

This is really one of the only forms of pure freedom of speech left where we don’t have to edit or cater to some big business or lobbyist. Please don’t allow big business to dominate the internet as it does everything else.

Amy Reece

90024

=================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Veronica Bojorquez
549 Cherokee Ct
Salinas, CA 93906

=======================================================================================

From: Dev  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Dev  
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 02116  
COUNTRY: TH  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f4cb7cb89000b3ce560

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/kUcj2bPuSky6T_K9B_DjjQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/kUcj2bPuSky6T_K9B_DjjQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Luis Conde
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luis Conde
ADDRESS: Calle Maria Auxiliadora n°04
POST CODE: NN
COUNTRY: BO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f4c62b49c000cd1ef52

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/hxC196RbS92fQTy1WV16WA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/hxC196RbS92fQTy1WV26WA/uh1>.
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ricardo Avila
3436 Mayland Ave
Baldwin Park, CA 91706

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:27
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brandon Gipson
435 Sherwood Ave
Satellite Bch, FL 32937

From: Doc Pierce
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:27
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

This "contest" is clearly about Corporate Control and Greed vs. Net Neutrality. The only moral vote is NO!

Doc Pierce
93023

From: Lauren
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Lauren
ADDRESS: 3400 Squirrel Dr
POST CODE: 65202
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f6e003331000c610d52
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:27
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change@\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme
Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michell Camacho
3809 Keelboat Cir Apt 101
Virginia Bch, VA 23453

=================================================================

From: Sébastien DESTRE
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sébastien DESTRE
ADDRESS: 28, rue du Plessis
POST CODE: 35310
COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f74c60b21000df16210
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From: Sercan Yildiz
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sercan Yildiz
ADDRESS: 943. sk, No: 29 Atatürk Mah.
POST CODE: 35030
COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105f5f15ab86000d4501b2
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=======================================================================================

From: Victoria
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Aaron Feit
602 N Center St
Lexington, IL 61753
From: Hunter Chrisman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hunter Chrisman  
ADDRESS: 6628 NW Dearing Dr,  
POST CODE: 64152  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Isabella Bruski  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Isabella Bruski  
ADDRESS: 18219 27th Dr. SE Bothell WA, 98012 United States of America  
POST CODE: 98012  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=====================================================================
From: Morten Andreas Å\230stlund
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Morten Andreas Å\230stlund
ADDRESS: Amund Hellandsvei 26
POST CODE: 8019
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: Ronald
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ronald
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Billy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Billy
ADDRESS: 2812 Azelda Street
POST CODE: 43211
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Birgitta Myhrman Edberg
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Birgitta Myhrman Edberg
ADDRESS: Atterbomsvägen 60, Stockholm
POST CODE: 11257
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:29
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Drew Kjeldsen
17829 Sundial Dr
Noblesville, IN 46062

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:29
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Merhawi Tafere
4 Woodbine St Apt 02
Roxbury, MA 02119

=======================================================================================
From: Bradley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bradley
ADDRESS: 13857 Luna Rd
POST CODE: 92392
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its...
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105fbedfbd5000d11e9df
---
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From: Christine Rivera  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christine Rivera  
ADDRESS: 2440 East Normandy Drive Anaheim  
POST CODE: 92806  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carlos Cueva
3430 42nd St Apt 2r
Long Is City, NY 11101

=======================================================================================

From: Konstancja
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.
NAME: Konstancja
ADDRESS: Jeleniogórska
POST CODE: 80-180
COUNTRY: PL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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NAME: Pamela Zajac
ADDRESS: 4003 Madeline Lane
POST CODE: 46033
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jerome Carter
101 Nicholas Ct
Kissimmee, FL 34758

--------------------------

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:30
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Ruth Coniglio
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Erik Schnabel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:31
Subject: Protect Net Neutrality

I was deeply disappointed to hear that the Federal Communications Commission is planning on implementing rule changes that would allow Internet service providers to pay for special, faster lanes to deliver their content. In an environment where large corporations can pay for faster service, start-ups and every day Internet users won’t
be able to compete and we will lose the open, free-flowing exchange of information that has made the Internet so dynamic and integral to our lives.

The FCC can ensure it has the tools to act by reclassifying broadband as a telecommunications service. I urge you to reject the new rules and to take this vital and common sense step toward protecting net neutrality.

Erik Schnabel
229 Dore St.
San Francisco, CA 94103

=======================================================================================

From: Farley Leite de Akers
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Farley Leite de Akers
ADDRESS: Av. Boa Viagem, 5164
POST CODE: Recife 51030 PE Brazil
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105fbcc60b21000c7f1183

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/9EbZAnGLS7C7k822__bquw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/9EbZAnGLS7C7k822__bquw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Katina Shelby
118 Peter Ln
Saint Rose, LA 70087
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54105fcab7cb89000cb2ab9d
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.
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We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.
Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: x@x.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robert Brown
6740 Calvert St # 01
Philadelphia, PA 19149

From: Sandeep Puri
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sandeep Puri

ADDRESS: 14927 E Hills Dr
POST CODE: https://fightforthefuture.github.io/battleforthenet-widget/iframe/modal.html#
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/c/ggA/ZgwNAA/KzW1SbgOSAyp-kd27v3H4w/h0/DuXHzwfOZRiV-2ByNxjctyiDvc2gkBQXnUnS8HD4-2Fn2SQvsmBDw1lc2pMIMOQ9eNTAr1Y1W7Pw2WaYaOF-2BMnODCnWQIHwajU4roekNamijJs-3D>

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Adam Hocking  
421 9th St  
Clarkston, WA 99403

---

From: Eric  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric  
ADDRESS: 3961 S Bellaire St  
POST CODE: 80113-5025  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:33
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Eric Rojas
505 Hilltop Dr
Auburn, CA 95603

From: James Wason
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:33
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I object to any action that would give those who flood the internet with unwanted spam at the expense if people who depend on it to maintain contact and get news. I prefer what e have now. It isn’t broken and doesn’t need fixing.

James Wason
53713

From: Maniza Mirza
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maniza Mirza
ADDRESS: Bymuren
POST CODE: 2650
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Paul  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paul

ADDRESS: 16 Forestview Trail

POST CODE: L3Y 4W1

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105fc9dac595000ea7029e
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Margaret Ross
151 Monticello Dr
Longview, WA 98632
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job—providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service—it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brandon Welborn
2435 Avenue E
Fort Madison, IA 52627

=======================================================================================

From: Caleb
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Caleb
ADDRESS: private
POST CODE: 00630
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Javier Aguirre
4514 Everett Ct
Denver, CO 80033

=======================================================================================

From: Mete Alp Yanik
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mete Alp Yanik
ADDRESS: Ankara
POST CODE: 06820
COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105fd7fbecab000b574000
---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:34
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s
draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the
FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab
threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Patricia Prather
1323 Calle Valle
San Clemente, CA 92672

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:35
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes
through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the
Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme
Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated
telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify
this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of
any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to
any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the
Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become
largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress
reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to
do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC
has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Angela Richardson
3550 Green Lake Rd
W Bloomfield, MI 48324

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:35
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear — investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Duane McCormick Jr.
513 Green St
Winchester, VA 22601

=======================================================================================

From: Randy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Randy
ADDRESS: 101 Wade st. apt #01
POST CODE: 71901
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105fe7f1110a000e42eaa6
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:35
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Dorothy Griffith
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 5684 Bordeaux Way
Zip: 45014

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment
and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

Dear Chairman Wheeler:

From: Dana
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dana
ADDRESS: xxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 66213
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Isabelle.Holmström
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Isabelle.HolmstrÖm
ADDRESS: Saedensvägen
POST CODE: 91598
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ff299efdf000c345407

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheft>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheft>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Donnie Jones
1331 W King St
Sn Bernrdno, CA 92410
Comment: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:36
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
William Sutton
6932 Pine Blossom Rd
Milton, FL 32570

From: Alena
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alena
ADDRESS: 22 Conklin Ave
POST CODE: 13903
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Kathleen Rogers
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:37
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please keep the internet free and available. Do NOT let big companies run it like they do everything else. We the people need it to be neutral and run by big money.

Please don’t let this happen. Please.

Kathleen Rogers
From: nicole- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nicole Doll
956 Lincoln Ave Apt 04
Holland, MI 49423

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Joseph Martin
2037 W Des Moines Cir
Mesa, AZ 85201

From: Anthony
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anthony
ADDRESS: 1316W 41th ST
POST CODE: 64111
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105fdf8b7a18000e7c44b3

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/G4MTgub6QZe69S4Ole8dxw/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================

From: John Beal
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Beal
ADDRESS: 1943 NW 22nd Street
POST CODE: 97756
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410601415ab86000cb1b2ea
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mWA/ZgwNAA/EthWaN7RQripCuWn16PRg/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================
From: K.Swain  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:38 
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: K.Swain
ADDRESS: Selkirk, MB
POST CODE: R1A0V1
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106006dac595000ea702a9
---
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Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Phillip Geran
2513 Newkirk Ave Apt 6g
Brooklyn, NY 11226

===============================================================================

From: Patrick Wolff
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:38
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Patrick Wolff
North Hollywood, CA
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Abelardo Ochoa
206 W Tyler St
Ennis, TX 75119

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ximena
ADDRESS: 412 branch creek trail
POST CODE: 29483
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler: We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106023a26879000e0b0fd9

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook [https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr]
* Follow us on Twitter [https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr]
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. [https://donate.fightforthefuture.org]

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here [http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/jxHTiBiCTru6XJQyk077rQ/o.gif]

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Walton
900 E County Road 133
Midland, TX 79706

=======================================================================================

From: Markus
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Markus
ADDRESS: Kibuvitsa tee 13
POST CODE: 74156
COUNTRY: EE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410601799efdf000d8054fb
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/1fME2DNHRL2oAucoTVAcUA/uh1>.

============================================================================
From: N Jean Greenberg
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:39
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone
companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

N Jean Greenberg
Chicago, IL

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Thomas Champley
2450 Island Dr Apt 206
Spring Park, MN 55384

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Thomas Champley
2450 Island Dr Apt 206
Spring Park, MN 55384
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Gray
8421 S Norma St
Pharr, TX 78577

=======================================================================================

From: Sondre Tusvik Sæter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sondre Tusvik Sæter
ADDRESS: baklivegen19
POST CODE: 2625
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband...
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410602715ab86000e5ea3ae

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Tomáš Pártl
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tomáš Pártl
ADDRESS: Rokycanova 35, Praha 03
POST CODE: 13000
COUNTRY: CZ
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106017dac595000d1eabf9

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=================================================================
NAME: Travis
ADDRESS: 1001 Dano Cir Apt 01
POST CODE: 56258
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541060028b7a18000c574855

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: William Armstrong
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William Armstrong
ADDRESS: Kålfsereds Byväg 22
POST CODE: 41729
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106041003331000bc7c605

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/QoaY-Mg0RcqXDexdnkiGKQ/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:40
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Shawn Curtis
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 1133 Columbia Ln
Zip: 84604

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.” Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital...
First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim -- whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:40
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Vicki Gregg
21 Riverside Ave
Haverstraw, NY 10927
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jim Pagano
5 Hillcrest Ave
Wynantskill, NY 12198

From: Alexis
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexis
ADDRESS: Avenue Eugene Pittard
POST CODE: 1206
COUNTRY: CH
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the...
The so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410602df1110a000e42eabd

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/8enl7BkgQkq9Xhdj5WdmkQ/uh1>.

From: Amber
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amber
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxy.xxx
POST CODE: 72701
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its...
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106075a26879000decb3f8

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ignacio Escobar
228 Whitney Ave
Bridgeport, CT 06606

================================================================================================

From: Lester Strong
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:41
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chair Wheeler:

I want to register my support of what is called net neutrality. I have been a free lance writer for many years, and the Internet is crucial to my work. Speed on the Internet is also crucial to my work.

I do not want an Internet where some users are favored over others. In my estimation that’s like saying some people should have more access to the air we breathe than others.

In your considerations on the FCC, please help preserve open access to the Internet as it has existed since the Internet was placed into common use several decades ago.

Sincerely,
Lester Strong
xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Lester Strong
10025

================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:41
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dian Wright
4533 Ne 12th St
Ocala, FL 34470

===========================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:41
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
David Farah El Maalouf
1962 Kirby Rd
Mclean, VA 22101
From: britanee lancione  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: britanee lancione
ADDRESS: 1050 landings loop
POST CODE: 43085
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541060b8dfbd5000e45fb40
---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/7Cuje7SJSqCtdStN7qe8iQ/uh1>.

---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:42
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
William Anderson
10037 Locust St
Glenn Dale, MD 20769

---

From: Diana rosaio
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Diana rosaio
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106030444842000ec58768

---
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From: Gerardo Ramirez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gerardo Ramirez  
ADDRESS: 15076 Andrews Dr  
POST CODE: 80239  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:42
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kareem Greene
7171 Buffalo Speedway Apt 2813
Houston, TX 77025
NAME: Brandon
ADDRESS: 91 Marlborough Road
POST CODE: 11552
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris
ADDRESS: 50 Hillside Dr
POST CODE: M4K2M2
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Diane Kaminsky
8010 Sw 18th Ter
Miami, FL 33155

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:43
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Erickson Abadilla
2574 Hidden Creek St
Corona, CA 92881

From: Kelley Taylor
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelley Taylor
ADDRESS: 2911 40th Ave. SE
POST CODE: 58554
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
The so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Mirta ROSES
From: Uta Kujath  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthennet.com.

---

NAME: Uta Kujath  
ADDRESS: Wiesenstr. 17  
POST CODE: 90443 Nürnberg  
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections. The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Abigail  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Abigail
ADDRESS: 904 Roller Coaster Ct
POST CODE: 21771
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:44
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dan Rascol
6078 Saint Marys St
Detroit, MI 48228
From: JOseph  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  
---  

NAME: JOseph  
ADDRESS: 05 Seminole street  
POST CODE: 01906  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: Ashley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ashley
ADDRESS: 10 Ulysses Road
POST CODE: 08873
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: brian
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: brian
ADDRESS: 1606 s robb way
POST CODE: 80232
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Douglas Williamson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:45
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to
companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

When I was younger, I was proud to be an American. Now I see our country becoming what ‘they’ used to tell us the communist countries were like. Censored news and information; restrictions on liberties; civil liberties always under assault; and a general sense of a police state. Our country can easily be described using these descriptions and quite frankly, I am damned tired of it!

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Douglas Williamson
Sherwood, OR

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:45
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Matthew Snow
Rr 03 Box 110
Princeton, MO 64673
From: Josh  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Josh
ADDRESS: 21065 Jenner, Lake Forest, CA
POST CODE: 92630
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106137003331000d0096e0
From: Karl Tollepson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:45  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)  

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,  

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.  

Sincerely,  

Karl Tollepson  
Vancouver, WA  

From: Mary Mekel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battlefieldthenet.com.  

---  
NAME: Mary Mekel
ADDRESS: 475 North St. Doylstown, Pa
POST CODE: 18901
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410611cb0a7e4000dc638ed

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/_e9MaY6fTdegUTPTwBunw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/_e9MaY6fTdegUTPTwBunw/uhl>.
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:45
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€²and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ€²just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mariajose Sais
1715 Mossy Stone Dr
Houston, TX 77077
businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mike Lopez
490 Madrid St
San Francisco, CA 94112

=======================================================================================
From: Andrew Borkowski
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew Borkowski
ADDRESS: 3219 Country Club Dr
POST CODE: 44256
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410611fa26879000decb424
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook [https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr]
* Follow us on Twitter [https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr]

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. [https://donate.fightforthefuture.org]

[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/vPqDI1qJRxsS92MVAjDHfg/o.gif]

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here [http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/vPqDI1qJRxsS92MVAjDHfg/uh1].

=======================================================================================

From: Jarid Sigsbee
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jarid Sigsbee
ADDRESS: 195 Madison Street
POST CODE: 13480
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54105ff6f1110a000cc4ef7d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/0yRKdmcSSM20d0Xq75SbHA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/0yRKdmcSSM20d0Xq75SbHA/uh1>.

=================================================================================================

From: Jonah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonah

ADDRESS: Rowan House

POST CODE: CA7 0AA

COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106146fbecab000b57404b

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/qaEpgXn8RIKVvSBT56MJug/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/qaEpgXn8RIKVvSBT56MJug/uh1> .
From: Mark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark
ADDRESS: Rua da Cascalheira, Alcobã§a, Portugal
POST CODE: 2460-613
COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410612ef35671000c18153f

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Mueller
212 Heiser Rd
Fort Plain, NY 13339
Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
William Quesenberry
248 Longridge Dr
Vallejo, CA 94591

=======================================================================================
From: Luke
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Luke
ADDRESS: 105 Water View Drive
POST CODE: 20212
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106151b0a7e4000c165219
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/FWCNEKAQRgit5NBK4xs6PA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/FWCNEKAQRgit5NBK4xs6PA/uh1> .

==============================================================================

From: Madison
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Madison
ADDRESS: 2148 South Woodland Drive
POST CODE: 59901
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106145dfbdb5000cb0c062

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/L8zLfyKVRaixm32TbGBL8Q/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/L8zLfyKVRaixm32TbGBL8Q/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:47
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Shakaa Grubbs
607 Chaselake Dr
Jonesboro, GA 30236

From: ????? sHaOliN_01
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ????? sHaOliN_01
ADDRESS: ?? . ??????????? 17
POST CODE: 385000
COUNTRY: RU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Veli Adigüznel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Veli Adigüznel
ADDRESS: Turkey
POST CODE: 06370
COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541060f915ab86000cb1b30a
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:47
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Wayne Roden
5210 Parkview Ave
Kansas City, KS 66104

From: Constance Foye
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Constance Foye
ADDRESS: 327 Lanny Circle
POST CODE: 22601
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Frederick Samuels  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:48  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Frederick Samuels  
93446

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:48  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Bemiss
4439 Vista St
Philadelphia, PA 19136

=======================================================================================

From: Jack
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Jack
ADDRESS: 260 Hampshire St
POST CODE: 02139
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Jordan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jordan
ADDRESS: 3697 N. 146th Dr.
POST CODE: 85395
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:48
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.
Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Arlene Lopez
2153 Oleander Dr
Schertz, TX 78154

====================================================================================================

From: Heather Klawender
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:49
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014
FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,
Heather Klawender

Portland, OR

====================================================================================================

From: Martin Conwell
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:49
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sincerely,

Martin Conwell
Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Martin Conwell
Santa Monica, CA

From: matt
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: matt
ADDRESS: louisville ky
POST CODE: 40207
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================
From: Roger Smith
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Roger Smith
ADDRESS: 13429 NW 32 Place
POST CODE: 32606
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106184b7cb89000d44c4fd
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====================================================================================================
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Wojciech
ADDRESS: Kraków
POST CODE: 31-604
COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106175003331000ed4d71e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
Comment from: Carrie Padgett
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthemenet.com.

---

NAME: Carrie Padgett
ADDRESS: 1928 Twelve Oaks Circle
POST CODE: 30144
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Elizabeth
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth
ADDRESS: 2005 silver fox dr
POST CODE: 73003
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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===============================================================================

From: Jimm Thorn
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Jimm Thorn
ADDRESS: Nomadic Caravan
POST CODE: everywhere
COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Mary Lou Kopas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:50  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)  

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,  

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.  

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.  

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.  

Sincerely,  

Mary Lou Kopas  
Seattle, WA  

---  

From: Sindy Pent  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:50  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)  

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,  

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.  

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane
service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Sindy Pent

Boca Raton, FL

=======================================================================================

From: Antti Mieskolainen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Antti Mieskolainen
ADDRESS: HirsimetsÄ¤ntie 94
POST CODE: 15200
COUNTRY: FI
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Bryan Helm
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:51
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet. This way lies madness and nothing good will come of it in the end.

Sincerely,

Bryan Helm

Longmont, CO
From: Gemma Wilkens  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gemma Wilkens  
ADDRESS: Worcester, MA  
POST CODE: 01610  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Karen Gardner

Somerville, MA

---

From: Melissa Fox
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Melissa Fox
ADDRESS: 221 emming street apt 01 Cincinnati oh
POST CODE: 45219
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Nick
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick
ADDRESS: 2074 w 16th way
POST CODE: 97402
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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================================================================================================

From: Sylvia Ouellette
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:51
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014
FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Ouellette

Houston, TX

================================================================================================

From: Brian Porter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:52
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)
Sep 15, 2014
FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet's roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Brian Porter
Madison, WI

From: Linda Arkwright
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Linda Arkwright
ADDRESS: 714 vanderbaker rd.
POST CODE: 33617
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061b1f35671000eb3c473
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From: Savannah Hyland
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:52
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open
Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Savannah Hyland

Denver, CO

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:53
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: anita murtaugh
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 05 pleasant ave. fredonia ny
Zip: 14063

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes. We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws are inadequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section
706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

---

From: CJ
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: CJ
ADDRESS: Clareridge Ln
POST CODE: 45458
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061b7dac595000cde5d25
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From: Melonia Russell
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:53
Subject: Comment on Proceeding 14-28

Melonia Russell
1636 W. Grant St.
Bremen, IN 46506

September 15, 2014

Dear Tom Wheeler,

The internet has experienced unfettered growth and contributed to unprecedented advancements in technology largely because of the current "hands off" regulatory approach. That is why I am writing to express my opposition to the so-called "net neutrality" rule, which would replace the relative freedom of today's internet with new, heavy-handed federal regulations.

Imposing "net neutrality" and Title II regulations will only create an atmosphere that creates more government-imposed barriers to broadband investment and innovation. These misguided rules will also make it harder for startups to enter the market and slow broadband deployment. Moreover, these proposed regulations will give the federal bureaucracy unparalleled and unprecedented power over the Internet.

In short, these proposed "net neutrality" rules will be bad for competition, bad for consumers, and harm America's digital economy.

I urge you to leave the internet alone and say no to Title II and so-called "net neutrality."
Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Melonia Russell

=======================================================================================

From: Nida  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nida
ADDRESS: 4605 Ashfield Ter
POST CODE: 13215-2473
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold


and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:53
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Sammie Mutter
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 
Zip: 24095

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don\201t break the Internet. Don\201t abandon the â\201Hands off the Netâ\201s approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today\201s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II\201s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT\&T\201s government-created monopoly. They\201re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don\201t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today\201s bipartisan â\201Hands off the Netâ\201s approach. You can\201t have â\201slightly pregnant.â\201

Title II would hurt startups most: they can\201t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC\201s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won\201t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don\201t intend.

Yet, as you\201ve noted, Title II wouldn\201t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â\201fast lanes.â\201

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â\201vigilant restraint.â\201 But remember Kennardâ\201s digital
First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Tyson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyson
ADDRESS: 5310 Woodland Avenue
POST CODE: 68516
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061dbfbecab000e3ea9a4
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=======================================================================================

From: Amanda
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amanda
ADDRESS: 4513 Carriker Road
POST CODE: 28110
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061e162b49c000e6c5b56
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From: Antônio Nunes
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Antônio Nunes
ADDRESS: rua de Angola n.32 r/c dtr.
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061da444842000ba90a53
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Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They are a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes. It’s your legacy.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Gabriela Álvarez
ADDRESS: 12360 Richmond Av
POST CODE: 77082
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061ca15ab86000e5ea3f0
---
From: James Cooley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: James Cooley  
ADDRESS: 5227 Summerside Dr  
POST CODE: 77450-7223  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061e11e0849000cdb2a47
---
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From: Katie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katie
ADDRESS: Iowa
POST CODE: 50438
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061cdf35671000c181554

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/jAxvj29PRfeSoSMBTZvx0w/uh1> .

From: Allison Rossetto
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Allison Rossetto
ADDRESS: 198 E Iroquois Rd pontiac mi
POST CODE: 48351
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 541061e4a26879000decb45f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/34YK4Bf0RpWSCq2BkGDwsQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/34YK4Bf0RpWSCq2BkGDwsQ/uh1>.

========================================================================

From: Bruce Schacht
Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

If net neutrality is compromised, only the big telecoms benefit, while much the remaining world suffers for lack of access.

Sincerely,

Bruce Schacht
Portland, OR

From: Cristian Pinal
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:55
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106200c60b21000b5426d7

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/BWNuB_gAQ20g6753XBxogA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/BWNuB_gAQ20g6753XBxogA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Mikael Lönn
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: Mikael Lönn
ADDRESS: Bomgatan 07
POST CODE: 41264
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061ad8b7a18000ddd569e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Q_SsWg31TUCEULiEoqcWcw/uh1> .

---

From: Steven Taylor
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:55
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.
Sincerely,
Steven Taylor
Rev. Milton R. Brunson Station, IL

From: Darek
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Darek
ADDRESS: Kraków
POST CODE: 31-922
COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061f5f35671000eb3c486

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/A8WuC8LtSEKQ2JSju2BCPg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Kate
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kate
ADDRESS: 108 Sanford Ave
POST CODE: 21228-5138
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106223dac595000d1eac6b

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>

* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/Sj-7WiGNSoWEQIvNa07dxg/uh1>.

=====================================================================

From: Caroline ÁsgÃ¥rd
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Caroline ÁsgÃ¥rd
ADDRESS: 8101 Patterson Woods Drive
POST CODE: 32821
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541060f4b0a7e4000dc638e5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/OQBxyvA2TZe6ZIWgD_EoYQ/uh1>.

 **********************************************************

From: Julia
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnenet.com.
NAME: Julia
ADDRESS: 110 Partridge Ridge
POST CODE: 30188
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106204dac595000cde5d31

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Thomas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas  
ADDRESS: 6544 Picardy place newark CA  
POST CODE: 94560  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061fd15ab86000d450245

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/fJP4QQZASGSzmJxd66SaMQtuh1> .
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From: Jacey
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacey
ADDRESS: 3603 Amour Dr
POST CODE: 72758
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106243dac595000ea70321

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/1Ptcaxl3RXea3hCi5ZRIag/uh1>.

From: Martin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Martin
ADDRESS: 194 Marken
POST CODE: m5a3x2
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106205dac595000ea70318

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/yjqMMvx3QuaSGE1RPC2oCA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/yjqMMvx3QuaSGE1RPC2oCA/uh1>.

===================================================================================================

From: Serafän Danessa
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Serafín Danessa
ADDRESS: Viamonte 474 Departamento 05
POST CODE: 1704
COUNTRY: AR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541061eab7cb89000b3ce5ac
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/XhrrXUd6TCqOX-23Rjycw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
From: Sonia Paço-Rocchia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sonia Paço-Rocchia  
ADDRESS: St-Joseph-du-Lac, Canada  
POST CODE: J0N1M0  
COUNTRY: CA  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Benjamin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Benjamin
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 02745
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410623adac595000d1eac74
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightfortheinfinity.org>
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightfortheinfinity.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/deX8XQoTRNyUOpEUTbHbAw/uh1>.

=====================================================================

From: Perla
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Perla
ADDRESS: 12b Magnolia Ct.
POST CODE: 07940
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410624ab0a7e4000bf69f91

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/sL6kmOMCTPewIG9Jwe_1QA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Sarah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:53:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 20740
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410624e99efdf000d805540

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/KQ2bt0GSSuOMWtgNXrQdNw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/KQ2bt0GSSuOMWtgNXrQdNw/uh1>.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410624fa26879000e0b1022
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/X0V6C-JpTC-v_SpTnigyGg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Austin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Austin  
ADDRESS: Elsewhere  
POST CODE: 11235  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410624df35671000c18155e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/za8qMm1HTAyFiTE0FCJbgA/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================

From: Carolyn Blackmore
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:01
Subject: Save the Open Internet (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Blackmore

Estacada, OR
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
REF: 54106256c60b21000b5426e1
---
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=======================================================================================

From: hella
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: hella
ADDRESS: 06 birtchridgh av
POST CODE: 04087
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410628aa26879000bdcb123
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

* [http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/suV1URteQ1mQ-lVEWsTsYW/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/suV1URteQ1mQ-lVEWsTsYW/o.gif)

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here [http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/suV1URteQ1mQ-lVEWsTsYW/uhl](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/suV1URteQ1mQ-lVEWsTsYW/uhl).

=======================================================================================

From: Phillip Vaclavik
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:01
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I’m handicapped gent and the internet is my gateway to the outside world. I can order gifts for my friends and family, read newspapers and magazines from all over the country and stay current with national and international news and events. Denying me free access will have the Big Telecom deciding for me what I will read, how I will interact and communicate with my friends and family, where I purchase, then track that information and sell it to highest bidder. I may be a train wreck physically but my mind is very sharp.

I have contacted my congressman and both senators and President Obama stating my displeasure with this. It’s damn joke!!!

Phillip Vaclavik

46307

=======================================================================================
From: Sarah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah
ADDRESS: 885 Chatwood
POST CODE: 77706
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410627ef35671000d553743

---

Want more awesome more often?
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Susan Warner
ADDRESS: Navellier Street
POST CODE: 94530
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106268b0a7e4000bf69f94

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/L5mpIN1AS-i3_i6xOQww1Q/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/L5mpIN1AS-i3_i6xOQww1Q/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Terry Tabor
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Terry Tabor
ADDRESS: 1793 Bartlett Ave
POST CODE: 32073
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410622cc60b21000df162af

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/kUbaRejpRBuWwWCRqWoUKg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/kUbaRejpRBuWwWCRqWoUKg/uh1>.

====================================================================

From: Brian Porter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:02
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us
who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Brian Porter

Madison, WI

=================================================================================================

From: Carlos benjamin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carlos benjamin
ADDRESS: 770 n. Silver springs blvd, Wichita, ks
POST CODE: 67212
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541062b3b0a7e4000e469313
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/P4nWbIVESAWhvPoAO3ET5g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/P4nWbIVESAWhvPoAO3ET5g/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Catarina Martins
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Catarina Martins
ADDRESS: Rua Rui LuÃ­s Gomes lote 10 3º ESQ
POST CODE: 2625-705
COUNTRY: PT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541062af15ab86000b62d091

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/XULNTxjRQOSCx7Ug361CDA/uh1>.
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410629562b49c000cd1eff1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/rJ_NYC1FSKSqfCE1cpv4Ow/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/rJ_NYC1FSKSqfCE1cpv4Ow/uh1>.

===========================================
From: Richard Behrman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:02  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)  

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  
Dear Net Neutrality Comments,  
The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.  
Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.  
Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.  
Sincerely,  
Richard Behrman  
Brookline, MA  

-----------------------------------------------  

From: Sherrie Pizzo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:02  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)  

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  
Dear Net Neutrality Comments,  
The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.  
Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.  
Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.  
Sincerely,  
Sherrie Pizzo
Elmira, NY

====================================================================================================

From: Wayne Hughes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:02  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.  

Sincerely,  

Wayne Hughes  
Seattle, WA

====================================================================================================

From: Alicia Watkins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alicia Watkins

ADDRESS: 508 NW calista ct

POST CODE: 50111

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541062c4dac595000d1eac9a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/M_kld8mfQauOX2xEkjsLwg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/M_kld8mfQauOX2xEkjsLwg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Medley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Medley
ADDRESS: 121 S 200 E Provo. UT
POST CODE: 84606
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541062d015ab86000cb1b346

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Jacob  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Jacob  
ADDRESS: 09 Rosa Avenue  
POST CODE: 41011  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541062c91e0849000ec71ca1

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/2t34Qw19SoSw-A1f5eKsdQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/2t34Qw19SoSw-A1f5eKsdQ/uh1>
.

==============================================

From: Pamela Thomas
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pamela Thomas
ADDRESS: POBox 307
POST CODE: Idaho Springs, CO 80452
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541062ccdac595000cde5d4e
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:04
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: sherry watts
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 3636332 mattole rd petroila ca
Zip: 95558

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They are a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we
trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

---

From: Taylor Kirby
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Taylor Kirby
ADDRESS: 1803 Lakeview Ave.
POST CODE: 23220
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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levels. So many bills over the decades have been stuffed with their self serving interests (invisible to the regular taxpayer) that is almost beyond control or beyond the hope of ever reversing the trend. They’ve buried their self serving interests for years in a myriad of detailed legislation designed to enrich their power & wealth while further delineating the basic needs, rights & protections of everyday citizens. If we were a European republic, we’d have long ago reached the boiling point and a full scale revolution would have resulted. The political system would have been overhauled and some sense of fair play would have replaced it. No doubt there would be bumps in the road along the way but citizens would have had enough and taken action into their hands to reform a broken system. The rich & powerful, the government leaches & career politicians would have been run out of office and a new establishment formed to reform & replace this broken down & corrupt system. This former US Air Force officer & patriot is sick & tired of the bullying and manipulation of Washington & the rich ^ powerful. Enough is enough! Keep the internet open & free. It is one of few forms of expression where regular citizens can communicate, share ideas & information in a non-regulated forum and allow a free flow of opinions & more without Big Brother interfering.

Donald L. "DJ" Grabe
90026

==================================================================================================

From: Matheus Pereira de Lima
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matheus Pereira de Lima
ADDRESS: Rua Miguel Raicoski Sobrinho, 876
POST CODE: 81825-300
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Bailee
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bailee
ADDRESS: 582 Pinecrest Dr.
POST CODE: 49424
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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============================================

From: Joakim S Kristiansen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Joakim S Kristiansen  
ADDRESS: Svehøgda 145  
POST CODE: 7550  
COUNTRY: NO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Nick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick  
ADDRESS: 288 Lewis Ave.  
POST CODE: N0M2J0  
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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---

From: Alan Parker
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:08
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content     The Internet is the Ultimate Free Speech !!  Make the Internet a Common Carrier !!

Alan Parker
64056

---

From: Simon Morten Gøtzsche Larsen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Simon Morten Gøtzsche Larsen
ADDRESS: Skodsborgvej 190
POST CODE: 2850
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Brandy Schumacher
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:09
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments
Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Brandy Schumacher

Citrus Heights, CA

====================================================================
From: Ryan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan  
ADDRESS: la veta Ave  
POST CODE: 81101  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106358b7cb89000d44c545

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Wallin Cameron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:09  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,  

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.  

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.  

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.  

Sincerely,  

Wallin Cameron  

Uniondale, NY  

From: Charles Hensley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  

NAME: Charles Hensley  
ADDRESS: 730 Sullivan Dr, Mulvane, KS
POST CODE: 67120
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106393fbecab000e3ea9d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/upuGW5YqSbqeaNDD00kluw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/upuGW5YqSbqeaNDD00kluw/uh1>.
From: Daniel Oldman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:10  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-D democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Daniel Oldman
Durham, NC

---

From: G  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: G  
ADDRESS: F  
POST CODE: F  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106399dac595000d1eaccd
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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=======================================================================================

From: Jackie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jackie
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106383dfbdb5000d11ea97

---

Want more awesome more often?
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Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet's roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

John Paul Stott

Athens, GA
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106343a26879000decb4bc

---
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=================================================================================================================

From: R. Kniest
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:10
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014
Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

R. Kniest

St. Louis, MO

From: Will
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Will
ADDRESS: 93 Winspear Ave
POST CODE: 14214
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106353f35671000d553766
---
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=======================================================================================
From: Aidan Breen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aidan Breen
ADDRESS: 56 Gardner St
POST CODE: 02458
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54106323b0a7e4000bf69fa1

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Donna Stahl  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:11  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The Internet must retain its net neutrality. If you pass a new rule creating different lanes of communication, you will create classes of internet users -- the "haves" and "have-nots," dependent on finances. Communication must be neutral in order for all to be treated equally.

Please protect an open internet by treating it like a public utility under the law.

Donna Stahl
98199

---

From: Kathleen Normand
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:11
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The Internet has always been neutral - small, medium, and large entities enjoy the same access today. It’s an atmosphere where everyone can be heard, and have a chance to make a reasonable living if they so choose. It’s fertile ground for start-ups (how many of today’s BIG internet sites were a thing 10-15 years ago?). It’s a level playing field. Allowing big telecom/cable providers to give priority to the highest bidder is not only unfair, but it’s downright un-American as well.

As things are today, I can pick and choose what I want to see on the Internet. I choose my own content. If my Internet provider is able to favor some websites/data over others, this really inhibits my ability to choose my content. This should never happen!

Please don’t break the Internet. We depend upon it for so much in our lives! I personally make a living partially from Internet income. If the Internet becomes two-tiered, I will probably suffer for it financially, as will thousands of others. In these days of a tough economy, it’s not right to give big corporations huge advantages while making the "little guy" suffer...

There is a way out that would be fair for everyone. Please use the legal authority you already have through Title II authority of the Telecommunications Act so the Internet is treated like the public utility it is. Times have changed and the Internet is no longer a novelty - it is vital to individuals of all segments of society along with all sizes of business. It is TRULY a public utility, just as much as phones have been for years.

Please, don’t break the Internet by allowing a two-tiered system. The only ones who will gain from this are big corporations, and this will be at the expense of everyone. Please treat the Internet as it should be - as a public utility.

Kathleen Normand
98229

---

From: Kevin Cala Sánchez
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:11
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin Cala Sánchez
ADDRESS: C/Sant Isidre
POST CODE: 087880
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106354f1110a000e42eb6f

---
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From: Maria Christine Vesterli  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maria Christine Vesterli  
ADDRESS: Lindevej 6b  
POST CODE: 3500 Vaerloes, DK  
COUNTRY: DK  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410631e1e0849000cdb2a87

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/ynHKD0ynTVGXcun8jQ5jvw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/ynHKD0ynTVGXcun8jQ5jvw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Milagros Acuña
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Milagros Acuña
ADDRESS: Massenet 332
POST CODE: 1832
COUNTRY: AR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106365b0a7e4000c16525b

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/Mzv0k_UVQa245s-9g8giPA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Steve Ebert
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:11
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

I have grave concerns, that the ability to get information from the internet that I WANT would be held hostage by the Internet Service providers. Keep the internet neutral. Everyone should have the same access. Thank you for your consideration.

Steve Ebert

27284

=======================================================================================
From: Troy Hojel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Troy Hojel
ADDRESS: 5100 Bottlebrush Run
POST CODE: Broomfield CO 80023
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410630ff1110a000d0e6d06
---

Want more awesome more often?
NAME: Aleksandar Negovanovic
ADDRESS: Ruma, Serbia
POST CODE: 22400
COUNTRY: RS
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541063c4444842000d5b9bbe

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/TmY9YI_aSp03Gor8dHJSKw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/TmY9YI_aSp03Gor8dHJSKw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Bailee
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bailee
ADDRESS: 96019 Barnwell Cirlce
POST CODE: 32034
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541063a5003331000c610e42

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/8dJL55-zTmigeyOYnBq6PgL4g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/8dJL55-zTmigeyOYnBq6PgL4g/uh1>.

===================================================================================
From: david crowell
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: david crowell
ADDRESS: 27 rte 125
POST CODE: 03848
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 541063a1c60b21000e117fdb

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/GiNUSjwBSE61bd7Y0HA-DA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/GiNUSjwBSE61bd7Y0HA-DA/uh1>.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marina Paic
ADDRESS: Čure Čakovica 07
POST CODE: 40305
COUNTRY: HR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410633562b49c000e6c5b83

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
**Keep us fighting, chip in what you can.** <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/DWpKJY1LRguGshyxfJg3RA/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/DWpKJY1LRguGshyxfJg3RA/o.gif)

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here [http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/DWpKJY1LRguGshyxfJg3RA/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/DWpKJY1LRguGshyxfJg3RA/uh1).

=======================================================================================

From: Ryan Hitron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan Hitron  
ADDRESS: 53 Mahaiwe St 02  
POST CODE: 01230  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 5410639cdfbdb5000e45fb9e

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/jrFvuTu8S_CpyjFfBTRIww/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/jrFvuTu8S_CpyjFfBTRIww/uhl>.

=======================================================================================

From: Stephanie Williams
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephanie Williams
ADDRESS: 2055 Pershing Blvd
POST CODE: 45420
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541063bd62b49c000d1642cf

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/m4ULgpZQT_KvzM7BYp8-tw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Travis
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Travis
ADDRESS: 24B Jacobsen Street
POST CODE: 08872
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation. 

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410628cdfb50000ebc0c0ae

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr> 
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/H-BKK1ypq5w5qzJYG17g7Q/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/H-BKK1ypq5w5qzJYG17g7Q/u1> .

=====================================================================

From: Angel machado
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: Angel machado
ADDRESS: 9387 sw 168th st
POST CODE: 33157
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541063e3b7cb89000d44c55f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/t4_L_cMuRJeqzM1_T2Ud1A/o.gif>
NAME: Ché
ADDRESS: 2202 Mac Davis LN
POST CODE: 79401
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.

Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541063a0f35671000eb3c4d5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook [https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr]
* Follow us on Twitter [https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr]
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. [https://donate.fightforthefuture.org]

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/bm5fPTUKSZOAvkbzfTcmHg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here [http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/bm5fPTUKSZOAvkbzfTcmHg/uh1].

=======================================================================================

From: Izzy Alcala
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Izzy Alcala
ADDRESS: 6312 east Colby street
POST CODE: 85205
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541063c1b0a7e4000dc63967

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/N7CGjXR5SJ2k6cjdwYsS_g/uh1>.

---

From: Jane Wilkinson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:13
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please do not change net neutrality. I’m a septegenarian and I love the Internet - just the way it is. With health issues, I spend more time in --- and on the Internet.

Jane Wilkinson
97035

---

From: Leah Crenshaw-Pepke
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: Leah Crenshaw-Pepke  
ADDRESS: 3730 spring street eureka CA  
POST CODE: 95503  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54106400444842000ba90aa8

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Michal Dobija
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michal Dobija
ADDRESS: Szpitalna 08/10
POST CODE: 34-200
COUNTRY: PL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541063dd444842000d5b9bc1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/JRcWFIATWG6fO5X-JC-YyQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/JRcWFIATWG6fO5X-JC-YyQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Caleb
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Caleb
ADDRESS: 946 Pacific Silver Ct
POST CODE: 32547
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider‘s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106406dfb5b000d11eaa6
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/_8nszsTISHiziVjZNB5BWg/uh1>.

====================================================================

From: Debbie Beasley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Debbie Beasley  
ADDRESS: 870 Columbus ave #8b  
POST CODE: NYC. 10025  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541063e699efdf000c3454d0
---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
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<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/eSYtCq8RTl2tY4wXpYUT4g/uh1> .

==================================================================

From: SkÁ°li Arnarsson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541063b3b7cb89000c2ac1f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
From: Alexa Sweeney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:15  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)  

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  
Dear Net Neutrality Comments,  
The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.  
Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.  
Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.  
Sincerely,  
Alexa Sweeney  
Las Vegas, NV

From: Connie RaperK  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:15  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)  

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  
Dear Net Neutrality Comments,  
The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.  
Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.  
Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.
Sincerely,
Connie Raper
DURHAM, NC

=================================================================================================================

From: james sullivan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:15
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

IT IS NOT FAIR IT IS VERY WRONG

james sullivan
33540

=================================================================================================================

From: Linda Odgers
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:15
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014
FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,
Linda Odgers
Rohnert Park, CA
From: Lynn Elliott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:15  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28) 

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  
Dear Net Neutrality Comments,  
The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.  
Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can't afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.  
Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.  
Sincerely,  
Lynn Elliott  
Durham, NC  

From: Raido Remmer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

NAME: Raido Remmer  
ADDRESS: Estonia Viljandi state/county VÕhma Kalevi 02-58  
POST CODE: I dont know  
COUNTRY: EE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106407c60b21000df16311
---
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==================================================================================================

From: David Fracht  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:16  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners\200\223\200\223\200\223\200\223\200\223

Please keep the internet neutral with no special interests or price tiers having precedence over any others. The internet has worked well under this model since it began....to make changes which would benefit large providers at the expense of average
users is unacceptable!

David Fracht

03748

==================================================================================================

From: Jack Bryant
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:16
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Please do not allow big business to undermine net neutrality. Myself and countless others depend on a fair and equal internet. You have the power and authority to protect all of us. I hope you use this power wisely.

Jack Bryant

78957

==================================================================================================

From: Richard Phillips
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:16
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

No Content Found -- Please specify some content Net neutrality gives everyone a chance. That is the American way.

Richard Phillips

49006

==================================================================================================

From: Anita Sundfær
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anita Sundfær

ADDRESS: Holmsveet 60

POST CODE: 7654

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106417b0a7e4000c16526e

---
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==============================================================================

From: Celena Bodensteiner
NAME: Celena Bodensteiner  
ADDRESS: 409 Washington st elgin Iowa  
POST CODE: 52141  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and open access should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54106412444842000d5b9bcd

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Santiago Córdoba
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Santiago Córdoba
ADDRESS: 1234
POST CODE: 1234
COUNTRY: CO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410641b1e0849000cdb2aba

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Sarah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah
ADDRESS: 4403 5TH ST NW
POST CODE: 55901
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106414f35671000c181588
---
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===============================================

From: stephen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: stephen
ADDRESS: 114 sleepy hollow rd
POST CODE: Leesburg,fl, 34748
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106438444842000d5b9bd2

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Trinity
ADDRESS: 2380 acorn drive
POST CODE: 41048
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106435dfbdb5000b871db3

---
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From: Mac gauthier  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Mac gauthier  
ADDRESS: 1706 beacon Cove ct.  
POST CODE: 77450  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410645f8b7a18000c5748e3
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From: senac
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: senac
ADDRESS: chemin des costières
POST CODE: 13640
COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106464f1110a000d0e6d39
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From: Tyler Beam
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler Beam
ADDRESS: 3131 E Alameda Ave
POST CODE: 80209
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=====================================================================

From: Carole Noblitt
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:20
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

[200\223\200\223\200\223\200\223\200\223]
As a (very) small business owner and consumer, I am very concerned that the big telecommunications corporations will be allowed to gain control of our access to the internet. The guys with the most money will be able to direct everything. This is also a First Amendment concern. The FCC is charged with protecting the ordinary consumer. Regulate these guys as utilities and keep the internet free and fast. Thank you!

Carole Noblitt
80634

From: Ricardo Filipe Perdigão Sebastião Pinto Meireles
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Ricardo Filipe Perdigão Sebastião Pinto Meireles
ADDRESS: Telheiros da Ajuda, Nº13 A
POST CODE: 1300-019
COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Steven
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Steven
ADDRESS: 933 Ave A
POST CODE: 85364
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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======================================================================

From: Wendy Mulhern
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:20
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

It is imperative that we maintain net neutrality. This will enable us to have free enterprise in the future (where start up companies can compete with established ones.) This will enable us to be informed about what’s really happening in the world (instead of having corporations block the people’s protests through their ownership of the news media, a thing they have already done with TV and print news). Corporations were originally set up to serve the greater good, but for many decades, they have not done so. Greater good would be recognized in shared opportunities for profit, for enterprise, for information. Not in being fed more of the same mindless so-called entertainment that has poisoned television. The internet is not a commodity to be sold to the highest bidder. It belongs to the people, like air and water.

I depend on the internet as an author, a poet, and a publisher. I need to be able to share my work with others, without having it be blocked.
Please don't allow the telecom companies to establish fast lanes which I would not be able to afford.

Wendy Mulhern
98155

---

From: Carolina
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carolina
ADDRESS: 2608 Como Ave SE
POST CODE: 55414
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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====================================================================
From: Olivia
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Olivia
ADDRESS: Apt 52, Shakespeare House, Milton Street, Nottingham
POST CODE: NG14AL
COUNTRY: AE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Dave
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dave
ADDRESS: Youghal Ireland
POST CODE: 0000
COUNTRY: ID

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Madison
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Madison
ADDRESS: 01 Logan Avenue
POST CODE: 17745
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforththenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler
ADDRESS: 4723 Holts Prairie Road, Pinckneyville, Illinois
POST CODE: 62274
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jessica  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jessica  
ADDRESS: 1325 Crestview Ct  
POST CODE: 95403  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Larry Campbell
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:23
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Larry Campbell
7923 guerad dr, n
Jaxsonville, Florida 32210
From: Ralph Reed  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:23  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Look the economy of the internet is based on innovation. It has generated billions of dollars in value and tax revenue. The fair accessibility to internet resources is the reason for that.

Without Net Neutrality, that is fundamentally at risk and will be exploited by ISPs to strong arm consumers and content providers while adding no real value beyond what they are currently adding.

It’s extortion of that masses... your constituents... that makes everyone I know sick to their stomachs.

Please fight for an Open Internet and a return to the Net Neutrality that made the US and internet leader.

Thanks for your time and consideration.

Ralph Reed  
43212

From: WHITNEY  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: WHITNEY  
ADDRESS: 237 MOUNTAIN STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA  
POST CODE: 19148  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541064f31e0849000b5969ef

---
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  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/jsV1gcwvRZqDqlEBr9WYVQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/jsV1gcwvRZqDqlEBr9WYVQ/uh1>.

===========================================================================

From: Alex  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex

ADDRESS: 2651 Madison St NE
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541064c4003331000d009725
---
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From: chris  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: chris  
ADDRESS: rua maria judite carvalho  
POST CODE: 2700-654  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410644e8b7a18000e7c45ae
From: Emily Reid  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:24  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread. Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

Emily Reid  
Amherst, MA

From: James Scruta  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:24  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments
Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

Letting the BIG boys monopolize any business or resource is the end of John Q Public utilizing what we have paid for. Those that can gain an edge will and throw the scraps to the rest of us. I’m tired of watching this maneuver while we subsidize those that take control!!

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,

James Scruta
Toledo, OH

=======================================================================================

From: Joe
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Joe
ADDRESS: 15A Walden Road
POST CODE: BS311QW
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541064fble0849000cdb2ae8

---
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106506fbecab000e3ea9ff

---
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=================================================================================================
Sep 15, 2014

FCC Net Neutrality Comments

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,
William Kauth
Ashland, OR

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:25
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Carolyn marek
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 812 s crane
Zip: 64050

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new...
entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC\'s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won\'t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don\'t intend.

Yet, as you\'ve noted, Title II wouldn\'t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban \"fast lanes.\" We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called \"vigilant restraint.\" But remember Kennard\'s digital mantra, too: \"First, do no harm.\" If you conclude that existing laws aren\'t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC\'s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

====================================================================
From: Jason Applegate  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason Applegate  
ADDRESS: 07 Scotchpine avenue  
POST CODE: 12208  
COUNTRY: US 

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---
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=======================================================================
From: jorge
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jorge
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 07047
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410651cdac595000d1ead26

---
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From: Lisa Schrag  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:25  
Subject: Save the Open Internet! (GN Docket No. 14-28)

Sep 15, 2014  
FCC Net Neutrality Comments  

Dear Net Neutrality Comments,  

The Internet has succeeded because it has always been open: open to companies, no matter how small, with new innovations and open to those speakers with new ideas and viewpoints, no matter how widespread.

Eliminating the principle of net neutrality, which guarantees an open Internet, by granting authority to the powerful cable and telephone companies to establish fast lane service guarantees that the rest of us who can’t afford it will be relegated to second-tier, slow lane service. That action will harm the Internet’s roles in driving innovation in the modern economy and promoting small-d democratic ideas.

Please do not allow fast lanes and slow lanes on the Internet.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Schrag  
Chicago, IL

====================================================================================================

From: Madison  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Madison  
ADDRESS: 518 W Locust  
POST CODE: 52803  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Madison McIntosh
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: Madison McIntosh
ADDRESS: 4484 Peachtree RD NE
POST CODE: Atlanta GA 30319
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 541064f0f35671000c1815a2
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Yumekko Cillia
ADDRESS: Wielmoza 79
POST CODE: 32-045 Suloszowa
COUNTRY: PL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106531b0a7e4000dc639ab
---
From: Zachary
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zachary
ADDRESS: 6671 Loosahatchie Cove
POST CODE: 38002
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410653ab7cb89000e2b2aea

---
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To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 541065431e0849000b5969f6
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=======================================================================================

From: Bob Chalich
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:27
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Dear FCC...

as the watchdog for communications in the United States you simply must not allow big telecom to control speeds on the Internet. There must be 01 Highway for everyone and that highway must be affordable to those of us who do not have millions of dollars to spend on internet speeds. please do not allow big telecom to control the greatest tool in the 20th and 21st centuries.

Bob Chalich
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
NAME: Jan-Å\205ge Johansen
ADDRESS: Løsveien 10, Norway
POST CODE: 3185
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106560a26879000decb549
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=======================================================================================

From: Joseph
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph
ADDRESS: Summit Avenue
POST CODE: 67005
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410656dfdbdb5000cb0c157
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=======================================================================================

From: Justin D. Gentry
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin D. Gentry
ADDRESS: 2816 Dublin Blvd #316 Colorado Springs, CO
POST CODE: 80918

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106542a26879000decb53e
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From: Clarissa
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Clarissa
ADDRESS: 1768 county road 60
POST CODE: 46838
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065531e0849000b5969f8
From: Cynthia Watson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cynthia Watson  
ADDRESS: 3726 Atwood Ave, Madison WI  
POST CODE: 53714  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410654c62b49c000e6c5bc7

---
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From: Puiseux
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Puiseux
ADDRESS: 72rued’assas
POST CODE: 75006
COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410657a444842000d5b9c11

---
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410658a003331000c610e95

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/x-pNA71TQsKPMsiwSLgLkw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/x-pNA71TQsKPMsiwSLgLkw/uh1> .
From: Eric Ratcliffe
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric Ratcliffe
ADDRESS: 114 Thornhill Dr
POST CODE: 31525
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410658ddfbd5000cb0c15a

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106598c60b21000c7f1260

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Sonny
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sonny

ADDRESS: 104 Waldan Circle

POST CODE: 30102

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106565c60b21000df16354
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/HY8gtljNQMihzJmh1Da9LA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/HY8gtljNQMihzJmh1Da9LA/uh1> .

============================================================================

From: Savvas
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Savvas
ADDRESS: 332 Mansfield str
POST CODE: 08904
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065a899efdf000d8055a4

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/6YlhHmkdRQuscaGsaKzh2w/o.gif>
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---

From: Todd Fillingham
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Todd Fillingham
ADDRESS: 2014 East Webster Place
POST CODE: 53211
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106599444842000ba90ad9

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Nancy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nancy
ADDRESS: 12345 hhhhh
POST CODE: 94061
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065b6a26879000bdcb19e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/HJbpdEDeR32H11WGa48jjQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/HJbpdEDeR32H11WGa48jjQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Alex girard
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex girard
ADDRESS: 10406 jolynn rd.
POST CODE: 32225
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065a9444842000d5b9c19

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/Sdrh7B_ISZGiUnurM_bYGw/uh1> .

=========================================

From: Emily Martinez
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Emily Martinez
ADDRESS: 4308 E. Central
POST CODE: 67208
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065ddc60b21000df16369

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/RuJvB1KBQB67b__4YILnVg/uh1>.

=============================================================================

From: Laura van Halsema
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: Laura van Halsema
ADDRESS: Schaepmanstraat 23
POST CODE: 1051JC Amsterdam, Netherlands
COUNTRY: NL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065a8b0a7e4000c165293

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rafael Matos
ADDRESS: Plaza G-190, Forest View, Bayamon, Puerto Rico 00956

POST CODE: https://fightforthefuture.github.io/battleforthenet-widget/iframe/modal.html#

COUNTRY: PR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541064358b7a18000c5748e0

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/pDShi1KxTrSjDi2W5M8jIg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/pDShi1KxTrSjDi2W5M8jIg/uh2>.

From: randy Richards  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: randy Richards
ADDRESS: 257 colima
POST CODE: 94565
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065a1f1110a000e42ebfc

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/M2Fvolw0S_-iLVNbmssbpbQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Rob
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rob
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 00979
COUNTRY: PR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065able0849000ec71cf5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/oT0yB5aeTfm9K3XmW5V1gg/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================

From: Isabella
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Isabella
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 95132
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065dcf1110a000d0e6d75

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Kevin Blakley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin Blakley  
ADDRESS: Box 944, Rural Hall NC  
POST CODE: 27045  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065bdfbecab000e3eaa15

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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======================================================================
From: Michael Møller
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Møller
ADDRESS: S. Kristoffersenip aqq 52
POST CODE: 3952
COUNTRY: GL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply at the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106465b0a7e400e46936f
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/uxm_kqd1SmmPSk2cEd4wiw/uh1> .

=======================================================================================
From: Steve König
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steve König
ADDRESS: Leonrodstraße 21
POST CODE: 80634 Munich
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065d2dfbd5000cb0c163
---
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---

From: Alexis
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---
NAME: Alexis
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 97403
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065f7f1110a000e42ec13

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leo T Tsai
ADDRESS: 344 West 23rd Street
POST CODE: 10011
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Robert Wettstädt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Wettstädt  
ADDRESS: Kopenhagener Strasse 22  
POST CODE: 13407  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065df003331000c610ea8

---
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From: Scott Terrio
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott Terrio
ADDRESS: 130 Pleasant View St.
POST CODE: Methuen, MA 01844
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065ebf35671000eb3c55b

---
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==============================================================================

From: Ashley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ashley
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541065ff1e0849000cdb2b1e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/b8NkZG3eRvq9Z073EeE6GA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/b8NkZG3eRvq9Z073EeE6GA/u1> .
From: Jeremy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jeremy  
ADDRESS: Upper Ewe Croft  
POST CODE: LS299AY  
COUNTRY: GB  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Meša
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Meša
ADDRESS: Puntaldia 06
POST CODE: 88000
COUNTRY: NI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Arthur Kilmurray  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:36  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The internet must remain neutral. Every citizen should have equal access, equal speed of information. The internet is the modern version of the public library. Should big monied interests control what and when people can access in the libraries? Hell no! Same with the internet. Do your job Mr. FCC.

Arthur Kilmurray

02474

=======================================================================================

From: Emma Ringström  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Emma Ringström
ADDRESS: Staketgatan 10
POST CODE: 80320
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106602444842000d5b9c29

---
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NAME: Maia Nordahl
ADDRESS: Rødtvetveien 16
POST CODE: 0955
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Sarah  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah  
ADDRESS: 91 Lincoln St  
POST CODE: 02141  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:37
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Cassie Faiai
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 903 8th Ave NE
Zip: 58703

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don\’t break the Internet. Don\’t abandon the \’Hands off the Net\’ approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today\’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II\’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T\’s government-created monopoly. They\’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don\’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today\’s bipartisan \’Hands off the Net\’ approach. You can\’t have \’just enough Title II\’ any more than you can be \’slightly pregnant.\’
Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban ‘fast lanes.’

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called ‘vigilant restraint.’ But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: ‘First, do no harm.’ If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Delta
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Delta
ADDRESS: 1212-D SE Powell Blvd
POST CODE: Portland, OR 97202
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=====================================================================

From: Dennis Quillen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Dennis Quillen
ADDRESS: 318 Emory Court
POST CODE: Bardstown, KY 40004
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410660df1110a000e42ec1e
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From: Hannah Nailor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Hannah Nailor
ADDRESS: 414 Erber Dr
POST CODE: Kirkwood, MO 63122
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106642dfb5000cb0c171
---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jerilyn Zakrzewski
ADDRESS: 218 E. Oak St. #05
POST CODE: 86401
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410661bc60b21000e118011
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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========================================================================================================

From: Ren rain
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ren rain
ADDRESS: 3030 se Pine st.
POST CODE: 98214
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Tim
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tim

ADDRESS: Broichersträße 237e

POST CODE: 53797

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=====================================================================

From: Angela Holland
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Angela Holland
ADDRESS: 1532 S. 7th St
POST CODE: 89146
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---
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From: Cody  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cody

ADDRESS: 14622 meadows ct e tacoma washington

POST CODE: 98445

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jack Dillé
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jack Dillé
ADDRESS: 1889 Oak St Apt 01
POST CODE: 94117
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Javier Bonilla
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Javier Bonilla
ADDRESS: 7700 Willowchase Boulevard Houston Texas 77070 Apt 1012
POST CODE: 77070
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

The FCC is not an employee of Big Cable; it is a public servant/service agency. The public and our Democracy want and deserve open communication so that no group can dictate what is "factual." Contrary evidence/opinion and new ideas demand a neutral media outlet of some kind - NET NEUTRALITY must be protected.

doug taylor
48114

==================================================================================================

From: Jennifer A. Dantes
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Jennifer A. Dantes
ADDRESS: 144 Millview Ave
POST CODE: 49001
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: M. Lundrigan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: M. Lundrigan
ADDRESS: 61 White Hills Run
POST CODE: B4B 1W6
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410665e1e0849000b596a0e
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54106654fbecab000dab1c7b
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NAME: Michal
ADDRESS: os.Legionów 05/12 Poland
POST CODE: 33-100
COUNTRY: PL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106676b0a7e4000dc639e7

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410667c62b49c000cd1f0ad

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/OG0-ISmCRpi0GFMD0a9yxQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================
From: Rita Tóth
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rita Tóth
ADDRESS: Kecskeméť
POST CODE: 6000
COUNTRY: HU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning...
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106672a26879000e0b107f
---
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From: Sam
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam
ADDRESS: 8632 e via de belleza
POST CODE: 85258
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106667a26879000decb57a
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=======================================================================================

From: Dale Hartlieb
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:42
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Net Neutrality must be preserved for two major reasons:
1. Independent voices typically originate from sources that do not have major financial backing and therefore those voices will be stifled by the inability to buy the proposed high speed transfer.
2. The proposed ‘Fast Lane’ will be filled with high value information that will make it a prime target for illicit surveillance and hacking.

Please consider marshaling all efforts to preserve net neutrality and resist the highly financially backed corporate interests. Thank you.

Dale Hartlieb

14070

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:42
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: robert anderson
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 2821 n. stout rd. spokanewa.
Zip: 99206

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the ‘Hands off the Net’ approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan ‘Hands off the Net’ approach. You can’t have ‘just enough Title II’ any more than you can be ‘slightly pregnant’.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban ‘fast lanes’.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called ‘vigilant restraint’. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: ‘First, do no harm’. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.
whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=======================================================================================

From: John L.
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: John L.
ADDRESS: 6317 Wilshire Blvd Los Angeles, CA
POST CODE: 90048 Phone:(323) 852-6000 Hotel class: 04 stars
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106673f1110a000d0e6d91

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:42
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Melanie Barrett
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 6500 Namon Wallace Dr
Zip: 30028

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the
FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Rhys O’Donnell
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rhys O’Donnell
ADDRESS: 22 Kames Place
POST CODE: KY120XB
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410669362b49c000cd1f0b0
---
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54106682b0a?e4000dc639ea

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Chandra
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chandra
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 55418
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410669a99efdf000d8055c2

---
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From: Ella  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com. 

---

NAME: Ella  
ADDRESS: Jenkinson B, flat 08, Room 05  
POST CODE: HD4 0YH  
COUNTRY: GB  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410668e003331000ed4d80a
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=======================================================================================

From: James Boeder
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:43
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Let's keep it free and neutral. I use it daily for my personal use.

James Boeder

53579

=======================================================================================

From: Jennifer Gee
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:43
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC Chairman,

I am very concerned about keeping net neutrality. Equal access to the internet, regardless of how much money a business has is critical. Please don't allow internet speed be something that can be "bought." America already has enough inequality and this seems to be stacked against small businesses, entrepreneurs, and folks like students.

Please don't stack the decks in favor of large companies just so that telecom companies can make more money. This is inherently un-American.
From: Mathias  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mathias
ADDRESS: Hauptstraße 15
POST CODE: 79964
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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From: Jan Noethe
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.
---

NAME: Jan Noethe
ADDRESS: PO box 83152
POST CODE: 97283
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==============================================================================

From: Mark Wahl
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:44
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,
There is no other path but to allow the reclassification of network carriers as a public utility so the FCC can assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934. Given the almost universal use of the internet and its essentiality (certainly as essential and fundamental as the telephone) it needs to be governed with rules just like a utility, not like a corporate profit-gimick-ridden casino game. Please leave a legacy of universal information and interaction by taking this fairly obvious step before the net gets sealed up by corporate game-players and high rollers, as inevitably it will without uncompromising parameters set at this stage.

Mark Wahl

98260

==============================================================================
From: Rebecca Csiszar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:44  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners  

The internet belongs to the citizens- it is not a commodity that the govt should control or sell  

Rebecca Csiszar  
27376

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:44  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment  

Name: James Mathew Swarts  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 6001 Auburn St.  
Zip: 93306  

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please donâ€™t break the Internet. Donâ€™t abandon the â€œHands off the Netâ€ approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built todayâ€™s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title IIâ€™s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&Tâ€™s government-created monopoly. Theyâ€™re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We donâ€™t have claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling todayâ€™s bipartisan â€œHands off the Netâ€ approach. You canâ€™t have â€œjust enough Title IIâ€ any more than you can be â€œslightly pregnant.â€

Title II would hurt startups most: they canâ€™t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâ€™s powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonâ€™t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donâ€™t intend.

Yet, as youâ€™ve noted, Title II wouldnâ€™t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â€œfast lanes.â€

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â€œvigilant restraint.â€ But remember Kennardâ€™s digital mantra, too: â€œFirst, do no harm.â€ If you conclude that existing laws arenâ€™t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation.
that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=====================================================================

From: Ernesto Guevara
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ernesto Guevara
ADDRESS: 7931 Kester Ave Panorama City, CA
POST CODE: 91402
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Jennifer
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jennifer
ADDRESS: 26 Knollwood Drive
POST CODE: 17543
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: Strambu
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Strambu

ADDRESS: Trotusului street 04

POST CODE: 012143
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Emma Blixt
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emma Blixt
ADDRESS: Stalllvägen 26
POST CODE: 35256
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541066abc60b21000df1638e
---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Joel Burslem
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joel Burslem
ADDRESS: #310 â€“ 239 NW 13th Ave Portland? OR?
POST CODE: 97209
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Amber
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Amber
ADDRESS: 3302 n 350 e
POST CODE: 46371
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106731dfbd5000d11eb2e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/wCe3TD_5Q1mHvI736k1LAQ/uh1>.

=================================================================================================
NAME: Gonçalo Franco
ADDRESS: Rua Brunilde Judice, nº12, R/C
POST CODE: 2620-267
COUNTRY: PT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541066ff99efdf000c34555c

---

Want more awesome more often?
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kaitlyn
ADDRESS: 221 east college street
POST CODE: 15317
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106730003331000bc7c6c6

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/seyZSTMxQ40vjJVi5vE3eA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/seyZSTMxQ40vjJVi5vE3eA/uh1>.

From: William Rowland
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William Rowland
ADDRESS: 3939 gentilly Blvd, 422
POST CODE: 70126
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning...
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410674cb7cb89000e2b2b4e

---
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From: Gerald Papenhausen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gerald Papenhausen
ADDRESS: 90 Canal St
POST CODE: 01890
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106772fbecab000b574167

---
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NAME: johanna
ADDRESS: verkmÄ¤stargatan
POST CODE: 75436
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 5410670a8b7a18000e7c4643
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam Maurer  
ADDRESS: 461 Castro St  
POST CODE: San Francisco, CA  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541066fa003331000c610ee5

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Alisha May
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alisha May
ADDRESS: 19 norton rd
POST CODE: 03904
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106769b0a7e4000dc63a16

---
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============================================================================

From: Gregory McCracken  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gregory McCracken
3564 State Hwy. 146 So.
Livingston, Texas 77351

=======================================================================================

From: Jordan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jordan
ADDRESS: 07 Arindale Grange, Maghaberry
POST CODE: BT67 0GD
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106739dac595000ea703c3

---
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From: jessica
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jessica
ADDRESS: 3734 w. puget ave
POST CODE: 85051
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:50
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Joseph Rumolo
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 269 Higgins Road ,Old Bridge,NJ
Zip: 08857

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s broadband networks.
government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen
Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they
wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy
claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we
trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling
today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II
any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily
as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new
entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment
and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the
FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what
its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard
called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too:
First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition.
Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all
levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading
their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private
companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be
your legacy.

From: vammy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: vammy

ADDRESS: 18878 Afton ave

POST CODE: 95070

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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======================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:51
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Earl Dean Dyson
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:51
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes
through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
London Holmes
3236 Haga Dr
San Jose, CA 95111

========================================================================================================

From: Jake Joyce
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jake Joyce
ADDRESS: 3300 Wren Ln
POST CODE: 60008
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: M Murphy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: M Murphy
ADDRESS: 911 Nope st
POST CODE: 12333
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Alexander
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Alexander
ADDRESS: 1200 Maroubra loop, Cary, NC
POST CODE: 27513
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Angie Rans
Po Box 623
North Liberty, IN 46554
not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cyclic Lednek
6937 Somewhere ST
Palos Verdes Peninsula, California 90274

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:52
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Melodie Curry
2809 La Loma Dr Apt 12
Rncho Cordova, CA 95670

=======================================================================================

From: Joanna
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joanna
ADDRESS: Pulaskiego 07/11 D1
POST CODE: 80-520
COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joshua
ADDRESS: 25 wilbur street
POST CODE: 02190
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Juan Pablo GÃ³mez
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Juan Pablo GÃ³mez
ADDRESS: Calle 134 A # 14-13 apto 404
POST CODE: 00000
COUNTRY: CO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410677a1e0849000d05c370
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/JwFbjSsyRSeDFwHEUMIWWQ/uh1>.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:53
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: donna drissi
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 16 watson pl apt 3d
Zip: 13502

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the ‘Hands off the Net’ approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t
buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:53
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should
continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Richard Thomas
605 Smyrna Landing Rd
Smyrna, DE 19977

=======================================================================================

From: Ruby
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ruby
ADDRESS: Rue Mauverney 33
POST CODE: 1196
COUNTRY: CH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541067ad8b7a18000ddd5796

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:53
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sonya Ellis
1650 Lombard St
San Francisco, CA 94123
From: Virgil wever
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Virgil wever
ADDRESS: 29742 CENTRAL AVE
POST CODE: 91384
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541067a815ab86000e5ea4d2
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:54
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Chris York
256 Wilton Rd
Peterborough, NH 03458
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Pat West
1501 Woodland Pointe Dr Apt 201
Nashville, TN 37214

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:54
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Rhonda Minnoch Reid
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 3606 S. 262nd St.
Zip: 98032

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairman Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard
They called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: first, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:54
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Eggers
205 Mooreson Ave N
Mooreton, ND 58061

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:55
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear A¢â\202¬â\200\234 investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cg Andes

1606 Prince Ct
Royersford, PA 19468

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:55
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
From: The Rev. Pamela Porter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:55  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am a retiree living in rural Western MA, writing to ask that the FCC preserve net neutrality for the internet. I use the internet daily as a source of news and information, to be in communication with the causes I support, to do consumer research before making major purchases, to find answers to many questions from medical (e.g., side effects of prescribed drugs, meaning of diagnosis, area providers, etc.) to musical (sheet music, composers, U-tube performances and teaching sights) to liturgical resources (prayers, biblical readings and references) to public library access to shopping and on and on and on. It would be a great loss to me if some of the non-profit sights I rely on were no longer available. Research that I do for writing sermons, for example, could be seriously restricted. The lost of net neutrality could also make it more difficult to connect with the causes I support and to express my views. It would be a limitation on freedom of expression.

Please use the authority you have to maintain the internet as a public utility, equally available to all.

The Rev. Pamela Porter
01346

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC
has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Cari Niles
3222 Bluffview Ln
Brandon, FL 33511

From: Colter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Colter
ADDRESS: 52 Myrtle St
POST CODE: 03104
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106810c60b21000c7f12be

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:56
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
David Galvan
446 Schlegel Rd
Chillicothe, OH 45601

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gloria Mondragon
1712 Smithland Ave
La Junta, CO 81050

==============================================================================

From: Jesse DeLozier  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesse DeLozier
ADDRESS: 1369 Sylvan Drive
POST CODE: 16648
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541067ee003331000bc7c6d8
---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Federico Requena
ADDRESS: Jaime Zudañez 2820 apto 703
POST CODE: 11600
COUNTRY: UY
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541067c7dac595000ea703dd
---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jnlnln
ADDRESS:ogiif
POST CODE:igi
COUNTRY:US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Lauren
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lauren
ADDRESS: 107 Hoppin Ave
POST CODE: 02915
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Marcus Omwanza
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Marcus Omwanza
ADDRESS: Ä\226stra Järnvägsgatan 17
POST CODE: 26632
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairman Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan "Hands off the Net" approach. You can't have "just enough Title II" any more than you can be "slightly pregnant."

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes."

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that's Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy......DO NOT ALLOW COMMUNICATION MONOPOLIES TO CONTROL THE INTERNET... IT ONLY BENEFITS THE ALREADY WEALTHY COMPANIE
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Eric Olivas
2099 Plumas St
Reno, NV 89509

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:57
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Heather Specht
958 Sunset Ter
Dover, DE 19904

From: Alan Zambrano
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:58
NAME: Alan Zambrano
ADDRESS: Alamos 02, Atizapan Moderno, Atizapan de Zaragoza, Estado de México, México
POST CODE: 52976
COUNTRY: MX
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106815003331000bc7c6dd

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Henning
106 S 8th St
Central City, KY 42330

---

NAME: Carlota
ADDRESS: Cerdanyola Del Vallés, Barcelona
POST CODE: 08290
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54106846003331000d00976f
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From: Kyle
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle
ADDRESS: 7050 N Bales ave
POST CODE: 64119
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106815a26879000bdcb1e8
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410684462b49c000d1643b6

---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. [https://donate.fightforthefuture.org]
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=======================================================================================

From: Nathan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nathan
ADDRESS: jacksonville, fl
POST CODE: 32224
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541067ae444842000ec5888b

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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---

From: Pauline Roberson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pauline Roberson
ADDRESS: 30 Montrose ave #21N
POST CODE: 11206
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410680ff35671000eb3c5c9

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:58
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ryan Schultz
181 Shotwell Park
Syracuse, NY 13206

=====================================================================

From: Solange
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Solange
ADDRESS: 187 Woodpoint Rd.
POST CODE: 11211
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541067d015ab86000d45037a

---
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106837c60b21000e118046
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=================================================================================================

From: Charlie Joseph
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Charlie Joseph
ADDRESS: 2725 w Gunnison
POST CODE: 60625
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106840f35671000d55383b

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
**From:** Eggson Bakey  
**Date:** 09/15/2014 14:54:59  
**Subject:** Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

**NAME:** Eggson Bakey  
**ADDRESS:** 2437 Ottawa Dr.  
**POST CODE:** 43229-4718?  
**COUNTRY:** US

**COMMENT:** Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410684f1e0849000d05c38f
---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jairo Tineo
629 Walnut St
Pottstown, PA 19464

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:54:59
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jairo Tineo
629 Walnut St
Pottstown, PA 19464

=======================================================================================
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Pedro Benitez
2055 Falmouth Dr
El Cajon, CA 92020

---

NAME: sam
ADDRESS: 24 elm crescent
POST CODE: w5 3jw
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106847b0a7e4000c1652e3
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/iAgjBjQPQjKxBpCtR3cpfA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/iAgjBjQPQjKxBpCtR3cpfA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Bael
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bael
ADDRESS: 26743 yowaiski mill road mechanicsville
POST CODE: 20659
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106857fbcab000e3eaa5d

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:00
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Barbara Burke
510 Eastern Ave
Woodsfield, OH 43793

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:00
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of
Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Melissa Pierson
329 Maple St
Lisbon, OH 44432

=======================================================================================

From: Danise
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Danise
ADDRESS: PO Box 5645
POST CODE: 81631
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106856f1110a000cc4f085

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefutr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefutr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/K_XV6YIlReufqkxohVuQRA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================
From: Jeffrey Dravis
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:00
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Tom Wheeler:

I am writing in support of continued net neutrality. I am an educator and depend on a free internet. Big telecoms taking over will destroy our ability for ease of communication of thoughts and ideas, so critical for a free country. I cannot afford to pay higher fees for use of the internet and speed is critical for the type of work I do.

Do the right thing and maintain net neutrality. Thank you.

Jeffrey J. Dravis
Adjunct Professor

Jeffrey Dravis

77005

=======================================================================================
From: Kevin Tremblay
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Kevin Tremblay
ADDRESS: 516 rue des crécerelles
POST CODE: G7H5S9
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 5410686415ab86000b62d0fa
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter Geissler
ADDRESS: Römersthalgasse 07/13
POST CODE: 1110 Vienna
COUNTRY: AT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541067afc60b21000c7f12af

---
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==================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:01
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Autumn Holdeman
10225 Hillside Ln
Flint, TX 75762

==================================================================================================

From: Jane
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jane

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 95355

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410685cb7cb89000e2b2b7a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change for the worse.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ismelda Silva
16444 Cove Ln
Edinburg, TX 78542

---

NAME: Meghan
ADDRESS: 939 E 4th Ave
POST CODE: 81301
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106859b0a7e4000e469420

---
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========================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Octavia Thoms
261 Ritchey St
San Diego, CA 92114

==================================================================

From: Vladimir Fallas Yamashita  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vladimir Fallas Yamashita
ADDRESS: 100 m norte y 25 m este de la Cruz Roja, San Isidro de Vázquez de Coronado
POST CODE: 1652200
COUNTRY: CR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---
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===================================================================

From: Alex Cross
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:02
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

All people and companies and organizations should have equal access to all data through the internet with no artificial gate keeping, slowdowns or blockings regardless without having to pay extra. I don’t want prioritized data content either.

Alex Cross

75214
From: Caitlin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Caitlin  
ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 22172  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---  
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:02
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Barbara Adams
306 Goodson Loop
Pinehurst, TX 77362

From: Richard
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard
ADDRESS: 330 Mill Rd. Crystal Lake
POST CODE: 60014
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106894fotecab000dab1ce3

---
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From: Trevor
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Trevor
ADDRESS: Clevenger
POST CODE: 85015
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410686cf35671000eb3c5da
---
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:02
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
William Bloodworth
4720 Anna Simpson Rd
Milton, FL 32583

==================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:03
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: robert cukro
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 5041 frederick avenue w.melbourne florida
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes. We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Chris Esteves
5470 W Military Dr Apt 3011
San Antonio, TX 78242

Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410687715ab86000d45039a
---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:03
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kyoung Kim
12722 Fee Fee Rd
Saint Louis, MO 63146

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Julia Compton
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julia Compton
ADDRESS: PO Box 7637
POST CODE: Ocean View, HI 96737
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our


communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410689944842000ec588ac

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:03
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change–not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Wagner
1035 Wildlife Trl
Kingston Spgs, TN 37082

=======================================================================================
From: Breezy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Breezy
ADDRESS: 1677 Atwell Street
POST CODE: 95747
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106899fbecab00e3eaa63

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along. No government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Candise Clark
34 Fern Ln
Dillon, MT 59725

---

From: Emilio-Kodjo Boumey
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emilio-Kodjo Boumey
ADDRESS: 73, Avenue de la RÉ­publique
POST CODE: 92120
COUNTRY: FR
COMMENT: Net neutrality is the First Amendment of the Internet, the principle that Internet service providers (ISPs) treat all data equally. As an Internet user, net neutrality is vitally important to me. The FCC should use its Title II authority to protect it.

Most Americans have only one choice for truly high speed Internet: their local cable company. This is a political failure, and it is an embarrassment. America deserves competition and choice.

Without net neutrality, a bad situation gets even worse. These ISPs will now be able to manipulate our Internet experience by speeding up some services and slowing down others. That kills choice, diversity, and quality.

It also causes tremendous economic harm. If ISPs can speed up favored services and slow others, new businesses will no longer be able to rely on a level playing field. When ISPs can slow your site and destroy your business at will, how can any startup attract investors?

My friends, family, and I use the Internet for conversation and fun, but also for work and business. When you let ISPs mess with our Internet experience, you are attacking our social lives, our entertainment, and our economic well being. We won’t stand for it.

ISPs are opposing Title II so that they can destroy the FCC’s net neutrality rules in court. This is the same trick they pulled last time. Please, let’s not be fooled again. Title II is the strong, legally sound way to enforce net neutrality. Use it.

---
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---
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=======================================================================================

From: Jimmy Jones
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:04
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Sirs:

How could it be that denying "net neutrality" to the American public would be a good thing for any but the corporations who are now so willing to rob us of our internet access? Please vote yes for "net neutrality" and no to the oligarchic monopolies seeking to make life and communication more difficult for the disappearing middle class.

James B. Jones, Jr.
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:04
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Eric Duchin
6577 Valley View Rd
Oakland, CA 94611

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:05
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mark Dickson
24406 440th Ave
Salem, SD 57058

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:05
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brian Parra
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes. We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tanya Grigsby
4912 W 139th St
Hawthorne, CA 90250
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job—providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service—it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the Internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
April Meyer  
207 Springmill Rd  
Plymouth, OH 44865
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Morgan Pohlen
30 N Main St
Kellyville, OK 74039

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:07
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Stanley Morris
343 7th St Apt 01
Fairview, NJ 07022

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:08
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ronnie Pike
3781 County Road 22
Ashville, AL 35953

====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:08
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Joshua Johnson
3753 Sun Valley St Nw
Grand Rapids, MI 49544

====================================================================

From: Brian
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541068e2a26879000e0b10c0

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Hawk
3122 Jenny Dr
Sugar Land, TX 77479
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Monica Guzmán
ADDRESS: 605 MASTERSON PASS APT 835
POST CODE: 78753
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541068bff35671000d55384b

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:09
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation— just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tim Doran
509 Wanetah Dr
Midland, MI 48640

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:10

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tim Doran
509 Wanetah Dr
Midland, MI 48640
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Arlynn Lara
7183 S Webster St
Littleton, CO 80128

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:10
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
From: André Barros  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: André Barros  
ADDRESS: Avenida Doutor Manoel Paes  
POST CODE: 4740-366  
COUNTRY: PT  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
REF: 54106904a26879000decb613
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=======================================================================================
From: Dianna Uptagrafft
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:11
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know
that the internet will change—and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications
Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than
heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most
onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style
regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public
utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by
government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers,
businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the
two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this
reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dianna Uptagrafft
, 29681
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541068afdfb4b5000cb0c20c
---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
James Maxeiner
1034 Ne 111th Ave
Portland, OR 97220

---

From: Kumar Peeyush
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kumar Peeyush
ADDRESS: Delhi India
POST CODE: 110001
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541068b3b0a7e4000c1652f3
---
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From: Michael  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael  
ADDRESS: Main Street  
POST CODE: 10225  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106904444842000ba90b66

---
From: Michael Tapp  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Tapp
ADDRESS: 798 Nostrand Ave
POST CODE: 11216
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541068b6a26879000bdcb1fe
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Moshe
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Moshe
ADDRESS: 17-14 Well Drive
POST CODE: 07410
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106906c60b21000df16405
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/-xqpX1N0S_2LeXdpcX9h4w/o.gif>
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---

From: Pablo Antonio Licata Caruso
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pablo Antonio Licata Caruso

ADDRESS: Sarmiento 4340, 04º piso, 17

POST CODE: C1197AAN

COUNTRY: AR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541068b2fbecab000c288d56

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/FXvc1zGgRIa-dRI5v8TxTA/o.gif>
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======================================================================

From: Signe
NAME: Signe
ADDRESS: Tilst Søndervej
POST CODE: 8381
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 541068b2b0a7e4000e469433
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:11
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along — no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Steven Smith
245 Shore Dr
Laconia, NH 03246

---

From: Amy Utley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amy Utley
ADDRESS: 6645 Imlach Dr Anchorage, AK
POST CODE: 99502
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541068ccf35671000eb3c5ed

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/mLty1R3ARlG2K1rat3WPmQ/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gabriel Mcneil
567 Owen St
Detroit, MI 48202

-----------------------------------------------

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dennis Reid
1603 Venice Cir
Stockton, CA 95206

-----------------------------------------------
From: Yolanda Wormley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:12  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

The internet is my lifeline. I have multiple sclerosis and home bound. The internet means everything to me - without access I would be very limited in my ability to be a part of the world. I do everything online even to ordering my groceries.

Yolanda Wormley
90016

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lori Carter  
Rr 06 Box 971a  
Bloomfield, IN 47424

From: olimpia muñoz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: olimpia muñoz
ADDRESS: Doctor Antonio Cortés Llado, 04 1ªA, SEVILLA, SPAIN
POST CODE: 41004
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410690b003331000bc7c6ff

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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From: Tim Trefz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:13  
Subject: RE: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

Could you resend it back to me. Kinda’ proud of being off the top of my head! :)
Thanks!
On Sep 15, 2014 02:49 PM, "OpenInternet" < xxxx@xxxx.xxx> wrote:
> Thank you, we have received your comments and will review them.

From: Can D酸奶 Cay Erbora  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Can D酸奶 Cay Erbora
ADDRESS: VingャVrdsgatan 13
POST CODE: 11758
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106930f35671000eb3c601

---
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=======================================================================================

From: EstefanÃ–a
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: EstefanÃ–a
ADDRESS: travesia del paseo san antonio,
POST CODE: 24400
COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106920a26879000bdcb211

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Fábio Correia e Mello
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fábio Correia e Mello
ADDRESS: Rua J. A. da Silveira, 70
POST CODE: 50610030
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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======================================================================================
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sherri Blanton
9821 Dibsworth Ln
Dallas, TX 75238

From: Lisa
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa
ADDRESS: 2160 Lakeview Dr #269
POST CODE: 48198
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=============================================================================
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

David Vernig
2755 Aspen Rd
Stoughton, WI 53589

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:15
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Shawn Davis
37900 90th St E
Littlerock, CA 93543

---

NAME: Charles P. Cole
ADDRESS: 33 pleasant point rd
POST CODE: 02663
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:15
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Damon Weakley
1212 Utoy Springs Rd Sw Apt 04
Atlanta, GA 30331
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Lamb
800 Stover Rd
Dunbar, WV 25064
slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

---

From: Harry
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Harry
ADDRESS: 18 rue d’oultremont
POST CODE: 1040
COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Jessica
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jessica
ADDRESS: 60 Main St Farmington, CT
POST CODE: 06032
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Kathryn Keeland  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kathryn Keeland
ADDRESS: 527 s. X st
POST CODE: 93436
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069b ff35 671000eb3c617
---
From: olga  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: olga

ADDRESS: 23 West 116th Street, New York

POST CODE: 10026

COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069448b7a18000ddd57db

---
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From: Rob  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rob  
ADDRESS: 28 Melendy Ave Watetown  
POST CODE: 02472  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410690fc60b21000b542793

---
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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======================================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bente Austbø,
ADDRESS: Gjerluvegen 440b
POST CODE: 2320 Furnes
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069cc003331000c610f6b

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Jacob  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacob  
ADDRESS: 110 Faircloth Street  
POST CODE: 36420  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:16
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Judith Grinnell
1445 Granada Ave
Casper, WY 82604

=======================================================================================
NAME: Juuso Tunkelo
ADDRESS: Etelätie 40A
POST CODE: 02710
COUNTRY: FI
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069cbdfdb5000e45fc64

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Mamie Harris
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mamie Harris
ADDRESS: 2517 Bridgeport
POST CODE: 38114
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069c4c60b21000b5427a6

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Robert Ward
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Ward
ADDRESS: 1133 Olive St Apt 514
POST CODE: 97401-3503
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069d562b49c000d1643f8
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====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:16
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€•or not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dennis Burcalow
1365 Tornado Dr
Church Point, LA 70525

From: Adrian Browner
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:17
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Accessing the internet is essential not only to my personal interests but to my professional interests as well. Most, if not all of my colleagues use the internet to research information to enhance their job performance and excel as a public employee. Many have children in various age groups that use the internet to research information to help with their schoolwork and achieve academic goals. Slowing the internet down would be detrimental to any and every type of research. Many communities are already struggling with non-existent or limited access to the internet and the current proposal will only make things worse. Please reconsider and change your mind about net neutrality. It will not be beneficial for those who want and need to use it; it will only benefit those who want to control it.

Adrian Browner
20019

From: Chance Robert Postin Peakins
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chance Robert Postin Peakins
ADDRESS: 811 SE 16th Street Apt. 104
POST CODE: 33316
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:17
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Thomas White
5550 Squaw Valley Rd
Wedgefield, SC 29168

=================================================================================================

From: Elizabeth
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth
ADDRESS: 167 Lakeside Lane, Sanford, NC
POST CODE: 27332
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069a0c60b21000e118064

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/nxRorHM3TQ07XfqZ_ZPa5Q/uh1>.

From: J
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: J
ADDRESS: ?Columbus Xenia Road South Solon Ohio? ?43153
POST CODE: 43153
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069cbf1110a000e42ece7

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/hABqvEcAQ_u2qhI8d99d0A/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/hABqvEcAQ_u2qhI8d99d0A/uh1>.

=====================================================================

From: Kåre Wenger
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---
NAME: Kåre Wenger
ADDRESS: Rosevegen 43b
POST CODE: 3408
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069d715ab86000d4503e0

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/Ij0q612yRjKu-rWFS4JOMw/o.gif>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kate
ADDRESS: 6666 Spear St.
POST CODE: 05482
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle
ADDRESS: Halifax, NS
POST CODE: B3H2N3
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410695ddac595000b70873b

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/QkjyHndnTqSxwB_j3yn0KA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/QkjyHndnTqSxwB_j3yn0KA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Margaret
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Margaret
ADDRESS: Minneapolis, MN
POST CODE: 55408
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069d98b7a18000ddd57f8

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/HNTiN333T3yboGhog-oxyw/uh1>.

=====================================================================

From: Mikael Andersson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mikael Andersson
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069e91e0849000ec71d72

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/W63Ev31KSPyN04_l3jeYsg/uhi>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:17
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Pam Stephens
5601 Country Ln Apt 427
Benbrook, TX 76109

---

From: Beatriz Barreto
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Beatriz Barreto
ADDRESS: Campina Grande, ParaÃ­ba
POST CODE: 9347928789
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106a048b7a18000e7c46ea
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/1j0UayjtQJmDYJsy1U_QBQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/1j0UayjtQJmDYJsy1U_QBQ/uh1>.

---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Cooper Stewart
12353 Albano Rd
Barboursville, VA 22923

From: Diego
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Diego
ADDRESS: c/ Canido
POST CODE: 36390
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069e399efdf000e0820b8

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/227YHJ5uTyuI-Nce4a2oOA/uh1>.

==

From: Jorge Villalobos
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jorge Villalobos
ADDRESS: 120 E 95th st
POST CODE: Los Angeles, CA, 90003
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410695cc60b21000c7f12f1
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==============================================

From: Kai Barkschat
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kai Barkschat
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106a04f1110a000cc4f0b9
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Noah Schou

1631 N Merrick Dr
Deltona, FL 32725

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:18
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Wes Halstead
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 2527 1st ave
Zip: 25703

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.
Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban ‘fast lanes.’

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called ‘vigilant restraint.’ But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: ‘First, do no harm.’ If you conclude that existing laws are not adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ken Golden
121 Westwinds Loop
Statesville, NC 28625
From: David Mulcahy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  
---  

NAME: David Mulcahy  
ADDRESS: 1450 Airport Rd  
POST CODE: 80424  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  
---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Joe Terrell
3027 Chandler Hwy
Tyler, TX 75702
change\200\224and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Antonio Bermudez Jr
100 Ackerman St
Rochester, NY 14609

=======================================================================================
From: Don Gardner
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:20
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners\200\223\200\223\200\223\200\223

Please leave things as they are regarding internet neutrality. The internet currently provides equal access for all, and should be kept that way. If Big Telecon gets their way, it will be one more downward slide toward their total internet control.

Don Gardner
98335

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:20
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress
reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ann Raab
245 4th Ave N
Clinton, IA 52732

From: Sherri
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthent.net.com.

---

NAME: Sherri
ADDRESS: 193 SE Norfolk Blvd
POST CODE: 34997
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106a23003331000d009791
---
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=======================================================================================

From: daniel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: daniel
ADDRESS: 2510 sunset blvd
POST CODE: 95825
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:21
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ—‡200\224and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then
it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ann Croffett
4437 N Grand River Ave Apt 08
Lansing, MI 48906

=======================================================================================
From: Kristen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Kristen
ADDRESS: 1250 Park Ave
POST CODE: 50315
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:21
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:22
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Alberto Riefkohl
1387 Strykers Rd
Phillipsburg, NJ 08865

=======================================================================================

From: B
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Guy Cosentino
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Guy Cosentino
ADDRESS: 48891 Taos Road
POST CODE: 92230
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Jahmère
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jahmère
ADDRESS: 35 East Garwood ave
POST CODE: 08094
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:22
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Taylor
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew Reiter
ADDRESS: 76 Hill Street
POST CODE: 01588
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Andrew Richardson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Andrew Richardson  
ADDRESS: 02 Fairhaven Avenue  
POST CODE: ML6 8EW  
COUNTRY: GB  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Danielle
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Danielle
ADDRESS: 317 Petroleum St.
POST CODE: 16301
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:23
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michael Garcia
7835 Hesperia Ave
Reseda, CA 91335

---

From: julie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: julie
ADDRESS: 4505 yellowstone
POST CODE: 55446
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:23
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the Internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ€”just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mark Simpson
NAME: Álvaro Domingues Alves
ADDRESS: Fontelos, 374
POST CODE: 4730-400
COUNTRY: PT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Dr Frederic K Sutter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dr Frederic K Sutter  
ADDRESS: 10 Bay Street Landing 04 A/B Staten Island  
POST CODE: 10301-2529  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:24
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kelvin Coburn
1231 N Rutledge St
Springfield, IL 62702
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Lynn Martin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:24  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I am a small business owner who provides service via the internet. If the connection is slowed, clients will not be visiting my site and my business will probably cease to exist. Please say NO to net neutrality.

Lynn Martin  
05401

From: Roger  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Roger  
ADDRESS: Whitcomb  
POST CODE: 33408  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106a8999efdf000e0820db
---
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From: Sonny Teigen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Sonny Teigen

ADDRESS: sølvveien 10d

POST CODE: 2642

COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106a5af35671000eb3c639

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Casey
2201 Cortland Cir
Rowlett, TX 75088
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Benjamin Cammarere
996 County Hwy 126
Amsterdam, NY 12010

From: Hunter Thompson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Hunter Thompson
ADDRESS: 1153 Ross Ave
POST CODE: 98327
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106aa099efdf000d80563d

---
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From: Katie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katie
ADDRESS: Arab, AL
POST CODE: 35016
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:25
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Roy Barlow
830 Novatan Rd N
Mobile, AL 36608

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:25
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brandon Loudin
63476 Mount Olivett Rd
Barnesville, OH 43713

---

NAME: Alberto Auné
ADDRESS: Casilla de Correo Central 4117 Buenos Aires Argentine
POST CODE: C1041WBP
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106a7ac60b21000c7f1322
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:26
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Danny Graziano
Po Box 527
Silver City, NM 88062

From: Laura Bussey
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Laura Bussey
ADDRESS: 16863 Hollyhock Drive
POST CODE: 92551
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106a96dfb6b5000cb0c26f
---
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From: Rhonda Hutchison
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rhonda Hutchison
ADDRESS: 86299 meadowwood Dr yulee Florida
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106accf1110a000b4b514a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/RctNge4jSmGWdKpiNbjdqw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/RctNge4jSmGWdKpiNbjdqw/uhl>.
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Rodney Carsner  
8511 S Ainsworth Ave  
Parkland, WA 98444

---

From: Star Burleigh  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Star Burleigh  
ADDRESS: 446 4th ave  
POST CODE: 94118  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106ab9f35671000bbeb6a8
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=======================================================================================

From: Cindy Koch
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:27
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223
Soon there will be a revolution in America unless our government stops working for corporations! The FCC is insane if they slow down the internet! For once, do something the 99% want done, PLEASE!

Cindy Koch
90807

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:27
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Rod Skinner
1117 Saltmine Hwy
Breaux Bridge, LA 70517

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:27
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of
the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Miranda Long
414 James St
Carbondale, KS 66414

=======================================================================================

From: Scott
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott
ADDRESS: 1302 N. 19th Philadelphia, PA
POST CODE: 19121
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106ac58b7a18000ddd5826
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=======================================================================================

From: A G
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:28
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The US political system is no longer as it was intended to be. Laws are up for sale to the richest people in our country. That also means that non Americans can rule our land. Please do not allow the monopolistic 01 percent-ers to control the internet. The internet is the last stand for freedom.

A G

10598

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brad Templin
586 Aberdeen Rd
Frankfort, IL 60423

=======================================================================================

From: Cedric
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cedric
ADDRESS: Hundvåg Stavanger, À\230stersveien 06
POST CODE: 4085
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106ad899efdf000c345627
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From: Georgia Lance
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Georgia Lance
ADDRESS: 1309 South Washington #03 Fayetteville AR
POST CODE: 72701
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106af3fbecab000c288d82

---
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From: Steven Keith Miller
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Steven Keith Miller
ADDRESS: 159 Sycamore Lane
POST CODE: Hillsville Va 24343
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106adab0a7e4000dc63aaf

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Altman
139 Joann Dr
Brunswick, GA 31525

From: Karla Kolumna
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenzhen.com.

---

NAME: Karla Kolumna
ADDRESS: Große Klausstraße 13
POST CODE: 06108
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:29
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along — no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jake Hart
3574 Pea Ridge Rd Apt 02
Brewton, AL 36426

From: Rebecca
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rebecca
ADDRESS: 9980 Parklake way
POST CODE: 95624
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
The so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106af4003331000ed4d8c4
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change significantly and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ann Fry
210 Ridgecrest Dr
Walnut Ridge, AR 72476

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Meagan Clark
4225 New Forest Dr
Plano, TX 75093

From: Jad
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jad

ADDRESS: 5272 Enchanted Cove Lilburn, GA
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541069f71e0849000b596a3e

---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/2Dlr0rvvQ8mWSbWUSi27Zg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/2Dlr0rvvQ8mWSbWUSi27Zg/uh1>.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthet.net.com.

---

NAME: Lauren
ADDRESS: 99 Clinton St. Concord NH
POST CODE: 03301
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b3f003331000ed4d8d6
NAME: Malte Ehlers
ADDRESS: grøndals parkvej 6a
POST CODE: 2720
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b40dac595000ea7043c

---
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—200\224and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michael Ussery
1711 Golf Rd Apt 224
Waukegan, IL 60087
From: Samantha Kick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Samantha Kick  
ADDRESS: 956 Baugher Ave  
POST CODE: Elizabethtown, PA 17022  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b19f1110a000e42ed39

---
From: Tim
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetweenet.com.

---

NAME: Tim
ADDRESS: 70 3rd Ave Watertown SD
POST CODE: 57201
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106afcc60b21000e118084

---
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From: Carra
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carra
ADDRESS: 1300 Eastridge Drive
POST CODE: 68510
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b2a15ab86000d450427

---
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 From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
 Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:31
 Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâand not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style
regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Carmen Gonzalez
293 Neptune Ave
West Babylon, NY 11704

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ray Robeson
205 W 8th St
Perrysburg, OH 43551

From: Jason Denham
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason Denham
ADDRESS: Windsor, Ontario
POST CODE: N9A4G1
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b4562b49c000cd1f182

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pierre
ADDRESS: 9022 Alister Blvd E
POST CODE: 33418
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b4afbecab000b574213
---
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=====================================================================

From: Raúl Muñoz
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Raúl Muñoz
ADDRESS: santiago, chile
POST CODE: 8320000
COUNTRY: CL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband...
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b4e444842000d5b9d26
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Hamilton Walsh
5420 Westbourne Dr
Richmond, VA 23230

From: Gina
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gina
ADDRESS: 552 w. 141st
POST CODE: 1031
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b60f35671000d5538be

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gloria Pena
474 48th Ave Apt 28d
Long Is City, NY 11109
From: Lauren
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lauren
ADDRESS: 91 onyx place
POST CODE: 07747
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b1ba26879000bdcb258
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ronnié Gurley
ADDRESS: 3704 Danneel st
POST CODE: 70115
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b37a26879000e0b1105
---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:33
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Brenda Linglr
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 9895 Lick Creek Rd
Zip: 62912

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They are a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have â€œjust enough Title II” any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the
FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Emina
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emina
ADDRESS: Nade Klaic 09
POST CODE: 77000
COUNTRY: BA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b53f35671000d5538bb

---
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From: Michael Witz
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:33
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Internet neutrality = internet freedom

Michael Witz

4664
From: Simon Claude  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Simon Claude  
ADDRESS: 25, rue des Âcoles  
POST CODE: 29860  
COUNTRY: FR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---  

REF: 54106b69c60b21000c7f1343  
---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Rob Radune
Po Box 170
Germantown, MD 20875

---

From: Tyty
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyty
ADDRESS: 11 allée des basses barolles
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b4f8b7a18000e7c4730

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Doug Clute
4105 Fosberg Rd
Turlock, CA 95382

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Edgar Morales
871 Crescent Commons Way Apt 308
Fayetteville, NC 28314
From: Jess  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jess  
ADDRESS: 72 washington st  
POST CODE: 90281  
COUNTRY: CA 

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lisa Davies
Po Box 95
Malta Bend, MO 65339
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisanne Jordan
ADDRESS: 05 Margate Circle
POST CODE: Pleasant Hill, CA 94523
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b42a26879000decb69b

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/Cw70BdVxQ06gGYCzkRyibA/o.gif>
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<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/Cw70BdVxQ06gGYCzkRyibA/uh1>.

From: Peter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter
ADDRESS: 3219 Barrone Street
POST CODE: 70115
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b72c60b21000e11808e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook [https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr]
* Follow us on Twitter [https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr]
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. [https://donate.fightforthefuture.org]

[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/Dv8DUHu2RXG1nzEX0982lQ/o.gif]
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=======================================================================================

From: Therese Stoppiello
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Therese Stoppiello
ADDRESS: 9948 Sepulveda Blvd Apt 04. Mission Hills CA
POST CODE: 91345
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b71c60b21000b5427df

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Ante Sucic
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ante Sucic
ADDRESS: M.Gavazzia
POST CODE: 10000
COUNTRY: HR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b83f35671000eb3c673

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/V0CyTeoURGSCSg7cW4JiHw/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================

From: Scot
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: Scot
ADDRESS: 660 SE Polk ST
POST CODE: 98607
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b7adac595000ea70445

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  
Sandra Davini  
26992 Halifax Pl  
Hayward, CA 94542  

From: Skyler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Skyler  
ADDRESS: 3003 Potter St.  
POST CODE: 68503  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b8ef35671000c18165f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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NAME: Sound
ADDRESS: 1271 Santona Court
POST CODE: 95337
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b8415ab86000b62d135

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:35
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Carrie Reed
Po Box 191
Duenweg, MO 64841

From: Elijah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elijah
ADDRESS: 64857 Tonto Dr
POST CODE: 92252
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b85c60b21000df16485

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/XS_m04aQQUOM-t1ndYiLHw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/XS_m04aQQUOM-t1ndYiLHw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Jeff
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battlefortheftr.net.com.

---

NAME: Jeff
ADDRESS: 981 South Melpomene Way
POST CODE: 85748
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b96f1110a000b4b515a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lisa Muskat
1532 Sw Fork Dr
Lake Forest, IL 60045

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the
Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
James Ward
1305 Arrowhead Ave
Las Vegas, NV 89106

=======================================================================================

From: Amanda Duncan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amanda Duncan
ADDRESS: 3111 w Diversey ave
POST CODE: 60647
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b9015ab86000cb1b467
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=======================================================================================

From: Maša
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maša
ADDRESS: Prentnarjeva ulica 14
POST CODE: 1000
COUNTRY: SI
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform...
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Sander Haukelidsæter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sander Haukelidsæter
ADDRESS: Fiskekroken 20 F
POST CODE: 0139
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106ba262b49c000e6c5c93
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=======================================================================================
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:37
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Laronda Lyles
2872 W 84th St
Chicago, IL 60652

==============================================================================

From: Avery Mitevski
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Avery Mitevski
ADDRESS: 301 E. First St.
POST CODE: 48502
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=================================================================================================

From: jodie-kay
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jodie-kay
ADDRESS: 433 maple lane, hillside, IL
POST CODE: 60162
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==================================================================================================
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Alexander
233 W Orange St
Kingsburg, CA 93631

---

NAME: Travis
ADDRESS: 17713 rich earth lane
POST CODE: 73012
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:39
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Alan Doty
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 3450 Valleyview Blvd.
Zip: 76904

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.
Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Ben Barland
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ben Barland
ADDRESS: PO Box 63
POST CODE: Newfoundland, PA 18445
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:39
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
NAME: Taylor  
ADDRESS: 216 4th St NW  
POST CODE: 56431  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Traci Bray  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:39  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ protecting an open internet  

Dear Chairman Wheeler, 
I am writing in concern of 14-28 (protecting an open internet). Succinctly put: the United States is a free country - our ability to communicate, seek information and knowledge, in a non-obstructive manner allows support for enterprise. I am a small business owner, and this concerns me. Therefore, I urge the FCC to assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. 
Thank you for your time and attention to this matter. 
Sincerely, 
Traci L. Bray,  
Kansas City, Missouri  
Traci Bray  
64136  

******************************************************************************

From: kenz- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:40  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment  

Name: Ann Lopez  
Email: kenz- xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 310 N. 58th Place  
Zip: 85205  

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please donât break the Internet. Donât abandon the âHands off the Netâ approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built todayâs broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services. 

Title IIâs draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&Tâs government-created monopoly. Theyâre a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We donât buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling todayâs bipartisan âHands off the Netâ approach. You canât have âjust enough Title IIâ any more than you can be âslightly pregnant.â 

Title II would hurt startups most: they canât cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment
and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban "fast lanes." We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called "vigilant restraint." But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: "First, do no harm." If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=======================================================================================
From: Kyrey Zigler
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:40
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Corporations are taking away fundamental right in America. Even those citizens in povety and disabled are asked to do applications on the internet first. This legislation will further the largest economic disparity in our US history. So this vote will solidify in voters minds whether their own vote counts at all.

Kyrey Zigler
80903

=======================================================================================

From: Justin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin
 ADDRESS: 2817 E Central
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106c09a26879000bdcb26e
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/n9VmF-EdSCeMfXr3ePiKQA/u1>.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew
ADDRESS: 7321 Dunfield Ave
POST CODE: 90045
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106bbedfbdab5000e45fc9d
From: sol andersson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethen.com.

---

NAME: sol andersson
ADDRESS: cirkelvägen 19
POST CODE: 12244
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106bda444842000d5b9d3e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/_5PHLP0sRc03mlcqHiigQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/_5PHLP0sRc03mlcqHiigQ/uh1>.

========================================================================

From: Taylor 
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Taylor
ADDRESS: 2675 Yerba Cliff Ct.
POST CODE: 95121
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106b99b7cb89000b3ce685
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/rYO8iFFNTTax7t1edihMHA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/rYO8iFFNTTax7t1edihMHA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:42
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Anna Brittinghama
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: N.2nd Street
Zip: 19940

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment
and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106bde99efdf000c345655

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/ghCoZ292SxCJd53mzkpEjA/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Bob H
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bob H
ADDRESS: 3700 NW
POST CODE: 97760
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106bf1b0a7e4000dc63ae5

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Jennifer Russell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jennifer Russell  
ADDRESS: 1534 West Oak St  
POST CODE: 80521  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106c26444842000ec58932

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: mike welsh
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:42
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

mike welsh
95632

From: chris
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: chris
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 21074
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106c08b7cb89000e2b2c25

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook [https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr]
* Follow us on Twitter [https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr]

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. [https://donate.fightforthefuture.org]
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=======================================================================================

From: Emily
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Emily
ADDRESS: 83 Wildwood road
POST CODE: 06084
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106c36b7cb89000cb2ad0c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Gent
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gent
ADDRESS: Prishtine
POST CODE: 10000
COUNTRY: AL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54106c341e0849000cdb2c98
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===================================================================================================

From: johannes ahlberg
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: johannes ahlberg
ADDRESS: cirkelvägen 19
POST CODE: 12244
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106c41fbecab000b574241
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From: Monghwa Ota
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Monghwa Ota
ADDRESS: 499 reeside ave
POST CODE: 93940
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: pablo voitzuk
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:43
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ€"200\223â€”200\223â€”200\223â€”200\223
From: Amelia Brophy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:45  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Chairman Wheeler, I write to the government only once or twice about every 05 years to express my opinion, but believe this issue is one which I cannot ignore. Thank goodness my sister has brought to my attention the deadline for public opinion to you, and provided a link. As a 61 year old American who has voted in every major election and almost every election since I was 18 years old, I consider myself engaged in the democratic system.

I do NOT support a two tiered system for the internet. I support net neutrality and no change in how websites are loaded by Internet Service Providers or the speed or access of content for any user.

Thank you for considering my opinion. Amelia (Amy) Trippe Brophy

Amelia Brophy
94523

From: Micah  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Micah

ADDRESS: 3300 Hideaway Lane Fullerton California

POST CODE: 92835

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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========================================================================================
From: Amanda Brown
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amanda Brown
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106c68dac595000d1eaebf
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Christine Kane  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:46  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners@\200\223@\200\223@\200\223@\200\223

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

I’m writing to you today to ask that the FCC preserve net neutrality by moving to regulate the internet as a public utility under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934. The internet has become a necessity in everyday life, just like other utilities such as phones and electricity. And nowhere is this more apparent than in public education.

I am currently a graduate student who uses the internet daily to study, conduct research and meet with my professors and fellow classmates in distant locales. I would not have been able to attend such a program without open access to the internet. I download and upload a great deal of information for my courses and projects and having to pay more for access to this myself or through higher tuition would be unaffordable.

In addition, in my most recent position I worked at a public community college where I saw first-hand how completely intertwined the use of the internet is to college curriculums, financial aid programs, college applications, class registrations and scholarship applications. Public universities, high schools and elementary schools and many nonprofit organizations were created to provide opportunities and services to those in need of education without regard to their ability to pay. Government funding has already been cut and budgets are stretched tight so these organizations have turned to the internet to stretch their resources as far as possible.

Allowing corporations to create "fast-lane" service for those who can pay and slowing service to those individuals or groups who cannot pay is not only unfair; it is completely averse to the purpose of the internet when it was founded. And it will undoubtedly cost us all more as we cover the increased costs this will mean for public education through our taxes and lost students.

Many areas of our country, particularly inner cities and rural areas dealing with poverty and other socio-economic issues, are already suffering from a digital divide that will be hard to overcome. Please do not make the situation worse by allowing the internet, an open and free-flowing force for information, discussion and learning, to become completely controlled by corporations whose only goal is making a profit.

Please use the authority you have under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to declare the internet a public utility and keep the internet available to all on an
equal basis.

Thank you,
Christine Kane
Christine Kane
12583

From: Edi Jenkins
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:46
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:
The internet is a utility, owned and paid for by the American people.

Edi Jenkins
33760

From: Fatemeh
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---
NAME: Fatemeh
ADDRESS: 1440 Heritage Center
POST CODE: 84112
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Steve Foltz
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steve Foltz
ADDRESS: 310 Glenwood Ave
POST CODE: 17112
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106c64f1110a000cc4f0fa
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NAME: Félix
ADDRESS: 556. ch bassin
POST CODE: g4t 0c2
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54106c8bb7cb89000e2b2c3f

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Marie-Audrée Houle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marie-Audrée Houle  
ADDRESS: 955 Joseph-Lussier, Drummondville, QC  
POST CODE: J2C5N8  
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Stephen Sachs
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:47
Subject: Please schedule net neutrality public field hearings

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Internet neutrality is a fundamental principle of democracy and must be maintained in the United States for the good of country and all of its citizens. Moreover, internet neutrality is necessary for the U.S. economy, as it promotes innovation and competition. Once net neutrality ends and favoritism and the right to censor are permitted, oligopoly, monopoly and oligarchy are institutionalized contrary to the principles upon which the United States was founded, and with disastrous implications for the wellbeing of the country and its citizens.

Stephen Sachs
87110

=======================================================================================

From: Trista Reynolds
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:47
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The internet is an important tool for many small businesses, artists, writers and countless other people. Children and adults alike need it for school use. Please keep use fair across the board.

Trista Reynolds
From: Uxué Beledo Goyeneche  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Uxué Beledo Goyeneche  
ADDRESS: Ignacio de la Roza 324  
POST CODE: 5400  
COUNTRY: AR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
Andrew Cava
46260

Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d0e1e0849000b596a6a
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============================================================================================================================

From: Kevin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106ceec60b21000df164cb
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From: Thomas Brudenell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:48  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I wrote yesterday, but want to reiterate my message: Please do not trash the democratic underpinning of the internet by allowing "fast lanes" to the out-sized enterprises that just want to bully the rest of us.

Thomas Brudenell
21779

From: Tim MÃ¥rtensson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tim MÃ¥rtensson
ADDRESS: toftarÃ¶d 401
POST CODE: 243 92
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: ane
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ane
ADDRESS: dagsbergsvägen 82
POST CODE: 60351
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Code Eightynine
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Code Eightynine
ADDRESS: Nepal
POST CODE: 977
COUNTRY: NP

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Karin Undervits
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Karin Undervits
ADDRESS: Võru 02-29
POST CODE: 13612
COUNTRY: EE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:49
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Matt Matthews
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 2615 74th Place lubbock tx
Zip: 79423

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please donât break the Internet. Donât abandon
the â€œHands off the Netâ€ approach begun under President
Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible
the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built todayâs broadband
networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment
and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title IIâs draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&Tâs
government-created monopoly. Theyâre a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen
Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they
wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We donât buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we
trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling
todayâs bipartisan â€œHands off the Netâ€ approach. You

Title II would hurt startups most: they canât cope with regulation as easily
as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: mitch
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: mitch
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 32256
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106c4c99efdf000c345670
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/4FSyvS8iRXyGC53DvWy0NQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/4FSyvS8iRXyGC53DvWy0NQ/uh1>.

From: S. Hinrichs
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:49
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I urge you to PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY!

The Internet needs to be free from corporate control and needs to be regulated by the federal government to prevent corporations from taking away this resource from the people of the United States. I urge you to limit these corporations immediately and prevent this medium from being co-opted by corporations.

Make corporations play by the rules!
From: Brenna Robeson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brenna Robeson  
ADDRESS: 950 Main St., Box #1698  
POST CODE: Worcester, MA 01610  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Charles Drabkin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Charles Drabkin  
ADDRESS: 1811A 13th Ave, Seattle  
POST CODE: 98122  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d5ab7cb89000d44c6ec

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/Si9LVgEoR4uvVDPgV0UPkA/uh1>.

From: Kyle Shepherdson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle Shepherdson
ADDRESS: 16463 E Adriatic Place
POST CODE: 80013
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d4162b49c000d164499
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefuture>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefuture>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

========================================================================================================

From: Marty Schmidt
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Marty Schmidt
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d39f35671000d55391a

---
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From: Morgan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com. 

---

NAME: Morgan 
ADDRESS: 622 N10th Street 
POST CODE: 54220 
COUNTRY: US 

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler: 

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation. 

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. 

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers. 

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections. 

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws. 

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself. 

---
From: Lannae
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lannae
ADDRESS: 3342 Meadow Oaks Drive
POST CODE: 75043
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d60a26879000e0b1140
---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/H0eGdD1KSoORiitrKrnZ6g/uh1>.

From: melissa
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: melissa
ADDRESS: po box 1275
POST CODE: 91763
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d6dfbecab000c288db1
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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=======================================================================================

From: Rachel Flanigan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rachel Flanigan

ADDRESS: 1200 Cecil B. Moore Ave.

POST CODE: 19122
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106ce3b0a7e4000dc63b0a
---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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From: Sonora Brannum
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sonora Brannum
ADDRESS: PO Box 9092
POST CODE: Cedar City UT 84720
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d3915ab86000e5ea59c
---
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition.
Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Giovana
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: Giovana
ADDRESS: R.: XV de Novembro, n° 1517 â¬200\223 centro, Curitiba-Pr, Brasil
POST CODE: 80060000
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d35dac595000cde5eaa

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftwr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftwr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/v4T697jPT0W15kFWwnPL9g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/v4T697jPT0W15kFWwnPL9g/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Hermie Escamilla
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hermie Escamilla
ADDRESS: 1008 Reynaldo St.
POST CODE: Cedar Park, TX 78613
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d5ec60b21000df164e0

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/duuL_L8vSRS9nN8sTk76A/uh1> .

=================================================================================================

From: Julie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julie
ADDRESS: 30 orchard heights
POST CODE: 12561
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d7af1110a000d0e6ee0

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/sADkws9TTm61pSGn7xbzGw/o.gif>
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 18235

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d74dfbdb5000cb0c33d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
From: Dan Feinstein  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Dan Feinstein  
ADDRESS: 215 e.96th St.,3e,New York, NY  
POST CODE: 10128  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d6d444842000d5b9d86
---
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From: trenton
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: trenton
ADDRESS: 509 scott ln
POST CODE: 36203
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d82444842000d5b9d8b

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fight forthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAAEjszgTzvQxewLeBPTxij6Q/uh1>.
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From: Bill Gupton
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Bill Gupton
ADDRESS: 2215 Kensington
POST CODE: 59801
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d9fb0a7e4000e4694f3
---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/X035YYXTQXyo-1KX15EtXw/uh1>.
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From: Blaine Callahan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Blaine Callahan
ADDRESS: 08 Deerfield Drive, broad brook, ct
POST CODE: 06016
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106da762b49c000cd1f1f0

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: catherine
ADDRESS: 4100 Massachusetts Ave, NW
POST CODE: 20016
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d93fbecab000b57427f

---

Want more awesome more often?
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From: Patrick
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patrick
ADDRESS: 01 Chauncy Way
POST CODE: 6330
COUNTRY: AU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106da48b7a18000ddd58a0
---

Want more awesome more often?
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=======================================================================================

From: Carlos Antonio Fernandez Muñoz
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carlos Antonio Fernandez Muñoz
ADDRESS: Nigeria 7533
POST CODE: 32697
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106dd299efdf000d8056a3

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106dd2dac595000b708793

---
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From: Maria
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maria
ADDRESS: 11411 NW 92nd AVE Hialeah Gardens, FL
POST CODE: 33018
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Clay
ADDRESS: Ithaca
POST CODE: 14850
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106d94b7cb89000cb2ad34

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/IrxL0S4KQP2_BBFjL2dXyg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Jørgen Skogan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jørgen Skogan
ADDRESS: Blåmeismyra 13a
POST CODE: 1920
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106df0b7cb89000d44c705
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=================================================================================================

From: karina
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: karina

ADDRESS: sabanera del rio 69
POST CODE: 00778
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106df9003331000ed4d96b

---
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From: Nikoline Lundfald  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nikoline Lundfald  
ADDRESS: Havneholmen 22 st.th  
POST CODE: 1561, Copenhagen, Denmark  
COUNTRY: DK  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106dfdb0a7e4000bf6a094
NAME: Rob Mickunas
ADDRESS: 5440 Eagle Cloud Trl. Apt. 308
POST CODE: 27606
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106dd1b7cb89000cb2ad3b
---
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=======================================================================================

From: CJ
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: CJ
ADDRESS: 1501 E Broad St Apt K9
POST CODE: 18201
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e2b15ab86000e5ea5c1
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/AfOA_4CQSryRis5pWQx81Q/uh1>.

---

From: David
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: David
ADDRESS: 2720 Raphael Ln
POST CODE: 75287
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e0ddfbd5000c0c369
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==================================================================================================
From: Jesse Perry  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:58  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality is important to me as an 89 year old man living on a fixed income; I am a former educator who has always been for protecting our First Amendment rights. As a matter of fact, I served in World War II to protect those things that are vital to our well-being as a nation.

Jesse Perry  
94542

From: Robert Williams  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:58  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Chairman Wheeler,

I’ve written, signed petitions, called my representative’s office, and more. And I’m writing one last time. I don’t believe anything I have to say will make a difference, you’re corrupt, like all your peers... and you probably are so corrupted as to believe what you’re doing advances the cause of freedom and liberty in it’s own twisted way.

But, I have to say one last time: If you allow the large corporations which control our internet infrastructure to prioritize traffic and content, you will be doing a grand disservice to the greatest communication service ever devised.

The American public paid to create the internet when it was incubated in the think-tanks of California and the creation of ARPANET. It deserves the same protections that radio and television have enjoyed.

I studied Broadcasting in college, I was taught that the FCC safeguards the airwaves for the American people, because those airwaves are ours by right. The internet is no different. So please, do your job, and do it well... don’t sell out your fellow citizens.

Thank you for your time,

Robert Williams

Robert Williams  
27408

From: bob  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e3eb7cb89000e2b2c8f

---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David
ADDRESS: Södra Trängallén 07 c
POST CODE: 54146
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e2adfbdb5000d11ebdd

---
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From: Max
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Max
ADDRESS: 816 Coughlan St
POST CODE: 48326
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e2df35671000d553939

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Tyler
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler
ADDRESS: 1209 W Sherwin
POST CODE: 60626
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e06fbecab000e3eab07
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Vincent Riggs
Date: 09/15/2014 14:55:59
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners\200\223\200\223\200\223\200\223
Do we now live in a dictatorship? I am a College Educator and I use the internet daily with my students. I don’t need some UN-AMERICAN TELECOM COMPANIES BLOCKING THE MULTIPLE EXCHANGES I HAVE WITH MY STUDENTS EVERYDAY. You have no right to interfere in the American exchange of ideas that American citizens use on the computer. If you change this....there will be hell to pay and I guarantee that I will be fighting against you in a way that you cannot win.

Vincent Riggs
85745

=======================================================================================

From: a
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: a
ADDRESS: 36456
POST CODE: 36456
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e57dfbd5000cb0c385

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Brady Suttles
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brady Suttles
ADDRESS: 725 Ohenry Drive
POST CODE: 29349
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e5862b49c000cd1f216

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Jeffrey T Jones
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jeffrey T Jones
ADDRESS: 5624 Wilson Dr
POST CODE: 32127
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e42dfb5000c37a
---
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============================================================================

From: Jinny Guo
NAME: Jinny Guo
ADDRESS: 3432 Ireland Dr.
POST CODE: Iowa City, IA 52246
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e4c8b7a18000e7c47d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Jasmine
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jasmine
ADDRESS: 714 56th Avenue South
POST CODE: 33705
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e7215ab86000cb1b4c9

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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======================================================================================================

From: Kallista Shortland
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kallista Shortland
ADDRESS: 2720 Sandhutton Ave. Apt 01
POST CODE: 61109
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e84dfb50000c393

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: R

ADDRESS: 28 Yo

POST CODE: CM112EZ

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e871e0849000cdb2d1d

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rachel
ADDRESS: 05 Riderwood Dr.
POST CODE: M2L 2X4
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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===================================================================================================================

From: Debbie Davidson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.
---

NAME: Debbie Davidson
ADDRESS: 214 Pau Hana cir
POST CODE: 95621
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=====================================================================

From: Katie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katie
ADDRESS: 30760 Park Street
POST CODE: 55045
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Nancy Perazza
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:03
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nancy Perazza
33838 Au Sable Dr
Chesterfield, Michigan 48047

=================================================

From: Paul
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paul
ADDRESS: 1229 E st NE
POST CODE: Washington DC 20002
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e8cfbecab000b5742ac
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=================================================

From: Daniel Ortiz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services
would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Daniel Ortiz
2020 S San Jose Dr
Tucson, Arizona 85713

From: David Harvala
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:04
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

David Harvala
N3471 Dam Rd
Bruce, Wisconsin 54819

From: Raymond Lindloff
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:04
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:
To whom it may concern: Who do you think you work for. If it wasn't for us small people, there would be no rich. Now you want to give them all the rites to internet. I do not think so. You also work for us, not just them. So do what is right. Do what the Good Man upstairs would do Vote to make sure you give fast internet to all, not just the rich!

Raymond Lindloff 50401

From: Florence REynolds  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

Florence REynolds  
9155 W Bellwood P1  
Littleton, Colorado 80123

From: fordo  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: fordo
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e8c15ab86000d4504cd

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\'s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\'re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn\'t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along -- no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\'s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Karen Dolby
911 2nd St
Mc Kees Rocks, Pennsylvania 15136

---

NAME: KC
ADDRESS: Anderson, SC
POST CODE: 29625
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e9f15ab86000e5ea5d0
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From: Mario Aguilar
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Mario Aguilar
ADDRESS: 3809 Abbott RD
POST CODE: 90262
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106ea399efdf000c3456e2
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From: rodney knight  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

rodney knight  
2099 Arlington Ave E  
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55119

From: Sarah Dockery Bliss  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along â— no government
intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sarah Dockery Bliss
5519 Barnsley Pl
Charlotte, North Carolina 28209

From: Bobby Meeks
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:06
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC's attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bobby Meeks
239 Glenbrook Ln
Avon, Indiana 46123

From: Frances Scaglione
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:06
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Frances Scaglione
205 Pine Hov Cir Apt A-01
Greenacres, Florida 33463

From: Henrik Urban
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Henrik Urban
ADDRESS: Horsehøj 148
POST CODE: 4000
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: jay
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jay
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 10522
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106eb88b7a18000e7c47f0
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethernet.com.

NAME: Némesis Zambrano
ADDRESS: 8302 Jeannette st. #06 New Orleans, LA
POST CODE: 70118
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54106ec51e0849000d05c48f
From: Scarlett Hetzler  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Scarlett Hetzler  
1230 Tabor Ave  
Dayton, Ohio 45420  

From: T. William Moore  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Yvonne Zarnits
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:06
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along — no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Zarnits
8236 Torres St
Navarre, Florida 32566

From: Alan Waletsky
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:07
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

To Whom It may Concern;

Net Neutrality made such fixtures of our world like Facebook & Google. By providing an open & free playing field our tech sector grew more creative. Simply put things blossomed. Without net Neutrality the creativity of our Tech sector would wither on the vine, stalling progress. The fact that someone else can dictate to me what I can say online and what sites I can have access to is something I find to be outrageous. I implore you to reinstate Net Neutrality and not to create a two tiered internet. The would not be a free place with out it.

Sincerely,

Alan Waletsky

Alan Waletsky
From: Marissa Gonzalez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  
---  

NAME: Marissa Gonzalez  
ADDRESS: 8137328003  
POST CODE: 32821  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Samantha Penn
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:07
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC\201\231s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\201\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\201\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Samantha Penn
2084 19th St SW 50232201
Akron, Ohio 44314

=======================================================================================

From: Andreas Biørn-Hansen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Cindy Higgins  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:08  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cindy Higgins  
317 Blue Ridge Trl  
Saginaw, Texas 76179

From: Denise Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Denise Smith  
ADDRESS: 255 W. New York Avenue  
POST CODE: Southern Pines, NC 28388  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106ec81e0849000cdb2d2b
---
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============================================================================
From: Karen Hall
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:08
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Karen Hall
340 County Road 309
Gonzales, Texas 78629

From: Kristy Smith
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:08
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kristy Smith
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Ronny Skjæveland
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ronny Skjæveland
ADDRESS: sørbøbakken 8b
POST CODE: 4328
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106eed62b49c000d1644e2

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/T_SA7vBLQgiiZPdiGUTd8w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/T_SA7vBLQgiiZPdiGUTd8w/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Sandra Maloney
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:08
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\'s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\'re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee\'s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That\'s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sandra Maloney
1005 Guava Isle
Ft Lauderdale, Florida 33315

==-------------------------------------------------------------------==

From: Aaron  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aaron
ADDRESS: 621 Avon St
POST CODE: 48503
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Jacob
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacob
ADDRESS: 174 e. maynard ave.
POST CODE: 43202
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: José Luis Toledo
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: José Luis Toledo

ADDRESS: Marginal de la selva 525

POST CODE: lima 33

COUNTRY: PE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106ef8dfb8db5000d11ebfb

---
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From: Karen Villatoro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Karen Villatoro  
ADDRESS: 1810 Greenwich Wood Dr  
POST CODE: 20903  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Trine S. Austbø
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Trine S. Austbø,
ADDRESS: Kalbakkstubben 22
POST CODE: N-0951
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106e8e1e0849000cdb2d1f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/j7ilzOvzQziUHRK4XshGHg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Alexander Miller
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander Miller
ADDRESS: 11853 Kling St Valley Village CA
POST CODE: 91607
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106f1c1e0849000ec71e0a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/0tv9VcZESzi8kNGwbEU5BQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/0tv9VcZESzi8kNGwbEU5BQ/uh1> .
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jenna


POST CODE: 11226

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106f148b7a18000e7c4802

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 98107
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106f68c60b21000c7f13e7
---
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======================================================================================================

From: Chris
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 18452
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106f60dfbd5000d11ec11

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/9UspWAPjQE07opAA_kiwxw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/9UspWAPjQE07opAA_kiwxw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: garnik boghosian
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:11
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ¢\200\223â¢\200\223â¢\200\223â¢\200\223 we need this for everyone. Internet is passed the part of accessory and now it is a necessity.

garnik boghosian

20874

=======================================================================================

From: Hedda Jerpåsen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Hedda Jerpåsen
ADDRESS: Bøveien 16
POST CODE: 3420
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54106f2d15ab86000d4504e9
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Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
From: Magdalen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Magdalen  
ADDRESS: 49 Dover Street #06, Somerville, MA  
POST CODE: 02144  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Masi Järvinen
ADDRESS: Wärtsilänkatu 76 B 37
POST CODE: 04440
COUNTRY: FI
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106f4adac595000ea704e6
---
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From: Ylva Dahlström
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: Ylva Dahlström
ADDRESS: keplersgata 30
POST CODE: 41517
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106f4ab0a7e4000dc63b81

---
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===============================

From: Lisa
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa
ADDRESS: 141 Edna W Grooms Ln
POST CODE: 37184
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106f85444842000ec589b2

---
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From: Torsha
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Torsha

ADDRESS: xxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 90278

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Blake Sullivan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Blake Sullivan
ADDRESS: 2694 Nathaniel Rochester Hall, Rochester, NY
POST CODE: 14623
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Bri
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bri
ADDRESS: 501 s lincoln st
POST CODE: 80209
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Eva Thorn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:14  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Please preserve internet neutrality. It would not be fair for large corporations to slow down the internet for the rest of us.

Eva Thorn  
60175

From: Luciana Assis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luciana Assis  
ADDRESS: Rua Álvares de Azevedo, 178. Ap. 804  
POST CODE: 24220021  
COUNTRY: BR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Joni Kreivi
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joni Kreivi
ADDRESS: Björnvägen 01
POST CODE: 95335
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Madison
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Madison
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Chella Diaz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:16  
Subject: Small Business

Hello,
I think is criminal to allow big business to destroy many hardworking small businesses.

In addition, think tanks, new ideas and new startups will be stifled. Remember Facebook, ebay, Youtube and many other sites. A lot of big businesses started small .... they wouldn’t exist today.

Thank You

--

Chella Diaz  
Money Basics 101  
Founder  
310-980-5532  
www.moneyiq901.com

From: Sebastián Nuño  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sebastián Nuño  
ADDRESS: Cda. de San Carlos #16 Col. La Herradura  
POST CODE: 52784  
COUNTRY: MX  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: todd smith
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: todd smith
ADDRESS: middletown, ohio
POST CODE: 45044
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Anna  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Anna  
ADDRESS: Caracuel, Las Mellizas  
POST CODE: 29500 Alora  
COUNTRY: ES  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Borsi Zoltán
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Borsi Zoltán
ADDRESS: Hungary Budapest
POST CODE: 1041
COUNTRY: HU
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: cheryl johnson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:17
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better.

It doesn\201\231t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn\201\231t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\201\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\201\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Dan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Dan
ADDRESS: 87 W shrewsbury place
POST CODE: 08540
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Edwin Gomez
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:17
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.
Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Edwin Gomez
4294 Town Square Dr
Canal Winchester, Ohio 43110

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:17
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Joseph Mitchell
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 647 Shorepines Hts
Zip: 97420

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws are adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private
companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Hana_Keijou
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hana_Keijou
ADDRESS: 214 East 39 Street
POST CODE: 11203
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Hans
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hans
ADDRESS: 4322 w. point Loma blvd
POST CODE: 92107
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Matt
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matt
ADDRESS: 797 17th ave
POST CODE: 84103
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Rocky Romero
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Rocky Romero
ADDRESS: 816 Alonda CT
POST CODE: 94541
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Nazifa Hasan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Nazifa Hasan
ADDRESS: 695 Williams Mall Rm 341
POST CODE: 48202
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Geraldo Lopes Fogaça Neto
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Geraldo Lopes Fogaça Neto
ADDRESS: Av. C-13, Qd 96, Lt 02, Apto 102
POST CODE: 74.305-100
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Jay Robertson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jay Robertson
ADDRESS: NE, Suquamish WA
POST CODE: 98392
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: C Bynum
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: C Bynum
ADDRESS: 24056 Adams Ave Murrieta CA
POST CODE: 92562
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal...
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jacob
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacob
ADDRESS: 14101 Central Ave
POST CODE: 23836-6020
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Reese
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: Reese
ADDRESS: 128 Snyder Dr. Townville, SC
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Chris Lancour  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:22  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Chris Lancour
53934

From: Elmo Elliott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:22  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Leave our internet alone, please!!!!!!!

Elmo Elliott
46350

From: Amalie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amalie
ADDRESS: Ålbiorggade 19
POST CODE: 8000
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==============================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:23
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Annemarie Wells
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: Long Beach
Zip: 39560
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Bill Davis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:23 
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment 

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bill Davis 
ADDRESS: 112 Ruddy Ln 
POST CODE: 27950
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Cathy Hope
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:23
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am a small business and creating various speeds on the internet would definitely harm my business. What we have has worked well for me since 1998 when I first had a web page. I can’t afford to pay for a higher loading speed. If this ruling goes through it is prejudicial against small businesses and non-profits. Please let the net alone!

Cathy Hope
87556

From: Kate
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kate
ADDRESS: 93855
POST CODE: 2980
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Rüdiger Sibiller
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rüdiger Sibiller
ADDRESS: Murrhardt
POST CODE: 71540
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107029f35671000d553993

---
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=======================================================================================
From: Sigve Kjønnøy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sigve Kjønnøy
ADDRESS: HÃ¥velsrudveien 14
POST CODE: 2900
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410700a444842000ec589c1
---
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From: cristina
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: cristina
ADDRESS: 1923 Ramona Cir
POST CODE: 78666
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Fran Routhier
ADDRESS: 341 N Penn Street
POST CODE: 19040
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410707adfbd5000cb0c3ff

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Kayla
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kayla
ADDRESS: 2421 Grom Drive
POST CODE: 62707
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410704b62b49c000cd1f27f

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Richard
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard

ADDRESS: 11205 Amber Valley dr

POST CODE: 75035
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410704d15ab86000d45052c

---
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From: Vincent Kessinger
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vincent Kessinger
ADDRESS: 1735 Wisconsin Avenje
POST CODE: 96001
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541070b099efdf000bbabc6a

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Owen Zahm
ADDRESS: 3286 Underwood Street
POST CODE: 68106
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541070a4f35671000c1816e3

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Taza
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Taza
ADDRESS: 320 Lobelia Rd
POST CODE: 32086
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541070cb003331000d009815

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Andres
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andres
ADDRESS: 300 Commandants Way
POST CODE: 02150
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410710c99efdf000c345756

---
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=================================================================================================
From: carmen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: carmen  
ADDRESS: p.o box 232 enoch, ab  
POST CODE: t7x3y3  
COUNTRY: CA  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541070ecdac595000d1eaf88

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Carol Gnich  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carol Gnich  
ADDRESS: 10920 29 Mile Rd  
POST CODE: 49224  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54106fe78b7a18000c574a55

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook [https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefrtr]
* Follow us on Twitter [https://twitter.com/fightforthefrtr]

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. [https://donate.fightforthefuture.org]

[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/gtk8xqG3RtyBQK63MZ8c1Q/o.gif]

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here [http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/gtk8xqG3RtyBQK63MZ8c1Q/uh1].

=======================================================================================

From: Edward
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Edward
ADDRESS: PO Box 563 Bellingham WA
POST CODE: 98227
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning...
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541070e78b7a18000e7c4865

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/EPNyOdxTEaUNX_kpq0c1w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/EPNyOdxTEaUNX_kpq0c1w/uh1> .

=======================================================================================
From: Filip Maliszak
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Filip Maliszak
ADDRESS: Ul.kosciuszki 40/16
POST CODE: 50-012
COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541070dc15ab86000cb1b51c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/Qqu8Bj1TSsGKNh6RRyUp7Q/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/Qqu8Bj1TSsGKNh6RRyUp7Q/uh1> .

==========================================================================================================

From: Martin Haunhorst
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Martin Haunhorst

ADDRESS: Sterntorbrücke 05

POST CODE: 53111

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541070fd62b49c000bd61ece

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the \Hands off the Net\ approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have \just enough Title II\ any more than you can be \slightly pregnant.\n
Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban \fast lanes.\n
We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called \vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: \First, do no harm.\ If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be
From: DON OBRIEN
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:27
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Mr. Wheeler,
I am an 84 year old who spends quite a bit of time on the internet. It has been a blessing in my life. Communicating with my 05 kids and 10 grandchildren and getting health, financial and political info is very important to me and my wife.

I truly hope you can see the importance of retaining an open internet and not letting the corporations and the ultra rich having more control over our lives. You know it will slow communication and information for us poor peons.

Thank you for your coming wise decision.

Donald O’Brien and wife Margaret

DON OBRIEN
60304

From: johan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: johan
ADDRESS: E calumet st
POST CODE: 98531
COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107116dac595000ea70538
---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Kim
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Kim
ADDRESS: 4403 park ave
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410710fdfdbb5000cb0c427

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 11220
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107067dfbdb5000e45fd31
Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/jTmnoYVOQu2t-OLJADPhsw/uh1>.

---

From: Barb Gerth
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:28
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Barb Gerth
29 Rhett Rd
Leesburg, Florida 34788

---

From: bobbie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: bobbie
ADDRESS: Albright st suit 217
POST CODE: 47928
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107130dfbdb5000cb0c436
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Charlton Ridgell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Charlton Ridgell  
2622 Valparaiso St  
Valparaiso, Indiana 46383

From: Ganiyat Kareem  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee's pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That's right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was
defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ganiyat Kareem
3126 Sand Shadow Dr
League City, Texas 77573

From: Kit Hart
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kit Hart
ADDRESS: 5301 Pleasant Run Road
POST CODE: 76034
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541071288b7a18000e7c4877

---
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====================================================================================================

From: Michal Szczesny
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michal Szczesny
ADDRESS: Warszawa, PL
POST CODE: 03-287
COUNTRY: PL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410712e1e0849000cdb2de7

---
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From: Barbara McCormick
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:29
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Barbara McCormick
376 Amberwood Dr
Annapolis, Maryland 21409

---

From: Elif
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elif
ADDRESS: Ankara
POST CODE: 06200
COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410715d8b7a18000e7c4880

---
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From: Elizabeth Howard
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth Howard
ADDRESS: Jacaranda Street escazú
POST CODE: 497-1260
COUNTRY: CR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107125f35671000eb3c788

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Jo
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jo
ADDRESS: 115 2nd ave
POST CODE: 55401
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410715199efdf000c345762
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheft>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\'s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\'s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary Yates
1502 SW 4th St Apt B
Lawton, Oklahoma 73501
that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brenda Domineck
21 Roland Dr Apt 11
Hampton, Virginia 23669

From: DA Festa
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:30
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

DA Festa
19 Joan Trl
Langhorne, Pennsylvania 19047
From: Jen Ferraloro  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jen Ferraloro  
19 S Chicago Ave  
Jamestown, New York 14701

-----------------------------

From: Kimberly Snyder  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Sincerely,

Kimberly Snyder
136 Breakneck Ave
Connellsville, Pennsylvania 15425

From: Kristine Nicoll
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:30
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kristine Nicoll
3111 Garfish Ln
Oxnard, California 93035

From: Tolya
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Tolya
ADDRESS: Russia
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410716c15ab86000cb1b528

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: cheryl
ADDRESS: 4727 dusty sage loop unit 03
POST CODE: 80526
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107154b0a7e4000c1653ec
From: Joann Barrow  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: “What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joann Barrow  
1808 Waiala St Apt 01  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96826

=======================================================================================

From: Juanita Hoffe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:31  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners,

Net neutrality means that everyone has the same opportunity. It would be wrong to give an advantage to those who have more money to buy faster services. It goes against what our country stands for a chance for all. Our country needs to move forward economically. Small start-up companies and businesses will help our country grow economically. You should not give big businesses an even greater influence by giving them faster service because they can pay more. Keep the internet an even playing field.

Juanita Hoffe
55428

From: Kathy Bateman
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:31
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kathy Bateman
8 Janvrin Rd
Hampton, New Hampshire 03842

From: Kathy Lundberg
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:31
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kathy Lundberg
12 Edward Cul De Sac St
Prospect Heights, Illinois 60070

From: Elyse
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elyse
ADDRESS: 4400 Massachusetts ave. nw, Washington, D.C.
POST CODE: 20016
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning...
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107178dac595000d1eafa8

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/3kzH3C0dS90_FU0txDmL7Q/uh1>.

From: Flavia Jurca
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Flavia Jurca
ADDRESS: Poststraße 01
POST CODE: 66115
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410716cdac595000ea70546

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/335ULlEjTweYoMF6R2XCzQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/335ULlEjTweYoMF6R2XCzQ/uh1>.

==================================
From: John
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John

ADDRESS: St. Joseph, Michigan

POST CODE: 49085

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410717d1e0849000b596a9d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
From: Linda Pittman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:32  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Linda Pittman  
400 E Wintergreen Rd Apt 371  
Desoto, Texas 75115

==================================================================

From: Patsy Dinwiddie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:32  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:
What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Patsy Dinwiddie
1714 S Ann Blvd
Harker Heights, Texas 76548

From: Ashley Lucas
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:33
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ashley Lucas
N3381 Indian Echoes Ln
Montello, Wisconsin 53949
From: Eric  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric  
ADDRESS: 2623 Brown Bark Dr.  
POST CODE: 45431  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107183444842000d5b9e4b

---

Want more awesome more often?
NAME: jean-albert sirniö
ADDRESS: teinitie 02 g 55 a
POST CODE: 26100
COUNTRY: FI
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541071a9a26879000bdcb328

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/f29WNIfOTK6VzUjfSyoAPQ/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Jeremy Varner
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:33
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Varner
4 Vawter St
Hillsboro, Illinois 62049
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Nonny  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Nonny  
ADDRESS: St Cloud  
POST CODE: 56303  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410719af1110a000d0e6f79

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/tsfhfoIYR2qwEkuXwdJ-nQ/u1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Sarah  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah  
ADDRESS: 754 South 18th Street  
POST CODE: 43206  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410719ef1110a000d0e6f7a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/5qTnoTHOQXuo7vr0gmnZ9A/uh1> .

====================================================================================================

From: Stephane Daury
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephane Daury
ADDRESS: 3496, Avenue des Î€\211rables
POST CODE: H2K 3V5
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: ville jolanki  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ville jolanki
ADDRESS: Kukonmäentie 31
POST CODE: 16600
COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107144a26879000e0b11be

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alejandro Piñero
ADDRESS: Mateo Estaun Llanas 10 Escalera 05, 4º D
POST CODE: 22006
COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---

From: Deanna Smith
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:34
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
From: Jacqueline  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacqueline  
ADDRESS: 500 Coyote Canyon Way, Brea, CA  
POST CODE: 92821  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: RHONDA WENDLAND
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:34
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a “public utility” and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

RHONDA WENDLAND
34580 Apple Blossom Ln
Richmond, Michigan 48062

==================================================================
From: Tracy McGuire  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:34  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tracy McGuire  
PO Box 412  
Llano, Texas 78643

From: Cameron Flenniken  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cameron Flenniken  
ADDRESS: 344 Guelph Line  
POST CODE: L7R3L4  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become...
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107201b0a7e4000c1653fc

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/zUXguMD4SNqyRn51-AXKYg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Debra McNamara
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:35
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.
If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Debra McNamara
28055 Parkridge Ln
Canyon Country, California 91387

=========================================================================

From: Donna Lyons
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:35
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Donna Lyons
44720

=========================================================================

From: Krystal Dorrough
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:35
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job
providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Krystal Dorrough
201 Richard Ln
Red Oak, Texas 75154

From: Matthew
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethernet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: CH489XZ
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Rebecka Cuevas
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:35
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rebecka Cuevas
502 E 26th St
San Angelo, Texas 76903

--

From: robert
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: robert
ADDRESS: 3920 lakeshore rd 303
POST CODE: n0r1n0
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================
From: Cindy Smith
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:36
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\'s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\'re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\– and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC\'s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\'s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cindy Smith
191 Goldmine Village Rd
Canon, Georgia 30520
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dan Patriarco
6424 Amherst Cir
Ashtabula, Ohio 44004

From: Elizabeth Pellett
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:36
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dan Patriarco
6424 Amherst Cir
Ashtabula, Ohio 44004

From: Elizabeth Pellett
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:36
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

I am a ninety-two year old who is passionate at being well informed by small and large sources. Net neutrality is essential to my ability to have widely diverse sources of information. Ms. Elizabeth A. Pellett, xxxx@xxxx.xxx

Elizabeth Pellett
90640
From: Keith Anderle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:36  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To all at the FCC,

I hope this day finds you well. It's only by the grace of God that we have advanced this far. The preservation of net neutrality is not only good for your neighbor but also for the word of God. If you start cutting the amount of free speech or charging in the poor, it cannot really be called free speech.

God Bless and I pray you will do the right thing.

Keith Anderle

Keith Anderle

22603-88

===============================================================================================================

From: Syd Sahota  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Syd Sahota

ADDRESS: 229 Piñon Laurel Village, Fort Collins, CO

POST CODE: 80521

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410720dc60b21000c7f1445

---
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From: vgvgbn hhuyhgh
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: vgvgbn hhuyhgh
ADDRESS: fghhgfhgfg
POST CODE: 54354
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers. To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections. The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws. When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself. --- REF: 541071f8b0a7e4000c1653f8 --- Want more awesome more often? * Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr> * Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr> * Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/JPMq9MXrWwWdylNe9Brwzw/o.gif> If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/JPMq9MXrWwWdylNe9Brwzw/uh1> . ---

From: Cheri Kelly
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:37
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.
If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cheri Kelly
1624 High St 46209465
Keokuk, Iowa 52632

-----------------------------
From: Dyanna Wasco
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:37
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eddie
 ADDRESS: 3578
 POST CODE: 95670
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
REF: 5410720899efdf000d805728
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=======================================================================================
From: Justin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Justin
ADDRESS: 05 Bolinas
POST CODE: 92602
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541072221e0849000cdb2e21
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=====================================================================

From: Logan Satterfield
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Logan Satterfield
ADDRESS: 36 n main st apt 205
POST CODE: 35016
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Loretta Buchanan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Loretta Buchanan
POST CODE: 47167
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410722cf35671000eb3c7b7
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From: Robin Cahoon  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:37  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better. 

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robin Cahoon  
110 Holly Ridge Rd  
Manteo, North Carolina 27954

---

From: Elena Conci  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elena Conci  
ADDRESS: 05 Aberdeen Avenue, Cambridge  
POST CODE: CB28 DL  
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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===================================================================================================
NAME: Emilio Piña
ADDRESS: 7726 S. 99th St
POST CODE: 68128
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107245444842000ba90cb0

---

Want more awesome more often?
**From:** jeanne cormier  
**Date:** 09/15/2014 14:56:38  
**Subject:** Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

**To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners**

You need to keep the internet free and available to all people who use it. Do not sell it out to the big companies with all the money, that does a disservice to each and every individual who may not want to only get big businesses views. Understand what this means to the individual, not how much money businesses can pay. Think of it as the "land of the free internet"

Thank you

jeanne cormier

27101

---

**From:** re  
**Date:** 09/15/2014 14:56:38  
**Subject:** Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

**NAME:** re  
**ADDRESS:** USA  
**POST CODE:** 55555  
**COUNTRY:** QA  
**COMMENT:** Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410724cf1110a000d0e6f99

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/F8oWB1QTQO6rMnu1V3lyXg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/F8oWB1QTQO6rMnu1V3lyXg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Ariadna Klosek
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Ariadna Klosek
ADDRESS: Zielona
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107265444842000d5b9e79
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/eI5ol169QcSL5f-rxliuNw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/eI5ol169QcSL5f-rxliuNw/uh1> .
From: Heidi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Heidi  
ADDRESS: 133 Belmont Ave  
POST CODE: 94080  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410724c15ab86000d45059c
From: Olle Lindblom  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Olle Lindblom
ADDRESS: bindevägen 01
POST CODE: 96137
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107243f35671000bbeb73c
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Wayla Chambo
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:39
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Internet content should be available equally to all, as should the ability to post content and to have it viewed. Exponentially increased access to information is one of the great achievements of the internet age, and it is one of the few truly democratic media arenas that we have.

As a musician and educator, I depend on reliable access to a wide variety of internet sites and content in order to do my work.

Please do your utmost to preserve net neutrality by regulating the internet as a public utility.

Sincerely,

Wayla Chambo

23505

=======================================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Henry Agee
ADDRESS: 1424 Serpentine Dr.
POST CODE: Redlands, CA 92373
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410726b15ab86000e5ea64a

---

Want more awesome more often?
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pasha
ADDRESS: 20032 Giantstep Terrace
POST CODE: 20886
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107266dfbd5000d11ec7f

---
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From: John
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John
ADDRESS: 15940 Jackson Oaks Dr
POST CODE: 95037
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107291dfb5000cb0c492

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Crystal Cromwell
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Crystal Cromwell
ADDRESS: 4101 Sheridan rd #65
POST CODE: 48449
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 5410729b99efdf000e082245
---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jean-Patrick Simard
ADDRESS: 4853 Gouin Est Montréal
POST CODE: h1g1a1
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410729cb7cb89000cb2ade7

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheft>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheft>
NAME: Nedas Pekorius  
ADDRESS: Noragiškiu 03  
POST CODE: 08410  
COUNTRY: LT  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541071388b7a18000b93cd9e

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Craig Kenny
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Craig Kenny
ADDRESS: 61 Willow Wood Grove
POST CODE: Dublin 15 Ireland
COUNTRY: IE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Miie Brown
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Miie Brown
ADDRESS: 407 Lindley Dr.
POST CODE: Antioch, CA 94509
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410727cf35671000d5539e9
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NAME: Rod
ADDRESS: PO Box 40322
POST CODE: 02940
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541072bca26879000e0b11f1
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From: Sarah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah
ADDRESS: 1611 MORNINGSIDE AVE
POST CODE: 15206
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Sarah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah
ADDRESS: 4711 9th Street, NW
POST CODE: 20011
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=================================
From: AntÃ³nio MaurÃ­cio
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: AntÃ³nio MaurÃ­cio
ADDRESS: Rua Fernando Pessoa N°72, 2º Direito
POST CODE: 28350
COUNTRY: PT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:44
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: fay van putten
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 1636 roanoke place
Zip: 30067
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have Title II just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Jen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jen
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 85282  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Linda Foster  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:44  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Linda Foster  
1763 Pounds Rd  
Stone Mountain, Georgia 30087

---

From: Evelyn Clark  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Evelyn Clark  
ADDRESS: 248 walker drive #15 mountain View  
POST CODE: 94043  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network.Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/clMwTFbGR06TWCGh59s19A/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/clMwTFbGR06TWCGh59s19A/uh1> .

=======================================================================

From: Jeremy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Jeremy
ADDRESS: 5912 Lansdown Blvd
POST CODE: 46614
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Krista Edlen
ADDRESS: 6033 Morningdale Avenue
POST CODE: 33813
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:45
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Valerie Varela
7722 Maxwell Ave
Dallas, TX 75217

---

From: Andrew
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx

POST CODE: 92509

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Anna Paresa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:46  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

Please, please protect our right to freely use the Internet and not let the big corporate companies have their way. How will our State of Hawaii be able to afford to pay for Internet in our schools for our children to learn when they can barely afford basic needs?

Please, we have two teenaged children in school and they use the Internet to do class work and homework, daily.

Net neutrality should remain as it is, period!

Anna Paresa
96778

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cj Cutler
17375 Sw 20th St
Miramar, FL 33029
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:46
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation— just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Pam Sengelmann
10631 Gawain Ln
Houston, TX 77024

From: Christi padilla
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Christi padilla
ADDRESS: 3268 tomahawk st
POST CODE: 78936
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Evelyn Pecht
1392 Reservoir Rd
Honey Brook, PA 19344
the $0.01 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:47
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era
phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the
Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Floyd Osborne
15655 Jones Rd
White City, OR 97503

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Robert Sunshine
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Sunshine
ADDRESS: 11331 sw 115th terrace
POST CODE: 33176
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Saúl
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Saúl
ADDRESS: aV. 25 MAYO
POST CODE: none
COUNTRY: BO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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NAME: Auror
ADDRESS: 7000 SW Vermont Court Apt 1206 Portland, OR
POST CODE: 97223
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107312f110a000cc4f1c3

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Chrys  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chrys  
ADDRESS: 186 Glynn Av  
POST CODE: K1K 4Y3  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410730cc60b21000df165f9

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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From: e
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: e
ADDRESS: not disclosed
POST CODE: 11238
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107300444842000ba90cd3

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefutr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefutr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/TXaV1nbpSoOgZWUEb9EFLQ/uh1>.
Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Joyce Turner
717 Fairfax Dr
Fairfield, AL 35064

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:48
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mary Lou Snouffer
6408 Mapledowns Dr
Fort Wayne, IN 46835

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Ruben
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ruben
ADDRESS: Støperigata 21
POST CODE: 4014 Stavanger
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541072fec60b21000df165f6

---
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From: Alex  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex  
ADDRESS: 1201 E Ponderosa Parkway  
POST CODE: 86001  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107324b0a7e4000dc63c41
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:49
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Eric Josserand
1357 Braided Rope Dr
Austin, TX 78727

=======================================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Maya
ADDRESS: Jerusalem
POST CODE: 22222
COUNTRY: IL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410731bfbecab000dab1eb2

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
John McNish
7 2nd Ave
Voorhees, NJ 08043

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable
will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Adam Wilczak
3034 Se Illinois Ave
Topeka, KS 66605

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:50
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brian Carson
212 Faile St
Port Mill, SC 29715
From: Christine
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christine
ADDRESS: â\200\224
POST CODE: 26505
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107305f1110a000cc4f1c0

---

Want more awesome more often?
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jennifer Manchester
ADDRESS: 10625 Olde Irongate Ln
POST CODE: Charlotte, NC 28227
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107327b0a7e4000bf6a101
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=======================================================================================

From: Samantha Stafford
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Samantha Stafford
ADDRESS: 16191 NE 83rd St
POST CODE: 98052
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410732cfbecab000e3eab85

---
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=======================================================================================

From: William Cantor
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:50
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ²\200\223â²\200\223â²\200\223â²\200\223

FCC
Please do not allow Net Neutrality to die. Corporate greed and Citizens United style of Wealth = Speech should not be allowed to prevail.

Equal access to the internet should not be more equal for those with more control, power, and money.

William Cantor
From: Jessica Lee  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Jessica Lee  
ADDRESS: Orton Hall 439 PO Box 1700  
POST CODE: 99163  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Estella Smalls
274 Detour Rd
Seabrook, SC 29940
Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Beverlyann Reed
2912 Craigston Ln
Abingdon, MD 21009

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:51
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Thomas Van
5904 Hanging Moss Rd
Jackson, MS 39206

From: Hank Ram-rez
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Hank Ramirez
ADDRESS: 4823 Mansfield Street
POST CODE: 92116
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107338a26879000ca2962e

---
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From: Joan Selin  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:52  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I am a teacher in the inner-city. I use the internet at home and in my school to research a great variety of topics as I prepare lessons for my classes. The children and their parents also use the internet to do research on lessons and homework. Many parents also use it for job searches. Our school and the families we serve would be unable to afford the increased rates of the fast-lane internet that seem to only favor large corporate companies.

The FCC should use the authority that it was given under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal argument offers protection for real net neutrality by preventing ISPs from controlling the speed and blocking websites.

Joan Selin  
07666

From: Marlene  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marlene  
ADDRESS: 2442 W Obispo Circle  
POST CODE: 85202  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access...
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107328a26879000ca2962d

---
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=======================================================================================

From: roswell daniel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:52
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

roswell daniel
150 Garrison Trl
Canton, Georgia 30115

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:52
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Suzy Klein
465 Jensen Rd
Lopez Island, WA 98261

=======================================================================================
From: trishia rowe
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

trishia rowe
2732 Hyacinth St NE
Salem, Oregon 97301

---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:52
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Sincerely,
Victor Rios
1935 E Washington St Apt D15
Colton, CA 92324

From: Gina List
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gina List
406 W Turner St
Pageland, South Carolina 29728

From: Hakeem copeland
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the “nuclear option,” because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we
possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Hakeem copeland
2500 Knights Rd Apt 17-04
Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020

=======================================================================================

From: Javier Oscar Cordero Pérez
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Javier Oscar Cordero Pérez
ADDRESS: Ave. Jardines de Aguadilla 226
POST CODE: 00603
COUNTRY: PR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410731d8b7a18000e7c48e0

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:53
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jacob Camez
14065 Livingston Mdws
Garden Grove, CA 92844
From: John  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John

ADDRESS: 2104 Wicksbury Place

POST CODE: 40515

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Lisa White
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa White
ADDRESS: 808 N Young Blvd
POST CODE: 75115
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410734115ab86000cb1b56d

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:53
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mason Wyatt
203 N 36th St
Richmond, VA 23223
From: Tracey Fregia  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government's help and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tracey Fregia  
790 Evangeline Ln  
Vidor, Texas 77662

From: Victoria  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Victoria  
ADDRESS: 4204 Gayle Ct  
POST CODE: 75028  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410734115ab86000e5ea666

---
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=========================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:54
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
David Nackashi
3739 Hilliard Rd
Jacksonville, FL 32217

====================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:54
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Sincerely,
Helena Hanson
64 Shady Dr
Indiana, PA 15701

From: Joe Rivera
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:54
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the “nuclear option” because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joe Rivera
1606 W Craig Pl
San Antonio, Texas 78201

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:55
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to
any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Allan Saldov
18 Ford Pl
Staten Island, NY 10310

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:55
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Duane Ramos
121 Judson Ave
San Francisco, CA 94112

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:56
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Darlene Oconnor
363 Gardenia Ln
Jasper, IN 47546

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:56
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
George Wingate
2438 Willwood Dr Apt F
Florence, SC 29501
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Donovan Johnson
810 Sw 1st St
Madras, OR 97741

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:57
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Sincerely,
Mario X. Perrone
2283 Nw 82nd Ave
Doral, FL 33122

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:57
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Fernando Rincon
3080 Glancy Dr
San Ysidro, CA 92173

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:58
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Barbara Cobb
802 E Lafayette St
Jackson, TN 38301

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:58
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ryan Mroz
322 Sunnyside Ave
Aurora, IN 47001

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:58
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded
with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along—no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michele Dowdey
11902 Sagedowne Ln
Houston, TX 77089

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:56:59
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Allen Knutson
78 N 1000 W
Vernal, UT 84078
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Linda Jenkins
22035 Hutchinson Rd
Los Gatos, CA 95033

---

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Douglas owens
ADDRESS: 108 n main st grover nc
POST CODE: 28073
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107422c60b21000df16629
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:00
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Becky Knotts
Po Box 335
Madison, OH 44057

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:00
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Huang
20567 E Peach Blossom Rd
Walnut, CA 91789

From: jim
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jim
ADDRESS: 140 Countryside Cr, Park City, Ut
POST CODE: 84098
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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================================================================================================
From: Madonna Modugno  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:00  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Madonna Modugno
9634 Quiet Lk
San Antonio, Texas 78254

==================================================================================================

From: Paige  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paige
ADDRESS: 8321 77th ave n
POST CODE: 33777
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Rebecca Slankard
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:00
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\201\231s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\201\231re enacted.
If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Slankard
102 Milwaukee Ave
Lawson, Missouri 64062

From: Simon
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Simon
ADDRESS: Högalidsvägen
POST CODE: 39470
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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===========================================================================

From: Virginia Thompson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:00
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for
information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Virginia Thompson
1478 Greenwood Dr NE
Keizer, Oregon 97303

=====================================================================================================

From: Wendy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Wendy
ADDRESS: 2828 St. Paul St.
POST CODE: 21218
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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============================================================================

From: Benny
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Benny
ADDRESS: BruchwitzstraÃ­e 237 e
POST CODE: 12247, Berlin
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:01
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Chris Ramirez
5113 Molveno Ct
Las Vegas, NV 89130

=======================================================================================

From: Ericka
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Ericka
ADDRESS: 8423 Grove Place
POST CODE: 55379
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Hollie Winkles
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:01
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Hollie Winkles
323 N Meridian St
Lebanon, Indiana 46052

---------------------------------------------------------------

From: Malia Archer
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:01
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Malia Archer
1280 NW 67th St
Ocala, Florida 34475

---------------------------------------------------------------

From: Marilyn van Oppen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:01
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I depend on the internet to keep me in touch with the world outside my home. I am 82 years old and unable to drive and interact physically with my contemporaries. Please
keep the internet useful to people like me by not allowing company gatekeepers to decide how and when and where individuals like me fit in the spectrum of this very valuable resource.

Marilyn van Oppen

90505

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:01
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Gonzales
205 Audrey Dr
Weslaco, TX 78596

From: Randy Weinstein
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:01
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Chairman Wheeler:

I am deeply concerned about the first amendment implications of prioritizing, blocking, or slowing down access to information on the internet. I believe the proposed "net neutrality" rules currently under debate are certainly cause for the above concerns. Their intent belies their name -- better to call them net partiality rules.
As I understand these proposed rules, Big Cable and Telecom providers will be able to control the speed at which different websites load—including creating a fast lane for big corporations that can pay more, and slowing-down service to independent sites that can’t. These rules will also enable them to engage in outright blocking content they don’t want on their networks.

In order to legally prevent Big Telecom from destroying net neutrality, I think the FCC should assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal argument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites.

Thank you for your time,
Randy Weinstein

Randy Weinstein
10014

From: Renee
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Renee
ADDRESS: 1224 Pennsylvania Ave.
POST CODE: 43201
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: s
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: s
ADDRESS: s
POST CODE: s
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541073e599efdf000bbabca5

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:02
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Bernardo Ancayan
7306 Pentridge
San Antonio, TX 78250

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:03
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carlos Moz
From: Denise Vega  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:03  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler, 

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. 

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better. 

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand. 

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. 

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America. 

Sincerely, 

Denise Vega  
23B Osborne St  
White Plains, New York 10606

From: fioravante  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com. 

---

NAME: fioravante  
ADDRESS: pagani  
POST CODE: 84016
COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107474444842000d5b9ee0

---
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From: ganeshbt aneshbt
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ganeshbt aneshbt
ADDRESS: Bangalore
POST CODE: prabha
COUNTRY: IN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541072e1e0849000cdb2e5b
---
From: Michael Vlcek
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:03
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michael Vlcek
PO Box 1006
Mulino, Oregon 97042

From: Nancy Fowlie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:03
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
Please preserve Net Neutrality we must keep the internet open and free from discrimination and fees. I cannot believe this is really an issue.

Nancy Fowlie
85048

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:03
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nicole Guzman
1212 Grace Cir Unit 113
Ennis, TX 75119

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:03
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express
creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
David Etkin
2267 Dean St
Schenectady, NY 12309

From: Sophia Ohlin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sophia Ohlin
ADDRESS: RansÄtersvÄgen 08
POST CODE: 16839
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410734bb0a7e4000e4695e3

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:03
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tony Sewell
580 Brinkby Ave Apt 302
Reno, NV 89509
From: A
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: A
ADDRESS: 1311 11th Ave SW
POST CODE: 57201
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541073e1dac595000ea705a2
---
From: Alannah  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alannah  
ADDRESS: 2529 230th St  
POST CODE: 52645  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410740b62b49c000cd1f317

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Anne Lardeux
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battelforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anne Lardeux
ADDRESS: Montréal
POST CODE: H2K3H5
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410736e15ab86000d4505db

---
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From: Brigi
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brigi
ADDRESS: Strážovice
POST CODE: 29789
COUNTRY: CZ
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074b1f35671000bbeb765

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/b4Rt9-kFTvipCzZsLL6nbA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/b4Rt9-kFTvipCzZsLL6nbA/uh1>.
From: Daniel Deleuran
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Deleuran
ADDRESS: Fuglevænget 84
POST CODE: 8500
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410737ab7cb89000e2b2d7e

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Peggy Meiler
49 Wink Rd
Meredith, NH 03253

--

NAME: Elicia
ADDRESS: 330 30th St.
POST CODE: 80305
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410738c1e0849000ec71e91

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/4a0YKAYnSL2-YzzH03-9hg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/4a0YKAYnSL2-YzzH03-9hg/uh1>.

=================================================================================================

From: Felix Alm
NAME: Felix Alm
ADDRESS: Vallavägen 10
POST CODE: 58215
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410749ff35671000eb3c833

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Madalyn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Madalyn

ADDRESS: 14755 Barksdale Street

POST CODE: 22193

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410743ab0a7e4000e46960b
---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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====================================================================

From: patrick
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: patrick
ADDRESS: 6038 South Winnipeg St.
POST CODE: 80015
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074a2444842000ec58a76

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/rhpCn9wbSRWPZS3ZlR1Ubw/o.gif>
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Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation, just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sarah Sabedra
1005 Terrace Trl
Carrollton, TX 75006

=======================================================================================

From: André
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: André
ADDRESS: Rua Frei Carlos
POST CODE: 1600-095
COUNTRY: PT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074abb0a7e4000e46961c

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/EvyMwhmuRjSlJRbobjiw/o.gif>
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From: Cinderella Harris
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:05
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\201\224and not for the better.

The FCCâ\201\231s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\201\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ\201\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cinderella Harris
735 Patton Rd
Jonesboro, Georgia 30236
From: Gul unsal
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gul unsal
ADDRESS: Istanbul
POST CODE: 34500
COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107433dac595000d1eb031
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:05
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sam Karadsheh
2637 Bottle Tree Dr
Palmdale, CA 93550

From: Jürgen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jürgen
ADDRESS: Estonia, Tartu, Anne 55-18
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410740fb7cb89000e2b2da1

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/FPCMySzvRf6p91WqLHdbsw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/FPCMySzvRf6p91WqLHdbsw/uh1> .
From: Natalie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Natalie
ADDRESS: 650 Princess Ave
POST CODE: V6A 3E1
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410741cb0a7e4000dc63c6e
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Sigrid
ADDRESS: Rosenlundveien17b
POST CODE: 5146
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107364dfbdb5000e45fd87

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/d0uhJudwQ8e0TP4-Tosxrg/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: ÃÂ¬rÃ¡inn Bertelsson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ÃÂ¬rÃ¡inn Bertelsson
ADDRESS: NorÃºurbraut 41
POST CODE: 220
COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410740eb7cb89000d44c81e
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/C6S-6MOYSJGjzh1RmLN7-g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/C6S-6MOYSJGjzh1RmLN7-g/uh1>.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541073efdac595000b70880f
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/pJlwBSu1T7eXPuRQn201gQ/o.gif>
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======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tyrone Braswell
704 Aycock St S
Wilson, NC 27893

=======================================================================

From: Charles Davis  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Charles Davis
2521 Hourless Oaks
Schertz, Texas 78108

=======================================================================================

From: Domonique
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Domonique
ADDRESS: 1860 Hillboro
POST CODE: 89512
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074bfb0a7e4000dc63c88

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Giulio
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Giulio
ADDRESS: San Paolo 07
POST CODE: 33100
COUNTRY: IT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107348f1110a000b4b51d3

---

Want more awesome more often?
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==========================================================================

From: Gwyneth Brinkley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gwyneth Brinkley
ADDRESS: Kendall Ave
POST CODE: 14850
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 541074b6a26879000dec8ce

---
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========================================================================================================

From: Henrik
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Henrik
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 58214
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410748f15ab86000cb1b597

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Jason  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason  
ADDRESS: 15 Lori Ln  
POST CODE: 72114  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074acb0a?e4000c165452

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:06
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes
through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
change\200\224and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition
in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet
does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor
their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political
controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the
Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it.
Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of
the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Julie Hauserman
1132 Woodwind Trl
Haslett, MI 48840

=======================================================================================

---

---

REF: 541074acb0a?e4000c165452

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marco
ADDRESS: Via Trinità
POST CODE: 35100
COUNTRY: IT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074bef35671000d553a5e

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Paul Buck
7156 Fairystone Park Hwy
Bassett, VA 24055
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074bdddac595000cde5fa6

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwa/ZgwNAA/FY5GPKWiQA-pA2V0OlhzYw/uhi> .
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014  14:57:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Hamm  
9818 River Rd  
Huron, OH 44839

---

From: Danielle Greenberg  
Date: 09/15/2014  14:57:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Danielle Greenberg

ADDRESS: college Ave

POST CODE: 19081

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074631e0849000b596ac3

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefutr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefutr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/enyg6_LaTKePzejjQhavgQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Fuck that
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Fuck that
ADDRESS: Cunt
POST CODE: 01
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074d115ab86000cb1b5a1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Lynn Allen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:07  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I urge you to do all in your power to protect net neutrality. My husband & I are long time breeders of Arabian horses and depend on the internet to draw potential clients and also to share important information regarding the genetic potential of the Arabian horse. It would be devastating to our business as we are dependent on the internet to subsidize our meager old age benefits that are well below the poverty line. Thank you for all that you can do to help maintain our and many other families in the same situation.

Lynn Allen  
98564

From: pam stith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: pam stith  
ADDRESS: 36619 avondale  
POST CODE: 48186  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074d91e0849000cdb2eea

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/38MdzkiGSeyJW3XsQ7mwDg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/38MdzkiGSeyJW3XsQ7mwDg/uh1> .

=================================================================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:07
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded
with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along- no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Maria John
907 N Winslow Ave
Winslow, AZ 86047

From: Takuro Maulupe
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Takuro Maulupe
ADDRESS: 16812 21st ave e
POST CODE: 98387
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074cbf35671000d553a61

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/Wdj5pEKbSHCquhEpEXkvPw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/Wdj5pEKbSHCquhEpEXkvPw/uhl>.

=======================================================================================

From: Halima
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Halima
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 95066
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107407444842000ec58a5f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/p1-yQct7TcuFTcJipWfrTA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/p1-yQct7TcuFTcJipWfrTA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:08
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would
have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Bret Evans
26 Cheviot Rd
Southampton, NY 11968

=======================================================================================
From: Jean-François Bouquet
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jean-François Bouquet
ADDRESS: 3405 Côte Ste-Catherine
POST CODE: H3T1C7
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107448dfb5000cb0c503

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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From: Madison
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Madison
ADDRESS: 8518A Stone Ave N
POST CODE: 98103
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074d2f11l0a000cc4f1ff

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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=======================================================================================

From: Morten L. Nielsen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Morten L. Nielsen
ADDRESS: Præstestræde 24
POST CODE: 4873 Denmark
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074a71e0849000d05c541
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/48rBu4vIQKmn6Ln9BIyskw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/48rBu4vIQKmn6Ln9BIyskw/uh1>.

================================================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nathan

ADDRESS: 08 Barnes Cir

POST CODE: 01970

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410743462b49c000cd1f323
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Want more awesome more often?
From: Terrence Hague  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:08  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Terrence Hague  
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=======================================================================================

From: John  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John  
ADDRESS: London  
POST CODE: SW1  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074de62b49c000bd61f14

---
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 541074d6dac595000d1eb054
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================================================================================================
From: Sara
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Sara

ADDRESS: 5983 Winston Pl.

POST CODE: 46368

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074dd99efdf000e0822a4

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service, it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Reynaldo Garcia
1505 N Magnolia Ave
Tucson, AZ 85712

From: Art Carlton
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:10
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Not all corporations are government subsidized or loaded with money. Why give an advantage to those that need it least?

Art Carlton
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From: james Smiley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:10
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

New week and a new letter. It’s just too important to give in to corporate greed on this one. Too many small people try to get to their knees will never make it. If those corporations that are trying to kill the potential competition of the future were just starting out and had to face the burden that they wish to throw at the threat that doesn’t even exist they would never exist. this whole scenerio is un-American. If Comcast was really a person he/she would be in jail for his/her crimes against the nation. And not one of those soft feather pillow jails either.

But I’ve been down this road before. I’ve signed petitions, I’ve written letters, I’ve tried to tell you how important it is that everyone be treated as equals here. That no separate but equal lanes of commerce are allowed to exist on the internet. That the internet be regulated and run as a utility. Most of all I’ve tried to get across how important it is to get this right. not just take some fat bribe from Comcast and a cushy chair behind a big desk for making a disastrous desicion. I can only hope this is the last letter I have to write and that you do the right thing.

james Smiley
27028

From: Jay
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jay
ADDRESS: 9528 28th st ct e
POST CODE: 98371
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541074fc444842000c5ffd30
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 541074dfdfdbdb5000e45fddb
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Peggy Braswell
2489 Hoffmeyer Rd
Florence, SC 29501

==

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Rick Trumbull
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
NAME: Todd Shapley
ADDRESS: 511 Deerbrook RD Little Rock AR
POST CODE: 72205
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:11
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Armen Donian
3513 Camby Rd
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handng the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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=======================================================================================

From: josh
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: josh
ADDRESS: 1755 east davis
POST CODE: 47802
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Loren
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Loren
ADDRESS: 3364 M29
POST CODE: 48054
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Mary Eliades
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:11
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I want net neutrality protected because I depend on the internet for work and for education. It would be detrimental to our democracy to end net neutrality. I know one party is in favor of giving more money to cable companies but I strongly disagree. Please favor American citizens and protect net neutrality.
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nate Burza
3117 Whip Poor Will Ln
Belvidere, IL 61008

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were
sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Cecil Hanks
110 Fort Lyttleton Rd
Beaufort, SC 29902

==================================================================================================

From: Patrick Dülk
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patrick Dülk
ADDRESS: Neu-Isenburg, Falltorweg 02, Germany
POST CODE: 63263
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Swede Odén
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Swede Odén
ADDRESS: Kransvägen 192, SWEDEN
POST CODE: 50765 Borås
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Debbi Kolek
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:12
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The internet is a public utility and should not be controlled by corporations. In order to ensure equality among all internet users, it is imperative to maintain Net Neutrality.

Thank you,

Debbi Kolek

Debbi Kolek
From: Gene Brault
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:12
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Gene Brault
90027

From: Jeremy Stuteville
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jeremy Stuteville
ADDRESS: 1200 Houndschase Lane
POST CODE: 23040
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Nolen Bertonneau
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nolen Bertonneau
ADDRESS: 929. N Angeleno Ave
POST CODE: 91702
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
change\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Rita Muckey
2829 Meadow Dr
Lawrence, KS 66047

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sherri Brown
4112 Nw 39th Ave
Laud Lakes, FL 33309

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:13
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Amber Estep
12418 State Highway Kk
Winston, MO 64689

From: Dylan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dylan
ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 95060
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410752599efdf000c34581d

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Frank
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Frank
ADDRESS: xxx@xxxx.xxx
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410753ac60b21000df16662
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
George Hensley Ii  
4817 Shields St  
San Diego, CA 92124

From: JOYCE CRAIG  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:13  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Cable companies should not be permitted to slow down access to information on the internet for those who cannot afford to pay for faster speeds. Net neutrality is an essential element of free speech in this technological age. The only ones that will benefit from the current proposal are the cable companies.

JOYCE CRAIG  
06790

From: Kishore Angrishi  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Kishore Angrishi
ADDRESS: Bundesstraße 237e 30
POST CODE: 20146 Hamburg
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 5410750400333100ed4dab9
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From: Marian Shemberg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:13  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am an individual citizen, and I urge you to keep the internet as a public utility, so we can have net neutrality. I already have to pay a huge fee to access the internet through a provider. Now, these telecom providers want to treat us individuals as second-class citizens.

We still have to fight Citizens United so that Big Business is not considered a "human." Such a "human" only knows one thing--how to make money. Please stand up for us humans, and keep the internet neutral.

Thank you,
Marian Shemberg
Columbus, OH

Marian Shemberg
43235

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:14  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Catherine V. Stoudt  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address: 356 Grove Street, Bridgeport, PA  
Zip: 19405

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the "Hands off the Net" approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't have a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We can't trust that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today's bipartisan approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.
Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
From: les Considine
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:14
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

If there is concern about "big brother" watching everything we do, now you want to make it possible to control everything we hear and read too. When do 'we the people' matter anymore? Keep the Internet a level playing field. The corporations just do not need more power & control!

les Considine
34736

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lynne Stevenson
134 Coral Rose
Irvine, CA 92603

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tamara Brown
136 W 8th Ave
Gulf Shores, AL 36542

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:15
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michael Pareng
4541 Kansas St
San Diego, CA 92116
From: arty sternberg
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:15
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners, there must be equality for all of us. The power greedies are bad for our country and our citizens.

arty sternberg
92008

---------------------------------------------------------------

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:15
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Deni Billiter
18174 12th Rd
Atlanta, KS 67008

---------------------------------------------------------------

From: Lee Townsend
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Lee Townsend
ADDRESS: 500 E 3rd Street
POST CODE: Loveland CO 80537
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410753fc60b21000df16665
---
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From: Matias  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matias  
ADDRESS: calle 105 n°mero 126  
POST CODE: 6620  
COUNTRY: AR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Miriam Jensen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Miriam Jensen  
ADDRESS: rådalsveien 20  
POST CODE: 1663  
COUNTRY: NO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410754100331000ed4dac0
---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:16
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ”and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Cindy Aisenbrey
101 E Mettler St
Menno, SD 57045

=======================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:16
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jared Lanthier
420 Torrington Rd
Litchfield, CT 06759

=======================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:17
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to
any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Bess House
712 E 6th St
Centralia, IL 62801

====================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:17
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ray Zerbib
4 Main Dr
San Rafael, CA 94901

====================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ray Zerbib
4 Main Dr
San Rafael, CA 94901
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Eugene Wright
1407 E Colon St
Wilmington, CA 90744

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Karen Bagley
4129 Chelten Ave
Kalamazoo, MI 49006

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Linda Prevento
229 Crabtree Ln
Bassett, VA 24055

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:19
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Veronica Nash
Po Box 1069
Hardeeville, SC 29927
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service, it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

David Morrison  
121 11th Ave N  
St Petersburg, FL 33701

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:20  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ramon Tejada
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:20
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Colleen Terhaar
3423 Se Main St
Portland, OR 97214

From: Adrian Catbagan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Adrian Catbagan
ADDRESS: 6288 Broadway Ave
POST CODE: 94560
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107549003331000ed4dac1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pGA/ZgwNAA/rvSzFxI2TILfWONQ8HP8A/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pGA/ZgwNAA/rvSzFxI2TILfWONQ8HP8A/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Chalandra Shelton
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:21
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\'s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Chalandra Shelton
810 Dunson Glen Dr Unit 401
Houston, Texas 77090

==========================================

From: Dee
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dee
ADDRESS: xxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 29645
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410756b003331000c611199
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/AuZJvvunQxKoIbnCU3zW1g/uh1>.

================================================================

From: Denis Haine
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Denis Haine
ADDRESS: Les Câ"dres, Canada
POST CODE: J7T1E6
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54107554003331000c611196

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook  <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/5fV1uq2OQ466VhgjS1PmNA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/5fV1uq2OQ466VhgjS1PmNA/uh1> .

=================================================================================================

From: John Miller 
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Miller
ADDRESS: 34575 Arnold
POST CODE: 48051 Ira Township
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107553b7cb89000d44c869

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.
Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:21
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Thomas Serricchio
10125 W Boonville New Harmony Rd
Evansville, IN 47720

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or
false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Paige Redd
415 Covington Cv
Jacksonville, AR 72076

==------------------------------------==

From: Barry Reed
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:22
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Barry Reed
3805 Bonview Ave
Baltimore, Maryland 21213

==------------------------------------==

From: Bart
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and open access should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107586dfbdb5000d11ece4

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:22  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Cook  
241 Via Cantilena  
Camarillo, CA 93012

---

From: bruna gardel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: bruna gardel  
ADDRESS: rua arirã³ 560, vila do tingua â\200\223 queimados, rio de janeiro  
POST CODE: 26385200  
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410756844842000ec58a94

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gabriela

ADDRESS: UxÃ-o Novoneyra

POST CODE: 15175

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410756cf1110a000e42ef4d

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: James Michael  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:22  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change — and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Michael  
354 Keegan Ct  
Burlington, Kentucky 41005

From: Lani Gossett  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:22  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I depend on free internet for my business and for my information. It is very important that the net stay free and neutral.
From: McKenna  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: McKenna  
ADDRESS: 6881 S. Jordan Village Rd.  
POST CODE: 84084  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---

REF: 5410757700331000c61119b
---
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=========================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:22
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
James Smith
993 Postal Way
Vista, CA 92083

=========================================================================

From: Timothy Hamilton
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:22
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Timothy Hamilton
1615 E Vickery Blvd
Fort Worth, Texas 76104

====================================================================================================

From: Alyssa Lee
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alyssa Lee
ADDRESS: 13413 EDGETREE DR
POST CODE: 28134
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410759db0a7e4000dc63caf
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Antonio Toston
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:23
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Antonio Toston
23 Red Apple Cv
Little Rock, Arkansas 72206

=======================================================================================

From: Brenda West
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:23
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners With almost all employers requiring applicants to "apply on line", this would only increase unemployment! My local school system is talking about going to tablets rather than paper books in the future. If they want to do this, we MUST have net neutrality! Back in the 90’s we had dial up connections. I’m afraid that this is what we’ll all go back to if you don’t keep the internet FREE and OPEN!

Stop caving in to the
$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$

Best regards,

Brenda E West

Brenda West

68505

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:23
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ian Lynn
8639 Timbercraft Dr
Houston, TX 77095

=======================================================================================

From: dirk Miller
date: 09/15/2014 14:57:23
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

dirk Miller
22630 Brushy Ln
Golden, Missouri 65658
From: Jan Erik Haglund  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---

NAME: Jan Erik Haglund  
ADDRESS: Jørgen Moes vei 144  
POST CODE: 3512  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---

REF: 5410759b99efdf000d805785  

---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Maribel Santiago
229 Juniata St
Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don't destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mesfin Teferra
7513 Maple Ave Apt 901
Takoma Park, Maryland 20912

From: Sarah Donner
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:23
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I find it unbelievable... no, I find it very believable, that big corporations are going to win again. Have they not enough? Is it not time for the rest of us to enjoy some of the freedoms in these United States of America? Or, are we really living in the United Corporations of America? I fear we are.

Please do not let this happen. Keep the internet free for all who wish to use it.

Sarah Donner
Sarah Donner
33472

From: Schuyler
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Schuyler
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 98122
COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410758e8b7a18000c574b60

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:23
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Stella Wolf
1932 Laverne Dr
Arnold, MO 63010

From: Steve Furst
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steve Furst
ADDRESS: 15183 160 st
POST CODE: 32060
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410759b62b49c000cd1f368

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Wilbur H Cox
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:23
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.
If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Wilbur H Cox
PO Box 8671
Missoula, Montana 59807

FROM: ZUZU
DATE: 09/15/2014 14:57:23
SUBJECT: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ZUZU
ADDRESS: 10329 Dandridge
POST CODE: 63123
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410758e8b7a18000e7c4976

---
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toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410756cf35671000d553a80
---
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From: Cheryl Clapp
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:24
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Clapp
304 Graebe Rd
Charlestown, Indiana 47111

From: Derrick Smith
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:24
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:

What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Sincerely,

Derrick Smith
21575 Outer Dr
Dearborn, Michigan 48124

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:24
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

George Pesantes
1798 Se Mansfield St
Port St Lucie, FL 34952

From: Idan Shtayer
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Idan Shtayer
ADDRESS: 2323 E. Apache Blvd, APT 3090
POST CODE: 85281
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541075aca26879000decb909

---
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====================================================================

From: Jennifer Elgert  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Jennifer Elgert
ADDRESS: 1171 NW 15th Ave
POST CODE: 33486
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541075a0dac595000b708836

---
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Jon Webb  
2713 N Poplar Ave  
Tampa, Florida 33602

Judy Lomas  
2713 N Poplar Ave  
Tampa, Florida 33602
The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along—no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Judy Lomas
5060 Cliff Point Cir E
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80919

From: Kaitlynn
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kaitlynn
ADDRESS: 527 Bending Bough Spring, TX
POST CODE: 77388
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541075b3c60b21000df16681
---
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From: Meghan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Meghan
ADDRESS: 90 Elliott Hall
POST CODE: 47306
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541075a2a26879000decb907

---
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===============================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:24
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nicky Davis
1121 Seabright Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

=====================================================================

From: Quneshiaa Rawls
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:24
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Quneshiaa Rawls
PO Box 681071
Prattville, Alabama 36068
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aishah Shahidah Simmons
ADDRESS: PO Box 58085
POST CODE: Philadelphia, PA 19102-8085
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541075dffbecab000e3eabd9
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Daniel Dickey
750 S 650 W Apt 353
Provo, Utah 84601

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:25
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ashley Bouchard
9725 Balaton St
Rch Cucamonga, CA 91737

===============================================

From: Eric Leibman
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:25
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Eric Leibman
18032 N 30th St
Phoenix, Arizona 85032
From: Erlend Hagstad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erlend Hagstad  
ADDRESS: Storgata 35 A  
POST CODE: N-8006 Bodø, Norway  
COUNTRY: NO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gonçalo Neves
ADDRESS: rua da milharada edificio iberopa
POST CODE: 2745822
COUNTRY: PT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541075fc1e0849000cdb2f3c

---
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The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541075f8f1110a000d0e7039

---
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From: Maria Hollahan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:25
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Maria Hollahan
1019 Aringill Ln
Matthews, North Carolina 28104

=======================================================================================

From: Mary Pope
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:25
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mary Pope
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:25  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Thomas Miller  
208 N 8th St  
Saint Marys, KS 66536

From: Charles Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation--just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Charles Johnson
238 Palm Dr
Marlin, Texas 76661

================================================================================================

From: Gaylene Gambrel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:26
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change--and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation--just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gaylene Gambrel
476 Jordan Dr
Tucker, Georgia 30084

================================================================================================

From: Jamila Mulholand
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:26
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.
If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jamila Mulholand
9205 Oels St
Fort Worth, Texas 76108

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:26
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joseph Villanueva
2330 Vehicle Dr Apt 32
Gold River, CA 95670

=======================================================================================

From: Joel
NAME: Joel
ADDRESS: 1153 Juniper Creek Ct
POST CODE: 32714
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107604b7cb89000e2b2dfd

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Judy Vaillancourt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:26  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Judy Vaillancourt  
438 Hampton St NW  
Calabash, North Carolina 28467

From: Mary Daniel  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:26  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Not everything in our country should be solely for the benefit of big business. Please keep the one thing that serves all of us - keep the internet free.

Mary Daniel
From: Nick
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick
ADDRESS: 3737 St Johns Bluff Rd S
POST CODE: 32224
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Robert Price
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:26
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Robert Price
1065 Southlake Cv
Hoover, Alabama 35244

====================================================================
NAME: Ryan
ADDRESS: 1303 Berry Drive
POST CODE: 65616
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541075f1a26879000e0b1267

---
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From: Sumer Briggs
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:26
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sumer Briggs
210 Elm St
Spindale, North Carolina 28160

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time,
Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Aaron Tazelaar
1725 Juniper St Sw
Demotte, IN 46310

===============================================

From: Bertha Ruby
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along – no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bertha Ruby
4750 W Acoma Dr
Glendale, Arizona 85306

===============================================

From: brandy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: brandy
ADDRESS: 2932 judylyn drive
POST CODE: 30033
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410761399efdf000d805795
---
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From: charles braswell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

charles braswell  
2309 Whitley Rd E  
Wilson, North Carolina 27893  

From: craig rutter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: craig rutter  
ADDRESS: 11015 sw 41st ave  
POST CODE: 97219  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410762e1e0849000cdb2f43

---
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=======================================================================================

From: dave sossamon
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: dave sossamon  
ADDRESS: Johnson City, TN  
POST CODE: 37604  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541076571e0849000cdb2f53

---
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From: Erin Quint  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battelforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Erin Quint  
ADDRESS: PO Box 2535  
POST CODE: 26241  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107617444842000ec58ab2

---
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=======================================================================================

From: James Hammer
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Hammer
5814 Eldridge Glen Dr
Houston, Texas 77041

=====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jaycena Woolens
2823 Hadley St Apt C
Saint Louis, MO 63107

From: Marcus Grantham
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Sincerely,

Marcus Grantham
1021 Idlewild Dr Apt A102
Tallahassee, Florida 32311

From: Odelmis Medel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Odelmis Medel
1852 SW 7th St Apt 309
Miami, Florida 33135

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
change\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Paz Pledger
14810 Payette Dr
Houston, TX 77040

=======================================================================================
From: Rafael Arquero Gimeno
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rafael Arquero Gimeno
ADDRESS: España
POST CODE: 08914
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107601b7cb89000cb2ae4e  
---
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From: Thor-Einar H Tollånes
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thor-Einar H Tollånes
ADDRESS: Sommerfrydveien 03, Arendal, Norway
POST CODE: 4842
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Anthony
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anthony
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107645b7cb89000d44c8a5
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bethany Andrews
ADDRESS: Saarbrücken Strasse
POST CODE: 48151
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”200\224and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ€”200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Emir Seward
1730 Gales St Ne
Washington, DC 20002
NAME: Michael
ADDRESS: 545 Washington Ave
POST CODE: 11238
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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NAME: Monica Devine
ADDRESS: 502 Dakota court
POST CODE: Carol stream, il, 60188
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Don Walters
4791 Sierra Dr
Maiden, NC 28650

=======================================================================================

From: Annie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Annie
ADDRESS: 2391 Penfield Rd.
POST CODE: 14526
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541076c915ab86000d450691

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”200\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Daniel Lundberg
1907 Wyoming Ave
Superior, WI 54880

From: Eduardo Cruz Hernández  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eduardo Cruz Hernández
ADDRESS: Avenida los empresarios
POST CODE: 45116
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541076bcb7cb89000e2b2e21
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================================================================================================

From: Héctor J. Pagán Marrero
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:29
NAME: Héctor J. Pagán Marrero
ADDRESS: URB Bayamón Gardens H34 Calle 15
POST CODE: 00957
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 5410770af35671000c181788

---
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From: M  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: M  
ADDRESS: 28643 edward  
POST CODE: 48071  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410769915ab86000e5ea6de

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/6IPf7pEmQJGlvv9hywzTZQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:29
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Aldrina Balane
30 Severance Cir Apt 717
Cleveland Hts, OH 44118

=======================================================================================

From: Stephanie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephanie

ADDRESS: 2970 Shasta Rd

POST CODE: 94708

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541076c3b7cb89000cb2ae69

---
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From: Brad Lucht  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:30  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I am writing to advocate on behalf of free, open and fair access to the internet. Just as the post office does not vary the cost of a letter I send based upon its content, nor should my access to the internet be based upon the content I wish to access.

As a small business owner, I am also concerned that I will not be able to compete with huge corporations and their corresponding resources; they can buy and pay for as much access as they wish. My idea for remote monitoring and control of isolated airports would never stand a chance if access was based on bandwidth price.

To conclude, free and fair access is how the internet was established. To cave in to monied, corporate interests is just one nail in the coffin of democracy.

Brad Lucht

Brad Lucht

64131

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change, and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Sincerely,
Charlotte Barnett
4867 Ashford Dunwoody Rd Apt 7205
Atlanta, GA 30338

=======================================================================================
From: Lisa Raines  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:30  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:
Please do not give corporations the upper hand once again. The internet belongs to everyone & it should remain that way.

Lisa Raines
48813

=======================================================================================
From: Nick  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick
ADDRESS: 02 Misty Oaks CT.
POST CODE: 02893
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Sarah Senter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah Senter
ADDRESS: 5317 Ne 68th Ave
POST CODE: 98661
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 541076d11e0849000cdb2f6f
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====================================================================================================

From: seba jürgens
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: seba jürgens
ADDRESS: Cologne/Germany
POST CODE: 51103
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541076b1fbecab000e3eabf6

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tom Netherton
181 S Esperanza Dr
Litchfield Pk, AZ 85340

---

NAME: ????? ????? ?????
ADDRESS: ??????, ????
POST CODE: ?????
COUNTRY: IN
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410771e444842000d5b9f6a
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Bradley Swensen Jr
3438 I St Ne Apt P101
Auburn, WA 98002

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sonia Gana
493 Cremona Way
Oak Park, CA 91377

From: Doesn’t Matter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:31
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107731b0a7e4000dc63ce9

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jerry Mann
204 Woodburn Pl
Advance, NC 27006

====================================================================================================

From: carlos
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: carlos
ADDRESS: 49 garden street
POST CODE: 06040
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107733dfbdb5000b871eab
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>

* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/2gnsj6-rT4Kh2vH_wVohrw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/2gnsj6-rT4Kh2vH_wVohrw/uh1>.

From: Espen Brevik
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107741444842000d5b9f74

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Harry & Janie McDonald  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:32  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

We support net neutrality. It should be regulated as a public utility since we all use it for a variety of purposes. It would have a disastrous effect on democracy and ease of use to have to wait for some sites to load. This becomes another instance where the wealthy get to say what they want and everyone else can wait. This is not free speech.

Most people now get their news from the Internet. The likelihood of abuse rises when those who profit off us get to regulate what we see. The political implications are immense.

So many businesses have been started using the Internet. What a crime when those starting, small businesses are burdened with slower Internet as they try to control costs.

The only really democratic way is to keep the Internet the same for everyone.

Harry & Janie McDonald  
81506

From: MarÃ-a  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MarÃ-a  
ADDRESS: Spain  
POST CODE: 03189  
COUNTRY: ES  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410772715ab86000b62d200
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From: Samantha
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107736b7cb89000d44c8dd
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Stephen Sai Jr
28962 Garnet Canyon Dr
Santa Clarita, CA 91390
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jeff Talbot
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:33
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The people in this country need to stand together to stop letting big government and big business to quit screwing the lower income people in this country

Jeff Talbot

48449

=================================================================================================

From: John Morris
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:33
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Creating disparity in access on the content producer side will end up effecting the end user. The content we wish to access (ex: Netflix) will be slowed because an producer either will not or cannot pay fees to receive easier access. This is nothing but a veiled attempt by providers to double dip both producers of content and consumers. Moreover, this stifles business for smaller firms that cannot afford to pay for a fast lane, i.e another barrier to industry entrance. As more commerce trends towards the Internet, this affects more businesses. This will literally kill American jobs to line the pockets of a company which already makes a decent profit.

Internet access should be a utility.

John Morris

32935
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From: Cathy Zheutlin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:34
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Mr Wheeler,

As an independent filmmaker, in today’s current market, I depend on the internet for reaching my audience, making a living, and sharing my content. It is unfair, and undemocratic for the big companies to set the rules and the speeds of access. Net Neutrality protects all of who want to have equal access to the internet. PLEASE assert the FCC’s authority to protect net neutrality. The very means of how I make a living is being threatened. Big companies do not need fast lanes. They can go at the same speed as the rest of us. Be fair.

Thank you

Cathy Zheutlin

97212
From: Evan Montgomery  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Evan Montgomery  
ADDRESS: 7249 N Bell  
POST CODE: 60645  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410775444842000d5b9f7b
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Karl Hammerlund
ADDRESS: A\205sheimveien 19
POST CODE: 3490
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410777e15ab86000cb1b607
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From: Lizzie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lizzie
ADDRESS: 32 hardscrabble rd blooming grove ny
POST CODE: 10914
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Michael Campbell
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Campbell
ADDRESS: 1504 S. Orange Avenue
POST CODE: 34239
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410775a8b7a18000b93ce0a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/VR8sNpd3SR08favc8Z8a6g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/VR8sNpd3SR08favc8Z8a6g/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

---
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vitalik
ADDRESS: ??????????? ?.19
POST CODE: 1411111
COUNTRY: RU

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Aidan Light
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aidan Light
ADDRESS: 222 Brookfield Rd
POST CODE: 01518
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410776d62b49c000d16466f

---
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From: Jan Hansen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:35  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please maintain net neutrality. Keep a level playing field at least in some area of our lives.

Jan Hansen
28115

From: Laurence Hauben  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:35  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC,

I strongly oppose the proposal to do away with net neutrality. Net neutrality is what makes the internet such a vibrant marketplace, both for business and more importantly for ideas. Unlike other media, where how much money you have determines how loudly you can be heard, on the internet there is still democracy, because anyone with an idea stands an equal chance of being heard. Already, big players are using complex algorithms to try to skew search results and tip the scales in their favor. Doing away with net neutrality would tip the playing field so far their way as to kill the democratic nature and creativity that are the hallmark of the internet.

Please reconsider your decision to make this pact with big business. They don’t need your help. We the people do.

sincerely,

Laurence Hauben
Laurence Hauben
93105

From: Charles  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541077a462b49c000d164677

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

jim gayton
1699 Calhoun rd
rome, Georgia 30161
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410777fa26879000e0b12a6
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/LXRyXlcLR4WhlqcrEpD39w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410778bdfbdb5000e45fe17

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pascal
ADDRESS: Dänenstraße 237e 07
POST CODE: 10439
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410778ca26879000bdcb3d2

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541075dd003331000c6111ac
---
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From: Gina
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gina
ADDRESS: 6249 hallwood road
POST CODE: 15147
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541077b3b0a7e4000dc63d00
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From: Scott
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott
ADDRESS: 933 N Florence
POST CODE: 65802
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 541077adf35671000eb3c8d2
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Hi
ADDRESS: 14526 delatare road
POST CODE: 20654
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541077d48b7a18000dd59bf

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Jordan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Jordan  
ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 19107  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541077c4b0a7e4000dc63d03
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/pDuvVFBRQumlPmuia6KZbw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Laurel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Laurel
ADDRESS: 163 Camden Ave
POST CODE: 14220
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning...
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541077c562b49c000bd61f49
---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/OP9V7nRQQryU7mmZyNvbBw/uh1>.

From: Marco Aurélio Cevey
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marco Aurélio Cevey
ADDRESS: Brazil
POST CODE: 88504330
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541077bda26879000e0b12af

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: phoebe ludewig
ADDRESS: moselstr 83
POST CODE: 15827
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541077dd7cb89000b3ce7a1

---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheft>
NAME: Andreas
ADDRESS: Hjelmarsrörsgatan 9b
POST CODE: 52145
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541077e71e0849000ec71f19
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From: Jesse
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesse
ADDRESS: 62 intervale ave
POST CODE: 05401
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541078001e0849000cdb2fb0

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Laura
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Laura
ADDRESS: 110 Station St Bulger PA
POST CODE: 15019
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541077eddac595000ea70654
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From: Patty harvey
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Patty harvey
ADDRESS: Po box 1115
POST CODE: 95573
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541077b4fbecab000dab1f83
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From: Sharon Barbera  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:40  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:  

I am retired and living on a fixed income - I do not have cable tv or the web at home. I have an antennae on my roof and a $09 subscription to Netflix. I use public computers which are slow to begin with. Now you want to slow down my access altogether. The internet should be free and equal and accessible to all - not just to the people who can afford to a premium to large corporations.

Sharon Barbera  
85260  

From: Brent  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brent  
ADDRESS: 7581 E Camino Salida Del Sol  
POST CODE: 85266  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning...
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410781ff1110a000d0e709d
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From: Rebecca Thoby
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Rebecca Thoby
ADDRESS: Ä\205vej 02
POST CODE: 3660
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam Gibson

ADDRESS: 5607 St Elmo Ave

POST CODE: 37409

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sue Brodarick  
ADDRESS: 1132 w. albion  
POST CODE: chicago, IL 60626  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Alex
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex
ADDRESS: 688 Union St
POST CODE: 11215
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Henry
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Henry
ADDRESS: Valencia, EsPå±a
POST CODE: 46020
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jenna
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Jenna
ADDRESS: 5909 N Waterbury Rd
POST CODE: 50312
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Jennifer
ADDRESS: 54 Broadwalk London
POST CODE: E18 2DW
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Wallin Cameron
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:42
Subject: Protect Net Neutrality

I was deeply disappointed to hear that the Federal Communications Commission is planning on implementing rule changes that would allow Internet service providers to pay for special, faster lanes to deliver their content. In an environment where large corporations can pay for faster service, start-ups and every day Internet users won't be able to compete and we will lose the open, free-flowing exchange of information that has made the Internet so dynamic and integral to our lives.

The FCC can ensure it has the tools to act by reclassifying broadband as a telecommunications service. I urge you to reject the new rules and to take this vital and common sense step toward protecting net neutrality.

Wallin Cameron
617 Norris Ave.
Uniondale,, NY 11553

=======================================================================================

From: André Vilas Boas Fagundes
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: André Vilas Boas Fagundes
ADDRESS: Rua T44
POST CODE: 74210150
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michel
ADDRESS: 1014 Bong Blvd
POST CODE: 55811
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carrie Moore
ADDRESS: Lake country, BC
POST CODE: V4V 1X1
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: dillon mitchler
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: dillon mitchler
ADDRESS: 555 nord ave #01, chico ca
POST CODE: 95926
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107867003331000d0098a4
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---

From: Megan Gisi
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Megan Gisi
ADDRESS: 776 W 43rd St
POST CODE: 52806
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Isak Graf
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Isak Graf
ADDRESS: Södra murgatan 14
POST CODE: 17154
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Selene
ADDRESS: 239 N Palo Cedro dr
POST CODE: 91765
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Sholey
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sholey
ADDRESS: UK
POST CODE: GU140HQ
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Steve
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steve
ADDRESS: 14514 Quentin Ave. So.
POST CODE: 55378
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Erik
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Erik
ADDRESS: 1169 McDonnell ave
POST CODE: 90023
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Pat Stone  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pat Stone  
ADDRESS: 15 Kernan Avenue  
POST CODE: 13492  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Brad Bunkelman
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:47
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brad Bunkelman
421 N Michael Ave
Fullerton, California 92833

====================================================================

From: yasmina danioth
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: yasmina danioth
ADDRESS: löwenmattweg 09
POST CODE: 6460 altdorf
COUNTRY: CH
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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FROM: Andrew  
DATE: 09/15/2014 14:57:48  
SUBJECT: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew  
ADDRESS: 10960 Wilshire Blvd #900  
POST CODE: 90028  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Germaine
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Germaine
ADDRESS: 679 Parcel St., Monterey, CA
POST CODE: 93940
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: lauriano monton
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:48
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.
Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

lauriano monton
37652 Flora Ct
Murrieta, California 92563

From: Matt
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matt
ADDRESS: 93 mantup rd
POST CODE: 06260
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: mitch rose
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: mitch rose
ADDRESS: 175 sh aunessy dr north york ontario
POST CODE: m2j3g4
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=====================================================================

From: Priscilla Guzman
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:48
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Priscilla Guzman
204 N Houser Dr
Covina, California 91722

From: Sherri Venezia
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:48
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The internet is the main vehicle for information sharing, research, and accessing knowledge in this modern technological world we inhabit. If richer people can get information and learn about events or engage in dialogue regarding health, political or news sources, then the middle class and poor will be discriminated against by not having equal access. The way these things work, ultimately, most people will be less and less able to interact, learn and access critical information for being a good citizen with knowledge and options for decision making. This is a danger in a democracy. Keep the internet a level playing field with equal speed for all.

Sherri Venezia
95616

From: ??
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ??
ADDRESS: ??
POST CODE: ??
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Pamela Forehand
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:49
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Pamela Forehand
532 Kimbrough St
Fort Worth, Texas 76108

=======================================================================================

From: Robert
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert
ADDRESS: 4544 Zenith Avenue North
POST CODE: 55422
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Sam Hodge
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: Sam Hodge
ADDRESS: 6270 Sandy Creek Road
POST CODE: 30052
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Scott Campbell
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:49
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Let me get directly to the point: it is absolutely vital that net neutrality be maintained! Americans across the nation rely on access to the Internet, free of corporate influence. Please DO NOT allow the Internet to become a tool of big business.

Scott Campbell
Seattle, WA

Scott Campbell
98178

From: Thearon Crosby
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:49
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Thearon Crosby
351 W Tabernacle St Apt 42
Saint George, Utah 84770

From: Barbara Hart
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Barbara Hart
ADDRESS: 235 Wicker Street
POST CODE: Sanford, NC 27330
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541078dcdfb5b5000db0c637

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheft>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheft>
**Keep us fighting, chip in what you can.** <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/RmUy_7XUQUOIZ4JFUIrxPA/o.gif>.

=======================================================================================
From: Dallas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dallas  
ADDRESS: 503 East Mitchell  
POST CODE: 76010  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: joanna worthy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:50
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: “What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control.” In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

joanna worthy
532 Grant St
Buffalo, New York 14213
From: John  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John  
ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 67515  
COUNTRY: LT  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541078b6b0a7e4000e4696f2
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Mamie Smalls  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mamie Smalls  
74 Capers Island Rd  
Saint Helena Island, South Carolina 29920

=======================================================================================

From: michelle harrison
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

michelle harrison
250 W 6th St Apt 201
Dubuque, Iowa 52001

=====================================================================

From: Alicia Torres  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
From: Jackey Nelms  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: “What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control.” In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jackey Nelms  
186 Valley View Dr  
Flintstone, Georgia 30725

From: Jacob  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacob  
ADDRESS: 18040 n 49th ave  
POST CODE: 85308
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541078f7f35671000c1817cc

---
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From: K Kavips  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:51  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I need a free internet. My life has changed since 1994 and if availability to the internet goes back to levels like that, I simply could not do my job, keep up with my friends, and share pictures with my family. I would have to wait for an actual physical meeting to exchange those as we did back then.

If making the internet an official public service is the only way that can happen, then obviously that is what must happen. I have tried to imagine how life would be if the internet was slow, or I had to pay to use it. I would have to change my life.

Examples. I would phone more. I would write letters. Some people are content to wait. I am not one of them. I well remember waiting for dial up. I well remember how I first had to choose what I wanted, then look up on a search engine, then pick the one I thought would be best, click, then go do something and come back 20 minutes later. If I clicked the wrong thing, I would have to repeat. Hopefully the second time it would be up. If it too was wrong, an hour would be wasted just to cover 03 attempts to access a document....

The world would stop. We cannot survive and maintain productivity at current levels if we return to having to pay for speed. I could not survive if we had to pay for speed...

It is imperative for my life to continue forward, that each and every one of us, people like me, all have equal access to instant knowledge. It is necessary for the preservation of knowledge... What good is a report on diabetic seizures, if when you need access to it, it must wait for an open line?

What good is it?

If declaring the Internet to be a public service is the only way this can be guaranteed, then what must happen: is that the internet is declared to be a public service. A good one third of my day is spent on the internet. That can never be made up if the internet becomes privatized in any way.

K Kavips  
19803

From: Melanie Mills  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:51  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please keep the internet neutral. Leave it as is it’s not broke don’t fix it. If big companies want faster speeds ect let them start there own internet that only companies can subscribe to. Leave ours ALONE.

Melanie Mills  
48340
From: Steve Lutz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Steve Lutz  
3028 Powderhorn Pt  
Richmond, Texas 77406


From: Ariel Shuffield  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ariel Shuffield
ADDRESS: 3121 Barnard St
POST CODE: 31405
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Cleverson Carvalho
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---
NAME: Cleverson Carvalho
ADDRESS: Rua Abagiba \200\223 Vila Vera. S\Ã£o Paulo/SP. Brasil
POST CODE: 04294-000
COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541078e215ab86000cb1b636
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From: Elizabeth Colón
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth Colón
ADDRESS: 1502 Crestline Drive
POST CODE: 93105
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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From: gary tinsley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:52
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

gary tinsley
74 Kennedy Road Anx
Weaverville, North Carolina 28787

---

From: joyce
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: joyce
ADDRESS: 14830 willow moss
POST CODE: 78232
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541078f81e0849000b596af7

---
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From: Juan Torres jr  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet 

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Juan Torres jr  
457 Franklin Ave  
Belleville, New Jersey 07109

From: Michelle Nelson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet 

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michelle Nelson
150 Jefferson Rd
Fremont, Nebraska 68025

=======================================================================================

From: robyn blackstone
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:52
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

robyn blackstone
2816 Bayshore Gardens Pkwy
Bradenton, Florida 34207
From: Sherri Lynn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:52  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Dear FCC personnel:

It is to my great dismay that I see that BIG CABLE and TELECOM providers are hoping to gain your support in their bid to have fast speeds for large organizations and companies and slower ones for smaller companies including start-ups.

I see that this is a ploy to "outdo" the smaller companies....which are the very companies that NEED your SUPPORT way more than the larger companies and organizations....which already have had huge success in business. It is the SMALL companies that need to GET AHEAD!!! Not to be held back!

I therefore ask you to please NOT give the requested authorization from TELECOME, BIG CABLE and any other large company that has applied or will be applying for faster speed internet service.

PLEASE keep everybody on THE SAME LEVEL of speed!! This is only fair!

Trustingly that you will abide by this request, thank you very much for maintaining an even base for all businesses.

Regards.

Sherri Lynn

Sherri Lynn

TR18 2HZ

---

From: Zachary Woodcock  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zachary Woodcock
 ADDRESS: 132 Cormorant Way
 POST CODE: 31419
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410791ba26879000decb9d4

---
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====================================================================

From: cherlyn sawyer
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ\200\231s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama's political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama's White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
cherlyn sawyer
144 Cator Ave # 01
Jersey City, New Jersey 07305

=======================================================================================

From: Joseph
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph
ADDRESS: 5257 NE 14th Pl
POST CODE: 57211
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410792aa26879000decb9d8

---
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=======================================================================================

From: lacreta carroll
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the
FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lacreta Carroll
1801 Marmion Ave Apt 5B
Bronx, New York 10460

========================================================================================================
From: Lisa McKee
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lisa McKee
8167 S Yukon Way
Littleton, Colorado 80128

========================================================================================================
From: rowskawn hale
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

rowshawn hale
753 Quail Ct
Muskegon, Michigan 49442

====================================================================================================

From: Sergio Escobedo
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sergio Escobedo
ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 92301
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Sheila Davis
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Sheila Davis
ADDRESS: 1896 Bagpipe Way
POST CODE: 95121
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 5410790f99efdf000d8057ef

---
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=======================================================================================

From: trisha tinkshell
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

trisha tinkshell
3917 Dean Dr
Fort Smith, Arkansas 72904

From: Connor Rogers-Wartinger
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Connor Rogers-Wartinger
ADDRESS: 20 Walker Street
POST CODE: 45701
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================================

From: Crystal
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Crystal
ADDRESS: Henderson
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Justin Capik  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin Capik  
ADDRESS: Villar D’ArÃ¨ne  
POST CODE: 05480  
COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410792ff1110a000e42f02c
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=======================================================================================

From: Lawrence Ouellette  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Ouellette
1 Marie Ave
Newark, New York 14513

=======================================================================================

From: Sherry Perry  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sherry Perry
313 E South Ave
Independence, Missouri 64050

=======================================================================================

From: Thomas Stewart
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:54
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Thomas Stewart
14511 Thornton Mill Rd
From: Ben
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Ben
ADDRESS: 686 S Bronson Ave., Los Angles, CA
POST CODE: 90005
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Joseph Calavetta  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet 

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely, 

Joseph Calavetta  
341 S Sunrise Way Apt B13  
Palm Springs, California 92262

=======================================================================================

From: Leland  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410793cb7cb89000b3ce7c2

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nicole Smith
306 Kathryn Way
Havre De Grace, Maryland 21078

From: angela bohannon
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:56
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job
providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

angela bohannon
3915 N 150 Rd
Beggs, Oklahoma 74421

From: jack
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jack
ADDRESS: 58 prendergast, haverfordwest, pembrokeshire, wales
POST CODE: sa61 2pp
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---
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=======================================================================================

From: James
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James
ADDRESS: 2701 Greystone Dr APT D
POST CODE: 43220
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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ever dwindling democracy.

Your actions are crucial. Please consider the whole of society rather than the interests of a few.

Best regards,

John Olivo

07470

===============================================================================

From: Mike
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mike
ADDRESS: 2713 Ocaso
POST CODE: 85202
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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===================================================================================================

From: Olivia
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Olivia
ADDRESS: Chevy Chase
POST CODE: 20815
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=================================================================================================

From: George Bason
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:57
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please preserve net neutrality. As a former Federal Judge, I can attest that otherwise our Constitutional right to free speech will be impaired.

George Bason

20815

=================================================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: kevin
ADDRESS: 220 indian park dr
POST CODE: 37128
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410795562b49c000d1646ca

---

Want more awesome more often?
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stan
ADDRESS: 9320 S. M St.
POST CODE: 98444
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107944b0a7e4000e469708

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/1v_0E1vXSReqroBxY1-qjQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/1v_0E1vXSReqroBxY1-qjQ/uh1>.

---

From: Børge Nergård
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: Børge Nergård
ADDRESS: Furubakken 05
POST CODE: 3560
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410796eb0a7e4000dc63d52

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/lqhsPTk0THGwD4HRVasH1w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/lqhsPTk0THGwD4HRVasH1w/uh1>.

---

From: Diana  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Diana  
ADDRESS: 25886 Mercy Court  
POST CODE: 92544  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410795362b49c000cd1f42b

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/PS8v_5T9Qee1aGlkXc7-AA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/PS8v_5T9Qee1aGlkXc7-AA/uh1> .

===============================================================================

From: Rohan Narain
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rohan Narain
ADDRESS: T-09/1201 Vipul Belmonte Sector-53, Golf Course Road, Gurgaon, Haryana, India
POST CODE: 122011
COUNTRY: IN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107951a26879000decb9e0

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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[http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/sdHFP7T9R0qyFla2yQfQQw/uh1](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/sdHFP7T9R0qyFla2yQfQQw/uh1)

---

From: Sydney  
**Date:** 09/15/2014 14:57:58  
**Subject:** Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Sydney

ADDRESS: 5416 fair ave #2108

POST CODE: 91601

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107969b7cb89000d44c954

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/L0XHXSmqR86zs--AqrCnMg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/L0XHXSmqR86zs--AqrCnMg/uh1>.

From: Clara Davis
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Clara Davis
ADDRESS: 1023 Edmondson Ave
POST CODE: Baltimore, Md 21223
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107972c60b21000df1673a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/H8sUSZ2WRyGTJs6VK6dVjg/uh1> .

From: Erica Ericsson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erica Ericsson
ADDRESS: Söderbygårdsväg 52
POST CODE: 14452
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107971a26879000bdcb423

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/5wTuxQPvSpqFsIANludMIw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/5wTuxQPvSpqFsIANludMIw/uh1> .

=====================================================================

From: Joachim Jã|ger
Date: 09/15/2014 14:57:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Joachim Jæger  
ADDRESS: Tornehaven 04  
POST CODE: 2980  
COUNTRY: DK  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410796db0a7e4000dc63d51
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/2gwNAA/BWol_SnLQjiKvw0HVKJE9w/o.gif>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leslie
ADDRESS: 177 N. Fraternity Ln #08
POST CODE: 53190
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410795c444842000ec58b35

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/3JbH_O0nQCCZt5nfoFw6Ag/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/3JbH_O0nQCCZt5nfoFw6Ag/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Lexi
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lexi
ADDRESS: 50 College Street
POST CODE: 01075
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107981003331000c611274

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/TzsXznQbSPacdtlcGtMavQ/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Alex
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex
ADDRESS: ---
POST CODE: ---
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=====================================================================

From: Alicia Mendez
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alicia Mendez
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Max  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Max  
ADDRESS: 111 Stanford Dr Se Albuquerque  
POST CODE: 87106  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They are a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.
whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

---------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Kate
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kate
ADDRESS: 26250 Evelyn Court, Franklin MI
POST CODE: 48025
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Kenzie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kenzie
ADDRESS: 302 Colt Lane
POST CODE: 37069
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=====================================================================

From: Nancy Kosnar Hartman
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:02
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners
I am deeply concerned about the possible imminent demise of New Neutrality. Every single person who accesses the internet depends on a free and open internet to effectively use this tool. No one will get what they need when money is driving the content. Big Telecom will squeeze every cent out of everyone they can, and those who pay the most will have their content delivered faster. That reeks of censorship.

The internet is a public utility, and it should remain as such. Please fight for ALL internet users - not corporate profits.

=====================================================================
Thank you for considering my very strongly held beliefs regarding this critically important issue.

Sincerely,

Nancy Kosnar Hartman

Nancy Kosnar Hartman
80027

=================================================================================================

From: owen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: owen
ADDRESS: 180 North Willard
POST CODE: 05405
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Anthony
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anthony
ADDRESS: 850 NE 81st Ave
POST CODE: 97213
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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============================================================================

From: Daniel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel
ADDRESS: 2019 Evergreen Dr.
POST CODE: 89703
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am a middle class American citizen. I have a very deep concern about the direction that our government has taken in supporting corporations over people. I am outraged that corporations are asking for the same rights as individuals, and I am outraged that our government seems to support these requests.

Given this trend, I am NOT happy that this is yet another attempt by corporations to subvert our rights as individuals by eliminating net neutrality. Please, please preserve net neutrality and prove that or government is still by the people and for the people.

Jane Alexander
93460

====================================================================================================

From: Sami Sbaï
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sami Sbaï
ADDRESS: 23 rue de l’amiral courbet
POST CODE: 59800
COUNTRY: FR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54107993c60b21000df16742

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/JzbvJcqoSAi28X5X8xcwQ/uh1> .
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541079b8003331000d0098b8

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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====================================================================

From: Anette Aviana Jensen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anette Aviana Jensen
ADDRESS: nørrevænget 01, Lindved
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541079f1f35671000bbeb7cb

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/SCPnMtrQPN-il1rrXwwP2w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/SCPnMtrQPN-il1rrXwwP2w/uh1>.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a05444842000d5ba007
---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/ztvYW3BMQnWo5vCsIT2EQw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================
From: Danielle frausto
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Danielle frausto
ADDRESS: 240 Alvarado st
POST CODE: 91910
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541079e4fbecab000b5744f2

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/9KtQ5q0jSxSNnacgGFUppA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/9KtQ5q0jSxSNnacgGFUppA/uh1>.

====================================================================================================

From: Michael
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael
ADDRESS: 938 Andover Road
POST CODE: 19446
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541079f7003331000bc7c8eb
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Sebastian
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sebastian
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
COUNTRY: CO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541079d3b0a7e4000bf6a187
---
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-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
From: Aggieland Photography  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:05  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Aggieland Photography 
ADDRESS: Bryan TX 
POST CODE: 77801 
COUNTRY: US 
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler: 

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a15b7cb89000e2b2ee0  
---
NAME: JB FAJARDO
ADDRESS: 1767 second have
POST CODE: 92101
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a0f8b7a18000c574c43

---
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From: David
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David
ADDRESS: 94 Maxwell Road
POST CODE: 12110
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a29f1110a000e42f061

---
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=======================================================================================

From: chris
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: chris
ADDRESS: 210 Amberwood South
POST CODE: 78640
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107052f1110a000b4b519f

---
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---

From: Marc
NAME: Marc
ADDRESS: 3700 Lincoln St
POST CODE: 55421
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers. To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a3efbecab000b57450d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Kiera
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kiera
ADDRESS: 303 East 5th St
POST CODE: 61063
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541079f9444842000ba90dd1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/3fR6efUTSS2BMMf6WdygSw/uhi>.

=======================================================================================

From: Ä\211mile Jetzer
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ä\211mile Jetzer
ADDRESS: 2704, Lincourt
POST CODE: J4M 2E5
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a5e1e0849000d05c5fd

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/lgTNhWXgSky6GBh1DPL3rA/uh1>.

From: Kristin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kristin
ADDRESS: PO Box 303
POST CODE: 46710
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a63444842000ec58b70

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/WhFSIapQmmwzlkgqZrxx0A/uh1> .

=====================================================================

From: logan randolph
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: logan randolph
ADDRESS: santa cruz ca
POST CODE: 95065
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a65fbecab000b574512

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Megan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Megan
ADDRESS: 880 Starlight Dr NE
POST CODE: 30342
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a5eb0a7e4000bf6a191

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Mike Gallmann
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mike Gallmann
ADDRESS: 16 Rutgers ave
POST CODE: 07480
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a55dac595000b70889c
---
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From: Olivia
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Olivia
ADDRESS: 1623 Taylor Ave N No. 105
POST CODE: 98109
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a3ea26879000ca296ca

---
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From: rémi
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---
NAME: rémi
ADDRESS: bourg saint maurice
POST CODE: 73700
COUNTRY: FR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a4b444842000d5ba018

---
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NAME: Elizabeth Pirard
ADDRESS: 80 Blooming Grove Turnpike
POST CODE: 12553
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: john  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: john  
ADDRESS: 406 commissioners rd. w  
POST CODE: n6j4g3  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a73fbecab000b574516
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:10
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Vera
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 02 Center Beach
Zip: 06371

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $0.1 trillion dollars of private investment that built today's broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II's draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T's government-created monopoly. They're a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don't buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling the Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.
Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

---

NAME: Beth
ADDRESS: 8002 E Rosewood St
POST CODE: 85710
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a9b15ab86000d450763
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From: Héctor
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Héctor

ADDRESS: Calle Mayor 49 02 03

POST CODE: 08160
COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a8bc60b21000df16776
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Magdalena
ADDRESS: 121 E. 6th St. #108
POST CODE: 90014-2032
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107a6bfecab000dab2004
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From: Teemu Körkkö
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Teemu Körkkö
ADDRESS: Rantatie 206
POST CODE: 91510
COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Johann
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Johann
ADDRESS: 804 12th ave. w
POST CODE: 58078
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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NAME: Ryan Kaufman
ADDRESS: 2628 Yorktown St
POST CODE: 77056
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107aa915ab86000e5ea790

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Greg
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: Greg
ADDRESS: Hitikinkatu 03, Helsinki
POST CODE: 00735
COUNTRY: FI
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Mark Burns  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark Burns  
ADDRESS: 1848 S ROSE CIR  
POST CODE: 85204-6954  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Petar Marovic
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Petar Marovic
ADDRESS: Crbeki 15B, Zagreb, Croatia
POST CODE: 10000
COUNTRY: HR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ad0fbecab000dab2017
---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=================================================================

From: Emma
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emma

ADDRESS: 48 Laurel St Manchester NH

POST CODE: 03102

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107aa3b7cb89000e2b2efe

---
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From: Shady Glenn  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:14  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Shady Glenn  
97223

From: Stephen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephen  
ADDRESS: 2231 Country Club Circle  
POST CODE: 84109  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ae4003331000c6112c8
---
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============================================================================

From: Vasa Stanic
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vasa Stanic
ADDRESS: Jasenak 08
POST CODE: 11506
COUNTRY: RS
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107abbb0a7e4000bf6a199

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Spencer
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Spencer
ADDRESS: 23502 Hedlund Ave
POST CODE: 98043
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54107ae0b0a7e4000c16550d

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Toril Kalsås
ADDRESS: Bergen, Norway
POST CODE: 5183
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=================================================================================================

From: elwira Strzelec
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:16
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job—providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service—it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

elwira Strzelec
101 SW 18th Ave Apt 04
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33312

=================================================================================================

From: elwira Strzelec
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:16
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job—providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service—it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

elwira Strzelec
101 SW 18th Ave Apt 04
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33312

=================================================================================================

From: elwira Strzelec
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:16
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job—providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service—it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

elwira Strzelec
101 SW 18th Ave Apt 04
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33312

=================================================================================================
From: gibwa kironde
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:16
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners please dont do this. its not right and you know it.

gibwa kironde
94609

=====================================================================

From: Angelica mora
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Angelica mora
ADDRESS: 4805 hillside ave
POST CODE: 76119
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b09fbcab000dab2024
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=======================================================================================

From: Beth
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Beth
ADDRESS: 915 21st Ave. SE
POST CODE: 55414
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Bruno Brito de Aguiar
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bruno Brito de Aguiar
ADDRESS: Rua Poeta José Revoredo Neto
POST CODE: 59151-380
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b01c60b21000c7f159f
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carla Frey
ADDRESS: 25 Reed Rd
POST CODE: Oroville, WA, 98844
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b05b0a7e4000e469768

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: ERGYS  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: ERGYS  
ADDRESS: 43-13 54TH ST  
POST CODE: 11377  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107afaf35671000eb3c997
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Want more awesome more often?
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=======================================================================================
From: James Foster
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:17
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Foster
4405 W Petty Rd
Muncie, Indiana 47304
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: odis mcnatt
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:17
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

odis mcnatt
1423 W Spring St
Collinsville, Oklahoma 74021

=======================================================================================

From: Ben
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ben
ADDRESS: 1014 Wilson Ave
POST CODE: 60189
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b16f1110a000e42f09b
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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================================================================================================

From: April Sargent
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:19
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know
that the internet will change—and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change
Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections.
That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was
defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will
of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The
dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a
justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an
attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were
sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in
the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and
devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

April Sargent
6519 Halls Hill Pike
Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37130
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the â€œHands off the â€œNetâ€ approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built todayâ€¢s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title IIâ€¢s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. TheyÂÂs a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We donÂt buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling todayÂs bipartisan â€œHands off the Netâ€ approach. You canÂt have â€œjust enough Title IIÂ any more than you can be â€œslightly pregnant.Â

Title II would hurt startups most: they canÂt cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCÂs powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonÂt be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donÂt intend.

Yet, as youÂve noted, Title II wouldnÂt even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban â€œfast lanes.Â

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called â€œvigilant restraint.Â But remember KennardÂs digital mantra, too: â€œFirst, do no harm.Â If you conclude that existing laws arenÂt adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCÂs authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim Âor whether thatÂs Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Drewann  
ADDRESS: 2155 Giampaoli Dr.  
POST CODE: 95046  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b17003331000c6112db
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From: Kathy Srnsky
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:19
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kathy Srnsky
18234 137th St NE
Thief River Falls, Minnesota 56701

From: Luis Ángel Lozano
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Luis ÁEngel Lozano
ADDRESS: Calle 129a # 56b-14
POST CODE: 11001000
COUNTRY: CO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Gabby Kazlow-Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gabby Kazlow-Johnson  
ADDRESS: PO Box 602, Millwood, NY  
POST CODE: 10546  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: José T
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: José T
ADDRESS: Quito, Ecuador
POST CODE: 170521
COUNTRY: EC

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b3515ab86000d45078b
---
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From: rudy Hudspeth
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:20
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

rudy Hudspeth
8828 E Colfax Ave
Denver, Colorado 80220

=======================================================================================

From: tamm mcgee
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:20
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

tamm mcgee
111 Mobile St
Mobile, Alabama 36607

=======================================================================================

From: Katherine McClaid-Cook
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b20fbecab000b57453e

---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MIke
ADDRESS: NY NY
POST CODE: 10024
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b4cdfbd5000e45fead

---
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==============================================================================

From: Robin Floyd DeArcos
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robin Floyd DeArcos
ADDRESS: 2014 s stanley st rear
POST CODE: peoria,il 61605-3149
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b3cc60b21000e11821c
---
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---

From: shelley vose
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:21
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

shelley vose
9 Jefferson St
Attleboro, Massachusetts 02703

From: SOLANGE Prado
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:21
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

SOLANGE Prado
4812 Myrtle Dr
Fort Pierce, Florida 34982

From: vicki maples
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:21
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.
If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

vicki maples
511 Jessica Dr
Springhill, Louisiana 71075

---

From: Virgil
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Virgil
ADDRESS: 13911 CR 3500
POST CODE: 74820
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b2a444842000d5ba04a

---
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From: Alana Smith-Brown
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alana Smith-Brown

ADDRESS: 85 Denison Pkwy E #151 Corning, NY

POST CODE: 14830

COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b0f003331000c6112d7

---
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b56dac595000b7088ad

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Matthew  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew  
ADDRESS: 4102 N. Regal #25  
POST CODE: 99207  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Nathaniel Dunkle
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nathaniel Dunkle
ADDRESS: 1700 sunnyside ave. #30 clovis, ca
POST CODE: 93611
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Reynier Perez Hernández
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Reynier Perez Hernández
ADDRESS: Adam-Karrillon-Str.
POST CODE: 55118 Mainz
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Rodrigo
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rodrigo

ADDRESS: Avenida de Bonn, 12, 3º 04

POST CODE: 28028

COUNTRY: ES

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Deborah Burns  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:23  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I feel passionately about this topic for three reasons.
1. Historians will call the Internet one of the most important inventions of modern times, an instrument of the human intellect in the same league as the printing press and writing itself. The essential thing is that the content be available to all, both producers and consumers, in a democratic fashion befitting these times. Let’s not allow it to be profited from by the powerful, which would be a testimony to the small-mindedness of our generation. Let’s allow it to exhibit the best side of capitalism -- healthy competition -- and not the worst -- large entities bullying and swallowing up small ones.
2. Practically speaking, a free and neutral Internet is vital to my particular business (publishing). During my professional career as a book editor at a small independent publishing house I have watched the Internet evolve into an essential support as an information clearinghouse, a trend sensor, and a marketplace.
3. The Internet is unique in its oceanic sweep and scope and its universal availability. It should belong to all the way the ocean belongs to all, only in this case it’s an ocean in which the human spirit and mind can sail.

Deborah Burns  
01267

From: Donald Hunt  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:23  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

A free and open internet is critical to an open expression of Free Speech in this United States...and worldwide!!!

Donald Hunt  
85143

From: Anne
NAME: Anne
ADDRESS: 12231 NW Cornell Rd Apt 202
POST CODE: 97229
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Corey
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Corey
ADDRESS: 1320 Reinhart St
POST CODE: 92583
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Marcel HÄörle
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

--

NAME: Marcel HÄörle
ADDRESS: Sebastiansweg 37
POST CODE: 88339 Bad Waldsee
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Tim
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---

NAME: Tim
ADDRESS: 1303 S Denver Ave
POST CODE: 72801
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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NAME: Spenser
ADDRESS: 1171 Ekalaka Rd
POST CODE: 89052
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---
NAME: J.Webber
ADDRESS: 374 George street
POST CODE: AB25 1HD
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: michael Cavanaugh
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: michael Cavanaugh
ADDRESS: 3725 n. bell ave apt 01
POST CODE: 60618
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54107b7bdac595000d1eb1da

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/viypEjYORtKxeK8_Z8ntHQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/viypEjYORtKxeK8_Z8ntHQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Sandra Meier
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:26
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Sandra Meier
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=======================================================================================

From: Viktor Delevski
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: Viktor Delevski
ADDRESS: ????? ????? 02/24
POST CODE: 1000
COUNTRY: MK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b85b0a7e4000e469786

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anna

ADDRESS: 5808 West 110th Street

POST CODE: 93581

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107bd6b0a7e4000dc63dd1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Wesley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Wesley
ADDRESS: 1817 Haversham Drive
POST CODE: 75022
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107bbfb7cb89000cb2af22

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/U9B1VdPhT6OTCbCPUCcAQzg/o.gif>
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From: Emil Björk
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emil Björk
ADDRESS: Äverstevägen 11
POST CODE: 30235
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107be9003331000ed4dc29

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
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From: Terence Fernando  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Terence Fernando
ADDRESS: 1353 Heartwood Dr
POST CODE: 08003
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107bb3003331000ed4dc17

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/M4oPnCmsRnS-56w6fzPylA/o.gif>
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Danielle Riley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Danielle Riley
ADDRESS: 21900 BURBANK BLVD #112
POST CODE: 91367
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107bef003331000ed4dc2b

---
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From: Gabriel Prégent-t
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gabriel Prégent-t
ADDRESS: 1493 rue West
POST CODE: J7L 2N7
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107becf35671000d553bbe

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/ee6Pd0vhsMyyQt6HHbWXQCA/o.gif>
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From: Gwen Elzy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gwen Elzy

ADDRESS: 10821 Alberta dr
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107bfa1e0849000c0db30d8

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Joe  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joe

ADDRESS: 151 W 54th St, New York, NY

POST CODE: 10023

COUNTRY: IN

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107beb15ab86000b62d260
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
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From: Myesha
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Myesha
ADDRESS: 4181 Kenneth Ave
POST CODE: 77705
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107bde99efdf000bbabd66
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/4HTS-h_wS76B0FBtYmTqhg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/4HTS-h_wS76B0FBtYmTqhg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Louise Pedersen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Louise Pedersen
ADDRESS: Nørkjergvej 10
POST CODE: 7100
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c221e0849000ec71fb9

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/g5RwBfAtTNCCOaA7QivurQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/g5RwBfAtTNCCOaA7QivurQ/uh1>.

=================================================================

From: Mendy Gunter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mendy Gunter
ADDRESS: 632 Hidden Springs Ct
POST CODE: Sugar Hill Ga 30518
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107bd099efdf000c34596b
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/AqTgOCZ4REq2tQshPffylbw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/AqTgOCZ4REq2tQshPffylbw/uh1>.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patricia Lochner
ADDRESS: 12345-04 Woodrose Ct., Ft. Myers, FL
POST CODE: 33907
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c02f35671000c181827

---
From: Chara Mandile  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:31  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Your vote for a "pay to play" internet will show your support for the 01% instead of the majority who voted to elect you.  
Chara Mandile  

Chara Mandile  
32179

From: Destini Cofield  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  
---  
NAME: Destini Cofield  
ADDRESS: 85 Mount Zion Rd. SW  
POST CODE: 30354  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c2c444842000c5ffdcc

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here.

From: DSFKJDFH
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: DSFKJDFH
ADDRESS: asdfklj
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c22a26879000decbaa5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/dwfWVEWxRMaKM5tMB6mRkg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/dwfWVEWxRMaKM5tMB6mRkg/uh1>.
From: Mark
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark
ADDRESS: 3422 Peachtree St.
POST CODE: 30306
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107bfd003331000ed4dc2e
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/LJbwzOXGQ--6z7rQJzZ-FA/uh1>.

==================================================================================================

From: Eric  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric  
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 30310  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c1c62b49c000e6c5ecc

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/NWHq0QKSTcq8PAv107efCg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Heather Pruitt
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Heather Pruitt
ADDRESS: 822 25th Avenue
POST CODE: San Francisco, 94121
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c2f1e0849000cdb30ea

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/ZQEVrsMhQpKIH60VggKQMw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/ZQEVrsMhQpKIH60VggKQMw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Steffan Becker
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steffan Becker
ADDRESS: 585 Brightmore Downs, Alpharetta GA
POST CODE: 30005
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c5cc60b21000df167ed

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/RiW7mzimQ_mRyGypycq_w/o.gif>.
From: William jonescheit
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William jonescheit
ADDRESS: 3704 S. Benjamin Dr
POST CODE: 57103
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c4662b49c000cd1f4bf

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Chgk
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chgk
ADDRESS: Chuhhfzb
POST CODE: Ghjvv
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c72b0a7e4000e4697be

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/Us3H20ktQiCqTaTzTnwinA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/Us3H20ktQiCqTaTzTnwinA/uh1>.

---

From: Frank pmercado
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Frank pmercado
ADDRESS: 39massieav-prov
POST CODE: Ri02905
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c49444842000ec58bcd
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org＞

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/1NE-m0R8Sz2h-iTdU3GZkw/o.gif>
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==============================================================================================================

From: Lauren
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Lauren
ADDRESS: 420 redondo SE
POST CODE: 87106
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54107c86dac595000ea70733
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpi/s/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/-41I5_SiRaGwYEsOTytM0Q/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpi/s/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/-41I5_SiRaGwYEsOTytM0Q/uh1>.

======================================================================================================

From: Rodolfo Martins
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:33
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rodolfo Martins

ADDRESS: Rua dos Bombeiros Voluntários nº98

POST CODE: 8200-099

COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c62fbebca000dab2075

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Shelton Desaussure
7605 Kings Grant Ln
N Charleston, SC 29420

From: Carlos Sotto
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carlos Sotto
ADDRESS: Rua Josã© Rafaeli, 506 Sã£o Paulo
POST CODE: 04763-280
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c898b7a18000b93ce78

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/MUreguwsT6JvT1hXS9_C4/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Greg Hammond
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Greg Hammond
ADDRESS: 2400 Chapparel DR
POST CODE: 98512
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c8f1e0849000cdb310f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheft>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheft>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/A8gCk8H9RPSfdGwqt1m1XQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/A8gCk8H9RPSfdGwqt1m1XQ/uh1>.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:34
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Maude M. Forrest
8331 S Manistee Ave
Chicago, IL 60617

From: Viktor Dercho
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Viktor Dercho
ADDRESS: Täringstrasse 05
POST CODE: 84359
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107c9662b49c000cd1f4d0

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/1r3SUyxITySejgB4hE2A/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/1r3SUyxITySejgB4hE2A/uh1>.
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Donald Gribble
609 N Mckay Ave
Dunn, NC 28334

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jules
ADDRESS: 503 Albany
POST CODE: 48220
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107b75f35671000bbeb7eb
---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Leland Mills
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:35
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Chairman Wheeler, I urge you to protect real net neutrality. The internet is very important to me and my entire family because we all depend on it in our daily lives. Whether communicating with family and friends, or at work, or at school the internet is vital to us.
From: Leslie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leslie
ADDRESS: xxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 10543
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ca015ab86000d4507f9
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:36
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Alan Deuel
21587 S Vallejo St
Burney, CA 96013

=======================================================================================
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lila Combs
406 S Livingston St
Brookfield, MO 64628

-------------------

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Green
1721 N Oak Dr
Lawrenceville, GA 30044
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Valorie Tierney  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:36  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Do not allow these business corps to gain anymore control of how we access the internet or to profit anymore than they already do. Thank you, Valorie Tierney  

Valorie Tierney  
44438

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:37
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
R. Chukayne
9815 Wellesly Blvd
Painesville, OH 44077

---

From: Foster Wattles
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:37
NAME: Foster Wattles
ADDRESS: 1425 Long Road Kalamazoo, MI
POST CODE: 49008
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ca462b49c000bd61fa1

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Marek Tesar
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marek Tesar
ADDRESS: Kloboucnická 18
POST CODE: 14000, Prague 04, Czech republic
COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107caca26879000bdcb4a7
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From: mario Chrzesciewski
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: mario Chrzesciewski
ADDRESS: Görlitzer str 18
POST CODE: 34123
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107cbadfbdb5000d11ede2
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/r9gA/ZgwNAA/qxevBNPGRvq3Piu7qqTQVw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/r9gA/ZgwNAA/qxevBNPGRvq3Piu7qqTQVw/uh1> .
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $30.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes. We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:38
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers Å‡À\202-Å\200\234 just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\201\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Carol Batal
506 Eckard Ave
Akron, OH 44314

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:38
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\201\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Chenina Miller
2302 Court St
Muskogee, OK 74401
From: Cheryl
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cheryl
ADDRESS: 49 Branch Road
POST CODE: 03431
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107cb38b7a18000b93ce7d
---
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:38
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jason Borzy
66 Walnut St
Independence, OR 97351

---

From: quinn morris
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: quinn morris
ADDRESS: 700 greenwood rd.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107cafb0a7e4000e4697cd
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From: Stephanie Carlson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:38
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Please keep the internet open and neutral. It is simply not fair to have an arrangement where the more you pay the faster your website will be. The citizens of the United States deserve to have equal access to all websites. Speed and content should not be controlled by ISPs.

Thank you for your time.

Stephanie Carlson
55038

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Chawapat Saengwijit
350 5th Ave Ste 6308
New York, NY 10118

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dale Satterfield
124 Wilson Ave Apt 04
Galax, VA 24333

=======================================================================================

From: Michael Green
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:39
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The founders of our democracy realized the importance of freedom of the press. In the 21st century, the internet has become the fundamental means of communication; the effective form of freedom of the press. Without net neutrality we will not have democracy any longer.

Michael Green
10031

=======================================================================================

From: Stanley Sacharoff
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:39
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please champion the internet by promoting and protecting net neutrality.

Stanley Sacharoff
94116
From: Stephanie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephanie
ADDRESS: 105 Jon Drive
POST CODE: 27577
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107cd08b7a18000c574cb3
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=======================================================================================

From: Zia
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zia
ADDRESS: 1730 Madison Street
POST CODE: 11385
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107cc5b0a7e4000e4697d3

---

Want more awesome more often?
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=======================================================================================

From: Brianna
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brianna
ADDRESS: 391 New Litchfield Street
POST CODE: 06790
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107cd2f35671000c181846
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=================================================================================

From: Faye
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Faye

ADDRESS: 300 W franklin ave, Star City, AR

POST CODE: 71667
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107cddc444842000ec58be3

---
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=================================================================================================
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As a small business person who runs an Internet social networking consulting and web site development and hosting business (http://beachsidesocial.net/) the last thing I want or need is to be put in a slow lane because the fast pipes are reserved for premium ‘paying’ bandwidth customers like Netflix or Akamai or other CDN networks. My customers are other small businesses and non-profits and believe (as they do) that the quality of service they receive from ISPs and the internet as a whole is just as important as those of any major corporation or CDN.

Comcast has already demonstrated the ability to throttle customers (Netflix) whenever it suits their business interest (extortion by any other name in Netflix case). My business depends on end-to-end fair use of internet bandwidth between my customers and their customers. To believe that faster lanes will be implemented in the short term for tiered bandwidth at ISPs is foolish. These ISPs do whatever they can to control their costs and maximize their revenues and usually to the detriment of the little guy, until they are found out and taken to court and fined. They call it Quality of Service Tiering. I call it playing for paying favoritism.

Don’t give way to letting big dollars for pay-to-play lead to unfair degradation of services for any other customers.

The internet needs to remain neutral in performance for all of the IP packets that pass through the ports of all internet providers.

Keep the cable companies from owning the means to provide preferential speed or access to any user. Keep the internet open and neutral.

Thank you.

Regards,

Jeff Dorman
President, Beachside Social Networks, Inc.
321-412-5613

Jeff Dorman
32937

=====================================================================

From: Joel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joel
ADDRESS: 55359
POST CODE: 5130 Main Street e maple plain mn
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:40
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsider telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
John Bennett
467 Nottingham Dr
Chambersburg, PA 17201

=======================================================================================

From: Kim Falch
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kim Falch
ADDRESS: Tykkåysen, fevik
POST CODE: 4870
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ce9a26879000e0b1391

---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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================================================================================================

From: Philip
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Philip
ADDRESS: 149 Collumwood Circle
POST CODE: 29170
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107cc299efdf000d80585f

---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:40
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Rudy Stearnes
Po Box 710657
Houston, TX 77271

---

From: Christopher East
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Christopher East
ADDRESS: London, UK & San JosÊ, CA, USA
POST CODE: Sm1 4rt
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d00dfb05000b871f12
---
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==============================================================================

From: Dietrich Hei\237en\db\u21b2ttel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dietrich Hei\237en\db\u21b2ttel
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107cf9b7cb89000e2b2f67

---
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From: hanna
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: hanna
ADDRESS: 2031 minnasota st eugene or
POST CODE: 97402
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Lee Ann Bazzell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:41  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I am very concerned about this Comcast merger. I want to be able to use the internet at reasonable price. I am a health care professional and I use the internet for continuing education courses, and some of the courses are on video. If the internet is slowed down because I can’t afford the price of what they want me to pay for internet to run videos, what will I do? I am also a single Mother and living on one income. Please don’t go through with this merger. It seems like it is based in greed and not for the benefit of the people. Thank you, LeeAnn

Lee Ann Bazzell

36109

==================================================================================================

From: Lindsay Resor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lindsay Resor  
ADDRESS: 3840 Sherman Blvd  
POST CODE: 46808  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d15b0a7e4000bf6a1dd

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Mathilda
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Mathilda
ADDRESS: VÅgssärögatan 19
POST CODE: 25733
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107cd98b7a18000ddd5a51

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Myriam Ortiz
35 Colburn St
Waltham, MA 02453

From: Sacha Froment
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Sacha Froment
ADDRESS: 06 place Léon Blum
POST CODE: 75011
COUNTRY: FR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d18dac595000ea70754

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/jqW_IADCTBilv-zAeSnj_A/uh1> .
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Aaron Woitas
2080 E Anthony Rd
Grapeview, WA 98546

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:42
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ana Boniche
8615 Nw 8th St Apt 117
Miami, FL 33126
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:42
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Paz Ramos
1699 New Hampshire Dr
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

From: Petechareat
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Petechareat
ADDRESS: Nashua,NH
POST CODE: 03060
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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change\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mahwish Faraz
19 Winding Rd
Hicksville, NY 11801

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:43
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michael Hines
1210 Ingraham St Nw
Washington, DC 20011

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:44
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Stephen Sederstrom
860 N Mcqueen Rd Unit 1179
Chandler, AZ 85225

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:44
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Sincerely,
Luis Reyes
51 S 19th St Apt 09
San Jose, CA 95116

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:44
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mike Hall
5398 N Rainbow Blvd
Las Vegas, NV 89130

=======================================================================================

From: André Normandin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: André Normandin
ADDRESS: 11348, av. Jean-Meunier, apt. 05
POST CODE: H1G 4T4
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:45
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Charles Tota
1681 Kent Dr
Brentwood, CA 94513

================================================================

From: David Gafford
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:45
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please do not bow down to the demands of big telcos. Keep the internet neutral. Small businesses rely on the internet for their livelihoods.

David Gafford
96721

================================================================

From: Madeleine Vaughan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Madeleine Vaughan
ADDRESS: 25 Woolford Close, Winchester
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:45  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kevin Mullinax  
5297 Tipper Ave  
Las Vegas, NV 89122

From: Nicholas  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicholas  
ADDRESS: 316 Andover Rd.  
POST CODE: 19030  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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===============================================

From: wagner
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---
NAME: wagner
ADDRESS: 3855w pratt
POST CODE: 60712
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Brian Gottejman  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:46  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Please do not allow "Big Telecom" to buy faster internet speeds at the expense of private users that would suffer in the wake of these powerful companies and their deep pocket methodologies to increase profits.

Thank You,

Brian L. Gottejman, Ph.D.

Brian Gottejman  
90720

=======================================================================================

From: Casey Church  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Casey Church
ADDRESS: 2132 12th St SW
POST CODE: 44314
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d38dac595000d1eb255

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/G9PS14L3TEqARgN_ceE5Dw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/G9PS14L3TEqARgN_ceE5Dw/uh1> .
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Calvin Berryhill
ADDRESS: 13780 parkway manor dr
POST CODE: 77318
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d31f35671000d553bf5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michael Lott  
Po Box 80042  
Bakersfield, CA 93380

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:46  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Vincent Boles
4202 Rogers Creek Ct
Duluth, GA 30096

=======================================================================================

From: Dustin McClure
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dustin McClure
ADDRESS: 5414 Lehigh St B
POST CODE: 79416
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d2efbecab000dab20a0
---
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:47
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Cam Ron
Po Box 346
Atlanta, GA 30301
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:47  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along – no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Melvin Ross
3321 Olive St
Kansas City, MO 64109

From: sLorna Dobson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sLorna Dobson
ADDRESS: 116 Greeley St.
POST CODE: 02904
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d3615ab86000d45081e

---
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=====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:47
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would
have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Steven Haggins
9229 Starfish Way
Elk Grove, CA 95758

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:48
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Albert Atilano
694 N 18th St
Banning, CA 92220

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:48
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they're enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Eric Mayhue
170 Boulevard Se Apt C205
Atlanta, GA 30312

=====================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:49
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Alex Jensen
5653 Park Pl W
Salt Lake Cty, UT 84121
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:49
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Marlena Backay
2130 Commander Rd
N Charleston, SC 29405

From: Andreas Juul Christensen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andreas Juul Christensen
ADDRESS: tornhøjvej 49
POST CODE: 8260
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
The bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d57c60b21000e118258
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============================================================================

From: Andrew Boynton  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew Boynton
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d75003331000bc7c95d

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:50  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carol Bunce  
190 High St  
Bradford, PA 16701

From: Christian Schulz  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christian Schulz  
ADDRESS: Reichenauersträße 90  
POST CODE: 6020  
COUNTRY: AT  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d47b0a7e4000dc63e22
---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Colt
ADDRESS: 2196 August Way
POST CODE: 95961
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d41a26879000e0b13aa

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheft>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheft>
From: dfgdfgdfgdfgd
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: dfgdfgdfgdfgd
ADDRESS: fgdfgdfgdf
POST CODE: gdfgdfgdfgdfgdfgdfgdfgdfg
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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From: Ellen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Ellen
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 20017
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Emil
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emil
ADDRESS: Udbyhøjvej 32G
POST CODE: 8900, Randers, Denmark
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Farah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Farah
ADDRESS: 14808 E 40 Highway Kansas City MO
POST CODE: 64136
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:50
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jamie Lee Kilmartin
11 Apple Tree Rd
Bethel, CT 06801

From: maya
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: maya
ADDRESS: 1607 caves camp rd
POST CODE: 97544
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Olly
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brian Stanton
3113 Butler Ave
Horseheads, NY 14845

---

NAME: Amer
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 1400
COUNTRY: CH

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Arvind
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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---

NAME: Ben Heine
ADDRESS: 25555 Abalone St
POST CODE: 35222
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:51  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulations just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
John Dekoker Jr  
4651 Wolf River Dr Nw  
Grand Rapids, MI 49534

====================================================================================================
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Naveen Irudayaraj
1220 Cambia Dr Apt 4212
Schaumburg, IL 60193

From: Octavio Ocharán
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Octavio Ocharán
ADDRESS: Av. Américas #68 Col. Del Maestro
POST CODE: 91030
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d4b99efdf000d805873
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/FICw43jWT1GQt9Wi487XBA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/FICw43jWT1GQt9Wi487XBA/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Sean Painter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean Painter
ADDRESS: 4118 Kentmere Main Kennesaw
POST CODE: 30144
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d47b7cb89000cb2af59
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/B4tiooSZRZmLPTS9YvK42g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/B4tiooSZRZmLPTS9YvK42g/uh1>.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sharon Schwab
ADDRESS: Clubhouse Cir
POST CODE: 33618
COUNTRY: CR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d791e0849000b596b51

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: catherine  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: catherine  
ADDRESS: 1649 n moutain ave  
POST CODE: 85719  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d7e003331000c611360

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/WYZ5Z7kzRPupjWHD41SNCw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/WYZ5Z7kzRPupjWHD41SNCw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Eric Simpson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric Simpson
ADDRESS: 09 Calumet Road, Winchester, MA
POST CODE: 01890
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107daa15ab86000d45083c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/6JAJi_d_TC64n0gSybH1WQ/uh1>.

---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:52
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Starling Singalivo
569 Langdon St
Orange, NJ 07050

=======================================================================================
From: Noelle
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Noelle
ADDRESS: 37 Pratt St Tewskbury MA
POST CODE: 03301
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107dad15ab86000cb1b6e9

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/p9ISB35RTyaDO0wIfucT5Q/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/p9ISB35RTyaDO0wIfucT5Q/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: sarah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sarah
ADDRESS: 1970 N Creekwood Park Cir. Apt 07
POST CODE: 99645
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning...
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107da88b7a18000ddd5a6d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/ypcq14Pto0OqV03vaN3MA/uh1>.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:53
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Larry Coleman
340 Birr St
Rochester, NY 14613

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:53
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tom Nagle
159 Buffalo Ln
Carrolltown, PA 15722

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:54
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech
support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jacque Ranard
194 Twilight Ct
San Jacinto, CA 92582

From: Julie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julie
ADDRESS: 349 N Palisade Drive Orem, UT
POST CODE: 84097
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107d62444842000ba90e4c
---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:54
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job—providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service—it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kathy Brown
59 Meadowbrook Dr
Toccoa, GA 30577

=======================================================================================

From: Mary Goode
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mary Goode
ADDRESS: x
POST CODE: 97540
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the...
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107db7fbecab000e3eacda

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Richard
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard
ADDRESS: 10507 Weymouth St.
POST CODE: 20814
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ddd60b21000c7f1610

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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=======================================================================================

From: ryan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ryan
ADDRESS: 280 central street
POST CODE: 01749
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107dc9dac595000b7088de
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Salvatore Cracchiolo
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Salvatore Cracchiolo
ADDRESS: 3215 Yaeger Road
POST CODE: 63129
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107daf99efdf000bbabd91
---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
REF: 54107dadb0a7e4000c16557a
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:55
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Shequita Brooks
2950 Springdale Rd Sw Apt O2
Atlanta, GA 30315

From: Dan Kelly
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ddff35671000d553c0e
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From: jeremy  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jeremy  
ADDRESS: 3340 mountain oak dr  
POST CODE: 96001  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa
ADDRESS: Keltenstrasse 85
POST CODE: 82343 Pöcking
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107db91e0849000ec71fec
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:55
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—and as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Paul Mcdonald
298 Magnolia Dr
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:56  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Delores Cooper
737 San Miguel Dr
Stone Mtn, GA 30083
From: Aaron Hoy
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aaron Hoy
ADDRESS: 1364 willow Street
POST CODE: V0H1R0
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107df262b49c000e6c5f11
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============================================================================
From: Daniel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel
ADDRESS: 527 25th ave
POST CODE: 98632
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107e0862b49c000cd1f51b

---
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From: Miranda
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Miranda
ADDRESS: 7260 Azure Rd #140-73
POST CODE: 99
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Regan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Regan
ADDRESS: 91-1045 Kai Kukuma St
POST CODE: 96706
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107deda26879000decbb1c

---
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NAME: ryan
ADDRESS: manchester uk
POST CODE: m4451gd
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:57  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulations just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
John Polka
7015 Olcott Ave
Hammond, IN 46323

From: Alexa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.org.
NAME: Alexa
ADDRESS: 1890 W Hillcrest Dr
POST CODE: 91320
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:58
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gerald Woody
162 Madison Dr
Newark, DE 19711

From: Matthew
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew
ADDRESS: 217 E Pearl St
POST CODE: 61046
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54107e1062b49c000cd1f51d
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Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Christopher Smalley
1201 Triplett Dr Apt D40
Emporia, KS 66801

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:58
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Daniel Heuchert
84 Hier St
Morgan, MN 56266
From: Donna Caloway  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:59  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:  

Please continue to give the people and small companies their freedom to choose what, when and where they wish to use the internet. Do not give our freedom to large corporations only. I do not want them choosing my freedom for me. I want to be the one to do that.  

Donna Caloway  
75110

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Gilder  
5 Marie Ave  
Etna, PA 15223

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:59
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Barbara Nardi
2 Topsfield Rd
Bristol, CT 06010

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:59
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along. No government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nathaniel Sturtz
209 Bridle Dr
Copperas Cove, TX 76522
From: Tracy Chambers  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:58:59  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

No Content  

I. Support net neutrality because I believe in freedom of Speech.

Tracy Chambers  
13661

From: Angela Worley  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---

NAME: Angela Worley  
ADDRESS: 10108 Crest Ridge Dr  
POST CODE: 32514  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107e218b7a18000e7c4b4f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/Wgk5z632SqO1otikNCuT8A/uhl> .

---

From: Ernest Byerly
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:00
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ

An open internet has proven to be a boon to commerce and free speech. Turning the net over to big telecom to determine how it is run would stifle ingenuity and free speech and is not in the interest of the American people.

Ernest Byerly

72301

---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:00
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Karen Hodge
3924 Victory Cir Apt 61
Billings, MT 59102

From: m.d.gibson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: m.d.gibson
ADDRESS: 275 orange ave
POST CODE: 91911
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107e12dac595000ea7077f
---
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===============================================================================

From: shelley gotchey
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:00
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners\200\223\200\223\200\223\200\223

As a fervent believer in the power of the word, I am anxious about the potential of misuse when large conglomerates of corporate type people have the control over our internet - a major source of information. I have personally been blocked from websites that are not favorable to certain groups. This is a form of censorship and a violation of a basic American right to access of information.

Would your library throw out or keep you from reference books? I think not.

Please consider the average citizen. We are interested. We are capable. We need our internet to be neutral.
From: tailor  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: tailor  
ADDRESS: 603 benton avenue  
POST CODE: 53545  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107e1f8b7a108000dd5a81

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:00
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Curtis Jones
10 Somerset Ln
Hampton, VA 23669

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:01
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kevin Carrington
220 Dwight Rd
Burlingame, CA 94010

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:01
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lyn Ernst
6910 34th Ave W
Bradenton, FL 34209

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:01
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Adam Debaugh
506 Stahlman Dr
Bullhead City, AZ 86442

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:01
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear â€“ investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kenneth Johnson  
30 Bosque Pl  
Los Lunas, NM 87031

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—\^200\^224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—\^200\^224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nate Rickman  
215 Nw 7th St  
Pendleton, OR 97801

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:02  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—\^200\^224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already
helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Joel Dumer
1222 47th Avenue Ct
East Moline, IL 61244

---

NAME: Kayla
ADDRESS: 913 Knollfield Way
POST CODE: 95136
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107e478b7a18000c574ce7

---
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From: Rommel R Oliveira
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rommel R Oliveira
ADDRESS: Rua Argentina, 461, ap 33, SÃ£o Carlos/SÃ£o Paulo 220/223 Brasil
POST CODE: 13566-600
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107dab99efdf000bbabd90
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:02
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ®\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Heid
118 S Eaton Ct
Lakewood, CO 80226

---

NAME: Ben
ADDRESS: 2015 St. Clair
POST CODE: 83404
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107e59b0a7e4000dc63e6a

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Benjamin Seidl
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Benjamin Seidl
ADDRESS: Auf dem Rain 2a
POST CODE: 78224 Singen (DE)
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:03
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Edson Phicil
912 Governors Ave
Orlando, FL 32808

From: Kristin Ross
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kristin Ross
ADDRESS: 1446 Washington Blvd
POST CODE: McKeesport, PA 15133
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=============================================================================

From: Lynelle Miliate-Ha
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lynelle Miliate-Ha

ADDRESS: 8532 Columbus Ave#04
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change  

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jacob Brewer
2001 Yale Ave
Bradenton, FL 34207

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change  

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Eric Horwitt
2488 Steven Dr
Corona, CA 92879

From: Demetria McDonald
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:04
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Demetria McDonald
348 County Road 607
Ripley, Mississippi 38663

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:04
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
change\200\224and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Donald Short
309 E Thomas St
Perkins, OK 74059

=======================================================================================
From: Kate McLane
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:04
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners\200\223\200\223\200\223\200\223\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content
PLEASE DO NOT LET BIG BUSINESS TAKE OVER THE INTERNET.

Kate McLane
85225

=======================================================================================

From: kevin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: kevin
ADDRESS: 836 S esmeralda
POST CODE: 85208
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:04
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jarriel Reed
1860 Concannon Dr
Oakley, CA 94561

From: Martin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Martin
ADDRESS: Spireastraat 69
POST CODE: 3053DX
COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Yolanda Rodriguez
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:04
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Yolanda Rodriguez
325 Fox Dr
Del Rio, Texas 78840

=======================================================================================

From: Anneliese Truame
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:05
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality is essential to thousands of patients and their caregivers like me who turn to online groups to help them make their lives and the lives of their loved ones better in the face of catastrophic and chronic illness. Because my bringing home germs could kill my daughter, I could not go to in-person support groups for my extremely premature infant when she finally came home from the hospital on medical equipment after 04 months in intensive care. Yet I was responsible for her care every minute with no medical training. Most parents and even doctors could not answer my questions because they had never cared for a fragile baby at home or were too busy to return my calls. But an online support group of micropreemie moms from around the world was there for me 24/07 and now my daughter is a thriving 06-year-old! I know who and what I owe for this transformation: Parents in the trenches and an internet that connects us. Please keep me, my daughter, and so many like me in mind when you vote. We matter too.

Anneliese Truame
14850

=======================================================================================

From: Dominic Martinez
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:05
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services
would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dominic Martinez
10508 Pickerel Ln
Charlotte, North Carolina 28213

From: Heather Ferrill  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Heather Ferrill  
PO Box 662  
Whitehall, Montana 59759

From: hongxiang he  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:05
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

hongxiang he
3972 Spitze Dr
Las Vegas, Nevada 89103

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:05
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Beth Feldman
6039 Cypress Gardens Blvd
Winter Haven, FL 33884

=======================================================================================

From: Kae Kalwaic
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kae Kalwaic

ADDRESS: 220 Sykes Lane

POST CODE: Wallingford, PA 19086

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107e2edac595000b7088e5

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Marilyn Hagle
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:05
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners
Please do not take any actions that would create a "slow lane" on the Internet.

Marilyn Hagle

76626

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:05
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might,
theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ashley Sangthai
11483 Westonhill Dr
San Diego, CA 92126

From: Brody Beck
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brody Beck
ADDRESS: 2224 Tamarron Ter
POST CODE: 66502
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107e25003331000d00991b

---
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From: Jason Schindler
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:06
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not a \201\234public utility\201\235 and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\201\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\201\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jason Schindler
220 3rd Ave NE
Saint Cloud, Minnesota 56304

From: Joel Pfister
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:06
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The privatization of the Internet is undemocratic. Net neutrality is conducive to a democracy in which information is freely available and not a commodity. I am a university professor and internet research has become a crucial part of what I teach: American studies. There must be more to America than corporate profits.

Joel Pfister
06510

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:06
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough” Title II any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim. Whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
From: Mateusz Kuniczuk  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mateusz Kuniczuk
ADDRESS: Kasztelanska 58/10
POST CODE: 58-316
COUNTRY: PL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Matthew Brady  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew Brady  
ADDRESS: 437 Chalfonte Dr  
POST CODE: 21228  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107e45c60b21000c7f1623

---
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From: Matt Miller
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:06
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know
that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation\201\224just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\201\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Matt Miller

16038
From: Michael  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael  
ADDRESS: Sechzigstrasse 32  
POST CODE: 50733  
COUNTRY: DE  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107e31f35671000eb3ca58
From: robbin keener
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:06
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

robbin keener
PO Box 425
Dunlap, Tennessee 37327
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Thomas Welsh
500 Carol Ct
Lansdale, PA 19446

From: A. Bike BÀ"LÀ"216
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: A. Bike BÀ"LÀ"216
ADDRESS: Ortakent
POST CODE: 48420
COUNTRY: TR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:07
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”200\224and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Hugo Rosales
3175 Nw 94th St
Miami, FL 33147

From: Gail Hare
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:07
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners
The information age should be for all. Please do not set boundaries that benefit the big companies at the expense of the great, complex organism of the internet. I take classes on line. I work for a small business. I connect with my doctor on-line. Please don’t set corporate gatekeepers in charge of the internet. A fast lane that can be purchased by the wealthy corporations is not in our best interest. Please advocate for real net neutrality that benefits all the citizens and our democracy.

Gail Hare
97080

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:07
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gary Vasquez
120 Scarlet Oak Cir
Pomona, CA 91767
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Teichman
7760 Shire Ct
Las Vegas, NV 89131

From: Margaret Hooper  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:07  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No censorship!  DO NOT SELL FREEDOM OF SPEECH. The government is for the PEOPLE not the elevation of the corporation to absolute monarch!  DO YOU JOB FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE PEOPLE.

Margaret Hooper
74006

From: Meg  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Meg
ADDRESS: 885 Park Ave Apt 3B Brooklyn NY
POST CODE: 11206
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael
ADDRESS: 01 Ionia Ave APT 307
POST CODE: 49503
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

--

NAME: Sebastian Rollén
ADDRESS: Runstensvägen 52
POST CODE: 804 33
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

--
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currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==================================
From: Susan McGinnis
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:07
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

In addition to being concerned about the first amendment implications of prioritizing, blocking, or slowing down access to information on the internet - my main concern is that this ‘fast lane - slow lane’ concept is just duplicating what corporations/millionnaires have already done to our society. The rich get richer (go faster) and the poor get whatever is left over. Please do not perpetuate this marked class status.

The founding fathers wanted equality for everyone. Don’t you think we have ignored and trampled on that concept enough? Even in our pos-civil war days... They wanted (in fact moved here to get) freedom of religion and to keep the government out of the business of religion... I can almost rest my case on that one alone. But again do you see my point? We keep selling out - "In god we trust" happened in 1958 and now every religious place is tax exempt.

Selling out is a pattern in the USA - the wealthy do so enjoy their freedoms - but please don’t subject something as clean and simple as the internet to this pattern. Don’t shove a slower functioning internet down the throats of those who can’t afford to
bridbe you. . . . or open an account or whatever you would call it.
For once please consider the integrity of taking a tand. Please just say no.
Thank you
Susan McGinnis
23323

From: Aaron Smith
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Aaron Smith
ADDRESS: PO Box 644 Romney WV
POST CODE: 26757
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: funkridervdavies
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: funkridervdavies
ADDRESS: 254 Christie St
POST CODE: n0b 2k0
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Michael Silverman
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Silverman
ADDRESS: 830 Larchmont Road, Pittsburgh PA
POST CODE: 15243
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the Internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Charles Miles
7734 Falcon Dr
Crr Christi, TX 78414

================================================================================================================================

From: Alexander
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander
ADDRESS: 319 W. College Ter.
POST CODE: 21701
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Ben
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ben
ADDRESS: 1917 7th avenue
POST CODE: 10026
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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============================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:09
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brandon Dieterich
305 S Edgemon Ave
Winter Spgs, FL 32708

=======================================================================================

From: Deborah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Deborah
ADDRESS: 1005 SALTER ST.
POST CODE: 19147
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=====================================================================

From: John Hendrickson
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Hendrickson
ADDRESS: 142 Kent St.
POST CODE: 11222
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Richard Wey
89 Greenstone Ln
Waite Park, MN 56387

---

NAME: Sarah Ayers
ADDRESS: 4847 rue de Brébeuf
POST CODE: H2J 3L4
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Carol Scalia
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:10
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners of the FCC,

Please protect an open internet. If you have cable you know that everything is "extra". These big money company charges are so high and every time you turn around they are increasing. I am a senior citizen, recently retired and have opted for the basic plan due to price increases. I do not want my internet messed with now too. I have grandchildren and use it with them for educational purposes. My one grandson
is autistic and the internet is really important for him. Please do not let "big money" once again win and leave the ordinary citizen out in the cold. Thank you for your time.

Carol Scalia
92610

==================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:10
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mark Jorgensen
12140 Nw Marshall St
Portland, OR 97229

==================================
From: Cristian
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cristian
ADDRESS: Bucharest Romania
POST CODE: 12345
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:10
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Armstrong
11515 Hempstead Rd
Garfield Hts, OH 44125

From: Tristan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tristan
ADDRESS: 154 ATTORNEY ST APT 604
POST CODE: 10002
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: William Zabel
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William Zabel
ADDRESS: 6454 Hanover court
POST CODE: 60532
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: Bailey
ADDRESS: 3412 Addy St
POST CODE: 98671
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107e6099efdf000bbabd9e
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Want more awesome more often?
From: Barbara Bye  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:11  
Subject: I support new net neutrality rules!

Sep 15, 2014  
Chairman Tom Wheeler  
Dear Chairman Wheeler,  

We need real net neutrality. As a SierraRise supporter and member of the climate movement, I call on you to protect Internet users from monopolistic ISPs. Don’t let companies censor, slow down, or block websites while requiring other sites to pay for faster service -- that’s not the free and open Internet we deserve. Please stand up for all Internet users and our right to communicate!

Thank you,  
Barbara Bye  
2027 38th Ave  
Oakland, CA 94601-3774

From: Cecil Odom  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cecil Odom  
ADDRESS: 657 Hwy 3191 Natchitoches,La  
POST CODE: 71457  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: chrystopher Jozwik
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: chrystopher Jozwik
ADDRESS: 26 Hudson drive
POST CODE: l6y2n7
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emily mcbean
ADDRESS: 444 boul. rêne levésque o
POST CODE: G1s1s3
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:11
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation— just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gerald Quintella
5909 Mendocino Blvd
Sacramento, CA 95824

================================================================================================
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gerald Schmink
3580 Cherokee Rd
Atlanta, GA 30340

=======================================================================================

From: Joel Jingåker
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joel Jingåker
ADDRESS: Granångsringen 49
POST CODE: 135 44
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning...
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Luis Fisher Jr
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luis Fisher Jr
ADDRESS: 3815 canfield rd
POST CODE: pasadena ca 91107
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patrick Da Silva

ADDRESS: Altheider Straße 31

POST CODE: 12489 Berlin

COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rowan
ADDRESS: 1600 Fillmore Street, Denver CO
POST CODE: 80206
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
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highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Anton Chumak
3062 01/02 Gunnison Ave
Grand Jct, CO 81504
From: Raymond J. Hoxsie  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  
---  
NAME: Raymond J. Hoxsie  
ADDRESS: Waverly, NY  
POST CODE: 14892  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ee4fbecab000e3ead09

---
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Albina Avtamonovabass
2190 Chestnut Log Way
Lithia Spgs, GA 30122

---

From: Wanda Taylor Keil
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.
---
NAME: Wanda Taylor Keil
ADDRESS: 2820 S Williams Ave
POST CODE: 57105
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: David Way  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:13  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Preserving an open Internet is crucial for its continued thriving. Net neutrality has been the default state of the internet since its invention - internet service providers load all websites at the same speed. Now, Big Telecom wants to change the rules so they can extract more money from websites by making them pay to be served to customers at faster speeds.

In order to preserve net neutrality, the FCC needs to ban Big Telecom from creating these so-called fast-lanes which only large companies could afford. Start-ups and independent sites would be left behind and crushed.

The FCC has tried protecting net neutrality twice before, and been defeated by Big Telecom in court both times. In order to legally prevent Big Telecom from destroying net neutrality once again, the FCC should assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal argument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites. Thank you very much for your consideration.

David Way
08859

From: Joe Cohan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:13  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

To whom it may concern;
There is no justification for changing the way the internet currently delivers information to me currently.
I do not support any changes that might make it more difficult for information to be delivered via the internet to me!!!

Joe Cohan
33437

From: Levi Lovelace  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:13
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54107eea003331000bc7c998

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Bradley Hall
2207 E Rumney Rd
Rumney, NH 03266
Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Tiedt
56462 Winding Creek Dr
Macomb, MI 48042

From: Zaack Works
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zaack Works
ADDRESS: 1925 Embarcadero Rd
POST CODE: 94024
COUNTRY: FR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ed7f35671000bbeb848
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kurt Spaeth
145 Christy Dr
Waynesville, GA 31566

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Glenn Gonzales
236 N Princeton Ave
Villa Park, IL 60181

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to
a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Pomaro
6169 West Blvd
Youngstown, OH 44512

==================================================================================================

From: ???????? ??????????? (Nerve33)
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ???????? ??????????? (Nerve33)
ADDRESS: Belarus, Grodno, Prospect Stroiteley, 33-12
POST CODE: 230009
COUNTRY: BY

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Nicole Palin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicole Palin
ADDRESS: 4137 N Broad Street
POST CODE: Philadelphia, PA 19140
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Pablo Fergus
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pablo Fergus
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Audre Gutierrez  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:15  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am writing to express my concern about any attempts to exact payment from small businesses and others who use the internet for marketing, transactions, etc., to maintain the speed of communication we currently enjoy. Big Telecommunication companies are already making excessive profits (excessive, in that they are not comparably rewarding their employees...just the top echelon) from the public’s use of cell phones, internet connections, etc. To allow them to add another layer of unearned profit by giving them the ability to prioritize content access is not in the best interest of individuals and small businesses.

I have three small businesses that require lot of interaction with my current and potential customers, and the equality of access on the Internet is one way to keep the playing field "level" for small business owners like me. I am already paying my telephone company approx. $600 a month for access to communication tools like phones and internet....one of my largest recurring costs.

Please assert your authority under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal argument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites.

Thank you for considering my comments; please act to keep the Internet a place for equal access.

Audre Gutierrez  
87506

====================================================================================================================

From: Chad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:15  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chad
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 77535
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:15
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gary Lamar
643 Autumn Leaf Cir
Mcdonough, GA 30253

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:15
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories
have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
James S Gracia
100 Country Way
Taunton, MA 02780

From: Keri
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Keri
ADDRESS: 398 big creek lane
POST CODE: 30741
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f09dfbdb5000cb0c7ee

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:16
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f16003331000bc7c99c

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Melissa Brown
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Melissa Brown
ADDRESS: 901 Calle Campana Ct, Roseville, Ca
POST CODE: 95747
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f14a26879000bdcb50b
---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/qGIg-yAnSTKsJLcGdULiQw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Samantha Simone
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Samantha Simone
ADDRESS: 581 Patten Ave Apt 61
POST CODE: 07740
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=========================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:16
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tyisha Greenwood
15 Roberts Aly
Norristown, PA 19401

From: Bruno H. Almeida Dall’Acqua
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bruno H. Almeida Dall’Acqua
ADDRESS: São Paulo, Brazil
POST CODE: 11709170
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: carol dudek
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: carol dudek
ADDRESS: 614 E Wilson
POST CODE: 89101
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f16f35671000d553c46

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/j4PMMQF9St65pIZZ7JopfQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/j4PMMQF9St65pIZZ7JopfQ/uh1> .

From: Dare Cowden
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dare Cowden
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f1dfbecab000b57461d
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From: Nikla  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Nikla  
ADDRESS: Bindestra	ext{"e}  
POST CODE: 47447  
COUNTRY: DE  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Anthony Gonzales
115 Cresthill Cswy
Kyle, TX 78640
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nancy Fielder
775 S Main St
Chelsea, MI 48118

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:17
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ron Abbott
4440 Tuttle Creek Blvd Lot 256
Manhattan, KS 66502
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f2eb0a7e4000dc63e9c
---
NAME: Geoff
ADDRESS: 1236 Hausman Lane
POST CODE: 62012
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f2af1110a000e42f1ac
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ\200\224and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jessica Gilligan
1006 Revere Ct
Naperville, IL 60540
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robbin Dean
5016 N Irrigon St
Newman Lake, WA 99025
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f2562b49c000bd61fcf
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:19
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Rita Handley
143 Racetrack Dr
Cape May, NJ 08204

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:19
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
B Jay Vineyard
13 Rogers Wood
San Antonio, TX 78248
From: Olle Wahlsytöm
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Olle Wahlsytöm
ADDRESS: Stockholm
POST CODE: 16741
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f3e99efdf000c345a41
---
From: Alexander  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Alexander  
ADDRESS: 3451 Peregrine Drive, Eagle Mountain, UT  
POST CODE: 84005  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f3df35671000bbeb854

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:20
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years—unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mike Veys
2482 W Kessler Pl
Tucson, AZ 85705
From: john johlic
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:20
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:
The Internet must remain free and neutral even if we get a few nut cases. Divergence of opinion is the result of thinking something that FOX and their ilk can't tolerate. If you want to clean up media establish that no foreign person or nation can have any interest in USA media be ir print, broadcast, advertising, or cyber space. Leave the internet free or be labeled as one who wants to abolish free speech.

john johlic
92648

From: Brian Reed
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian Reed
ADDRESS: 3929 El Moreno St.
POST CODE: 91214
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f63f35671000eb3caa8
---
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From: Luis Hartmann
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luis Hartmann
ADDRESS: VogelweiderstraÃ­e 26
POST CODE: 6300
COUNTRY: AT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=====================================================================
From: Yvonne Gahley
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f60dfdb5000cb0c817

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/4s5NdSgVQj2ShdwXjogLLQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
From: Anna  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Anna  
ADDRESS: 2154 Heswall Court  
POST CODE: L5H 3M9  
COUNTRY: CA  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Detlef Wiethüchter
ADDRESS: Amsterdamer Str. 10
POST CODE: D-13347 Berlin
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f7e62b49c000e6c5f4c
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/Cl-6En1TSKeDVkIE9aoe-g/uhl> .

========================================================================
From: Harsh
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Harsh
ADDRESS: prefer not to say
POST CODE: prefer not to say
COUNTRY: IN
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers. To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f7fb7cb89000d44ca8c

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/1KhlqV8bTvqScyteoe7WBA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/1KhlqV8bTvqScyteoe7WBA/uh1> .

====================================================================================================

From: Manon Massué
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Manon Massuë

ADDRESS: 66 Mc Cullum Road
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f831e0849000b596b79

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/TqrNJi2Drr6ynJPNiTI02A/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/TqrNJi2Drr6ynJPNiTI02A/uh1>.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nikolajs Gaikis
ADDRESS: 375 S Kenilworth ave Glen Ellyn IL
POST CODE: 60137
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f7ddac595000d1eb2ec
From: Rachael  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Rachael  
ADDRESS: 1848 n nordica  
POST CODE: 60707  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f841e0849000b596b7a

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/AHXKH3TgRl0PVAMksEvUIg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/AHXKH3TgRl0PVAMksEvUIg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Sunniva Løviknes
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sunniva Løviknes
ADDRESS: Haugland
POST CODE: 6770
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f8815ab86000d45089f
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/dCsnlKkAR-uHOPfMhKvXQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/dCsnlKkAR-uHOPfMhKvXQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Adam
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam
ADDRESS: 3110 E Chattaroy Road
POST CODE: 99003
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f8c1e0849000d05c69f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/xbWSSzsiSfy8ig45s8ajZQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/xbWSSzsiSfy8ig45s8ajZQ/uh1>.
From: Bruce Wolcott  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Bruce Wolcott  
ADDRESS: 7744 43rd Ave NE  
POST CODE: 98115  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f84f1110a000e42f1c5

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sven Oostenbrink
ADDRESS: México
POST CODE: 76000
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f9b15ab86000cb1b741
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/11VI5S3TQmyxofwAbeHq0w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
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=================================================================================================================================

From: ada
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ada
ADDRESS: 10518 sedgebrook dr.
POST CODE: 33569
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f70a26879000decbba0
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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---

From: Courtney twist
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Courtney twist

ADDRESS: 51 Devon street

POST CODE: Wa10 4hu

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f90dfbdb5000d11ee75
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===============================================================================

From: Jarrod Meador
NAME: Jarrod Meador
ADDRESS: 2184 Blue Ridge Springs Road
POST CODE: 24064
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jean-Sébastien Garceau
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jean-Sébastien Garceau
ADDRESS: 184 rue Saint-Vallier Ouest
POST CODE: G1K1K1
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54107f778b7a18000c574d15
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=======================================================================================

From: Kevin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 31304
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections. The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: Chiara Poullain  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chiara Poullain  
ADDRESS: 06 rue Adolphe BeaufrÃ¨re, Lorient, Bretagne, France  
POST CODE: 56100  
COUNTRY: FR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: christopher gable
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: christopher gable
ADDRESS: 329 roup ave pgh pa 01
POST CODE: 15232
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nathan
ADDRESS: 14950 Kelly Rd, Camptonville, CA
POST CODE: 95922
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=====================================================================

From: Beto
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Beto
ADDRESS: 517 E harvard blvd
POST CODE: 93060
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: christina parmenter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:27
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job containing wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

christina parmenter
416 2nd Ave
South Sioux City, Nebraska 68776

=======================================================================================

From: Josh Hawkins
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Josh Hawkins
ADDRESS: 601 Westwood Court
POST CODE: 26554
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Nataly Castaño
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nataly Castaño
ADDRESS: 157 Ferry St. 2nd Floor
POST CODE: 01841
COUNTRY: CO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107fb8f35671000bbeb869

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/MDC9zFAIRgW4Xt9j940jWg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/MDC9zFAIRgW4Xt9j940jWg/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: popups suck
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: popups suck
ADDRESS: 345 Main St.
POST CODE: 48077
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107fa8f1110a000e42f1c9

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/jrsZe6obS76K41oV5z2Vrg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/jrsZe6obS76K41oV5z2Vrg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Rob Werkheiser
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rob Werkheiser
ADDRESS: 808 N 15th St
POST CODE: 19130
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107fae15ab86000b62d2b4

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Demira Toomer  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better. The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Demira Toomer  
1269 Forest Rd  
New Haven, Connecticut 06515

=======================================================================================

From: Lea  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lea  
ADDRESS: 06 rue château du loir  
POST CODE: 92400  
COUNTRY: FR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107fb5fbeb0000dab213c

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/c9YAFWV7SResumq02NQxOQ/o.gif>
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<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/c9YAFWV7SResumq02NQxOQ/uh1>.
From: tom McGowan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

tom McGowan  
337 Mountain Laurel Ln  
Torrington, Connecticut 06790

=======================================================================================

From: victoria moreta  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:28  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.
Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

victoria moreta
3512 Union Deposit Rd
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17109

---

From: Becky
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Becky
ADDRESS: 3508 Appalachian Ct.
POST CODE: 75075
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107fbda26879000ca29743
---
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=======================================================================================
From: Deandre Douglas
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:29
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Deandre Douglas
NAME: jeanne Koopman
ADDRESS: 6842 Apache Trail
POST CODE: westland mi. 48185
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Jennifer Taylor
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:29
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Taylor
359 Hill Ave
Elmont, New York 11003
From: Thomas Anderson  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Thomas Anderson  
ADDRESS: 80215  
POST CODE: 80215  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---  

REF: 54107f54003331000d009937  
---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: tony dahlgren
ADDRESS: cirkelvägen 11
POST CODE: 12244
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws. 

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107fc699efdf000c345a66

---
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---

From: William trussell  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: William trussell
ADDRESS: 1922 9th ave.
POST CODE: 83501
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107f29444842000d5ba145

---
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=======================================================================================

From: amy sweeney
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:30
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in
itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same
scare stories have been told for ten years â€” unless you regulate, big bad
phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will,
because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create
mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Donâ€™t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ€™s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

amy sweeney
4 Chain Cir
New Ringgold, Pennsylvania 17960

=======================================================================================

From: Denise Swilling
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:30
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ€™s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know
that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the
Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would
justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such
extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or
false pretenses, then the peopleâ€™s representatives in Congress must cut off
its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act
responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The
FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in
its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on
the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting
to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of
Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ€™s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Denise Swilling
14 Ridgeview Acres Ct
Candler, North Carolina 28715
From: eden  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: eden  
ADDRESS: 8624 tunney ave  
POST CODE: 91324  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---  

REF: 54107fe3fbecab000e3ead32  
---
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107fd2fbecab000dab2140

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/68iwm88LTGWPXtOtzoUgv6w/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Kaitlynn
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kaitlynn
ADDRESS: 2583 Graystone Dr
POST CODE: 97071
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107fcfb7cb89000d44caa4
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/jssOS6gORqWrcMpiTAWCPg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/jssOS6gORqWrcMpiTAWCPg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Larry
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Larry
ADDRESS: 2323 S. Galapago St.
POST CODE: 80223
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107fc0dfb500e45ff63
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwN0A/gdCMMVeeR1yoBOxoSD2Sfw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwN0A/gdCMMVeeR1yoBOxoSD2Sfw/uh1>.

==================================================================================================
From: Paul heidecker  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:30  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along - no government intervention.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

Paul heidecker  
3906 Market St  
Hannibal, Missouri 63401  


From: Bjørn Kastnes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Bjørn Kastnes  
ADDRESS: Trondheim, Norway  
POST CODE: 7020  
COUNTRY: SE  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ff0dac595000b708918
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/sjSa_vs1Q0iGc6a2GD5GKw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/sjSa_vs1Q0iGc6a2GD5GKw/uh1> .
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107feb8b7a18000e7c4bc3

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/YZZaqzziQqyGWuRWS7pD3g/o.gif>
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From: Dylan Fulbright  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dylan Fulbright  
ADDRESS: 2223 37th St  
POST CODE: 77550  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107feff1110a000d0e723e
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/xNPi2-2URwg0Y5fSWVZ_9Q/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Evan Smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---

NAME: Evan Smith  
ADDRESS: 1150 Galapago St. Apt 411  
POST CODE: 80204  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107fe6b7cb89000cb2afd4

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/GWVVcxkMTryYqTUr84wWrw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Jerry Paredes  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:31  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people\201 representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\201\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jerry Paredes
64 Jonquil Ln
Toms River, New Jersey 08753

From: lonnie trivette
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:31
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\2024and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn\201t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along â\2023 no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\2024just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\201s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

lonnie trivette
118 Southwoods Dr
North Wilkesboro, North Carolina 28659

From: Josh
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Josh
ADDRESS: 1442 A Walnut St #444
POST CODE: 94709
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108008b0a7e4000c1655d4

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook [https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr]
* Follow us on Twitter [https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr]
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. [http://donate.fightforthefuture.org]

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here [http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/0_-_048-rTxm_ePcYVTjW_g/uh1].
From: Andrew  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew  
ADDRESS: 5841 Slate Hill Place  
POST CODE: 21704  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ff68b7a18000ddd5adc

---
From: Andy Huber  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andy Huber  
ADDRESS: 1367 east horseshoe bend  
POST CODE: 48306  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107fcea26879000e0b142e

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Arvel Beecher
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:33
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Arvel Beecher
208 Lakewood
Brighton, Illinois 62012

=======================================================================================

From: Christophe Pouliot
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

NAME: Christophe Pouliot
ADDRESS: 451 Admirals Rd Victoria BC Canada
POST CODE: V9a2n2
COUNTRY: CA

REF: 5410800c444842000ba90eb5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Michelle Walser  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michelle Walser  
ADDRESS: 104 N Laura Anne Drive  
POST CODE: 20164  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54107ff915ab860000d4508c4

---
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From: Brian  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian  
ADDRESS: 3902 Harwood Ave  
POST CODE: 35801  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108013c60b21000e1182b7

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Bruce Rolff
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:34
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners\

As a citizen of the USA I am appalled by what I see currently going on with the internet and the FCC. From the beginning the internet has been a place where new ideas and businesses could flourish. Please don’t take that away from the American people and the world. It is important to have a free and open internet. Prioritizing, blocking or slowing the internet is not acceptable. Don’t hinder small businesses by allowing Prioritizing, blocking or slowing by providers.

Bruce Rolff
Bruce Rolff
07012
From: mary  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: mary  
ADDRESS: 9041 hyde park drive  
POST CODE: 92646/ huntington beach  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108011c60b21000b542a69
From: Matej Dolenšek  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matej Dolenšek  
ADDRESS: Blejska Dobrava  
POST CODE: 4273  
COUNTRY: SI  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108010c60b21000b542a68
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Michael S. RitZenhoff
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael S. RitZenhoff
ADDRESS: Berlin
POST CODE: 10405
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410800fb0a7e4000e4698aa
---
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=======================================================================================
From: Alva Griffith
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:36
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please do NOT allow Corporate America to buy out the Internet. I don’t understand why ANYone could be so greedy and want to control the rest of the world the way Corporations seem to want to. They want to be treated like PEOPLE, but they definitely are NOT people... People FEEL and people CARE about something other than money, control and power. The Internet was never intended to be anything other than "open" for use by EVERYone. Please don’t change that.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jordi Ensign
ADDRESS: 2798 Babbitt Ave
POST CODE: 32833
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Sam Ligon
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam Ligon
ADDRESS: 3140 Dyer St. #752275
POST CODE: 75275
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108015dac595000d1eb319

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/q3Sb8nfHRv639b41D_YoDw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/q3Sb8nfHRv639b41D_YoDw/uhl>.

=================================

From: sondre moum
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sondre moum
ADDRESS: daleveien 952
POST CODE: 4519
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108015b7cb89000cb2afe0

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/i3inpRSeRvWMDVCMwpCFy-A/uh1>.
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From: Nick
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick
ADDRESS: 2615 n. spaulding
POST CODE: 60647
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 541080161e0849000d05c6ad
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Walter Johnston
ADDRESS: 3140 montevideo dr.
POST CODE: 94583
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Choking
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Choking
ADDRESS: No
POST CODE: 28544
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Eduardo
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eduardo
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 2865-510
COUNTRY: PT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410802415ab86000d4508d2

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108021c60b21000b542a6b
---
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Comment: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Steven
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steven
ADDRESS: 10934 Monan st
POST CODE: 94605
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Chris
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris
ADDRESS: 300 Boston Post Road
POST CODE: 06516
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108039b7cb89000d44cad0
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080381e0849000d05c6b3
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=================================================================================================
Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dejan Dragicevic
ADDRESS: Delsjögatan 04
POST CODE: 21765
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410802f15ab86000e5ea87e

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410802a99efdf000e0824ff
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From: Greg Meyer
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Greg Meyer
ADDRESS: 6356 s keystone Ave
POST CODE: 46227
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410802815ab86000d4508d3
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================================
From: jason short
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jason short
ADDRESS: 611 decatur
POST CODE: 11233
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108016444842000ec58c8b
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Salam Fatohi
ADDRESS: 2346 Golfview Dr Troy MI
POST CODE: 48084
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410801599efdf000d8058d8
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Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
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=======================================================================================

From: Bernhard Stanchina
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bernhard Stanchina
ADDRESS: Pariser Straße 55
POST CODE: Berlin
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410804e62b49c000e6c5f78
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From: Court king
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Court king
ADDRESS: Burbank
POST CODE: 91504
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410803f1e0849000ec72058
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===============================================================================

From: Emil Holm Toft
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410803fdfb5000e45ff7b
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From: Meghan Saeli  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Meghan Saeli  
ADDRESS: 56 Continental Rd  
POST CODE: 10990  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Bill Millard
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Bill Millard
ADDRESS: 1061 Amber Ridge Rd
POST CODE: Charlottesville, va 22901
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/6G2JK1LTREqlx0WAELcGUQ/uh1>.

---

From: Nickolas
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nickolas
ADDRESS: 1701 Peltier Road
POST CODE: 37912
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410804f003331000ed4dd54

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=====================================================================

From: Wylie Summers
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---
NAME: Wylie Summers  
ADDRESS: 208 rhea crescent. Rochester ny  
POST CODE: 14615  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108053444842000ba90ec5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Brady
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brady
ADDRESS: 1243 ramona ave
POST CODE: 93433
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---

REF: 5410801599efdf000e0824fa
---
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================================================================================================

From: Helene Brazier
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:43
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As a private citizen I am alarmed at the prospect of my access to the internet being slowed, blocked, or meted out in a way that would give me less choice in how and where I seek online information. I shouldn’t have to search through what someone else thinks is more important in order to find what I’m seeking.

As a director of small local water district, I use my internet access for communication with colleagues, constituents, and staff, and for seeking information pertinent to my responsibilities and those of the district I serve. Please do not make my work more difficult, either personally or professionally. Thank you

Helene Brazier
92003

================================================================================================

From: John Metsaars
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Metsaars
ADDRESS: 1233 woodland Ave Nw
POST CODE: 4904
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410805199efdf000bbabdd5

---
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==================================================================
From: Richelle Chen  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthienenet.com.

---

NAME: Richelle Chen  
ADDRESS: 23901 Civic Center Way 353  
POST CODE: 90265, Malibu, CA  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410805df1110a000b4b52e1

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Tim Mannello  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:44  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Pass this and then go into negative ratings from people regardless of party.

Tim Mannello  
17701

From: Pawel Chojnacki  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pawel Chojnacki  
ADDRESS: Zakatek 11  
POST CODE: 87-100  
COUNTRY: ES  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108063f1110a000cc4f38d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/k5jzuX1gTRmGzhbBMDmQIA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/k5jzuX1gTRmGzhbBMDmQIA/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Logan Haefner
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Logan Haefner
ADDRESS: 87 Benzing Rd
POST CODE: 37013
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410805fb7cb89000cb2afeb
---
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NAME: Mikkel Boye Danielsen
ADDRESS: Nørrebrogade 06
POST CODE: 8000
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108072b0a7e4000e4698bf

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
NAME: Kelly Lepine
ADDRESS: 2835 Empire Oaks Ln
POST CODE: 77494
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410807ac60b21000c7f1696
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=======================================================================================

From: Lucinda Abra
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:47
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Lucinda Abra
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=======================================================================================

From: Wouter Havinga
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Wouter Havinga
ADDRESS: Nieuwe Ebbingestraat 49A
POST CODE: 9712 NE Groningen, Netherlands
COUNTRY: NL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108082c60b21000c7f1697

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/rS4FUbt8TzGsmrGi5PiHAQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/rS4FUbt8TzGsmrGi5PiHAQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Sohum
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sohum

ADDRESS: N. 85th Street

POST CODE: 85260

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410808bc6b21000e1182cd

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheft>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheft>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Audrey Malek
ADDRESS: Lenape Hall 310
POST CODE: 12561
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080a0c60b21000df16911

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/9Qtk_G3MQKyoTTZo9v8Hhg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/9Qtk_G3MQKyoTTZo9v8Hhg/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Ian
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ian
ADDRESS: 1823 N Cleveland Ave
POST CODE: 60614
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080798b7a18000ddd5af2

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/NieJJ13DRyW7qC5aGpGwrw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/NieJJ13DRyW7qC5aGpGwrw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: JESSE
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: JESSE

ADDRESS: 1403 S. ROCKFORD AVE

POST CODE: 74120

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410808215ab86000d4508f6

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftfr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftfr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/sW429A55R6ihnCjr7N1-UA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Jonathan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: Jonathan  
ADDRESS: 304 Red Bird Cir  
POST CODE: 31005  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410806dc60b21000e1182ca

---

Want more awesome more often?  
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>  
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>  
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: R. Paul Skeehan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: R. Paul Skeehan  
ADDRESS: 4133 Grove Avenue  
POST CODE: 60512-2135  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108085f35671000c1818d6

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/gh0foVoRtygfNneLhGM3g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/gh0foVoRtygfNneLhGM3g/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Thomas
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas
ADDRESS: 10 quince Tree Place
POST CODE: The Woodlands Texas 77385
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108098dfdbb5000cb0c875

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/Z1fvUa8MSwaU3L66tbv54A/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Viktorija Gajic
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Viktorija Gajic
ADDRESS: Elsternstrasse 16
POST CODE: 42281
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108074f1110a000b4b52e5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/o5HyVcwIQwub8nocq2kR2w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/o5HyVcwIQwub8nocq2kR2w/uh1>.

====================================================================

From: Wylie
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Wylie
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 92833
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108083dac595000b70892e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/RBl0cy43TaGKUbC08m6cQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
From: Andrew clem
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew clem
ADDRESS: 3513 Hewlett drive
POST CODE: 37211
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Brian Burke  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian Burke
ADDRESS: 04 Primrose Lane
POST CODE: 19380
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080a4dfbdb5000cb0c879
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/2iPPoCZZSy2ua4W2ELyGvg/uh1>.

---

From: Jacob Nørgaard Høegh
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacob Nørgaard Høegh
ADDRESS: Stendalsvej 11, 03. MF
POST CODE: 8210
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080b68b7a18000e7c4c05
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Juleigh Snell
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:50
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please keep Net Neutrality. It is the freedom of the internet that makes it great and we will lose so much if we allow corporate greed to take control. I am an activist and without Net Neutrality more than the internet will be lost. The freedom to get independent news and information to each other will be hindered. This is not
acceptable. We will stand up for our freedom from corporate greed and privilege.

Thank You,
Juleigh Snell

Juleigh Snell
62002

From: Taylor Gardner
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Taylor Gardner
ADDRESS: 12213 Briar drive
POST CODE: 66209
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080a4003331000d009969

---
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=======================================================================================

From: earl
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: earl
ADDRESS: 6617 Southern Ridge Dr
POST CODE: 46237
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080b7b0a?e4000e4698d7

---
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From: jerome hanout
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jerome hanout
ADDRESS: avenue de la république
POST CODE: 49800 trelaze
COUNTRY: FR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080ad1e0849000cdb3275

---
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From: Merkli Karl
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Merkli Karl  
ADDRESS: langgräütstrasse 147  
POST CODE: 8047 Zuerich  
COUNTRY: CH  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080a7f35671000eb3cb14

---

Want more awesome more often?
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Robin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robin
ADDRESS: 2445 w silver lake dr
POST CODE: 90039
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080cf1e0849000ec7206e

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Sarah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah
ADDRESS: 4582 MANHATTAN COLLEGE PKWY APT 3D
POST CODE: 104714055
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080bbf110a000b4b52f3

---
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From: Nicole Chamberlain
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicole Chamberlain
ADDRESS: 51 North Main St Apt. B
POST CODE: 04841
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080ed1e0849000ec72078
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From: Oscar
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Oscar
ADDRESS: 1818 Chamberlain
POST CODE: 75007
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Ryad  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthemenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryad  
ADDRESS: 23 avenue de l’Opéra  
POST CODE: 75002 Paris  
COUNTRY: JP  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Cory
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: Cory
ADDRESS: 376 W New England Drive, Eltkon, Florida
POST CODE: 32033
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
The certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: David Craig
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:54
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Please stop these internet providers from taking advantage of most users of their services. Bottle necking our internet speeds is the way to hold Americans hostage to their every whim and we loose.

David Craig

17057

From: Henrietta Privott
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:54
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Henrietta Privott
21 Buxmont Ln
Willingboro, New Jersey 08046

====================================

From: loretta kitchen
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:54
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

loretta kitchen
235 Creekside Ln
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

From: Paul Moore  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government\’s help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Paul Moore  
330 Palmetto Dr Apt 02  
Cape Coral, Florida 33904

From: Rachel Pettiford  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know
that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rachel Pettiford
500 Cody St
Roxboro, North Carolina 27573
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Wayne Breazeale
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:54
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: “What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is
not private property, but a public utility... At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Wayne Breazeale
2115 Copeland Pl
Valdosta, Georgia 31601

=======================================================================================

From: Brenda Noah
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brenda Noah
ADDRESS: 15640 NW 14 Court
POST CODE: Pembroke Pines, FL 33028
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Craig
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Craig
ADDRESS: 152 seaside ave
POST CODE: Milford, ct 06460
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================
From: Don Wickstrom
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:55
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ\200\223â\200\223â\200\223â\200\223

Dear Chairman Wheeler  You already know all the reasons why we need to keep our
internet free from special interests. Our internet represents nothing less than a
part of our democratic process, our democratic values and our democratic freedoms. Keep the net neutral.

Don Wickstrom

85541

From: Kelly Feil
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelly Feil
ADDRESS: 1720 Spruce Street, #01, Philadelphia, PA
POST CODE: 19103
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: khalilah porter
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:55
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people's representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

khalilah porter
31247 Carter St
Franklin, Virginia 23851
From: Oscar Wynter  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Oscar Wynter  
10842 Hidden Lake Pl  
Boca Raton, Florida 33498

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:56  
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Claudia Klug8  
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Address:  
Zip: 34145

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling
today's bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can't have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can't cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC's powers over the Internet. Remember, you won't be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don't intend.

Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard's digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren't adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC's authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Eloy Alvarado
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:56
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
From: Jameelah Shahsafaei  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jameelah Shahsafaei  
51 Pleasant St  
Malden, Massachusetts 02148

From: Madelyn Ojeda  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the
Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Madelyn Ojeda
3263 Hickerson Dr
San Jose, California 95127

From: Preston Jordan
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:56
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Preston Jordan
11398 Rancho La Brea Dr
Riverside, California 92505
From: Ashleigh Volpe
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ashleigh Volpe
ADDRESS: 464 South New Middletown Road Media, PA
POST CODE: 19063
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108103dfbd5000b871f65
---
**From:** Martin Jackson Jr  
**Date:** 09/15/2014 14:59:57  
**Subject:** Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

**NAME:** Martin Jackson Jr  
**ADDRESS:** 116 Ellesmere Lane  
**POST CODE:** 17055  
**COUNTRY:** US  
**COMMENT:** Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080f6dfdbb5000cb0c8a0

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/pAmbSddPQUywQ8rRvF-1g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/pAmbSddPQUywQ8rRvF-1g/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Penny Nagle  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—and not for the better.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
From: Shawn Gompa  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shawn Gompa  
ADDRESS: 107 Merlot Cove  
POST CODE: Clinton, MS 39056  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080f58b7a18000c574d58

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheft>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheft>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/BckwKtoSQUE1bZFSLiAzhA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/BckwKtoSQUE1bZFSLiAzhA/uhi>.

=======================================================================================
From: Shervella Turner
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:57
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know
that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying
for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no
longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job
à\200\223 providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline
telephone service à\200\223 it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone
regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet
will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation à\200\224 just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC à\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Shervella Turner
PO Box 1096
Robbins, Illinois 60472

=======================================================================================
From: Ty  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Ty  
ADDRESS: 1420 Olive Rd  
POST CODE: 60430  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080f199efdf000c345ab3

---
From: Yvette Widmar  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Yvette Widmar  
ADDRESS: 11614 Cambridge Dr Chesterland, OH 44026  
POST CODE: 44026  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080f462b49c000e6c5f95

---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/n0LJaaVUR4CV9I2jmBs71w/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Don NN Bernstein  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:58  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

A free, open, and regulated internet is imperative. Do not let a few carriers like Verizon, Comcast and ATT control the internet bandwidth and speed of info passing thru them.

Don NN Bernstein

91607

=======================================================================================

From: Jacob Hanrahan  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:58  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

=======================================================================================
Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jacob Hanrahan
122 Miles St
Ypsilanti, Michigan 48198

From: Osman
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Osman

ADDRESS: One way

POST CODE: 12321

COUNTRY: RS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080f715ab86000d450915

---

Want more awesome more often?
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---

From: Phil Grantham
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:58
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Phil Grantham
5204 Glen Springs Trl
Fort Worth, Texas 76137

=====================================================================

From: Rise Adams
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:58
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA's Draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rise Adams
878 W 2025 S
Woods Cross, Utah 84087

=====================================================================

From: VÃ- tor Gomes
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

VÃ-tor Gomes
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: VÃ-tor Gomes
ADDRESS: Rua Mario Taveira
POST CODE: 22725260
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541080f5dac595000d1eb359

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: kayla valentine  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

kayla valentine  
5519 Main St  
Kingsley, Pennsylvania 18826

From: Mark Pernicano  
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.
If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mark Pernicano
215 Greenfield Ave
Winchester, Virginia 22602

=======================================================================================

From: SUSIE WALKEPP
Date: 09/15/2014 14:59:59
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

SUSIE WALKERPP
PO Box 17
Flat Lick, Kentucky 40935

=======================================================================================

From: Pamela Prim
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:00
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Pamela Prim
3085 Cabachon Ave
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

=======================================================================================

From: Thomas Andrea
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:00
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Thomas Andrea
725 Desert Star Dr
Horizon City, Texas 79928

From: Darlene Babineau
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:01
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Darlene Babineau
179 Jewell Hill Rd
Ashburnham, Massachusetts 01430
From: jerlene Mcwright
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:01
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

jerlene Mcwright
2219 Aspen Dr
Dallas, Texas 75227

From: Pamela Wells
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:01
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the
FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Pamela Wells
1136 E Goldminers Cir
Pearce, Arizona 85625

From: Sarah
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah
ADDRESS: 23408 66th Ave W
POST CODE: 98043
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108104b7cb89000d44caff
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/AlHjF0rtQ9uXw5tRrPi-9w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/AlHjF0rtQ9uXw5tRrPi-9w/uh1>.

=======================================================================================
From: Timothy Marrah
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Timothy Marrah
ADDRESS: 6486 Kingfisher Ct
POST CODE: 55014
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410810af35671000d553cc8
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/OGCQ4bjWTyuT8smkSv3yJA/uh1>.

---

From: virginia cooper
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:02
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better.
The courts have twice struck down the FCC's attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

virginia cooper
26970 Lafave Rd
Watertown, New York 13601

================================================================================================

From: chris deyoung
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: chris deyoung
ADDRESS: 1079 hampden rd muskegon mi
POST CODE: 49441
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410810cb0a7e4000c165601

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/pP29yVZBRyWu-R0PS1rfsg/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Kimberley Wright
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:03
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn\200\224t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kimberley Wright
5337 Medford Dr N
Mobile, Alabama 36693

From: Sam Hogan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam Hogan
ADDRESS: 03 Birkdale Dr
POST CODE: 29681
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410810c15ab86000b62d2da

---
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===============================================================================

From: William Rule
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:03
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

William Rule
2170 48th St SW
Naples, Florida 34116

===============================================================================

From: William Rule
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:03
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

William Rule
2170 48th St SW
Naples, Florida 34116

===============================================================================

From: William Rule
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:03
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

William Rule
2170 48th St SW
Naples, Florida 34116

===============================================================================

From: William Rule
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:03
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

William Rule
2170 48th St SW
Naples, Florida 34116

===============================================================================

From: William Rule
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:03
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

William Rule
2170 48th St SW
Naples, Florida 34116
From: alyssa anderson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:04  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler, 

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  

alyssa anderson  
701 W Empire St Apt 203A  
Ishpeming, Michigan 49849  

From: Kabeer Roowala  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  

NAME: Kabeer Roowala  
ADDRESS: 3351 Floyd Terrace Los Angeles, CA  
POST CODE: 90068  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers. To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108111a26879000bdcb554

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=====================================================================

From: Randy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Randy

ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 80924
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410811099efdf000c345abc

---
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From: Claudia Madrigal
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:05
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn\201\231t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\201\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\201\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Claudia Madrigal
1859 Lime Ave
Sanger, California 93657

From: Corbin Morris
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Corbin Morris
ADDRESS: 17661 Brooklyn ave
POST CODE: 92887
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410811062b49c000d16487c
---
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From: Leah Faye
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---
NAME: Leah Faye
ADDRESS: 217 Crimson Drive Winterville NC
POST CODE: 28590
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108121dac595000ea7082d
---
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From: Loretta Thompson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:05  
Subject: Protect Net Neutrality

I was deeply disappointed to hear that the Federal Communications Commission is planning on implementing rule changes that would allow Internet service providers to pay for special, faster lanes to deliver their content. In an environment where large corporations can pay for faster service, start-ups and every day Internet users won’t be able to compete and we will lose the open, free-flowing exchange of information that has made the Internet so dynamic and integral to our lives.

The FCC can ensure it has the tools to act by reclassifying broadband as a telecommunications service. I urge you to reject the new rules and to take this vital and common sense step toward protecting net neutrality.

Loretta Thompson  
Tustin, CA 92780

From: Maria Solis  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Maria Solis  
2202 Clower  
San Antonio, Texas 78201
From: Olga Serrano  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:05  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Olga Serrano  
905 Woodcrest Dr  
Dover, Delaware 19904

From: Dianna Jackson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:06  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.
Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dianna Jackson
6683 Martin Luther King Hwy
Spring Grove, Virginia 23881

From: Donna Nocho
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:06
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Donna Nocho
PO Box 894308
Mililani, Hawaii 96789

From: Jason Johnson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108115b0a7e4000dc63f18

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

karen irish
PO Box 745
Tuolumne, California 95379
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================
From: Jeanne Marie Reed
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:07
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler: I have signed countless petitions to assure an open internet for all. I am very concerned that this right will be taken from me and in turn will probably jeopardize my job as well. You see, being a graphics designer in a medical school, I rely heavily on some of these smaller internet sites that I can access for much needed medical information on formatting and graphics upload to complete my projects. The internet as I know it now will cease to exist if "Big Telecom" business gets its way and dwarfs all of the thousands of beneficial sites that so many use day to day both in business and leisure. It seems like when there is a small enterprise that is functioning well, big business wants to move in and usurp power. This is not the America I grew up in nor is it the America I want to see in my future. The small Internet businesses have value and they deserve to have a level playing field. Net neutrality will safeguard their global place. Protecting our Internet should not be left to any one person or corporation; rather, this is an issue that should be left to the people to decide and I can tell you right now that net neutrality is overwhelmingly on the side of the American people. In closing, I would like to urge you and your colleagues to support us in our quest for an open Internet without intrusion of Big Telecom.

Jeanne Marie Reed
71105

=====================================================================

From: Nathan Flickinger
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nathan Flickinger
ADDRESS: 1190 Clermont St
POST CODE: 80220
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: sylvia castillo
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:07
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

sylvia castillo
5511 Monterey Rd
Los Angeles, California 90042

=======================================================================================

From: caroline
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: caroline
ADDRESS: 909 Meadow Drive
POST CODE: 35816
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Olof Nilsson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Olof Nilsson
ADDRESS: Björngårdsgatn 08 Stockholm
POST CODE: 118 52
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Rosemarie Davis
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:08
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rosemarie Davis
9937 GA Highway 42 S
Fort Valley, Georgia 31030

From: Shunna Sullivan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:08
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Shunna Sullivan
30354

From: Zac Farkas
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zac Farkas
ADDRESS: 197 dome street
POST CODE: 15131
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Amanda Saukko
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amanda Saukko
ADDRESS: Lagrådsgatan 14 A
POST CODE: 63235
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Christian Hartmann
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:10
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners
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From: Danielle Murphy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Danielle Murphy
ADDRESS: 703 Bennett Cr
POST CODE: 38611
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Kal Guntuku
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:10
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Sincerely,

Kal Guntuku
1344 Maywood Ct
Plano, Texas 75023

=======================================================================================

From: Leeah
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Leeah
ADDRESS: 8530 Morning Skye Way
POST CODE: 95843
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Torben Andersen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Torben Andersen
ADDRESS: Gudenåvej 12
POST CODE: 7160
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108155444842000ba90eeb
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=======================================================================================
From: Javier Sinchi
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:11
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Javier Sinchi
2406 Cross Point Cir Apt 28
Matthews, North Carolina 28105

---

From: Marcos Coronado
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marcos Coronado
ADDRESS: 148 W Keats Ave
POST CODE: 93704
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410813e15ab86000e5ea8b6
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/8RdCX6VBQgFMTe3rouANw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/8RdCX6VBQgFMTe3rouANw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: valentin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: valentin
ADDRESS: 1173 boulevard celoron
POST CODE: j7c4z1
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108154f1110a000e42f241
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/91pxGIS_RCCV05y2oRBSow/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/91pxGIS_RCCV05y2oRBSow/uh1>.


From: Benjamin Peckham
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Benjamin Peckham
ADDRESS: 1402 Delmar St
POST CODE: 32205
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 5410813ef35671000eb3cb47

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefutr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefutr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/YIaShx-7Ske7z0APdxQuPA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/YIaShx-7Ske7z0APdxQuPA/uh1>.

============================================================================

From: Brittany
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brittany
ADDRESS: 1044 Camino La Costa apt 1030
POST CODE: 78752
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410815299efdf000c345ad2

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: getoff
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: getoff
ADDRESS: now
POST CODE: 000000
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410814b8b7a18000b93cef2

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/sBvNmwOxTge1mshAwPMePQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Michael Kretzdorn
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Kretzdorn
ADDRESS: 605 cedar Lane Teaneck
POST CODE: 07666
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081588b7a18000e7c4c41

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/xXWxZUbqS-0j5i7CA5Cpqw/uh1> .

============================================================================

From: MÃ³nica
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: MÃ³nica
ADDRESS: 4235 Brookside Avenue
POST CODE: 45220
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410816bdac595000cde6193

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/it2BXUSOQayVOJm_r0Tcmw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/it2BXUSOQayVOJm_r0Tcmw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Rodrigo Mafra da Silva
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: Rodrigo Mafra da Silva
ADDRESS: R. Abílio Corrêa de Melo â€“ Cordeiros Itajaí- SC
POST CODE: 89239-800
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54108145003331000ed4dd9d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: vera
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: vera
ADDRESS: 2345 Kennedy ave union city ca
POST CODE: 94587
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410815fb7cb89000b3ce880

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/HxzUD58tTLK352VYnw2HfQ/uh1>.

---

From: Adrian Marcelo Morales
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adrian Marcelo Morales
ADDRESS: Misión de santo tomas #34
POST CODE: 66230
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410816b003331000d009985

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/Yl3n3h7kS4mM0-4KgoUOpA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/Yl3n3h7kS4mM0-4KgoUOpA/uh1>.

From: Cissela Jonsson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cissela Jonsson
ADDRESS: Mjölnarvägen
POST CODE: 746 38
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108159f35671000d553ce3
---
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From: Kyle Barie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Kyle Barie
ADDRESS: 213 Oxbow Lake Rd
POST CODE: 48386
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108165b7cb89000e2b3043
---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Miles
ADDRESS: 196 w mcclung road, lot 64
POST CODE: 46350
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Anton
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethen.com.

---

NAME: Anton
ADDRESS: Holmsvägen 07
POST CODE: 73297
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081798b7a18000e7c4c4b

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/DP3EcAd9QxiI1RClmvVJxzUA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/DP3EcAd9QxiI1RClmvVJxzUA/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Josh Blumenshine
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Josh Blumenshine
ADDRESS: 102 Lakeland Dr
POST CODE: 72023
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410816ddfbdb5000d11eed0

---
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: corey tobin
ADDRESS: 1217 Dumas rd
POST CODE: 98012
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jennifer
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jennifer
ADDRESS: 80 park avenue
POST CODE: 07030
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Nikisha
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nikisha
 ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 30041

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: abe Kreworuka
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: abe Kreworuka
ADDRESS: 22 Turner st
POST CODE: 04220
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 5410818fb7cb89000e2b304f
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From: Austin kieffer
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethen.net.

---

NAME: Austin kieffer
ADDRESS: 16018 n 68th dr Peoria az
POST CODE: 85382
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410818cb0a7e4000e469918

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/_ydWkP7mRFex2RnT1Q9j3w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/_ydWkP7mRFex2RnT1Q9j3w/uh1> .

=======================================================================================
From: Matthew Lloyd
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew Lloyd
ADDRESS: 308 N. 9th street Apt 31
POST CODE: 81230
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410818bdfb5000cb0c8d6

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/eUWNjEA5TgS6Vj0oDkLm6g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/eUWNjEA5TgS6Vj0oDkLm6g/uh1> .

==============================================================================

From: Ole Jørgen Norstrand
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ole Jørgen Norstrand
ADDRESS: Sundgata 25
POST CODE: 3510
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410817bdac595000ea7084e

---
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====================================================================
From: Rhonda Shedron
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:16
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners,

Isn't it enough that our legislative and legal system have been highjacked? The FDA doesn't regulate for the benefit of the people, instead protecting the big corporate interests.

This country keeps taking steps towards something I do not want to be a part of. Net neutrality is important, it allows exploration on a somewhat level playing field. Please do not take that away.

Rhonda Shedron
63043

=====================================================================

From: Monica Campagna
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:17
Subject: I Support NET NEUTRALITY

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

You receive hundreds of thousands of emails. Perhaps you have already made up your mind about the issue of net neutrality- but if in fact you truly work for the American People, please consider this voice amongst the hundreds of thousands of others that are also in agreement that WE NEED TO PROTECT our ability to receive an unfiltered version of the internet that is not controlled by any corporation via speed or in any other way. This is one of the last place folks can go for news that is not already filtered by the interest of the owners of huge billion dollar corporations who now own all the major outlets for news in radio, television and news print. I completely support NET NEUTRALITY and absolutely against opening the door for corporations to be the gatekeepers of information which is currently free and open to the public.

Thank you for your consideration of my views.

Best regards,
Monica Campagna
Glendale, CA 91201

=====================================================================

From: Samuel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Samuel
ADDRESS: 6100 J Street
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108146f1110a000d0e72a9

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/uoCa7zQS1m1XPhPLl3OJQ/u1> .
From: Servando hernandez
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Servando hernandez
ADDRESS: 3931 N 68th st
POST CODE: 68507
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410818f3f6b5000cb0c8d8
From: Steve Kemper  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steve Kemper  
ADDRESS: Johnson Lak  
POST CODE: 68937  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410816c8b7a18000ddd5b24

---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: VÄ-ctor Maidana
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: VÄ-ctor Maidana
ADDRESS: AsunciÃ’n ã\200\223 Parraguay
POST CODE: 2040
COUNTRY: PY

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108198003331000c611450

---
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---

From: Wouter Heslinga
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Wouter Heslinga
ADDRESS: slachtersrige
POST CODE: 9044MR
COUNTRY: NL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081a6444842000c5ffe4e

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anand
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 23693
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410819d1e0849000d05c70a

---

Want more awesome more often?
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marina Sandoval
ADDRESS: Rua Flórida 1133
POST CODE: 04565001
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081afc60b21000b542aa9
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/nAYC0UaCTay0X9mqK3ZO1w/uh1>.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:19
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Michael Labor
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 229 Hazel St
Zip: 01420

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.
Yet, as you've noted, Title II wouldn't even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: pascal  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:19 
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment 

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: pascal 
ADDRESS: 17501 sw 61st court 
POST CODE: 33331 
COUNTRY: US 

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Ryan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 78745
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:19
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Byrle Moore
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairman Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.” We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Victor Barrera
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Victor Barrera
ADDRESS: 609 w glaze ave
POST CODE: 93221
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081ac99efdf000e082572
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/bpzZ1nfETPqNEN46my0DAQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Danika
ADDRESS: 5206 Claremont st
POST CODE: 77023
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: DEVIN  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: DEVIN
ADDRESS: 3319 E UNIVERSITY DR APT 156
POST CODE: 85213
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Levan kakutia
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Levan kakutia
ADDRESS: 2925 west 5th Street
POST CODE: 11224
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: L Wilson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:20
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners\200\223\200\223\200\223\200\223

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

L Wilson
From: I'm a Comcast  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: I'm a Comcast  
ADDRESS: we are only going to  
POST CODE: slow down pornhub  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  
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From: Kenneth
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kenneth
ADDRESS: 17 homes av
POST CODE: 08610
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081d315ab86000d45095a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/hkLPEO2vrZyZf7jM0Om9qw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/hkLPEO2vrZyZf7jM0Om9qw/uh1> .

=================================================================================================

From: Travis Winquist
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Travis Winquist
ADDRESS: 11541 Dorothea Ave. Machesney Park IL
POST CODE: 61115
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081bfb7cb89000e2b3062

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Cassity
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cassity

ADDRESS: 2520 Nordeoff CT
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081bf35671000bb8a7

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:22
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
James Sheler
2673 Georgia Rd
Ottawa, KS 66067

From: hugh
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: hugh
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 79109
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081b5fbecab000b5746d8
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:22
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
change\textsuperscript{\textdegree}and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\textsuperscript{\textdegree}just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Joanne Calvin
1148 Jeff Dr
Manning, SC 29102

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:22
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\textsuperscript{\textdegree}and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\textsuperscript{\textdegree}just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jason Alexander
6575 W Kingsley Ave
Littleton, CO 80128

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:23
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don't ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bevagene Winterswolf
2255 S Clermont St
Denver, CO 80222

=============================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:23
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Carol Blair
748 Stevies Trl
Independence, KY 41051
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Chad Davison
155 Alma Dr
Pollock, LA 71467

From: Curtis Rogers
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Curtis Rogers
ADDRESS: 6404 yellow Springs Dr
POST CODE: 44057
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081e2dac595000d1eb3a3

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuturer.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/2U_J5qs5TzSrE4p5iG5WTA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/2U_J5qs5TzSrE4p5iG5WTA/uh1> .

========================================================================

From: Greg
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081e3dac595000b708968
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:24
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sharon Frazier
650 S Grant Ave
Pocatello, ID 83204

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Marco Navarrete
11393 Arroyo Ave
Hesperia, CA 92345

From: Shane Albrecht
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shane Albrecht
ADDRESS: n93w17658 white oak circle apt.12
POST CODE: 53051
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410818615ab86000cb1b797
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:25
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change -- and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Susan Holman
119 Slash Dr
Ashland, VA 23005
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:25
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years â€“ that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Rick Bing
46581 Dogwood Cir
New Waterford, OH 44445

From: Zoe Carpenter
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:25
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Sir: There is no indiependant press. Leave the Net alone. It keeps America on track for freedom.

Zoe Carpenter
Zoe Carpenter
20874

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:26
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Randolph Dust
2276 Fairfax St
Denver, CO 80207

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:26
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Miranda Moore
1336 Soria GlIn
Escondido, CA 92026

From: Raul Semsugov
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Raul Semsugov
ADDRESS: Telijänkatu
POST CODE: 32800
COUNTRY: FI
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 541081fa15ab86000e5ea8e5
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:27
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Matthew Ahn
423 Assay Ct
Colorado Spgs, CO 80905

From: Andrew
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 23229
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410820f15ab86000d450969

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Dixon Padilla-Sr
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:27
Subject: Comment Re: WC Docket No. 14-28

As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open
Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

Dixon Padilla-Sr
1281n.58st. tampa fl.
Tampa, FL 33617

<http://list.credoaction.com/wf/open?upn=CFwW2LiM8egNfp5WPK172vcklJm5GzqaOw5FucO4B5J8yXzMgedLo1nv8Y1DvxsMtb2A7NXQH80yCrNH1sQalbygq9m-2BEfufxcsksvp7ARBEH1FyA6vxZgaMevZzG1tLqTV62txQjR3bWnxHwuyJ-2BkYGaTvGF5FL7hxDnz9TEkv1bOHCDT08xq-2BpcmjbR7nmp5QVI-2BVU-2FJ-2BP1RZDS7YQQ-3D-3D>

=======================================================================================
From: Jim Wicker
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jim Wicker
ADDRESS: 306 Lee St
POST CODE: 94610
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081d3dfdb5000d11eeec

---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: José Matías Avalos
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: José Matías Avalos
ADDRESS: Tucuman 1036
POST CODE: w3400
COUNTRY: AR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081da8b7a18000ddd5b37
---
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=================================================================================================

From: Luke
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luke

ADDRESS: Roach Close

POST CODE: DY5 1LR
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081e7f1110a000d0e72e8

---
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From: Nancy Hogshead-Makar  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nancy Hogshead-Makar  
ADDRESS: 3116 St. Johns Avenue  
POST CODE: 32205  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081f2dfdbdb5000e45fffd3
---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Patricia Anderton
303 E 10th Ave
Post Falls, ID 83854
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081f4dfbd5000cb0c8f2

---
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From: Ryan Adams  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan Adams  
ADDRESS: 4020 Texas St Apt 01 San Diego  
POST CODE: 92104  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081d5f35671000d553d06
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Thomas Svartnes
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Svartnes
ADDRESS: Nedre Bjørkåsen 11
POST CODE: 8074
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541081ff444842000ba90f12
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=======================================================================================

From: Alexander Peña
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander Peña
ADDRESS: 7730 sw 32nd terrace
POST CODE: 33155
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082048b7a18000b93cf0f
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=======================================================================================

From: Andrew
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew
ADDRESS: 1855 S Cochran Avenue
POST CODE: 90019
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410821c99efdf000c345b19
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Want more awesome more often?
NAME: Anthony Peterson  
ADDRESS: 4540 4th Ave s Fargo ND  
POST CODE: 58108  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: Cameron Carson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cameron Carson
ADDRESS: 610 W 28th st
POST CODE: 98660
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

The Internet is not a “public utility” and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors
of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ben Haag
16133 Tranquility Ct
Delhi, CA 95315

From: Danny Bowen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:28
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Danny Bowen
136 Georgetown Dr
Kenner, Louisiana 70065

From: Eva Lau
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eva Lau
ADDRESS: Banks Street
POST CODE: 02451
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kris Shaw
2769 Ga Highway 17 S
Guyton, GA 31312

-------------------------------

From: priscilla moraga
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:28
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
priscilla moraga
1601 W Camelback Rd Apt 251
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---

REF: 541082148b7a18000e7c4c7e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/0VWIqkb1QYu6VDxUCiDgsQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/0VWIqkb1QYu6VDxUCiDgsQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mary Campbell
170 Norwich Salem Tpke Apt 12
Oakdale, CT 06370

=======================================================================================

From: chris dwyer
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: chris dwyer
ADDRESS: 22200 septo st. Chatsworth
POST CODE: 91311
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

floyd robertson
21795 War Eagle Blacktop Rd
Springdale, Arkansas 72764
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mark Meekins
4617 E Andrea Dr
Cave Creek, AZ 85331

=================================================================================================

From: Shirley Lorenz
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:29
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

How could you possibly consider this? Have we become a country that caters exclusively to big money—especially corporate money while the rest of us can simply 'die on the vine'???

So many people are saying that the US is for sale—starting with Congress and government bureaucrats.

Will you prove this is NOT TRUE by preserving Net Neutrality and push back against the forces of big money who want to control our lives?

WE NEED YOUR SUPPORT! Please, please, please stand on our side.

Shirley Lorenz
92612

=================================================================================================

From: Susan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Susan
ADDRESS: 3716 Baits
POST CODE: 92614
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Tim Backman
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=================================================================

From: Andy Christiansen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:30
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Andy Christiansen
3787 Prospect Church Rd
Ooltewah, Tennessee 37363

=================================================================

From: Blas Mh
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Blas Mh
ADDRESS: México
POST CODE: 90001
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108222444842000ec58d22

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/avARsYi8S76JU6Qjn_FWSQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/avARsYi8S76JU6Qjn_FWSQ/uh1> .
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Betsy Palasinski
1420 E Hatch St
Sturgis, MI 49091

From: Brandon
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brandon
ADDRESS: 1542 Cannondale Lane
POST CODE: 46544
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108200444842000d5ba218

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/jIokH0cfs_m-ouGrnwpRNg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/jIokH0cfs_m-ouGrnwpRNg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: keziah Renåård
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change...and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job - providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service - it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation...just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Richard Boucher
Po Box 165
Alfred, ME 04002

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become
largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress
reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to
do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC
has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation\224just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gary Simmons
2895 W Pike Rd
Indiana, PA 15701

From: susana duque
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: susana duque
ADDRESS: 10420 mercado way mont village md
POST CODE: 20886
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Sincerely,
Alex Leonovich
1325 Mississippi Ave # A
Fort Campbell, KY 42223

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Marianne Pettis
55 Beaver Dr
Albrightsville, PA 18210

From: Robert
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 53213
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108216b0a7e4000bf6a26f

---
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======================================================================================================
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Aaron Collier Sr.
2201 E Macarthur Rd Lot G13
Wichita, KS 67216

======================================================================================

From: Alexander Rodrigues
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander Rodrigues
ADDRESS: 1538 Irving Street
POST CODE: 94122
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform...
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410822ea26879000e0b14b1

---
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082388b7a18000e7c4c8f

---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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=================================================================

From: Justin Williams
NAME: Justin Williams
ADDRESS: 05 Jonquil Way
POST CODE: 08081
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108259f1110a000d0e730a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Kevin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin
ADDRESS: 64 Panoramic Way
POST CODE: 94704
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dennis Morris
612 Nw Little Ave # A
Lees Summit, MO 64063
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:32  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along: no government intervention.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  
Lisa Caserta  
1745 Bixby Rd  
Lockbourne, OH 43137  

From: Naji Kawar  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Naji Kawar  
ADDRESS: 767 Live Oak Way  
POST CODE: 95129  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Rigoberto
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rigoberto

ADDRESS: 7336 Point Patience Way

POST CODE: 21075
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108272b7cb89000cb2b066
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From: ryan  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ryan  
ADDRESS: 9438 Briar Haven Drive  
POST CODE: 53593  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082228b7a18000c574da3

---
From: wser
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: wser
ADDRESS: rew
POST CODE: wer
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410821662b49c000d1648c5
---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:33
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bill Burden
348 N Main St
Seneca, IL 61360
From: Ben Cole  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ben Cole  
ADDRESS: 4555 Westview Drive  
POST CODE: 84124  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT:

Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410822aa26879000ca2978d

---
From: BOYD SIPE  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  
---  

NAME: BOYD SIPE  
ADDRESS: 1010 S East St. Culpeper VA  
POST CODE: 22701  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules 
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its 
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting 
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle 
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become 
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all 
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access 
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby 
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for 
social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, 
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning 
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the 
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband 
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless 
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet 
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. 
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the 
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal 
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses 
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, 
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative 
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082408b7a18000b93cf18

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/3JlB0-QrQz0_8FPT95fqSg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Emil Løvenwald-Bentzen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emil Løvenwald-Bentzen
ADDRESS: Copenhagen, dagmarsgade 26
POST CODE: 2200
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410826e003331000c6114a4

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/DrehDVXCTcuQL8sx1yeI4w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/DrehDVXCTcuQL8sx1yeI4w/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:34
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is
broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jason Brown
1601 Hogan Ln Apt 703
Conway, AR 72034

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:34
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Thomas Page
1030 N Cuyamaca St Apt C
El Cajon, CA 92020

From: Cal
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cal
ADDRESS: 88 east main st 307h
POST CODE: 07945
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410828615ab86000d45099b

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:35
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gerald Shustek
33866 Au Sable Dr
Chesterfield, MI 48047

From: Jason Racela
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:35
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners,

Where I work in higher education, we utilize the open-internet daily for research queries and to keep up on the latest in our field through news feeds. Please do not change the way in which the internet is currently accessed by allowing ISPs to prioritize content. Thank you.

Jason Racela
01220

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:35
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Patrick D.savage
303 E South C St
Gas City, IN 46933

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:36
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gary Lambert
14 Nashville Rd
Lenzburg, IL 62255

=======================================================================================

From: HÅ¥kon Rokne
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: HÃ¥kon Rokne
ADDRESS: Ãstenga 07
POST CODE: 1349 Rykkinn
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410828199efdf000e0825b5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Karbowiak
ADDRESS: Beatesmindevej 44 1th
POST CODE: 9210, Aalborg, Denmark
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410827bf1110a000e42f296

---
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:36
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear A€“ investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Don Sheriff
1217 Glenhurst Ct
Rock Island, IL 61201
From: Adam  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam
ADDRESS: 1615 Oak Leaf drive
POST CODE: 54304
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410828ef35671000d553d3f
---
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---

From: Adam
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethen.com.

---

NAME: Adam
ADDRESS: tornavägen 17b
POST CODE: 43541
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108276fbcab000c288fab
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=======================================================================================

From: Brandon DeMoss
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brandon DeMoss
ADDRESS: 2204 s Oswego place
POST CODE: 74114
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Johnathan
ADDRESS: 4909 Oakwood Pl.
POST CODE: 47715
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410827bb0a7e4000dc63f7d

---

REF: 5410827bb0a7e4000dc63f7d

---
NAME: Kai Paquin
ADDRESS: 1938 SW Moss St
POST CODE: Portland, OR, 97219
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Linda Burke  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Linda Burke
ADDRESS: 20646 Dana Dr.
POST CODE: 49853
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410828e8b7a18000e7c4cb0
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Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes. Your predecessors called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Ravi Teja
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ravi Teja
ADDRESS: 4630 S. LAKESHORE Dr
POST CODE: 85282
COUNTRY: US
COMMENTS: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Senia
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Senia
ADDRESS: 1150 Jamestown Dr
POST CODE: 94087
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ted Kerrigan
20051 S Pine Hill Rd
Frankfort, IL 60423

From: Catherine  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Catherine
ADDRESS: 17950 Noll Rd
POST CODE: 98370
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:38
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jack Newkirk
1020 Old Shoals Junction Rd
Donalds, SC 29638

=======================================================================================

From: John
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John
ADDRESS: 1740 Polk St 02
POST CODE: 94109-3637
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108281dfb05b5000d11ef1c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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---

From: Kas Macko
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kas Macko
ADDRESS: 121 Washington ave
POST CODE: 08021
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108277b7cb89000b3ce8b1

---
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=======================================================================================
From: Kyle
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Kyle
ADDRESS: 01
POST CODE: l3z 2n2
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108296f1110a000cc4f3f7
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From: mike
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: mike
ADDRESS: 3667 valle blvd. pomona
POST CODE: 91768
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
REF: 5410829a1e0849000d05c75a
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:38
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Clark
12224 S Shaw Dr
Sapulpa, OK 74066

=======================================================================================

From: Nolan Johnson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.
NAME: Nolan Johnson  
ADDRESS: 214 N 6th Ave SW  
POST CODE: 98512  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410829eb0a7e4000dc63f87

---
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From: Patrick Hull
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patrick Hull
ADDRESS: 24 Norman Street Salem, MA
POST CODE: 01970
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410828d62b49c000cd1f67d
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Barbara Powalski
1192 Washington Blvd
Cleveland, OH 44124

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Al Weiss
6416 Via Rosa
Boca Raton, FL 33433

=======================================================================================

From: Jeremy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jeremy
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 92590
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108295dac595000d1eb3f1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/yTxxr5LTQ1GqxaUTOZyUA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/yTxxr5LTQ1GqxaUTOZyUA/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Jeremy Kahn
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jeremy Kahn
ADDRESS: 2865 S. GRANITE ST.
POST CODE: Gilbert, AZ 85295
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam kaddour
ADDRESS: 9320 Sw 15 st
POST CODE: 33174
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082a1f1110a000e42f2a6

---

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Amy Maytlis
3610 S Tower Ave
Chandler, AZ 85286

---

NAME: Emma Uri
ADDRESS: 4420 147th Pl SW
POST CODE: Lynnwood, WA 98087
COUNTRY: GR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082b1dfb6db5000d11ef25
---
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=====================================================================================================

From: Lyn Ann Stecker
NAME: Lyn Ann Stecker
ADDRESS: 5075 Lennox Rd., Poland, IN
POST CODE: 47868
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082a4dac595000b708989
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Bowe
5 Michele Ct
Trenton, NJ 08619
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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================================================================================================

From: Dylan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dylan

ADDRESS: 2582 South Rd.

POST CODE: 05344

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108291b0a7e4000c165649

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Lauren Schuler  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lauren Schuler
ADDRESS: Hamilton OH
POST CODE: 45105
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Rey Trejo
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rey Trejo
ADDRESS: 173 E Desert Holly
POST CODE: 85143
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:41
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ‘200\224and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ‘200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lawanda Arisola
Po Box 3545
Lakewood, CA 90711

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:41
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Darrin Duncan
744 Wayne Walker Rd
Romance, AR 72136

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:42
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kattie Ryan
730 Blaine Ave
Fillmore, CA 93015

------------------------

From: emmily
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: emmily
ADDRESS: 2460 Main Ave Durango Colorado
POST CODE: 81301
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082da15ab86000b62d315
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From: Jaden
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jaden
ADDRESS: 210 Ivy Road
POST CODE: 17408
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082c5fbecab000dab2210
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

---

From: Melissa
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Melissa
ADDRESS: 17 Park rd simsbury ct
POST CODE: 06070
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082e262b49c000d1648fc
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====================================================================================================

From: Rene Damen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:42
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

It is my profound desire that the internet will be, and stay forever, the world’s free data highway with only fast lanes and no toll, for anyone, including small businesses, to enjoy with an equal high-level of transfer services for everyone. No privileges for the rich and powerful, no exceptions. - I hope I made myself clear, thank you. René Damen, Damen Digital.

Rene Damen
04015

=================================================================

From: TORI
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: TORI
ADDRESS: 189 CARTER RD
POST CODE: 08540
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082b199efdf000c345b42

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefutr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefutr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/yRNMBqGvSC6pskknAq0e0sw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/yRNMBqGvSC6pskknAq0e0sw/uh1>.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:42
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job—providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service—it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Wayne Carman
400 Mcdowell Ave
Minerva, OH 44657
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:43
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Alan Putrell
N1371 Oriole Dr
Stetsonville, WI 54480

---------------------------------------------

From: Anna Kauffman
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:43
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

As a small business owner I depend on access to the internet, preferably a fast one. Please don’t allow large corporations to dictate the speed as to which I do business. Finding uninterrupted, fast service is hard enough as it is. My business is as important to me as theirs is to them.

Thank you, Anna

Anna Kauffman
94133

---------------------------------------------
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: DWB
ADDRESS: 03 Thr
POST CODE: ab12 3cd
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082bb8b7a18000e7c4cc1

---

Want more awesome more often?
NAME: Jakob  
ADDRESS: 1025 Woodland St. Hartville Ohio  
POST CODE: 44632  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082c962b49c000cd1f68d

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:43
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationsâ just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
James Napolitano
120 Franklin St
Peekskill, NY 10566

=======================================================================================
From: J. McWilliams
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:43
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I am writing to ask that the commercial interests NOT be allowed to dictate the speed at which I have access to information, as a pay-to-gain-advantage would limit the freedoms we currently enjoy. This is simple: it would be like giving the highways to truckers only, and gravel roads to the rest of us. Please Do not allow that to happen!

J. McWilliams
01852

===================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:43
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Daniel De La Cruz
6770 Garden Ct
Gilroy, CA 95020

===================================================================================================

From: Raphael
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082d5dac595000b708990

---
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From: Caroline  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Caroline  
ADDRESS: Sandhamngatan 30  
POST CODE: 11540  
COUNTRY: NL  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
NAME: Kelly Hersom
ADDRESS: 7160 Upper 36th Street North
POST CODE: 55128
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082e762b49c000e6c6016
---
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:44
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Priscilla Trevino
2921 Lawton St
Cpr Christi, TX 78405

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:44
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nicole Garcia
849 Almond Dr
Oakley, CA 94561

From: Tyler
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 54702
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082e599efdf000bbabe27

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftfr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftfr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/sJcTDRRTbRHS1MTCjtKe1XA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/sJcTDRRTbRHS1MTCjtKe1XA/uh1>.

=====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:45
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bonita Frazier
6270 Old Buckingham Rd
Powhatan, VA 23139

From: Jordan Rogers
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jordan Rogers
ADDRESS: 2119 N 1060 W
POST CODE: 84604
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082c68b7a18000e7c4cc5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Kendall
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kendall
ADDRESS: 6723 River Mill
POST CODE: 77379
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082f1a26879000decbccc
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Monika
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Monika
ADDRESS: Hálova 14
POST CODE: 85101
COUNTRY: SK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---

REF: 541082ba1e0849000d05c766
---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Francisco Godoy  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Francisco Godoy  
ADDRESS: PO box 1026  
POST CODE: 99357  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082ff60b21000df169d5
---
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=======================================================================================
From: Grant suess
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Grant suess
ADDRESS: 3118 Virginia Ave
POST CODE: 80907
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108302b0a7e4000c165663

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/OMVMT1AjQYm_PND5DUeTA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/OMVMT1AjQYm_PND5DUeTA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================
From: Jessica Potts
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jessica Potts
ADDRESS: po box 374
POST CODE: 27235
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082fa1e0849000ec720c9
---
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=================================================================*

From: Brian Winethal
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Brian Winethal
ADDRESS: 583 Park AVE
POST CODE: 90210
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108311b7cb89000e2b30c2

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Philip Reinhold
ADDRESS: 40 Foster Street
POST CODE: 06511
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---

REF: 5410831adac595000cde61f6
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Adrian
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Adrian
ADDRESS: 1601 Pearl Street
POST CODE: 80203
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108314fbebca000e3eadc9
---
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From: Bernard Denis  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bernard Denis  
ADDRESS: Montreal  
POST CODE: H3z2t1  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108322fbecab000e3eadcc

---
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From: Borja
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Borja
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410831099efdf000c345b5d
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From: Inés
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Inés
ADDRESS: c/santa cruz de tenerife, #50
POST CODE: 10117
COUNTRY: DO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: John Comella  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:49  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Net neutrality is very important. It allows everyday citizens to access information they need and allows them to communicate with friends, businesses, medical providers and many others at no cost. Giving Comcast, ATT, Verizon and other big communications companies will hurt them, especially the poorer people, a lot.

Please declare the internet a public utility, free and open for everyone.

Thank you for your consideration.

John Comella  

John Comella  
19103

From: Andrew Mercurio  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

NAME: Andrew Mercurio  
ADDRESS: 312 hough drive  
POST CODE: 61115  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410833ffbecab000b57474d
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=======================================================================================

From: Antonio Turk
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Antonio Turk
ADDRESS: Kajuhovo naselje
POST CODE: 1330 Kocevje Slovenia
COUNTRY: SI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083201e0849000cdb3355

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheft>
From: iman tabbaa  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along — no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

iman tabbaa  
643 Rufus Henderson Dr  
Scottdale, Georgia 30079

From: MariaElena Yepes  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:50  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Mr. Wheeler:

I urge you to reject any proposal that calls for a two-tier system in making the Internet available. The "pay to ‘play" proposals being promoted by the telecom giants can only lead to inequality in net use by the general public.
The Internet has revolutionized the way we learn, work, obtain our news and current events, socialize, and play. Commerce is moved by the Internet daily, and businesses would be lost without it. Educational institutions depend on the Internet to keep our knowledge base current and dynamic, and a slow Internet will prove costly for those of us trying to educate young minds in the fast paced twenty-first century.

When the Internet first became available to the general public in the nineties, it was slow, cumbersome, and exasperating on a regular basis. Then techno geniuses figured out a way to make it faster and more accessible, and our lives are all the better for it. Now there is an attempt by self-interested companies to return us to the days of Internet exasperation. Please don’t let them do that. Our world cannot afford to lose Internet accessibility, and making the Internet another commodity will only lead to a two-tiered caste system that makes the wealthy and privileged the Internet elite, while the rest of us suffer through inaccessibility and poor Internet service.

Mr. Wheeler, I thank you for considering my comments, and I look forward to hearing about your wise decision regarding keeping the Internet open and free.

Sincerely,

MariaElena Yepes

91755

From: Retosha Matlock
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:50
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Retosha Matlock
622 E 10th St
Fordyce, Arkansas 71742
From: stephanie perry  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along — no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

stephanie perry  
542 Peregrine St  
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462

From: beverly silberman  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:51  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.
Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

beverly silberman
907 Tanners Ct
Bethlehem, Georgia 30620

---

From: Hank
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hank
ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 94607
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108300dfb5000e46000c
---
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From: imogene charles
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:51
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

imogene charles
5467 W Park Ave Apt 145
Houma, Louisiana 70364
From: deja white
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:52
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

deya white
4435 Bayberry Ct
Warren, Michigan 48092

From: Garrett Marshall
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Garrett Marshall
ADDRESS: 41 Scarborough Lane
POST CODE: 12590
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410833200331000c6114e2
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From: Kimberly Farris
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---
NAME: Kimberly Farris
ADDRESS: 2928 Old Parrottsville Hwy
POST CODE: Parrottsville, TN 37843
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108342dfbdb5000cb0c98d

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/ym2ly8OZT82u4ltjfnRUyg/o.gif>
From: laurel hensley
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:52
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

laurel hensley
505 Winchester St
Flippin, Arkansas 72634

From: scott berls
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: scott berls
ADDRESS: 429 wright st 12-310 lakewood co
POST CODE: 80228
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
Ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108340f35671000bbeb8dd

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/q0ZS5z3uTpmWcVMFn8ItNw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================
From: Zac
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zac
ADDRESS: 16 Mandene Gardens Great Gransden
POST CODE: SG19 3AP
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410833015ab86000b62d321

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Jack Pup  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jack Pup  
23134 Sandsage Ln  
Katy, Texas 77494

From: Marijean Browan  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Marijean Browan
2317 4th Ave
Stevens Point, Wisconsin 54481

From: Tamika Davis
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tamika Davis
4580 Buchanan St
Gary, Indiana 46408

From: Tiffany
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---
NAME: Tiffany

ADDRESS: 18 Bryan Avenue

POST CODE: 94509

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410835a62b49c000cd1f6c1

---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
From: Jose Ruiz  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jose Ruiz  
11617 Soberana Ln Apt B  
El Paso, Texas 79936

---

From: Kaitlin  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kaitlin  
ADDRESS: 15288 Ann Dr  
POST CODE: 48808  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108354f1110a000e42f2dc

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: kat

ADDRESS: 225 edgewood dr.

POST CODE: 75077

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108341f1110a000e42f2d7

---
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From: Marta peterson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Marta peterson  
1610 4th Ave E  
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

====================================================================

From: Michael  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410834d99ef6d000d80596c

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mitch

ADDRESS: 1555B Monmouth St

POST CODE: 43130

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Vincent Aitro  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:54  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:  

Thanks to our Supreme Court, big corporations are given equal with regular citizens. This "pay to play" internet proposal only acerbates this. Moreover I am sure this proposal will stifle innovation as more and more control is concentrated in fewer and fewer hands.

Please vote "NO" on this misguided initiative.

Vincent Aitro  
94610

====================================================================================================

From: Arthur Braun  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Arthur Braun  
ADDRESS: Bauernfeldstraβe 26/06  
POST CODE: 8020  
COUNTRY: AT  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108348003331000d0099bf

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/uTe-bgBCTAal0UmYrwrG4A/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/uTe-bgBCTAal0UmYrwrG4A/uh1>.

From: cheryl hennes
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:55
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

cheryl hennes
327 Bridge Rd
Hauppauge, New York 11788

========================================================================================================================================================================

From: Jason
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason
ADDRESS: 128 Santa Anita Trail
POST CODE: 30189
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410836cdac595000d1eb447

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Jorge Canchola
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jorge Canchola
ADDRESS: 2930 Cree ct.
POST CODE: 95403
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108356444842000ba90f61

---
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====================================================================================
From: June Jackson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.  

---

NAME: June Jackson  
ADDRESS: Apt.205,40long drive  
POST CODE: N5A 8A3  
COUNTRY: CA  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---

REF: 5410836899efdf000e0825fa  

---

Want more awesome more often?
NAME: Kevin Shanahan
ADDRESS: Zelienople PA
POST CODE: 16063
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541082ed0a7e4000e469988

---
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---

From: Tony
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tony
ADDRESS: 2135 E Hughes Ave
POST CODE: 50320
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108332f1110a000b4b5351
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Adel Zemmoura
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:56
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality has been and will always be an essential pillar to fairness online. Changing it will result in harming multiple businesses that cannot afford to pay for fast lanes. Our country was built on principles of fairness and equality for all. Please lets keep it that way.

Adel Zemmoura

10036
From: Alethea Beyer  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: “What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control.” In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Alethea Beyer  
2645 Zoysia Ln  
North Fort Myers, Florida 33917

From: Asbjørn Framnes  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Asbjørn Framnes  
ADDRESS: Hystadvegen 118  
POST CODE: 5416  
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108341f1110a000d0e7355

---
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NAME: Augusto
ADDRESS: 100 37th Street Union City NJ 07087
POST CODE: 07087
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54108369b7cb89000cb2b09d

---
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From: Enrique Aguirre  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

Enrique Aguirre  
725 O St  
Lincoln, California 95648  

---

From: Fredric Møllerop  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Fredric Møllerop  
ADDRESS: Sverre Hasselsvei  
POST CODE: 4019
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108366fbecab000e3eadd9

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin Menzel
ADDRESS: 18314 Elaine Ave
POST CODE: 90701
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108363003331000bc7ca6f

---
From: Adam Embry  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  
---  
NAME: Adam Embry  
ADDRESS: 3209 marston place  
POST CODE: 40503  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410836bb0a7e4000dc63fc7

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/L1ESXw-OTJaTf8IOiraIvg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/L1ESXw-OTJaTf8IOiraIvg/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: adrian blackshire
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:57
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCCâ€™s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before theyâ€™re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the â€œnuclear option,â€ because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, itâ€™s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCCâ€™s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

adrian blackshire
935 Pine Tree Dr
Shreveport, Louisiana 71106
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:57
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Steven M Johnson
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 4415 Rocky Dell Rd
Zip: 53528

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes. We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
From: David Tiffany  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Tiffany  
ADDRESS: Wingdale  
POST CODE: 12594  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108378c60b21000df169f8

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: edward davis  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

edward davis  
302 Maxwell St  
Baxter, Tennessee 38544  

=============================================================================  
From: Gloria Chives  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.
If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gloria Chives
602 Old Fort Rd
Port Royal, South Carolina 29935

=====================================================================

From: jon morales
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jon morales
ADDRESS: 3520 highland ave
POST CODE: 60031
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410836f1e0849000cdb337b

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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==============================================================================

From: Judith M. Preciado
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Judith M. Preciado
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 5046, Vallejo, CA
POST CODE: 94591
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083708b7a18000b93cf34

---
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==============================================================================

From: patricia plyler
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:57
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

patricia plyler
2277 Montague Avenue Ext Apt 105
Greenwood, South Carolina 29649

From: Troy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Troy
ADDRESS: 8727 Ditch Rd
POST CODE: 46260
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108369444842000d5ba29c

---
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From: Andrew Scognamiglio
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew Scognamiglio
ADDRESS: 2350 snyder ave, carson city, NV
POST CODE: 89701
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410836d444842000ec58d7b

---
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=======================================================================================================================

From: donna sam
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:58
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

donna sam
18 Tracy Ln
Caribou, Maine 04736

From: Eric
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric
ADDRESS: 15708 Blair Court Tampa, FL
POST CODE: 33647
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083761e0849000b596bea
---
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ADDRESS: Taastrup Have 21, st. mf.
POST CODE: 2630 Taastrup, Denmark
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083a5f35671000c18196a

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwa/ZgwNAA/XlN4KbI1QIGgs8_c0fLlsA/uh1>.
From: Jaymz Cortez  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:58  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jaymz Cortez  
2337 Sierra Blanca Loop Apt B  
Holloman Air Force Base, New Mexico 88330

---

From: Justin Wanless  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin Wanless  
ADDRESS: 8817 Lakewood Rd.  
POST CODE: 98292  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Kristina Bailey
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:58
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kristina Bailey
6 Buford St
Cartersville, Georgia 30120

From: Michelle
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michelle
ADDRESS: 1012 W Wildwood Ave
POST CODE: 46807
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Barbara Spray
Date: 09/15/2014 15:00:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Barbara Spray
ADDRESS: 527 S Hancock St French Lick, IN
POST CODE: 47432
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

David Davi
1162 S Linden Ave
Miamisburg, Ohio 45342

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sherry Tillers
961 S Pontotoc Rd
Pontotoc, Mississippi 38863

From: Aaron
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Aaron
ADDRESS: 5500 Brooklyn Ave NE
POST CODE: 98105
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Aaron D. Hennesey
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aaron D. Hennesey
ADDRESS: 2936 Botsford PL NE Grand Rapids MI 49505
POST CODE: 49505
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083b9b0a7e4000dc63fe2

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/PkDMV8XeRr2URY-4Kq7og/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/PkDMV8XeRr2URY-4Kq7og/uhi>.

=======================================================================

From: Diane Perrier
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Diane Perrier
ADDRESS: 15 Devonshire Rd
POST CODE: 06716
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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============================================================================================================
From: Beverly Shank  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:  

FCC Chairman Wheeler,  

As a librarian, I am personally and professionally committed to protecting net neutrality. Libraries are all about access and sustainability in this fast paced world of information, and that includes access to information delivered electronically.  

A system of gatekeepers and pay-to-play procedures would have disastrous impact on many people’s access to the internet and would have First Amendment rights infringement.  

I urge you to protect net neutrality for all of us.  

Beverly Shank  
Lexington, MA  

Beverly Shank  
02421  

==========================================================================================================  

From: Dan  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Dan  
ADDRESS: 841 w 600 s  
POST CODE: 84104  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Morgan Taylor  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Morgan Taylor  
ADDRESS: NE 70th st Seattle WA  
POST CODE: 98115  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: regina
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: regina
ADDRESS: Montreal, Qc
POST CODE: h4c2j8
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083ce15ab86000e5ea970

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/FwmoeBvQlCMj0lEsi8duA/uh1>.

From: Bob
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bob
ADDRESS: Kreidenburg 55
POST CODE: 58332
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocally action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083bb62b49c000cd1f6e2

---
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From: Christine Bruce
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:02
Subject: I support new net neutrality rules!

Sep 15, 2014

Chairman Tom Wheeler

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

We need real net neutrality. As a SierraRise supporter and member of the climate movement, I call on you to protect Internet users from
monopolistic ISPs. Don’t let companies censor, slow down, or block websites while requiring other sites to pay for faster service -- that’s not the free and open Internet we deserve. Please stand up for all Internet users and our right to communicate!

Thank you,

Christine Bruce
2019 Grafton Rd
Elyria, OH 44035-8319

---

From: Connor
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Connor
ADDRESS: 4905 Farnam St
POST CODE: 68132
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083b6003331000ed4de51

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Jonathan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathan
ADDRESS: Kollwitzstraße 50
POST CODE: 10405 Berlin
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083d41e0849000b596bf6

---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/wpQ4SNptT4ep9vMs9T3VHw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Jonathan Hume
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:02
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners,

Dear FCC Commissioners,

Please let me register my opinion that internet access should be treated as a common carrier public utility.

Internet Service Providers should definitely NOT be allowed to prioritize the content that internet users and consumers can access.

Thank you,
Jonathan Hume
NAME: Jørgen Holme
ADDRESS: m. dahls gt 41
POST CODE: 6413
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108398fbebca0000c288fd3

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/B88Wm0X6S5-YDbv53cdzUA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/B88Wm0X6S5-YDbv53cdzUA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Rick Scott
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Rick Scott
ADDRESS: 9007 Corinne
POST CODE: 48198
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410833399efdf000c345b68

---
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==

From: Sarah Ronschak
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah Ronschak
ADDRESS: Horná Strieborná 04 Banská Bystrica
POST CODE: 97401
COUNTRY: SK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083cefbecab000dab2268
---
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From: Aaron Gray
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Aaron Gray
ADDRESS: 300 Walnut St, Danville, PA
POST CODE: 17821
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083def1110a000e42f2fe
---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
---
NAME: Brian
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 80401
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Christian
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christian
ADDRESS: 4731 Toronto St
POST CODE: 50014
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083fda26879000decbd1b
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From: Greta Gober
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Greta Gober
ADDRESS: Storgata 57
POST CODE: 0182 Oslo, Norway
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083d0a26879000e0b1531

---
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From: Jacob gustafson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacob gustafson

ADDRESS: West st paul
POST CODE: 55118
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083f9f35671000eb3cc2c

---
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From: Nick Botts
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick Botts
ADDRESS: 18208 Glenmoor Dr, West Palm Beach
POST CODE: 33409
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083e4f1110a000b4b5372
From: Raakel Korhonen  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:03  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Raakel Korhonen  
ADDRESS: Gamla Kungsvägen 51  
POST CODE: 54132  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083f3b0a7e4000dc63ffb

---
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From: theresa
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: theresa
ADDRESS: 50 bunyan rd
POST CODE: 01057
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby...
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541083daf35671000eb3cc1f
---
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From: Tova
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tova
ADDRESS: 582 Milford Avenue, Columbus, OH
POST CODE: 43202
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting tollbooths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410836ef1110a000b4b5362

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: nazila
ADDRESS: 4215 ravenshurst cir
POST CODE: 21057
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410840515ab86000d450a18

---
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
William Sutton  
15500 S Budlong Pl Apt 06  
Gardena, CA 90247

From: Diane Phillips  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:06  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:  
To whom it may concern,

the rising costs of cable and internet have risen and now you want to allow them to control how we use OUR PAID FOR services? EXCUSE ME! NO . . . wrong . . I use my net as a news source and as my only entertainment . . why should I be sent to the slow
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sharon Bradley
3423 Vimy Ridge Ave
Norfolk, VA 23509
Sincerely,
Shaun Sutton
102 S 4th St
Alpha, IL 61413

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:07
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michael P Johnson
2966 2nd St Se Apt 23
Washington, DC 20032

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that
is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has
descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry
left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should
continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Chuck Diggs
7102 Heil Ave Apt 02
Huntingtn Bch, CA 92647

From: Lainie Cohen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:07
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

I urge you to protect net neutrality by using the FCC’s authority under Title II of
the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. The
internet is a place for all individuals to make their voices heard - not just those
who can afford to pay for it. To allow Big Telecom to control who has better and/or
ANY access to information on the internet would violate the First Amendment and put
more power in the hands of a few at the expense of the many. It would kill democracy.

Please do the right thing.

Lainie Cohen

10024

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:07
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes
through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are
a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet
after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an
unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and
budget.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jamichael Norman
116 E Crovat St
Lagrange, GA 30241

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:07
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Reon Collins
802 N Church St
Belleville, IL 62220

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:08
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable
outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Angie Blanshan
1360 7th Ave
Windom, MN 56101

========================================================================

From: Howard Goldberg
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:08
Subject: In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket No. 14-28

To the Commissioners of the FCC:

I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the open Internet. The FCC must institute rules that protect Internet openness and prevent a few powerful gatekeepers from stifling creativity, innovation, competition and free speech. The FCC should reclassify broadband Internet service under Title II.

Currently, the open Internet works like the phone lines. Consumers can call whomever they want; nobody gets to limit who they can call. Likewise, consumers choose where they want to go on the Internet; an Internet provider doesn’t decide what content has preferential access or what is relegated to a slow lane. But Internet service providers (ISPs) want that to change. They want the power to decide, depending on their priorities and who pays them the most, what websites and services get priority access to consumers. The weak rules they advocate for will put decision making and power over the Internet in their hands.

The Internet is too vital to the free exchange of ideas to allow the few companies who control delivery to edit the ideas and content that flow through it. Their ability to limit free speech is also bad economic policy. Economists across the political spectrum agree that when companies can construct barriers to entry, markets are not free and efficient. New competition is locked out, resulting in a form of monopoly that causes consumers to suffer from higher prices- like their cable bills- and fewer choices.

The open Internet has introduced new competition in news, information and entertainment. There are new buyers for what we as writers create. There are new and diverse content choices for consumers. These services have emerged outside of the traditional film and television business, where after decades of consolidation and mergers, seven corporations control 95% of television production and viewing. But these new competitors are a threat to existing video providers, and the largest Internet providers, who are also the largest cable television providers, have an incentive to limit the growth of competing online video services.

The fate of the Internet is in the hands of the FCC. To prevent the Internet from becoming a controlled platform like cable television, the FCC must take strong action to prevent blocking, degrading or other forms of discrimination of lawful Internet traffic. The FCC must use its authority to reclassify broadband Internet access a telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act. Doing so is the only way to ensure the FCC can institute legally sound rules that preserve the open
Internet by preventing ISPs from engaging in unjust and unreasonable behavior in their treatment of Internet traffic.

Without strong action, new competition will be unfairly locked out, innovation will be stifled, and the promise of the open Internet will remain unfulfilled. Please do not allow this to happen.

Sincerely,

Howard Goldberg
member, WGA
Like <https://www.facebook.com/davidjlatt/posts/10152286328746689#>
<https://www.facebook.com/davidjlatt/posts/10152286328746689#>

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:08
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years â€” that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Haili Jordan
46 S 100 W
Heber City, UT 84032

From: K Kavips
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:08
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners protecting an open internet

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

K Kavips
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ricky Jones
1012 S 9th St
Paducah, KY 42003
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tu Pham
18245 168th Way Se
Renton, WA 98058

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:09
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dozier Smith
1719 Brewer Blvd Sw
Atlanta, GA 30310

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:09
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act
responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Matthew Powell
198 Haven St
Cottage Hills, IL 62018

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:09
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Pam Cranfill
4205 E Everton Rd
Connersville, IN 47331

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:09
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
David Moore
2029 Hurstview Dr
Hurst, TX 76054

From: Thomas Tilkey
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:09
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners Im retired from AT&T, am disabled and use the Internet daily to get information and order supplies if necessary. Please don’t change or limit usage. Thank you. Thomas Tilkey

Thomas Tilkey
75233

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:10
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before...
taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Christina Centinaro
1124 Pierce St
Philadelphia, PA 19148

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:10
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Daryl Long
1005 Bowler Dr
Durham, NC 27703

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:10
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jack Sexton
2814 Palomino Cir
La Jolla, CA 92037

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:10
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Norma Licea
934 W Riverside Ave
Sunnyside, WA 98944

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:10
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes
through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sheila Hayes
2013 S Backer Ave
Fresno, CA 93702

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:10
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Edward Evans
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 9449 Se. 190 Ct Ocklawaha Fl.
Zip: 32179

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.
Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=======================================================================================

From: Arthur Rothman
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:11
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Democracy demands a democratic communications system. My small business will not survive a "pay to play with the big boys" system.

END OF STORY

Arthur Rothman
81601

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:11
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Cecil Thomas
9153 Vinton St
Detroit, MI 48213

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:11
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Charles Atkinson
1716 E Seldon Ln
Phoenix, AZ 85020

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:11
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style
regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Curtis Cross
15824 Littlefield St
Detroit, MI 48227

=======================================================================================

From: Blake Bridges
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Blake Bridges
ADDRESS: 1475 glen avon drive
POST CODE: 92069
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108407b7cb89000e2b3111

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/eRfnqsrBQdaupm8Zz-u8Q/uh1>.

From: Jim Cline
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenenet.com.

---

NAME: Jim Cline
ADDRESS: PO Box 841
POST CODE: Berryville, AR 72616
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108449444842000c5ffea9
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/axplbGJhSWOiNjDatnLsYQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/axplbGJhSWOiNjDatnLsYQ/uh1>.

=====================================================================

From: Lucas
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lucas

ADDRESS: 5165 n dapple gray
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108410dfbdb5000cb0c9e4

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/yweD3Nw1TuycLB14Xrw34g/o.gif>
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Thurman Dawson
3231 Holbrook Rd
Springtown, TX 76082

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

David Lupien
1808 N 11th St
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Steve Walker
2101 Inverness Clfs
Birmingham, AL 35242
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ahren Bonnema
ADDRESS: 4980 Seaview
POST CODE: 94546
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Angela
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Angela
ADDRESS: 811 Issaqueena Trail
POST CODE: 29631
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: John Stallone
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Stallone
ADDRESS: 710 I street
POST CODE: 94952
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: jonathan hyland
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---
NAME: jonathan hyland
ADDRESS: 44171 Highway 431
POST CODE: 70774
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:13
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Paul Dukich
307 Pembroke Rd Sw
Poplar Grove, IL 61065

From: Kyle
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle
ADDRESS: 4355 W Justice Ct
POST CODE: 34446
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Comment: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Maher
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maher
ADDRESS: 501 papineau
POST CODE: j1e1x9
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:13
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Maria Jimenez
8843 W Brown St
Peoria, AZ 85345

---

From: Matthew Ellis
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Matthew Ellis
ADDRESS: 185 posto rico
POST CODE: 92688
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Arlene Dabney
106 Jordan Dr
Bethel Park, PA 15102

--

NAME: Quan
ADDRESS: 200 Ford Road Spc 221, san jose Ca
POST CODE: 85138
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=====================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:13
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brendan Sanders
2477 Akepa St
Pearl City, HI 96782

From: Axel Prudente
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Axel Prudente
ADDRESS: 3009 Creek Valley Dr., Garland, TX
POST CODE: 75040
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jamie Konkel
835 Game Trl Apt 303
Lakemoor, IL 60051

From: Jeanne Stefanac
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:14
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am a retired teacher and believe that all internet service providers should load all websites at the same speed. I believe that the FCC needs to ban large telecom companies from creating "fast-lanes" which only they can afford. If this is passed it would create difficulties from those who could not afford to be served at higher speed.

The internet should maintain itself as a public utility to prevent those who can afford more to control speed or block websites.

I use the internet to keep in touch with my family and friends as well as researching products, planning trips, etc. It’s nothing earth shattering but I believe everyone should have the same access. A two tier system would prevent Net Neutrality and give cable companies control over what information I seek. Please preserve Net Neutrality. Thank you.

Jeanne Stefanac
15227

From: joshua Valdovinos
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: joshua Valdovinos
ADDRESS: 4800 E Florence ave apt 06
POST CODE: 90201
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:14
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They are a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes. We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
NAME: Nick Mahnke
ADDRESS: 202 Vallejo Ave, Roseville, CA
POST CODE: 95678
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

NAME: TimAnglum
ADDRESS: 3835 Susan Lane
POST CODE: 55345
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Joan Miller
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:17
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

PLEASE DO THE RIGHT THING. Please protect our smaller companies from big business like Telecom and leave room for small and large to exist together. What incentive do smaller companies have to create and continue when the power of the large bears down on them? Please do the right thing on this. Thank you.

Joan Miller

46526

---

From: Luis Hernandez Navarrete
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Luis Hernández Navarrete
ADDRESS: Col. San José, pje. 01, casa #08.
POST CODE: 000000
COUNTRY: SV
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Mitja Vovk Iskric  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mitja Vovk Iskric  
ADDRESS: Brilejeva ulica 15  
POST CODE: SI 1000  
COUNTRY: SI  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: sam
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sam
ADDRESS: victoria av
POST CODE: lem3t1
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Isaac Ransom
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Isaac Ransom
ADDRESS: 4672 W GRIFFITH WAY FRESNO CA
POST CODE: 93722
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Iulia
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Iulia
ADDRESS: 3736 chesapeake trace lane
POST CODE: 30504
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jacob  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacob  
ADDRESS: 03 The Forge  
POST CODE: tr36jp  
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: J. M. Vahldick
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: J. M. Vahldick
ADDRESS: 11173 Rocky Mountain St., Reno NV
POST CODE: 89506
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jose meza
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Jose Meza
ADDRESS: 222 S Central Ave #110 Los Angeles, CA 90012
POST CODE: 90012
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Proceeding 14-28 comment

NAME: matthew gates
ADDRESS: 102 dogwood ln pittsburgh pa
POST CODE: 15237
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: MIchael
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: MIchael
ADDRESS: 18310 Feathertree Way
POST CODE: 20886
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: NIck  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---

NAME: NIck  
ADDRESS: Europe  
POST CODE: 80809  
COUNTRY: FR  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Ricardo Martinez
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Ricardo Martinez
ADDRESS: 215 Rochester Ave apt 2b Brooklyn ny
POST CODE: 11213
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 5410846ac60b21000c7f1793
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ann Robertson
ADDRESS: 8133 W 99th St
POST CODE: 66212
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410843399efdf000c345bc7

---
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=================================================================

From: Ann Szakmeister
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ann Szakmeister
ADDRESS: 25325 Bowie Ct, Stevenson Ranch, CA
POST CODE: 91381
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108484c60b21000b542b43
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=======================================================================================

From: Darron Richards
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Darron Richards
ADDRESS: hc40 box 39a Crawley wv
POST CODE: 24931
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108446fbecab000e3eae08

---
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From: jackie Galloway  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:19  
Subject: Comment Re: WC Docket No. 14-28  

As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

jackie Galloway  
106 jerdone Place  
Yorktown, VA 23692

<http://list.credoaction.com/wf/open?upn=CFwW2LiM8egNfp5WPK172vck1Jm5GzgaOw5Fuc04B5JH-2Be6mhM3TeilsOzvS00C88Sp2f-2BS2viZ7dK9WahhPwnDlW9z0PYbTbAYU-2BELYs4JgxQ2jlcaHtdn-2FYMBNcEHM9EengoQ2Mxj5buRg0LiFwoRP0IONFK105d2ZfeXCjIX5C3NeoKmgjpxb1YWqZ53vdjgEPxmQlVOTUsFA-3D-3D>

=======================================================================================

From: Jason Paschke  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason Paschke  
ADDRESS: S761 Hanson Rd Spencer, WI  
POST CODE: 54479  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless...
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Kevin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin
ADDRESS: 2108 Tolosa Drive
POST CODE: 75228
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410847462b49c000e6c6070
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From: Lukas zeh
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Lukas zeh
ADDRESS: Thüringer Straße 13
POST CODE: 96465
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108441444842000d5ba2d7
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew Van Vleet
ADDRESS: 727 Laprairie St Ferndale, MI
POST CODE: 48220
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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From: Raquel Fernández
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Raquel Fernández
ADDRESS: 2771 41st ave, san francisco
POST CODE: 94116
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Zak
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zak
ADDRESS: 660 King St, San Francisco, CA
POST CODE: 94107
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Aaron
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Aaron
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084a0b7cb89000d44cc24

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/W3LTSSqYS1mzJnKrkwhmpQ/o.gif>
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From: Bret Burquest  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:20  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners,

We physicians value the ability to write to our patients and know they will receive our messages very quickly. Our post office system tries hard to get messages to all of us in one day, as it was in the past. It cannot now.

Please help preserve our ability to write urgent information to those who need it. We need equal email access, not permitting destruction of our current open access by allowing some users to buy special access and faster email delivery.

Bret Burquest  
28202

From: Holly Read  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Holly Read  
ADDRESS: 12 Rue de la Tête Noire  
POST CODE: 86000  
COUNTRY: FR  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108422003331000bc7ca97

---
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=======================================================================

From: Jennifer
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jennifer
ADDRESS: 4849 El Cemonte Ave
POST CODE: 95618
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084b0a26879000e0b1568

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084af62b49c000cd1f72d

---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Robert Shiraishi  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:20  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Shiraishi  
ADDRESS: 4906 SE 80th Ave.  
POST CODE: Portland Or 97206  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410848af35671000c181994

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Alec Petronsky
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alec Petronsky
ADDRESS: 5880 Boulder Falls Street Apt. 1065
POST CODE: 89011
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084b21e0849000cdb33fe
---
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From: Brendan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brendan
ADDRESS: 2132 N Winchester Ave
POST CODE: 60614
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410847c444842000c5ffeb1
---
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From: Christina
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---
NAME: Christina
ADDRESS: 12802 Roughton drive
POST CODE: 21761
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084e0444842000ba90fb6

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Hendrik Siemens
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hendrik Siemens
ADDRESS: Bluddenstrasse 05
POST CODE: 59329
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084cff35671000d553dd2

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/UphKyxN-S4ySBU4ApdwwzA/uh1>.

From: JMA
date: 09/15/2014 15:01:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JMA
ADDRESS: 2112 Clifford St.
POST CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90026
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108497b7cb89000b3ce90f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook [https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr]
* Follow us on Twitter [https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr]
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. [https://donate.fightforthefuture.org](https://donate.fightforthefuture.org)
  [http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/pQvabpi1RS6nNq8EfE1uVQ/o.gif](http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/pQvabpi1RS6nNq8EfE1uVQ/o.gif)

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
 [http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/pQvabpi1RS6nNq8EfE1uVQ/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: John Vanderveer
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Vanderveer
ADDRESS: 1111 Adams Lake Boulevard
POST CODE: 30339
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084bf003331000c611553
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/uo/a/ZgwNAA/H1I9_Br1QEKlmlCEKHoCVg/uh1>.
From: Raya Desawade  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:21  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Raya Desawade  
ADDRESS: Oakland, CA  
POST CODE: 94607  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
NAME: Christopher Gilhooley
ADDRESS: 182 Hamilton Street
POST CODE: 08901
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084e062b49c000cd1f73a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/8WJbHgLnTNaegtO0t2jCpcw/uh1>.

==============================================================================

From: Nicolai Christoffersen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicolai Christoffersen
ADDRESS: EvensmÅtrÅ,a
POST CODE: 2500
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084f1c60b21000b542b57

---

Want more awesome more often?
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* Follow us on Twitter [https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr]
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. [https://donate.fightforthefuture.org]
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=========================================================================

From: Shaun
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shaun
ADDRESS: 12230 n holly way
POST CODE: 80602
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084de444842000c5ffebf

---
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From: Stephan Ruppert  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stephan Ruppert  
ADDRESS: Franz-Wenzeler-str. 01  
POST CODE: 50259 Pulheim / Germany  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084d5003331000c61155c  
---
From: Zachary Shigeoka
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:22
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zachary Shigeoka
ADDRESS: 3355 kaimuki ave
POST CODE: 96816
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084ea15ab86000d450a70

---
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=================================================================

From: Bonnie Freeman
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:23
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please preserve internet. It is the way I get my news.

Bonnie Freeman
Austin TX78756/5020 Shoalcreek

Bonnie Freeman
78756

=================================================================

From: Brenda Gustafson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:23
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\200\231re enacted.
If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brenda Gustafson
249 Deerfield Ln
Augusta, Georgia 30907

From: Carolyn Rush
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carolyn Rush
ADDRESS: 18600 Osborne Road
POST CODE: Lakevile, IN 46536
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084f2b0a7e4000dc6404c

---
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084ee1e0849000d05c824
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====================================================================================================================================================================

From: Greg Seeley
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Greg Seeley
ADDRESS: 3328 blume st
POST CODE: 87111
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and open access should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084f68b7a18000e7c4da4

---
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=======================================================================================

From: José
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: José
ADDRESS: Spain
POST CODE: 46870
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: José
ADDRESS: Spain
POST CODE: 46870
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084f28b7a18000ddd5bc2

---
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=============

From: Matt Staten  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:23  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Matt Staten  
1075 Laurel Crest Rd  
King, North Carolina 27021
From: Meredith Connors  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Meredith Connors  
ADDRESS: 20 Lancaster drive  
POST CODE: Wayside, NJ 07712  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084f1dfb500e460075

---
From: Barb Dowdy  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Barb Dowdy  
3314 Princeton Ave  
Collinsville, Illinois 62234

From: Lucas Jacob  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Lucas Jacob
ADDRESS: 11 S river rd
POST CODE: 47906
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 541084fcf1110a000d0e73f1
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael
ADDRESS: 2201, 13th Street
POST CODE: 52241
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541084f8c60b21000b542b5a

---
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From: Rachel Robin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:24
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rachel Robin
2500 Marietta St
Chalmette, Louisiana 70043

From: Franta
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Franta
ADDRESS: K Žalovu 221
POST CODE: 25068
COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410850599efdf000e082692

---
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From: Jake Lynch
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jake Lynch
ADDRESS: 1375 Southwest Blvd #02
POST CODE: 94928
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085021e0849000ec72136

---
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From: julie jones
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:25
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

julie jones
1215 Pineview Dr Apt 03
Morgantown, West Virginia 26505
From: Kathy Pilarski  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.  

---  

NAME: Kathy Pilarski  
ADDRESS: 1272 1st Ave  
POST CODE: 53024  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---
From: Andrew Nosal
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew Nosal
ADDRESS: 38 6th St
POST CODE: Providence RI 02906
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085188b7a18000c574e7c
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The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=================================================================================================

From: shaun
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: shaun

ADDRESS: petersfield
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Anton Johansson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anton Johansson  
ADDRESS: Matematikgränd 1D  
POST CODE: 90733  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108539a26879000decbd7f
NAME: Cassandra Williams
ADDRESS: 10464 E Hwy 54
POST CODE: 64744
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410854eb0a7e4000dc6406c
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=======================================================================================

From: Mollie E.
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mollie E.
ADDRESS: Indiana
POST CODE: 47591
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Thomas Haglund
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Haglund
ADDRESS: 1609 old forest road
POST CODE: 35243
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Good morning. I am a concerned citizen that is writing to you regarding net neutrality. I am not a corporation. As this is America, we should all be equal. By allowing large corporations to have faster access to the Internet does not go to the fairness and equality of the United States of America. I am asking you to consider the inequality that would be created by allowing certain entities to control Internet speeds. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Cindy Coffin
Cindy Coffin
91311

From: Eivind Haldorsen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eivind Haldorsen
ADDRESS: HÃ¥kkagata 10
POST CODE: 7714
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 541085091e0849000ec72138
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From: Lauren
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lauren
ADDRESS: 324 S. Diamond Bar Blvd
POST CODE: 91765
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: vinay
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: vinay
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: W V Gebhart
ADDRESS: 517 w dickens ave
POST CODE: chicago, il 60614
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Aleš
ADDRESS: Na Láne 2819
POST CODE: 68801
COUNTRY: CZ
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108559444842000d5ba339

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/mGHPb04kQNGvJlcy866p8w/uh1>.

From: angelina reyes
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: angelina reyes
ADDRESS: 81 coralbell ct Romeoville il
POST CODE: 60446
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410854edac595000d1eb4ed
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/NMMZH44zQtqNXs0hiNwZoA/uh1>.

================================================================================================

From: Pam
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenumer.com.

---

NAME: Pam
ADDRESS: 4502 13th st nw
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108562dac595000d1eb4f5
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefutr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefutr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/B3sVphIRTX2mggeL5f6AyA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/B3sVphIRTX2mggeL5f6AyA/uh1>.
From: Brianna  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brianna  
ADDRESS: 11 Wheeler court  
POST CODE: Seaside, CA 93955  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410852ff35671000bbeb932
---

**Want more awesome more often?**

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/OqHJukhZRoeAeXOLO0lV2A/uh1> .

---

From: David Loukusa
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Loukusa
ADDRESS: 12773 cascade ln, Rogers, mn
POST CODE: 55374
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108560b7cb89000b3ce922

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/BWcTK4n3T_GkpXowDQgSug/o.gif>
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===================================================================

From: Jessica
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jessica
ADDRESS: 146 Panola Road
POST CODE: 72086
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410851fa26879000e0b1587
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/OzycJVm1TQiC1GZtCnJhOg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/OzycJVm1TQiC1GZtCnJhOg/uh1>.

---

From: Darren
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Darren
ADDRESS: 1838 Rodgers rd apt a
POST CODE: 93230
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108567f1110a000d0e7418

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
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From: Jessica  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:31  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jessica
ADDRESS: 2330 NW 11 ST APT 40
POST CODE: 33125
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410856ab7cb89000b3ce923

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Donna Cornett 
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Donna Cornett

ADDRESS: 572 Emery Ct

POST CODE: 40505

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410856c99efdf000e0826b6

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Gavin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gavin
ADDRESS: 1100 savannah chase circle
POST CODE: 27529
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410858f99efdf000e0826ca

---
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam Zider
ADDRESS: 4140 North 37th Ave.
POST CODE: 33021
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jordan Winehouse  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:33  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Jordan Winehouse  
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From: Melissa  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Melissa
ADDRESS: 312 Andres Dr., Manhattan, IL
POST CODE: 60442
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Sten Sjöberg
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sten Sjöberg
ADDRESS: Lindallén 12
POST CODE: 26934
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Victoria gubrud
ADDRESS: 6002 loomis street Lakewood CA
POST CODE: 90713
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Anneke
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Anneke
ADDRESS: 22007 East Lost Lk Rd
POST CODE: 98296
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Edmund Skowronski
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:34
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Edmund Skowronski
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=======================================================================================

From: Hadrien Reina
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Hadrien Reina
ADDRESS: 7424 Azalea lane
POST CODE: 75230
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jim and Linda Swearengen  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:34  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

We are in our late 60’s and are seriously concerned about the political and economic growth of corporate and monied power---and the resulting erosion of access and opportunity by the vast majority of U.S. citizens.

The Internet has allowed citizens and groups without power and money to advocate and to reach out politically. We have seen this effect worldwide.

The Internet must be free, equally available to all users, and not at a greater speed or a fuller capacity to corporations or people with money and power.

Please make a decision that favors the ordinary citizen. Ruling or acting otherwise will certainly lead us down the path to a less free America.

Jim and Linda Swearengen
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From: Michelle  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michelle  
ADDRESS: 14 Nascot street  
POST CODE: W12 0he  
COUNTRY: GB  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Mogens Brandt  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:35  
Subject: Protect Net Neutrality

I was deeply disappointed to hear that the Federal Communications Commission is planning on implementing rule changes that would allow Internet service providers to pay for special, faster lanes to deliver their content. In an environment where large corporations can pay for faster service, start-ups and every day Internet users won’t be able to compete and we will lose the open, free-flowing exchange of information
that has made the Internet so dynamic and integral to our lives.

The FCC can ensure it has the tools to act by reclassifying broadband as a telecommunications service. I urge you to reject the new rules and to take this vital and common sense step toward protecting net neutrality.

Mogens Brandt
28, Hoffmannsvej
Brabrand, ot 8220
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From: Blake Chastain
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Blake Chastain
ADDRESS: 7361 N Seeley Ave
POST CODE: 60645
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085bd62b49c000e6c60ba
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=======================================================================================

From: Chris Nielsen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris Nielsen
ADDRESS: 3348 Woods Ave., Lincoln, NE
POST CODE: 68510
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54108597f1110a000d0e7427
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From: Flint huff
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Flint huff
ADDRESS: 2460 Peachtree Road Nw apt 309, atlanta, ga
POST CODE: 30305
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085abc60b21000df16acd

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/ly392PjBTrGXc4-Qjjsrbg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/ly392PjBTrGXc4-Qjjsrbg/uh1>.

====================================================================

From: Jason
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Jason
ADDRESS: 1846 Glendale Ave.
POST CODE: N8T2N4
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 5410859799efdf000c345c2d
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nate Guzé
ADDRESS: 1980 S. Garth Ave
POST CODE: 90034
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:37
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ—‌É—‌and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear â—‌É—‌investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ—‌É—‌just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Rodrigo Diniz
15208 Summerwood Ln
Alpharetta, GA 30005
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:37
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Andrew Meade
108 Tomahawk Trl
Cranston, RI 02921
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brigette Donohue
20401 Burr Oak Cir
Springfield, NE 68059

========================================================================================================

From: Edgar Covarrubias
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Edgar Covarrubias
ADDRESS: 5648 S. Sawyer Ave.
POST CODE: 60629
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085c7f1110a000b4b53c0
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From: James
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James
ADDRESS: 850 Cotton Depot Ln Apt 234
POST CODE: 76102
COUNTRY: US
COMMENTS: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Michael Rios
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael Rios
ADDRESS: 4444 w Jefferson Blvd
POST CODE: 75211
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Sean
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean
ADDRESS: 2128 bueno Dr. apt 10
POST CODE: 95616
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085b6f1110a000b4b53bd
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Frederick Krenzler
7012 Canevalley Cir
Citrus Hts, CA 95621
diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Bill Aureden
11 Paul Hesser Dr
Lakeside Park, KY 41017
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Andre Jones
3210 Westmoreland St
Beaumont, TX 77705

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:38
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lawanda Shumpert
3109 Honeysuckle Ave
N Las Vegas, NV 89031

=======================================================================================
From: Marisol Masot-Pigueroa
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:38
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085c6fbecab000e3eae5b

---
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=======================================================================================
From: Ari Loucks
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:39
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners.

America is supposed to be about freedom democracy, and equality. We also (are supposed
to) have a strong anti-monopoly policy. The internet is the most equal/democratic
system yet. Segregating speeds based on pay would undermine this system by hurting
everyone except the service providers. The economic ramifications could also be dire
with small businesses suffering thus hindering countless individuals incomes and
wellbeings. As is America has some of the slowest internet in the developed world;
don’t let it get any worse.

Ari Loucks
70123

=======================================================================================
From: Christopher Chaney
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christopher Chaney
ADDRESS: 5401 NE 15th Ave Portland Oregon
POST CODE: 97211
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085d08b7a18000ddd5be7

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christopher Kunkel
ADDRESS: 39 Childerhose CRES
POST CODE: N3P1Z8
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410859c15ab86000e5eaa01

---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kyecka Murdock
2288 Eden Roc Ln Apt 03
Sacramento, CA 95825

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kyecka Murdock
2288 Eden Roc Ln Apt 03
Sacramento, CA 95825
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Helen Taylor
B13 Bayshore Dr
South Amboy, NJ 08879

==================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Judy Wilkinson
665 Qualls Rd
Frisco City, AL 36445

==================================================================
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Bevans
11533 165th St
Jamaica, NY 11434

---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rodney Laughlin
3792 Barkwood Dr
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:39  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Fehrenbacker  
116 E 400 N  
Hurricane, UT 84737

From: william rodwick  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:39  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 allows you to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal instrument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites.

Please use this authority to stop the blatant takeover by big corporations of the internet.

Net neutrality is vital for keeping a level playing field for new & established businesses getting access to this communication medium.

You can do this if you’re brave enough.

Thank you, in anticipation, for your efforts in this direction.

Bill Rodwick PE
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:40  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Andrea Egan
340 Sherwood Dr
Keyport, NJ 07735

From: Andrew  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew
ADDRESS: 5122 Crown Ave.
POST CODE: 91011
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085cd444842000ec58e22

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/W_i-Bwi1SV2sis8-V-Vabg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/W_i-Bwi1SV2sis8-V-Vabg/uh1>.
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

If the internet has a fast lane then big moneyed interests will be the only ones that will be able to afford using it, and the rest of us will end up using the slow lanes. This would tilt the balance of power in our democracy even further toward the one percent, and we should not let this happen.

Barbara Fink
20906

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Emanuel Hillery
2360 Church St
Sanford, FL 32771

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jim Hale
11810 Knobsby Way
San Antonio, TX 78253

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:40
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Julia Clark
648 County Road 412
Dayton, TX 77535

From: kayci
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085d8a26879000bdcb650
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
from innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 541085e4b0a7e4000dc64095
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=======================================================================================

From: Bhavna
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bhavna
ADDRESS: 733 Hill St
POST CODE: 90405
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085dec60b21000e1183cf
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=======================================================================================

From: Johan Bejke
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Johan Bejke
ADDRESS: Pennygången 16
POST CODE: 41482 Gothenburg
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085e1fbecab000c289023
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From: Kajsa Henriksson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: Kajsa Henriksson
ADDRESS: språkgränd 41
POST CODE: 907 33
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Amy Lepke
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amy Lepke
ADDRESS: 3770 S 43rd St #11 Milwaukee WI
POST CODE: 53220
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew
ADDRESS: 7528 66th
POST CODE: 11379
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Eric Brown
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric Brown
ADDRESS: 8803 Pebble Beach Ct
POST CODE: 92071
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Sam Fold
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam Fold
ADDRESS: 1197 Spectrum
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085f915ab86000b62d37b

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085ef1e0849000b596c21
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Karl-Jan Karlsen
ADDRESS: Hetlevikåsen
POST CODE: 5173
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative...
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 541085f162b49c000e6c60c7
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From: John Lopez
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Lopez
ADDRESS: 5273 Knollwood Dr #04 Parma OH
POST CODE: 44129
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085fc15ab86000cb1b87e
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=======================================================================================

From: Joshua Alper
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:44
Subject: In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, GN Docket No. 14-28

To the Commissioners of the FCC:

I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America, West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the open Internet. The FCC must institute rules that protect Internet openness and prevent a few powerful gatekeepers from stifling creativity, innovation, competition and free speech. The FCC should reclassify broadband Internet service under Title II.

Currently, the open Internet works like the phone lines. Consumers can call whomever
they want; nobody gets to limit who they can call. Likewise, consumers choose where they want to go on the Internet; an Internet provider doesn’t decide what content has preferential access or what is relegated to a slow lane.

But Internet service providers (ISPs) want that to change. They want the power to decide, depending on their priorities and who pays them the most, what websites and services get priority access to consumers. The weak rules they advocate for will put decision making and power over the Internet in their hands.

The Internet is too vital to the free exchange of ideas to allow the few companies who control delivery to edit the ideas and content that flow through it. Their ability to limit free speech is also bad economic policy. Economists across the political spectrum agree that when companies can construct barriers to entry, markets are not free and efficient. New competition is locked out, resulting in a form of monopoly that causes consumers to suffer from higher prices—like their cable bills—and fewer choices.

The open Internet has introduced new competition in news, information and entertainment. There are new buyers for what we as writers create. There are new and diverse content choices for consumers. These services have emerged outside of the traditional film and television business, where after decades of consolidation and mergers, seven corporations control 95% of television production and viewing. But these new competitors are a threat to existing video providers, and the largest Internet providers, who are also the largest cable television providers, have an incentive to limit the growth of competing online video services.

The fate of the Internet is in the hands of the FCC. To prevent the Internet from becoming a controlled platform like cable television, the FCC must take strong action to prevent blocking, degrading or other forms of discrimination of lawful Internet traffic. The FCC must use its authority to reclassify broadband Internet access a telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act. Doing so is the only way to ensure the FCC can institute legally sound rules that preserve the open Internet by preventing ISPs from engaging in unjust and unreasonable behavior in their treatment of Internet traffic.

Without strong action, new competition will be unfairly locked out, innovation will be stifled, and the promise of the open Internet will remain unfulfilled. Please do not allow this to happen.

Sincerely,

Joshua Alper

=======================================================================================
From: khondokar
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: khondokar
ADDRESS: dhaka
POST CODE: 1216
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410855dc60b21000df16aae
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====================================================================

From: Lisa Williams
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:44
NAME: Lisa Williams
ADDRESS: 113 Nate Cv Beebe AR
POST CODE: 72012
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 541085e615ab86000b62d378
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From: Madeleine Persson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:44  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Madeleine Persson  
ADDRESS: Helsingsväg 05  
POST CODE: 82740  
COUNTRY: SE  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: nick leventis
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:44
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please keep the internet out of the control of companies. We need net neutrality

nick leventis
94014

=======================================================================================

From: Allan Lin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefthenet.com.

---

NAME: Allan Lin
ADDRESS: 11746 se harold st
POST CODE: 97266
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules...
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Daniel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel

ADDRESS: 1126 Broadway

POST CODE: 11221

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108609dfbdb5000d11efd0

---
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From: Duncan Brown  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Duncan Brown  
ADDRESS: 2103 6th Ave  
POST CODE: 79015  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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=======================================================================================
From: Henrique ruivo
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
---
NAME: Henrique ruivo
ADDRESS: 241 Clark Pl Elizabeth nj
POST CODE: 07206
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410860db7cb89000d44cc89
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=======================================================================================
From: Joyce Frasier
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:45
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joyce Frasier
5674 Beach Rd
Perry, Florida 32348

From: Nick Heaven
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick Heaven
ADDRESS: 1712 35th st wyoming mi
POST CODE: 49519
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Nilab
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nilab
ADDRESS: Uilenhoek 34
POST CODE: 9932LH
COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541085f5003331000c6115b6

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAAFxou6aLZR7ioyqpeUtmSmw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAAFxou6aLZR7ioyqpeUtmSmw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Ryan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan
ADDRESS: crystal lake
POST CODE: 60014
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410860af1110a000e42f39b

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sergio racanati
ADDRESS: 23 romanko ave little ferry nj
POST CODE: 07643
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108613b0a7e4000dc640a6

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Shirley Reynolds  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:45  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Shirley Reynolds  
6808 Potomac Pkwy  
Arlington, Texas 76017

From: Devin Rogers  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Devin Rogers
ADDRESS: New York
POST CODE: 11978
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410861462b49c000d1649ec

---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Gabriel K
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gabriel K
ADDRESS: 2664 silvers stone lane
POST CODE: 95407
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410860e003331000ed4df06
---
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=======================================================================================

From: John
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John
ADDRESS: 5401 Park Terrace Ave
POST CODE: 80111
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108628444842000ba9100f

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Linda Schreppel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:46
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know
that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Linda Schreppel
124 Illinois St
Oswego, Kansas 67356

=======================================================================================
From: Rachael Williams
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:46
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rachael Williams
127 Oregon Ave
Vandergrift, Pennsylvania 15690

=======================================================================================
From: Sherita Jackson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:46  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sherita Jackson  
1502 N Clayton St  
Wilmington, Delaware 19806

====================================================================

From: Abby  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Abby  
ADDRESS: 2110 Cortez Dr  
POST CODE: 46755  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108588003331000ed4dedb

---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Clint
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: Clint
ADDRESS: 1880 Par Lane Apt. 201
POST CODE: 72762
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108619dfb500e4600b2

---
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From: Helen Ivey
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:47
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Helen Ivey
363 3rd Ave
Winder, Georgia 30680

From: joshua fonua
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:47
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option, because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we
possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

joshua fonua
711 N Oakley St
Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

-----------------------------------------------

From: Brandon
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brandon
ADDRESS: Oxbridge loop
POST CODE: 76544
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108653444842000ec58e4f
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/X5Ep7XB9SLuAN3ibZ8KVeg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/X5Ep7XB9SLuAN3ibZ8KVeg/uh1>.

================================================================================================

From: Chanell Bethley
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:48
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
From: Eric W.
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric W.
ADDRESS: 394 chaseway apartment b
POST CODE: 59718 Bozeman Montana
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108638c60b21000e1183e5

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Gary Tesser
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:48
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn't regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along â€” no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationjust as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gary Tesser
2455 Haring St Apt 5A
Brooklyn, New York 11235

=======================================================================================

From: Horace Fincher
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:48
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Horace Fincher
PO Box 28
Arma, Kansas 66712

========================================================================

From: Iya Olasowo-adefunmi
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:48
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along â—­ no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Iya Olasowo-adefunmi
18710 NW 27th Ave Apt 201
From: scott
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: scott
ADDRESS: 9156 maple dr
POST CODE: 46373
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Sheryl Carobine  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Carobine  
429 Case St  
Kingsford, Michigan 49802

From: Bryan Rickard  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:49  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Bryan Rickard
PO Box 533
Roseboro, North Carolina 28382

=======================================================================================

From: Claudia Lightfoot
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:49
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rule making, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present form.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.
Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Claudia Lightfoot
1303 Rivercrest Dr
Warsaw, Indiana 46580

From: jarrod dlugos
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: jarrod dlugos
ADDRESS: 74465 twilight dr
POST CODE: 92277
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108629a26879000ca29810
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=======================================================================================

From: joseph banks
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:49
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

joseph banks
131 Pine St NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30313
From: Nestor Bataz  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nestor Bataz  
ADDRESS: 6228 n Campbell Avenue  
POST CODE: 60203  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That is right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

PAT DUFFEY
1201 Wildwood St
Mission, Texas 78572
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sue?? Pontifex
ADDRESS: 13947 27 Ave
POST CODE: V4P 2L2
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410864715ab86000e5eaa36

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Tyler Hervey  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler Hervey  
ADDRESS: 4901 falcon mill rd apt 428 Arlington tx  
POST CODE: 76001  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Tyler Wernette
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler Wernette
ADDRESS: 12800 Vonn Rd
POST CODE: 33774
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==============================================================================

From: Vincent Smith
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:49
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along â€” no government intervention.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Vincent Smith
3419 E Mendenhal Dr
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70814

=======================================================================================

From: Erica Gamez
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:50
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Erica Gamez
1726 Caravan Trl
Dallas, Texas 75241

=======================================================================================

From: Kurt Goldstein
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Kurt Goldstein
ADDRESS: 203 Loudon Rd
POST CODE: 03301
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: lillie horton
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:50
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

lillie horton
385 Furman Ln
Ladson, South Carolina 29456

From: Thomas Swearingin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:50
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet
does not need the federal government’s help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Thomas Swearingin
29795 Run Ln
Richland, Missouri 65556

=======================================================================================

From: tomisha gary
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:50
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

tomisha gary
4102 Trotters Run Ct
Aiken, South Carolina 29803

=======================================================================================
From: Vonnie Toya  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:50  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help, and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Vonnie Toya  
3511 Camino La Tierra  
Gallup, New Mexico 87301

From: Brando Astrua  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brando Astrua  
ADDRESS: Carrer de Sardenya 274, 7º 1º  
POST CODE: 08013  
COUNTRY: IT  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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===============================================================================

From: Ileen Belknap
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:51
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ileen Belknap
20656 Alta Vista Way
Redding, California 96003

From: Melissa George
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:51
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
From: Nick steele  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:

“...What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nick steele  
190 Cherry St  
Freeport, Michigan 49325

From: Tracy merlino  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:52  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Tracy merlino
720 Forest Ave
Canon City, Colorado 81212

From: Aimée
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Aimée
ADDRESS: Theoret
POST CODE: S4N6X6
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Angela Johnson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.
Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Angela Johnson
11504 Taylor Rd
Thonotosassa, Florida 33592

=======================================================================================

From: Jennifer Mendizabal
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Mendizabal
5015 W 194th Ter
Stilwell, Kansas 66085

=======================================================================================

From: Lissa Price-Moore
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before they\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn\200\231t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Lissa Price-Moore
501 Bloomfield Ave Apt 5H
Caldwell, New Jersey 07006

From: Luis Rojo
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\200\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\200\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn\200\231t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along â\200\223 no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\200\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Luis Rojo
4329 S Wood St
Chicago, Illinois 60609
From: Mitzie Stevenson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:53  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mitzie Stevenson  
109 E Gift Ave Apt A  
Peoria, Illinois 61603

---

From: Emily Romero  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along â no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Emily Romero
938 E Appleton St Apt 11
Long Beach, California 90802

=======================================================================================
From: Erick Wibben
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erick Wibben
ADDRESS: 3615 jonquil ct
POST CODE: 52302
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Johnny Redmond
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:54
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better. The Internet is not a “public utility” and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Johnny Redmond
602 W King St
Martinsburg, West Virginia 25401
From: Katline  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katline
ADDRESS: 5N316 Meadowview Lane
POST CODE: 60175
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108657c60b21000df16b07
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nikolai Fauskrud
ADDRESS: Å\230yrev. 06
POST CODE: 2608
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108656dfbdb5000d11efda

---
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From: Ren
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ren
ADDRESS: â\200\223
POST CODE: â\200\223
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410861bf35671000d553e31
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Robert Carlson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:54
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The thing is democracy needs net neutrality to survive. I assume that Wheeler-dealer knows this but just doesn’t care, which means that he should resign. He’s either way over his head, deluded, or has gained access to the coffers of the plutocratic elite.

So do the brave, self-sacrificing thing, Mr Chairman- resign!

Robert Carlson
From: Valerie Tran  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Valerie Tran
614 E Brodie Rd
Goodman, Missouri 64843

From: Anthony Briglia  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Anthony Briglia
2102 Pickett St
Hopewell, Virginia 23860

From: DONNA LOPEZ
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:55
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

DONNA LOPEZ
1716 Boysenberry Way
Palmdale, California 93550

From: sandra holland
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:55
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

sandra holland
900 Cherry Ln
Lemoore, California 93245

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Marcus Skovgaard Laubacher
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marcus Skovgaard Laubacher
ADDRESS: hulebækvej 07
POST CODE: 4350
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410865da26879000ca2981c
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Angela Black
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:57
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality has been the default state of the internet since its invention. Internet service providers load all websites at the same speed. Now, Big Telecom wants to change the rules so they can extract more money from websites by making them pay to be served to customers at faster speeds.

In order to preserve net neutrality, the FCC needs to ban Big Telecom from creating
these so-called fast-lanes which only large companies could afford. Start-ups and independent sites like Daily Kos would be left behind and crushed.

The FCC has tried protecting net neutrality twice before, and been defeated by Big Telecom in court both times. In order to legally prevent Big Telecom from destroying net neutrality once again, the FCC should assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility. This legal argument offers the most protection for real net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling content speed and blocking websites. I am in favor of keeping the NET open for all people, rich or poor. Do not let big business control communications on the NET.

Angela Black
90805

=======================================================================================

From: Lee Westbrook  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:57  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Please preserve net neutrality to protect democracy and innovation. Breaking the internet into a fast lane that big moneyed interests can afford, and slow lanes for everyone else, would tilt the balance of power in our democracy even further toward the one percent. Don’t let this happen.

Lee Westbrook
28461

=======================================================================================

From: Adam Zaccone  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:58  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adam Zaccone
ADDRESS: 1608 cyclone ave harln ia
POST CODE: 51537
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become

...
The bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410866599efdf000e08270f
---
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From: Dmitry
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dmitry
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5415942f19b0e50200b46e77
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From: pam duelley  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:59  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Once again I feel Congress is ignoring the small and individual user instead of rewarding big business for just being big.

pam duelley
41102

From: Seth  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Seth  
ADDRESS: 1010 N Salisbury St  
POST CODE: 47906  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
Currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:59
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Tamera Luan Hunt
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 800 Berry Ln
Zip: 65781

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be
slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Travis Gomez
Date: 09/15/2014 15:01:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Travis Gomez
ADDRESS: 1720 Obispo Ave apt 07
POST CODE: 90804
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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================================================================================================================================================================================================================================
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/kpgTm0KvQbak2vBvz1csNA/uh1>.
From: Elaine Schuster  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:01  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I am just an ordinary retired homemaker who relies on the internet for news, for homemaking features, for communicating with my friends, for trip planning, for shopping. I would hate to have any censorship or restrictions on my viewing.

Cable and telecom companies already have a substantial monopoly on what we can see on TV and in the news. It would be criminal to shut down alternative sources. Please maintain net neutrality.

Thank you.

Elaine Schuster
48075

From: jennifer  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: jennifer
ADDRESS: 7973 w Plymouth Pl
POST CODE: 80128
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Simen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Simen
ADDRESS: Rolf E. Stenersens allé 32
POST CODE: Oslo
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Sonja BjÃ Andresen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.
NAME: Sonja Bjäde
ADDRESS: Egnahemsvägen 18
POST CODE: 57450
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Bob  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bob  
ADDRESS: NYC  
POST CODE: 10453  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Dan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Dan
ADDRESS: 2455 w 900 s
POST CODE: 46985
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: David Szczepaniak
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Szczepaniak
ADDRESS: 02-105 Hart ave
POST CODE: r3w 1n4
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410867ac60b21000b542bad

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/jmu3U7kURxy9EJmb4c2nlg/uh1>.

===================================================

From: Dixie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforallthenet.com.

---
NAME: Dixie

ADDRESS: 4512 Keys Dr

POST CODE: 75056

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108667c60b21000c7f181f
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
From: Josh  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  
---  

NAME: Josh  
ADDRESS: 25 southgate rd  
POST CODE: 12211  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
NAME: Dara
ADDRESS: 301
POST CODE: Parkston, SD 57366
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086a999efdf000e082723

---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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=======================================================================================
From: Julian
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julian
ADDRESS: 5336 Ridge Ave
POST CODE: 19128
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086a78b7a18000b93cf9e

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Rachael
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rachael

ADDRESS: 501 N Jordan
POST CODE: 47406
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086ad1e0849000cdb351d

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alec
ADDRESS: 60 Lyford Rd
POST CODE: SW18 3JJ
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410869afbecab000dab2341
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Armando Duran
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:04
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners,

Dear FCC,

Net Neutrality is fundamental to keeping the internet and voices of the people on an even playing field in an age when giant corporations and wealthy interests already dominate every aspect of our democracy and already dominate policy making, today. The internet and access to it should not be allowed to be decided by heartless corporations and they unquenchable thirst for profit.

Please keep it free and fair for the people and not for the profiteers to exploit and corrupt for a bigger buck.

Thank you,

Armando Duran
Armando Duran
78660

=======================================================================================

From: jason hobbs
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jason hobbs
ADDRESS: 535 jacqueline
POST CODE: 49202
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086bd1e0849000ec721a4

---
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From: Jeannine Maynard  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:04  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Net neutrality is essential to the future development of the infrastructure known as the internet. Anything less will incentivize development of alternative pathways, and pathways where our business and national interests are not treated as friendly, common, stakeholder interest. The next generation of digital natives are here, and they will not feel the same incentives to carry burdens for an older generation of decision makers whose skills they can outpace if there is no justice. Create a net neutral environment and we can all be held to higher standards together.

Thank you.

Jeannine Maynard

11550

================================================================================================

From: Robert  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert  
ADDRESS: 3020 old Hwy 08 Roseville, MN  
POST CODE: 55113  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086b0fbeb0b000dab234b

---
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---

From: K Brink
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:05
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please take a stand for consumers vs. corporate interests that support profits. Do not let pressure from lobbyists and private corporate interests destroy what has been and should always be an open internet, free from financial pressure that will surely hurt American consumers and users.

Corporations already control so much of our lives and use their cash to influence and control public opinion. Individuals need your protection to make sure that the internet doesn’t become another place where corporate monies and political $ control what we have good access to.

Please. Stand up for net neutrality and DON’T let this disappear. This is an extremely important moment for you to choose what’s right for America’s future. You have the power to control what happens but only if you reject the lobbyists and corporate pressures over public interest.

Thanks. We’re counting on you to protect us even in the face of outside pressure to ruin the internet.
Much appreciate your listening!

K Brink
21788

=======================================================================================

From: Luke
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luke

ADDRESS: 15914 44th ave w aptb204

POST CODE: 98037

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086c9f1110a000d0e7499

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/gTA-49d5RAWhYj5rdZQlGw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/gTA-49d5RAWhYj5rdZQlGw/uh1>.

=================================================================================================

From: Nathaniel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nathaniel
ADDRESS: 4300 Old Dominion Dr. apt #510
POST CODE: 22207
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086aff1110a000d0e748e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/eYKDkUkQJyAuUfF_QMc-g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/eYKDkUkQJyAuUfF_QMc-g/uh1>.

From: Sean Richards
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean Richards
ADDRESS: 105 Bobbie St
POST CODE: Bossier City, La 71112
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086cafbecab000c289048

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/6fQHpmxSSiKjSlwHEst3eQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/6fQHpmxSSiKjSlwHEst3eQ/uh1>.

===============================================================================

From: Alex Lopes
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Alex Lopes
ADDRESS: 2000 Mont Royal Montréal QC
POST CODE: H2H1K1
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086be62b49c000e6c60f5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Léo Wang  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Léo Wang  
ADDRESS: 207 Louis-Berlinguet  
POST CODE: J3X 1L1  
COUNTRY: CA  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086dcb0a7e4000dc640ee

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/I18y888aTWSJpSJ2pMCSMA/uh1>.

From: Bình Nguyen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bình Nguyen
ADDRESS: 4910 E Laureldale Dr, Houston
POST CODE: 77041
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086d4fbecab000dab2355

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/eZ_39zSHRMKuH65Omleuwg/o.gif>
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====================================================================================================

From: Boniface karumanchery
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Boniface karumanchery

ADDRESS: 9307 home ave

POST CODE: 60016

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086dfb7cb89000d44cccf

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/WjxP1f4GQHC6PoJweBq0kA/uh1>.

====================================================================

From: Christian Dannstrøm
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Christian Dannstrøm
ADDRESS: Hvalåsveien 11
POST CODE: 3230
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086d6f35671000d553e60

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
From: Robert
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 91203
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Roy Saltman  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:07  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I maintain a blog, but I am a small user of Internet services. I deserve the same treatment as every other Internet user, despite a size difference.

Roy Saltman  
21044

From: Tobias Löf Melker  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:07  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Tobias Löf Melker  
ADDRESS: Skövde  
POST CODE: 54170  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086cc444842000d5ba396
---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/6HCVxz0CR8CsZp_4vsRofQ/uh1> .

=======================================================================================
From: Alexander
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Alexander
ADDRESS: 18 LOUISBURG SQ
POST CODE: 02108
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410865ef1110a000b4b53d6

---
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From: Bethany eldred  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bethany eldred
ADDRESS: 412 n 21st st
POST CODE: 90640
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---

REF: 541086deb7cb89000e2b31d5
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=======================================================================================

From: Corey Quintana  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:08  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I’m speechless... Most of us that Are aware of this potential change think that it’s a bad idea. But I guess money buys everything these days and what the people want just doesn’t matter anymore. I want to preserve Net Neutrality ! We will not take a back seat position on this proposed change, it affects us all too much.

Regards
Guy on the ”Web”

Corey Quintana
91945

=======================================================================================

From: Tristen Thomas  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:08  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Tristen Thomas
ADDRESS: 120 South Kingston Drive #7A
POST CODE: 47408
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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====================================================================

From: carolyn hoefler
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
NAME: carolyn hoefler
ADDRESS: 345 fegan drive #B
POST CODE: san clemente, 92672
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086e399efdf000e08273b

---
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From: Jonathan  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathan  
ADDRESS: 35B S 25th St  
POST CODE: 15203  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Matthew Cervenka
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew Cervenka
ADDRESS: 160 e 38 st ny,ny
POST CODE: 10016
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086dffbecab000b574877

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:09
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: D Woodside
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address:
Zip: 48656

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the â€œHands off the Netâ€ approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling
todayâs bipartisan â Hands off the Netâs approach. You canât have â just enough Title IIâs any more than you can be â slightly pregnant.âs

Title II would hurt startups most: they canât cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCCâs powers over the Internet. Remember, you wonât be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you donât intend.

Yet, as youâve noted, Title II wouldnât even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban âs fast lanes.âs

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called âs vigilant restraint.âs But remember Kennardâs digital mantra, too: âs First, do no harm.âs If you conclude that existing laws arenât adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCCâs powers over the Internet. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim â whether thatâs Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

=======================================================================================

From: Sasha
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sasha
ADDRESS: 8801 Narron Ct., Raleigh, NC
POST CODE: 27617
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Shanna
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shanna
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086f5f1110a000b4b53ed
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From: steve  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: steve  
ADDRESS: 4311 Spector mason mi  
POST CODE: 48854  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Håvard Krüger  
ADDRESS: Jarnesodden 7D  
POST CODE: 6222  
COUNTRY: NO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086f5fbecab000e3eae9f
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=======================================================================================

From: Jane Gates
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:10
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Ladies & Gentlemen, it is imperative that net neutrality remains as is so that all have comparable access to use the Internet as both provider of information and reader. I think this is especially important for users who are disabled/home bound and thus look at many websites for knowledge, encouragement, resources as well as entertainment. The last thing one needs is find that large corporations, LLCs, organization are able to compromise what other users can do.

Respectfully,

Jane Gates

Jane Gates

94544

=======================================================================================

From: Michaela
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michaela
ADDRESS: 201 Cornell Dr.
POST CODE: 61761
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541086e91e0849000b596c3b

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Brian Parker  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian Parker  
ADDRESS: 314 Olympia court fort walton beach Florida  
POST CODE: 32547  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410870bb7cb89000cb2b17e

---
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=================================================================

From: Joseph Arnold
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph Arnold
ADDRESS: 2515 E. Ave. Q4
POST CODE: Palmdale, Ca 93550
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410868ab0a7e4000dc640d2
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=======================================================================================

From: Mimmi
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mimmi
ADDRESS: KimonkylÄntie 188
POST CODE: 47310
COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Bill Fein
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Bill Fein
ADDRESS: 01 Carlton B Goodlett Place
POST CODE: San Francisco, CA 94102
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108701dfb0b5000d11eff1
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:12  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ"²â"²and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ"²â"²just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brandon Pierson
1413 N Narcissus Ave
Broken Arrow, OK 74012

From: Jamie  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jamie  
ADDRESS: 3775 SE Belmont St  
POST CODE: 97214  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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============================================

From: Josh
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:12
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 5410867e1e0849000cdb3501
From: joshua cuevas  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: joshua cuevas  
ADDRESS: 705 E Jones street  
POST CODE: 78102  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Kevin Belliotti
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:12
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Kevin Belliotti
91307

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jessica Peterson
352 Timberwood Ct
Oviedo, FL 32765

=======================================================================================

From: Ryan Johnson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan Johnson
ADDRESS: 308 n 9th Ave
POST CODE: 59715
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087118b7a18000e7c4e8d
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From: Sharon scott
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sharon scott
ADDRESS: 13720 23rdAve NE
POST CODE: 98125
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410870cc60b21000e118407

---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/Thlb_YFNTR0v0LRxomsWbA/uh1>.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:13
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong.
someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Levanseler
41027 Canton Ct
Canton, MI 48188

=======================================================================================

From: Beatrice Lindholm
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Beatrice Lindholm
ADDRESS: Ormebacksvägen 12
POST CODE: 54198
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108709dac595000d1eb58e

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:13
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Elisha Baity
85 Pine Level Ln
Greenville, AL 36037
From: Gaby k  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gaby k  
ADDRESS: Sun rd  
POST CODE: 07768  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Hannah
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hannah
ADDRESS: 4586 tabor rd nw
POST CODE: 49321
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108700dfb05000cb0cb6f
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Jose
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jose
ADDRESS: Mexico DF
POST CODE: 15944
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410871dc60b21000b542bcf

---
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====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:13
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying
for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no
longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job
providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline
電話 service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era
phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the
Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulationjust as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Janice Mcglon
302-310 16th Ave Apt 204
Newark, NJ 07103

=======================================================================================

From: Mary Schwaiger
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mary Schwaiger
ADDRESS: 171 Schwaiger Dr.
POST CODE: 35055
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108720f35671000eb3cd55
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=======================================================================================

From: Ola
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ola
ADDRESS: yolostreet
POST CODE: 66106
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410870d99efdf000e08274e

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Russell
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Russell
ADDRESS: 2075 E Mountain St
POST CODE: 91104

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087078b7a18000ddd5c34
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew Hogan
ADDRESS: 5842 Fayetteville Rd
POST CODE: 27713
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Phil Paolella
611 Main St
Tullytown, PA 19007

=======================================================================================

From: Daniel Marston
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:14
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC Chair Wheeler,

Please do not allow the free, self-regulating, open internet be ABORTED. Net Neutrality is an amazing achievement of modern human beings’ struggle to achieve something like FREEDOM in this challenging world.

Thank you for SAVING Net Neutrality!
Yours sincerely,
Daniel Marston

(US citizen residing in Switzerland; I am using the zip code of my former home in Eau Claire, Wisconsin)

Daniel Marston

54702

==================================================================================================

From: Ethan Carlson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ethan Carlson
ADDRESS: 4810 Mortensen road 214
POST CODE: 50014
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---

From: John
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John
ADDRESS: Albany NY
POST CODE: 12203
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108727f35671000eb3cd58
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======================================================================================

From: Jordan VanMatre
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jordan VanMatre
ADDRESS: 939 Indiana Ave Anderson Indiana
POST CODE: 46062
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410872ec60b21000b542bd3

---
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=================================================================
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Matthew Nolting
1801 Seminole Ln
Pueblo, CO 81001

From: Austin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Austin
ADDRESS: 06 Cubs Path
POST CODE: 01748
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108742fbcab000b57489c

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:15
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâand not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the
dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear Â– investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationÂ–just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brent Laffoon
171 N Sycamore Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90036

From: Harry Norwood
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Harry Norwood
ADDRESS: 3004 Cocklebur rd decatur ga
POST CODE: 30034
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410873b15ab86000d450b20

---
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From: Keith Langlois  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:15  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Keith Langlois
1085 Maohu St
Makawao, Hawaii 96768

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:15
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Zeb Stahmer
2133 Ridgeview Dr
Billings, MT 59105
justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Chet Griffey
4904 Crestview Cir
Parkersburg, WV 26104

From: Gary Eggers
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:16
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gary Eggers
2559 490th St
Stacyville, Iowa 50476

From: Halie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Halie
ADDRESS: 125 Beechwood Road
POST CODE: 07901
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410874662b49c000cd1f7fe

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:16  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ–² and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ–² just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brisha Green  
405 Orchard Trace Ln Apt 03  
Charlotte, NC 28213  

From: Kyle  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle  
ADDRESS: 1546 Villa Rica Dr Henderson Nevada  
POST CODE: 89052  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108733b0a7e4000c165740
---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
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=====================================================================

From: Paul Vaughan Evans
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paul Vaughan Evans  
ADDRESS: 09 Ewood  
POST CODE: BB2 4LL  
COUNTRY: GB  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410873999efdf000c345ca9

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—200\224and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mariabruna Sirabella
240 Westgate Dr Ste 125
Watsonville, CA 95076

From: Jayda San Gabriel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jayda San Gabriel
ADDRESS: 1269 Potrero Circle Suinsun City
POST CODE: 94585
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 5410873df35671000eb3cd5d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/I-0AWLXhT8Wszq0VFXnWsA/uh1>.

From: Agon Dobroshi
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Agon Dobroshi
ADDRESS: Løvetann v 4b
POST CODE: 7800
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410874c62b49c000cd1f803

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along. No government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Alvin Merrill
501 Barker St
East Jordan, MI 49727
responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Alex Hilliard
1409 Loretto Cir
Odessa, FL 33556

From: Bulus LEFLORE
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:18
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Please protect the open Internet . Do not bow to big cable.

Bulus LEFLORE
39051

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Frank Le
8016 Mcmurry Dr
Arlington, TX 76002

--------------------

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jason Tompkins
105 S 4th St
Marshalltown, IA 50158

--------------------

From: Mary Silver
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:18
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

At this time in history we the people are asking for a break. We want the internet to stay neutral. We don’t want big companies being able to pay higher prices in order to get priority. The internet was invented so that everyone could be equal on it and that’s how it should stay. We are living at a time when big money and big companies are trying to take over everything. We the people (and that doesn’t include corporations, despite what the supreme court ruled) are being stepped on by big
companies such as the cable giants and AT&T and by the richest 0.1% in history that think they are better than everyone else and want to control everyone. If you let them get away with this, then how will smaller companies compete? How will people be able to use the internet fairly.

This is a historic moment and so far, the FCC is unable to provide real protection for the people it is supposed to represent. What the FCC should do is assert authority under Title II of the Telecommunications Act to regulate the internet as a public utility. Please keep the internet equal for all, just as it was created to be.

Mary Silver
07047

=================================================================================================

From: mat
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: mat
ADDRESS: 6600 henri bourassa oueat
POST CODE: h4r 0g4
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or Designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108750444842000c5fff11

---
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==================================================================================================

From: Morgan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Morgan
ADDRESS: 249 Unity Road
POST CODE: 04910
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410874a62b49c000d164a5b
---
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===================================================================================================

From: Richard Anderson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:18
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The United States of America earned the admiration of the World Community by permitting its strength to reside within the power of its people. We, the people, have used our power wisely to shape the guaranteed freedoms and opportunities for which we and our ancestors over the years have courageously struggled to attain and protect for all Americans.

We must today, as we have throughout our history, hold safe our freedoms and opportunities from those among us who believe they are entitled to seize the power of the people as their own.
The people’s internet, developed through use of public resources by our government, has become an essential source for the power of information belonging to the public commons.

This source for the power of information must be preserved for the free use of all. We must protect our access to this power of information from those who wish to seize it as their own for the purposes of encumbrance and profit making.

The FCC, as an agent of the people, must act to defend the common good and preserve net neutrality.

Richard Anderson
98569

=================================================================================================================================================================

From: Sharron McCuistion-Lewis
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:18
Subject: Net Neutrality

PLEASE don’t let corporate greed interfere with the internet!

=================================================================================================================================================================

From: Christopher mcevoy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Christopher mcevoy
ADDRESS: 2469 n Santa Rita ave
POST CODE: 85719
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410874962b49c000d164a5a

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:19
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€’200\224and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving
government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ronald Houswerth
2201 Old Dancy Rd
Mosinee, WI 54455

From: Stephanie Davis
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

--

NAME: Stephanie Davis
ADDRESS: PO Box 847
POST CODE: 83856
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Timothy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Timothy
ADDRESS: 18830 VISTA DEL CANON
POST CODE: 91321
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==============================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:20
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Alecia Landreth
310 N 6th St
Benld, IL 62009

==================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:20
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Fred Wagner
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: Rock Falls, Il.
Zip: 61071

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be “slightly pregnant.”

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.
Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Erling Vingelsgård
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erling Vingelsgård
ADDRESS: Sivilhaugen
POST CODE: 2560
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Glen Pirro
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:20
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Glen Pirro
1104 Old Hendersonville Hwy
Brevard, North Carolina 28712

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Darnell Pickard
116 Pinedale St
Thomaston, GA 30286

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don't break the Internet. Don't abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible
the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

-----------------------------------------------

From: Katherine Heisel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katherine Heisel
ADDRESS: 421 SE Conifer Pl, Sublimity OR
POST CODE: 97385
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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==================================================================================================================================
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

This is an unprecedented moment in history. We need to protect the Internet as we know it by naming it a public utility. If we allow anything else, it will destroy the functionality as a platform for free speech and a place for open ideas and information. I abhor the idea of the Internet going the way of television where information and news is watered down to mindless dribble interspersed with aggressive advertising. Stand up for something bigger than yourself. Allow a free and open Internet where all voices can be heard. Generations to come will be grateful.

Lee Frisari

11221

---

NAME: MarÃ-a Rivera-Torres
ADDRESS: 630 Colony Lake Estates Dr.
POST CODE: 77477
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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============================================================================================================

From: Mikolaj
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Mikolaj
ADDRESS: Os. Lotnictwa Polskiego
POST CODE: 7F/45
COUNTRY: PL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410876eb0a7e4000bf6a325
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you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jerome Mcdevitt
206 Hillside Ave
Newport, RI 02840

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:21
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Brad Doolin
1827 Portage Path
Springfield, OH 45506
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Scott Hardman
10195 Amaryllis Dr
Sandy, UT 84094

---

From: Hayley Brunson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Hayley Brunson
ADDRESS: 17388 brookview mi
POST CODE: 48152
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087b515ab86000cb1b8da

---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gloria Dunn
1058 Hudson St
Memphis, Tennessee 38112
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Dugger
3240 W Lexington St
Chicago, Illinois 60624

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:22
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Allison Snell
921 Barker Hill Rd
Herndon, VA 20170
NAME: Kristoffer Martinsen
ADDRESS: Skåtadalen 24
POST CODE: 6010
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:22
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Oscar San Nicolas
485 Burlington Dr
Vacaville, CA 95687

=======================================================================================
From: Rick Henderson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:22
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rick Henderson
627 N Main St
Lewistown, Illinois 61542

From: Shawn Cario Cooper
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:22
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

We have to keep the internet fair for EVERYONE!!!!
Please protect it.
If you don’t we will vote you out of office!!!!!!!!!!!!

Shawn Cario Cooper
91423

From: Daniel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel

ADDRESS: 5405 Felspar St

POST CODE: 92509
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Joshua Cooper
4925 Savage Ln
Knoxville, TN 37938

=============

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Irene Salazar
From: Marc  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marc

ADDRESS: 28251 N Via Sonata Dr

POST CODE: 91354

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Tyler  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  
NAME: Tyler  
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 01234  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410879d8b7a18000c574f34

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/deYAXw4HSZyuEcoAii_qvQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/deYAXw4HSZyuEcoAii_qvQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Uriah Rea
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:23
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn't the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC's new attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC's rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Uriah Rea
19 Greenwood Dr
Lebanon, Missouri 65536

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:23
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Edward Sutton
710 E Ganson St
Jackson, MI 49201

=======================================================================================

From: Áóra Lóa Pálsdóttir
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Áóra Lóa Pálsdóttir
ADDRESS: Fagrakinn 12
POST CODE: 220 Hafnafjörður
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward.

The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens.

We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087a4b0a7e4000bf6a32e

---
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From: Anthony White  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Anthony White  
2720 Dunmoreland Ter  
Atlanta, Georgia 30349

---

From: Dillon McDowell  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Dillon McDowell  
ADDRESS: 801 Dexter Ave N  
POST CODE: 98109  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087bb1e0849000d05c8e6

---
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=================================================================================================================

From: Elizabeth Egan
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Egan
107 Degrass Rd
Mashpee, Massachusetts 02649

=====================================================================

From: Elizabeth Stein
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth Stein
ADDRESS: 2925 Ashby Avenue
POST CODE: 94705
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087ab15ab86000e5eaa9e
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Jesse Buchanan  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesse Buchanan

ADDRESS: 310 patterson ave
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087a99efdf000bbabed5

---
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From: Megan Goedl  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:24  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: “What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control.” In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Megan Goedl  
3505 Hilda Ave  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45211

====================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:24  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Randy Borman
1112 S Leslie St
Stuttgart, AR 72160

=======================================================================================

From: SOLOMON ADENEKAN
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: SOLOMON ADENEKAN
ADDRESS: 09 ABEOKUTA STREET
POST CODE: 802 SABO YABA LAGOS
COUNTRY: NG

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087b9b7cb89000e2b3219
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========================================================================

From: star shannon
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:24
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said:

What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

star shannon
4637 New Orleans St
New Orleans, Louisiana 70122
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

John Smith
301 N Chateau Dr
Columbia, SC 29223

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:25
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Beth Curry
961 Wesley Ave
Huntingdon Vy, PA 19006

From: Emily Murphy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:25
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am writing on behalf of Net Neutrality. There are so many reasons to maintain equality in Internet usage...and only one reason to pass Pay to Play, that being to provide Internet providers and their big business companies even more obscene wealth at the expense of all other users. We have enough inequality in our society today, thanks to government and big money backers. The Internet, meanwhile has been a true display of democracy. Now these companies are so consumed by greed that they want to suck away one of our last good and equal opportunities.

I am a retiree and enjoy staying in touch with friends and family through the Internet. I know, as well as shopping, staying current on news events, exploring topics of interest, etc. I use the Internet daily. My grandchildren who are students use the Internet for research, as well as social activities. My relatives run small businesses via the Internet. My husband is a programmer and has built a social site for our Over 55 condo community at his own expense. These are some of the ways we use the Internet in our daily lives and would be negatively impacted by Pay to Play. And we are just one family of millions who would be negatively affected. The winners in that undemocratic process, on the other hand, would be a few obscenely wealthy greedy corporations and their equally obscene and greedy owners and executives. It doesn’t seem like a difficult decision to me for any person or agency who truly cares about freedom and democracy!

Please do not be purchased by the highest bidder! We see way too much of that in Washington as it is.

Emily Murphy

33441

From: joey
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: joey
ADDRESS: 130 allendale ln
POST CODE: 28092
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087cc444842000d5ba3df

---
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jonathan Sheena
4409 Lincoln Way
San Francisco, CA 94122

---

NAME: Néstor Miguel López Aviléz
ADDRESS: Venezuela, Monagas-Maturán
POST CODE: 6207
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087908b7a18000e7c4ec0

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: vida
ADDRESS: provincetown ma
POST CODE: 02657
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087bbf35671000c181a35

---
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Herman Button
12345 Sharpview Dr
Houston, TX 77072
dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear - investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation - just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Kulp
3403 W Alvina Ave
Greenfield, WI 53221
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Dennis Gitter
537 W Manor Dr
Casa Grande, AZ 85222
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Regina Cadena
3233 W Charleston Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85053
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Francisco Rodriguez
15010 Badlona Dr
La Mirada, CA 90638

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Melvin Stanley
909 44th Ave
Long Is City, NY 11101

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:29
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Murillo
3158 Andora Dr
San Jose, CA 95148

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Chris Hawkins
368 Humboldt Pkwy
Buffalo, NY 14214

From: Dennis Swenson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:30
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

It is important for independent sources and commentary like the Daily Kos to be allowed to continue its use of the internet as it exists now. Big money on either side of the political spectrum has an unfair advantage in the print and television and to gain control of the net threatens our freedom and our democracy.

Dennis Swenson
60201
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Richard Scott  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:30  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Please keep looking out for us users

Richard Scott

49740

From: steve guardado  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: steve guardado
ADDRESS: 7964 Chastain ave
POST CODE: 91335
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087c615ab86000e5eaaa9

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.
Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Zambrano
1813 Wisteria St
Denton, TX 76205

====================================================================================================

From: Andréa Russell
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andréa Russell
ADDRESS: 2638 Oak Mill
POST CODE: 78251
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087ee15ab86000b62d3b5

---
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Smith
6383 Mcclain Rd
Wapakoneta, OH 45895

=====================================================================

From: derp
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: derp
ADDRESS: 317 townie
POST CODE: 33609
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087ea99efdf000e0827a0

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Elvin Amaker
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:31
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Elvin Amaker
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts
and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Helen Dwyer
4642 Young Ave
Indianapolis, IN 46201

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:31
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Rachel Campbell
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 1756 Mount Tabor Road
Zip: 45686

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They are a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes. We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.
Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

From: Pavel Chipak
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Pavel Chipak
ADDRESS: 8641 Laurus Pl.
POST CODE: 95843
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Samuel Brungardt
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:31
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The competition of ideas so important for citizen involvement in the formation of sane, effective, and humane public policy depends a great deal on net neutrality. Unless we want this unique asset to be subject to dominance by monied interests, Chairman Wheeler, I and other concerned citizens expect you to do everything possible to preserve net neutrality.

Thank you, -- Samuel Brungardt, St. Paul, Minn.

Samuel Brungardt

55103

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:31
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sixto Bermudez
6912 Outlaw Ct Apt 203
Orlando, FL 32818

---

From: Will Phillips
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Will Phillips
ADDRESS: 2020 Smith Ridge Rd
POST CODE: 42718
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Charlene Suttles
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:32
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\234and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn\201\231t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC\201\231s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers \201\232 just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC\201\231s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Charlene Suttles
1399 Andrus St
Akron, Ohio 44301

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Drew Williams
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Drew Williams
ADDRESS: 4808 Washtenaw Ave Apt A7
POST CODE: 48108
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Eddie L Roberts
394 E Whittier St
Columbus, OH 43206
From: Eric Morgret  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric Morgret  
ADDRESS: 515 Newport Way NW  
POST CODE: 98027  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jeffrey Ruhser
ADDRESS: 1127 El Centro Ave, Oakland, CA
POST CODE: 94602
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses...
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Adam: Judah
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Judah
ADDRESS: 3447 buell rd
POST CODE: 45239
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access...
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Julia
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Julia

ADDRESS: 1554 N. Hobart Blvd.

POST CODE: 90027
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Marc Michalek
16 Mcclellan Dr
Plymouth, MA 02360

From: Peter
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter
ADDRESS: 77 Hampstead Rd Jamaica Plain MA
POST CODE: 02130
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108808fbebace000e3eaecd
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/TxXrrRu4RpOXW0cI-0nZhg/uh1>.

=================================================================

From: Richard
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard
ADDRESS: 34 pinewood
POST CODE: 92604
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087d08b7a18000b93cfbe

---
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From: Ryan Gäble  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan Gäble  
ADDRESS: Lake Avenue  
POST CODE: 46815  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087cc15ab86000d450b57

---
From: Deanna  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Deanna  
ADDRESS: 1526 home park drive  
POST CODE: 75002  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087ec15ab86000e5eaabc

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Dylan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dylan
ADDRESS: 235 Wickerberry Hollow
POST CODE: 30075
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541087d1f1110a000d0e74f1

---
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=======================================================================================

From: fernando
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: fernando
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 1001
COUNTRY: GT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108837f1110a000d0e750e

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Jon Smith
NAME: Jon Smith  
ADDRESS: 3210 Campus Loop Road Kennesaw, GA  
POST CODE: 30144  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108816f35671000eb3cda2

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Kevin ziegler  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:33  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin ziegler  
ADDRESS: 3501 Illinois ln  
POST CODE: 98226  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108823a26879000e0b1695

---
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From: Kyla henderson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyla henderson
ADDRESS: 2545 barber dr
POST CODE: 98433
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108831c60b21000df16bb9

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:33
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Linda Stewart
680 Stone Hill Rd
Shoemakersville, PA 19555

=======================================================================================

From: Marlene
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Marlene
ADDRESS: Lausitzer Str. 22d
POST CODE: 10999
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108824f1110a000e42f440
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=======================================================================================

From: Sarah Moore
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah Moore

ADDRESS: 2727 Tihart Way, Beavercreek, OH

POST CODE: 45430

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108824f1110a000d0e7508

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/kugOmayjQE2bZFduqZN9rQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/kugOmayjQE2bZFduqZN9rQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Will Parsons
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Will Parsons
ADDRESS: 2821 Townbluff Dr Richardson TX
POST CODE: 75080
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54108834c60b21000df16bba

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/LcqXIw6fSBqbtbnTWBe1xA/uh1> .

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:34
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lamar Johnson
2009 Willow St
Augusta, GA 30901

From: gerald
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: gerald
ADDRESS: 7601 Orland Park unit 01
POST CODE: 78213
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410883762b49c000cd1f854

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108840a26879000ca29862
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/Qrj9k76aQ9mTcvQHCstDHQ/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================

From: John W. Boushka ("Bill Boushka") call at number above 571-334-6107
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:34
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John W. Boushka ("Bill Boushka") call at number above 571-334-6107
ADDRESS: 4201 Wilson Blvd 110-688 Arlington VA
POST CODE: 22203
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410881df35671000bbeb9aa

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:34
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lisa Harris
316 S Poplar St
Pembroke, GA 31321

From: Mario Bešen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mario Bešen
ADDRESS: A. Hebranga
POST CODE: 10340
COUNTRY: HR
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108835a26879000bdcb6cc

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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==================================================================
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088358b7a18000e7c4f0a

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:34  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sandy Demeny  
1312 Alameda Ave  
Chowchilla, CA 93610  

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s
right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mary Papas
26008 S Knollwood Dr
Chesterfield, MI 48051

================================================================================================

From: John
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: John
ADDRESS: 601 S. College Rd.
POST CODE: 28401
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108854f1110a000cc4f539
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/eDUTaAF1R4y7nOZTvhfKNQ/uh1>.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:36
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation— just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Millard Crump
60 Old Stage Rd
Westfield, MA 01085

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:36
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Talhia Clark
1002 E Vermont St
Eureka, KS 67045

=======================================================================================

From: Terry Wheeler
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Terry Wheeler
ADDRESS: 11203 falling leaf ln
POST CODE: 76040
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108837a26879000e0b169e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefutr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefutr>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/G3TI9ZtjRb-OqexqjjnW3A/uh1>.

=======================================================================================
From: Timothy James Truitt  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Timothy James Truitt
ADDRESS: 4776 Rockcroft blvd
POST CODE: 48346
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108838f35671000c181a51

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Alexander Banning  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander Banning  
ADDRESS: Skåldungavägen 29  
POST CODE: 131 46  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410884115ab86000e5eaad7

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mwA/ZgwNAA/gGQtNtyvSBuDDRuoNMIbZQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Audrey Medina
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Audrey Medina
ADDRESS: 4666 bishop ct
POST CODE: 94521
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning...
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410886799efdf000c345d16

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: chas heberlig
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: chas heberlig
ADDRESS: 101 buttermilk road newville
POST CODE: 17242
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108848dfbdb5000d11f03d

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason Schumacher
ADDRESS: 8712 E Michielli Spokane valley WA
POST CODE: 99212
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088621e0849000cdb35ed

---
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From: Jesse Wiecherink
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesse Wiecherink
ADDRESS: Leusdenhof 58
POST CODE: 1108cv amsterdam the netherlands
COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108838c60b21000c7f1896

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Kyle MacGavin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle MacGavin
ADDRESS: 33370 Rosemond Street yucaipa CA
POST CODE: 92399
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108861a26879000e0b16ac
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From: Neil
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Neil
ADDRESS: 503 E St John street
POST CODE: 95112
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108836003331000c61165d
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:37
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sean Buffington
6075 Fremont Cir
Camarillo, CA 93012

From: David Johnson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:38
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

David Johnson
03304

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:38
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is...
broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kathy Bedner
206 Donegal Dr
Cottage Grove, WI 53527

=======================================================================================

From: Lauren Tetlow
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lauren Tetlow
ADDRESS: 1064 Highland ave Bensalem pa
POST CODE: 19020
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:38
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—just not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Maxwell Gouveia
9213 103rd Ave
Jamaica, NY 11417
From: Maxwell Ball  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maxwell Ball
ADDRESS: 1311 woerner avenue
POST CODE: 47129
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:38
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Gladys Guzman
317 22nd Ave W Apt 02
Gooding, ID 83330
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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NAME: Eric Netterlund
ADDRESS: 2950 Bixby Ln
POST CODE: Boulder, CO 80303
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gary Thompson
758 Rutledge Rd
Transfer, PA 16154

=================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jimmy Rodriguez
14034 Marquesas Way Apt D
Marina Dl Rey, CA 90292

ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Joya
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joya
ADDRESS: 1926-25 Kingsbridge Garden Circle
POST CODE: L5R4B1
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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NAME: Lucas Chubb-Manis
ADDRESS: 3630 Birchwood Tr.
POST CODE: 46360
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 5410886615ab86000d450b7e
NAME: Mikhail Tikh
ADDRESS: 10531 cedar Lake Rd #509
POST CODE: 55305, MN
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: sean smith
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: sean smith
ADDRESS: 11425 sw 135th ave #194 tigard OR
POST CODE: 97223
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:40
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”204\224and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
James Evans
112 Smith Dr
Winchester, VA 22603

From: Jane Korolenko
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: Jane Korolenko
ADDRESS: 09 Bridge St
POST CODE: 10901
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108886dac595000d1eb612

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/NyLxy-gOSw-KR_kXXTxemg/uh1> .

--

From: Joanna
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joanna
ADDRESS: 11657 Morgan Ave
POST CODE: 48170
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410880862b49c000e6c614c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/KTrKOLOVQsSFZayvJTJVPQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/KTrKOLOVQsSFZayvJTJVPQ/uh1> .

=======================================================================================
From: Samuel Legrain  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Samuel Legrain
ADDRESS: Chaussée d’Alsemberg 1001
POST CODE: 1180
COUNTRY: BE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410886815ab86000e5eaade

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/eRDNkB5jRbuG6xSRUDWV0w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/eRDNkB5jRbuG6xSRUDWV0w/uh1>.

=====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:40
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sean Croake
11700 Sw Butner Rd Apt 214
Portland, OR 97225

========================================

From: Sharon Haertling
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:40
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

The American public has been beaten down by many hard-to-swallow changes over the last two decades. Passage of this proposal would be a huge blow to public attitude, as well as a public FU to the 99% from the 01%.

If, as it appears, the proposal is implemented to destroy net neutrality, then history will easily be able to tell what happened. Follow the money.

Sharon Haertling
66061

========================================

From: Terry Wheeler
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Terry Wheeler

ADDRESS: 11203 Falling leaf ln
POST CODE: 76040
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410888615ab86000d450b88b
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/x55HBWViQTGXmtlibbgVGQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/x55HBWViQTGXmtlibbgVGQ/uh1>.
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Fred8 Abruzzo  
838 Sw Sunnyside Dr  
Madras, OR 97741

From: kiel perreca  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: kiel perreca  
ADDRESS: 26 union hill rd  
POST CODE: 07834  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108888dac595000ea70a1a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/aBV2Y7fcSN6BnRqQMjWbKA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/aBV2Y7fcSN6BnRqQMjWbKA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:41
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on
Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Marcelo Amaya
78-6767 Mamalahoa Hwy
Holualoa, HI 96725

From: Matthew Owens
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew Owens
ADDRESS: 775 Oswego Ave
POST CODE: 13602
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410887415ab86000cb1b902

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/9Wz7_rjDQ6iKDvb6D6v-VQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/9Wz7_rjDQ6iKDvb6D6v-VQ/uh1>.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:42
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change - and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Chris Cifaldi
392 Utah Ave
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:42  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

David Brown
26 Green Ave
Hempstead, NY 11550
Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Edward Garcia
63 W Yaphank Rd
Medford, NY 11763

From: Jan Häusler
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jan Häusler
ADDRESS: Hommer Heide
POST CODE: 52511 Germany
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108895003331000ed4dfd3
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/H1hA7qBiTYCv4PqRtQ0RBQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Michele Wilmonen (Internet Small Business Owner)
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michele Wilmonen (Internet Small Business Owner)
ADDRESS: 103 18th Ave
POST CODE: 83501
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088a7dfb5b5000e46013e
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===============================================

From: Tod Wilson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tod Wilson

ADDRESS: 204 Valleyview pl.kamloops,b.c.

POST CODE: V2c6m2

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410889b99efdf000bbabefe
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====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:43
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Larry Hopkins
8291 Salvo Dr
Henrico, VA 23231

=================================================================================================

From: Heather
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Heather
ADDRESS: 0909 don’t worry about it way it ea
POST CODE: 98512
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108885f1110a000d0e752c
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-------------------------------
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088bc99efdf000c345d2e

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:43  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,  
Reynold Dodoo  
984 Sheridan Ave Apt 5h  
Bronx, NY 10456

===============================================================================

From: Yasser Ghanbari  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:43  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Yasser Ghanbari  
ADDRESS: Klitmøllervej 61, 01. MF  
POST CODE: 2720  
COUNTRY: DK  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Aaron Kellner
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:44
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

To whom it may concern;

I am writing to unequivocally state my support in protecting net netrality and the concept of the internet and it’s providers as common carriers. Do not allow large interests volume deals at the expense of everone else! Once the door is opened to horsetrading for bandwidth, all bets will be off and prices must escalate at accelerating rates. It will simply be the biggest bidder wins or the biggest stick
wins or however you care to phrase it. Do not let this maddness proceed.

Aaron Kellner
12512

=======================================================================================
From: alia
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: alia
ADDRESS: 171 tassett court
POST CODE: 95060
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Alicia Snyder
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Alicia Snyder
ADDRESS: 2142 Prentiss Drive
POST CODE: 60516
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088bc99efdf000e0827ef

---
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From: Christopher D’Ambrosio
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Christopher D’Ambrosio
ADDRESS: Amherstburg, Ontario, Canada
POST CODE: n9v 3v4
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088bc15ab86000e5eaafa
---
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=======================================================================================
From: cody defrate  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:44  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissionersâ­\\200\223â­\\200\223â­\\200\223â­\\200\223 This can not happen. Giving these massive money grubbing companies this elite power
is unacceptable! And, yes it’s as simple as that. Stop this rediculous net neutrality now. The rich and powerful all ready control most of our lives, at least give us the internet!!!

cody defrate

90046

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:44
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ike Thomas
400 S Irwin Ave
Ocilla, GA 31774

From: Joy Erickson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joy Erickson
ADDRESS: 415 Oak Grove Street #600
POST CODE: 55403
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kurt Estorez
1492 Montgomery Rd
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:44
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Matthew Seymour
27 Edge Rock Dr
Drums, PA 18222

=======================================================================================

From: anita betschner
Date: 09/15/2014 03:02:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: anita betschner
ADDRESS: 727 dell court
POST CODE: 18966
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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From: Don McDonnell
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Don McDonnell
ADDRESS: 3062 N. Desert Stone Pl. Tucson, AZ
POST CODE: 85716
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Erin Kelly
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: Erin Kelly
ADDRESS: 111 s. 4th ave. #01
POST CODE: 08904
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s "help," and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gene Kimball
12801 Prospect Ave
Kansas City, MO 64146

 ===================================================================================================

From: Ken
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ken
ADDRESS: 1600 Alexander Drive
POST CODE: 30114
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Henrik Lerdahl
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Henrik Lerdahl
ADDRESS: BelsjÅ, platÅY 25
POST CODE: 1445
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:46
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jared North
5434 Se Beasley Rd
Riverton, KS 66770

=======================================================================================

From: jene conrad
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jene conrad
ADDRESS: 12848 w ellsworth pl
POST CODE: 80228
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Steve Bean
4707 Wood Springs Ct
Arlington, TX 76017

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:46
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Leona Spivey
6680 Frates Way
Sacramento, CA 95831

From: Steven Mercer
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steven Mercer
ADDRESS: 627 Rice Circle apt 06
DEAR CHAIRMAN WHEELER:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Aleksandar Timarac  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Aleksandar Timarac  
ADDRESS: 743 Leland Avenue  
POST CODE: 63130  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088d2dfbd5000cb0cc37
From: Bethany Harris  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:47  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bethany Harris
ADDRESS: Tully NY
POST CODE: 13159
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088d215ab86000e5eaaff

---
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==================================================================

From: GAR PARRIES
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:47
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

As a small business owner it is ultra important to be able to access Hi-Speed Internet without adding additional cost to my business. Small businesses must have the same access that big business have, in order for us to grow our business and this country.

Small businesses create the majority of new jobs, so lets not cripple us with more pay-to-play cost.

The Internet must remain free, open and separate from the control of large corporations.

Sincerely,
G. Parries

GAR PARRIES
89109

==================================================================

From: Luis
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luis
ADDRESS: Lima, Perú
POST CODE: Lima18
COUNTRY: PE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088c2b7cb89000e2b3271

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
From: Marc-André Denis
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marc-André Denis
ADDRESS: 687 Saint-Georges
POST CODE: J3B7C4
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088c399efdf000e0827f3

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:47
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes
through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
change—and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will
send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering
users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into
asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to
using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the
federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it,
remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Shantwan Kinlaw
818 Federal St
Lebanon, PA 17042

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:47
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nancy Atheu
26100 Newport Rd Ste A6
Menifee, CA 92584

******************************************************************************

From: Scott Chaney
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott Chaney
ADDRESS: 3305 Incline Ct
POST CODE: 21154
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088d2003331000ed4dfdf
---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:48
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit.
Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
April Stone
10452 Pinehurst Dr
Jacksonville, FL 32218
Please protect net neutrality. More and more big companies are trying to mess in our lives by controlling the net. We suppose to be a free nation protecting individual rights but that is not what happens. He who has the most money gets to decide. I THINK NOT. Please revisit the Constitution and Bill of rights. I think they’re siding with us all important little guys.

Carolyn Fant
11772

From: Kyle
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle
ADDRESS: 438 El Sueno Rd
POST CODE: 93110
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088d2b7cb89000b3ce9af

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:48
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Campbell
24 Grove St
Wanaque, NJ 07465

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:49
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Charlene Vermeer
8519 W Meadowbrook Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85037

==================================

From: Ellie Blodgett
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ellie Blodgett
ADDRESS: Small St
POST CODE: 75050
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088c68b7a18000ddd5c8d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/EndC34DuRS-gpmfKESCmvg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/EndC34DuRS-gpmfKESCmvg/uh1> .
remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
John Turner
218 College Blvd
Statesboro, GA 30458

=================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:50
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Steve Stark
2750 Nicolet Dr
Green Bay, WI 54311

=================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:50
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will
send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
David Lomeli
1227 Arnold Dr
Reno, NV 89512

==================================================================================================

From: Katie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katie
ADDRESS: 12 Pawling Ave Mechanicville ny
POST CODE: 12118
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088e462b49c000cd1f88e

---

Want more awesome more often?
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: kevin ste-marie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: kevin ste-marie
ADDRESS: 415 RÃÄ\211AUMUR
POST CODE: H7H 2R3
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088e3dac595000d1eb632
---
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=======================================================================================
From: Lisa Kirchner
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa Kirchner
ADDRESS: 666 West End Avenue
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088d2b0a7e4000e469b82

---
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From: Luke Swanberg  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:50  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

If you kill net neutrality, you kill honest competition. Do not perpetuate the infrastructure of American oligarchy, they will kill democracy.

Luke Swanberg  
57379

From: Michael Jones  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:50  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

To My (an American citizen) FCC,  

The Internet was developed with my tax dollars. It should be maintained as a common carrier. If you want to make laws about Internet speed, how about requiring any company who provides Internet access in the United States keep pace with speeds provided to citizens of other industrialized nations (who’s citizens didn’t even subsidize the creation of the darn thing!). I would remind you that you are in a position to do what is in the best interest of the citizens of this country. That is the role of government.

Thanks, Michael Jones  
Michael Jones  
59802

From: Mitchel  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mitchel  
ADDRESS: 6001 Manley Dr  
POST CODE: 13143  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088f5003331000bc7cb84

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Simon Mowlam
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Simon Mowlam
ADDRESS: 29 turnbury close
POST CODE: ln60fe
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088f9f35671000eb3cdf0

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Sue & Larry Swanson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:50  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Dear Sirs:

I am very concerned that any decisions made on changes to internet speed will detrimentally affect my rights as well as other people to have the same access as everybody else. No one person or corporation should have any greater access to better service than anybody else. Everyone should be equal. Any changes to service will just be asking for problems. Providers will be able to decide what content to block or prioritize and promote and eventually they will be able to price low income people right off the internet.

Please protect the Internet and keep it open and equal for everyone to use and not abuse. Free flow of information and ideas for everyone is what keeps this country great. Don’t destroy it. Keep the net neutral.

Thank you.

Sue & Larry Swanson

16734

From: Victoria Ryan  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Victoria Ryan  
ADDRESS: PO Box 44  
POST CODE: 94569  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088d2b0a7e4000dc6417f

---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/W6hQ3xAzS7-VeahY4pPdCA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/W6hQ3xAzS7-VeahY4pPdCA/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Hector H Hernandez
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:51
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Keep the internet as a common field for all users needing to access it efficiently and promptly and don’t create 2nd class users.

Hector H Hernandez

97202

From: Scott Rankin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott Rankin
ADDRESS: 27 Wheeler St, Unit 323, Cambridge, MA
POST CODE: 02138
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088fafbecab000c289095

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:51
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Shawn Plunkett
49 Pierce St Apt 03
Lewiston, ME 04240

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:51
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Shawn Plunkett
49 Pierce St Apt 03
Lewiston, ME 04240

=======================================================================================

From: Steve Lincoln
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steve Lincoln
ADDRESS: 220 Tamarind Lane, Danville, CA
POST CODE: 94526
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541088faf1110a000d0e7555

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:51
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Vincent Lewis
3202 31st St W
Lehigh Acres, FL 33971

From: Charles Showalter
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Charles Showalter
ADDRESS: 5135 Yale Dr. Aliquippa Pa
POST CODE: 15001
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 541088fafbecab000dab23f5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/03-e7pjLbRYWRd_13d80yPg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/03-e7pjLbRYWRd_13d80yPg/uh1> .

==================================================================================================
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michelle Grigsby
1517 S 83rd Dr
Tolleson, AZ 85353

---

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ronnie Hermiz
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Forced  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Forced  
ADDRESS: 8850  
POST CODE: Herøy  
COUNTRY: NO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: howard wells
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: howard wells
ADDRESS: osprey, fl
POST CODE: 34229
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ray Pickett
437 Rock Creek Rd Sw
Calhoun, GA 30701
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Rolin
2424 Sw Edgewater Ter
Topeka, KS 66614

=======================================================================================

From: David Smith
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Smith
ADDRESS: 5389 Orchard Lane, Greendale
POST CODE: 53129
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Eric Hoff
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric Hoff
ADDRESS: 343 01/02 N. Genesee Ave.
POST CODE: 90036
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:54
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear Â– investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of
billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Bernadette Archie
45255 Calico St
Lancaster, CA 93535

=================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:54
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\200\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\200\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kevin Mcmillan
7225 S Marshfield Ave
Chicago, IL 60636

=================================================================================================

From: Mohammad Jehad Ahmad
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Nick Christensen  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nick Christensen  
ADDRESS: 2755 E Hobart St  
POST CODE: 85296  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Salongo Wendland
ADDRESS: 600 N Main St.
POST CODE: 83333
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108914444842000d5ba442

---
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From: Shirlee Rose
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:54
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please make the right decision for the people of this country. Maintain net neutrality this it’s what I need to maintain my freedom to know and read what I want. Let me make my own decisions. Stop controlling all aspects of my life. As long as I don’t break the law. You shouldn’t care.

Shirlee Rose
89118
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dorene Thompson
33 W Lake Dr
Antioch, CA 94509

From: Emanuel Jackson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Emanuel Jackson
ADDRESS: 11606 Citrine Ct
POST CODE: 20769
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108914dfbd5000cb0cc56
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:55
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Teri J
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 4800 Hogue Rd
Zip: 47712

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President
Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that’s Title II or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

==================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:55
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of Internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the Internet, I know that the Internet will change and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid
of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jorge Ayala
2901 Medinah Way
Modesto, CA 95355

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Manuel Cigarroa
446 W G St
Colton, CA 92324

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:56
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.
The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Nigel Mallari
911 E 36th St
Long Beach, CA 90807

From: Aaron Shuman
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:57
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Chairman Wheele,

The taking away of net neutrality is the stripping away of our first amendment rights. In the 21st century a free and open internet is essential to freedom and democracy. Don’t make a mistake that the citizens of the United States will have to live with for years to come.

Aaron Shuman
80003

From: Andrew Gruici
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrew Gruici
ADDRESS: 16210 N 67th Avenue
POST CODE: 85306
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108914dfbdb5000d11f084
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From: ben madisen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Ben Madisen
ADDRESS: 300 North College Street
POST CODE: 55057
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108914b7cb89000cb2b2fb

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gregory S Mac Crossen
15 Woodwardia Sq
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Geoffrey

ADDRESS: 938 Ward Ave

POST CODE: 66044

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: greg brown
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: greg brown

ADDRESS: 461 ne 16th ave

POST CODE: 97124

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James
ADDRESS: 747 Douglas St
POST CODE: 03102
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108914c60b21000e118472
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From: Justin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin
ADDRESS: 04 West 121 St
POST CODE: 10027
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:57
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years â€” that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mark Cassatt
1221 N Jefferson St
Huntington, IN 46750

=======================================================================================

From: Laura sabbe
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Laura sabbe
ADDRESS: 4793 pelehu road
POST CODE: 96746
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Lisa Reedy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lisa Reedy
ADDRESS: 275 Bonnie Briar Place, Reno
POST CODE: 89509
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==================================================================

From: Mark Mazhnyy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:57
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am a college student who depends on the Internet every day for school, work, and personal reasons. Creating a two-tiered Internet system would be disastrous for those of us who don’t have a lot of money and can’t afford to pay for the faster tier. We would all be stuck trying to use the second tier, which would be horribly slow. I would never get any research done for my classes! This system is completely unfair! I am asking you to please keep our Internet neutral and protect the little guys, like me, from Big Telecom.

Mark Mazhnyy

93722
From: pat  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: pat  
ADDRESS: 1315 Sth 08 th ave  
POST CODE: 85364  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410890299efdf000d805a8d

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: chris johnson
ADDRESS: 415 n 6th ave
POST CODE: 97051
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108914dac595000ea70a3d

---
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From: Jon chapman
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jon chapman
ADDRESS: 45779 US Highway 127
POST CODE: 37367
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Lysa Clarke
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:58
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.
---

NAME: Lysa Clarke
ADDRESS: 909 Hershberger RD NW
POST CODE: 24012
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nolan Jackson
40 Garfield Ave
Cranston, Rhode Island 02920

From: Nyketa Arnold
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:58
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nyketa Arnold
4677 Lakewood Dr
San Bernardino, California 92407

================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:58
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ouzma Kesodia
1115 Mac Arthur Dr Apt 4105 Pmb 4105
Carrollton, TX 75007

================================================================

From: Frank Stimac
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:59
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know
that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Frank Stimac
11 Raspberry Cir
Durango, Colorado 81301

=================================================================================================================================================================================================================

From: Gabriel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gabriel
ADDRESS: 13410 sw 79 st
POST CODE: 33183
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410891a62b49c000cd1f8a3

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAADU-unv__TjaP2eKZ0yI_DQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: george beres
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:59
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

BIGNESS does not have to be bad. But with Telecom, it is. Please preserve net neutrality.

George Beres in Eugene, Ore.

george beres

97405

=======================================================================================

From: Gisela BordÃ’n Martel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gisela Bordõn Martel
ADDRESS: C/ Drago, nº40, 3º G
POST CODE: 35200
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410892bb0a7e4000dc641a0

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Jonathan Carney
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathan Carney
ADDRESS: 04 Munson Road
POST CODE: 04473
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410892a444842000ec58f38

---
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=====================================================================

From: marshall salute
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:59
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

marshall salute
1263 Glenleigh Dr
Ocoee, Florida 34761
From: Whitney Young  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:02:59  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.  

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC's sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  
Whitney Young  
5112 N Monroe St  
Spokane, Washington 99205  

==================================================================================================  

From: cori dantini  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:00  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: cori dantini  
ADDRESS: 315 se grant st pullman, wa  
POST CODE: 99163  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108915dac595000d1eb644

---
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==============================================================================

From: Diane Lippert
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Diane Lippert
214 S 1st St
Olivia, Minnesota 56277

---

From: Elizabeth Kirkland
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:00
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Americans have been getting faster and faster Internet speeds because of competition in the free economy, not because of anything the government has done. The Internet does not need the federal government’s help and neither the American people nor their elected representatives are asking for the federal government to place political controls over the Internet. The people calling for government control over the Internet are a tiny minority of far-left political activists, and the FCC knows it. Any effort by the FCC to regulate the Internet will be seen by the vast majority of the American people for what it is -- another lawless Obama Administration power grab.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.
Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Kirkland
24577 W Norelius Ave
Round Lake, Illinois 60073

=======================================================================================

From: Jessie Doane
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:00
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jessie Doane
PO Box 1036
Estacada, Oregon 97023

=======================================================================================

From: Alex
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alex
ADDRESS: 3548 glacier court
POST CODE: 94588
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108941444842000ba910b2

---
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From: dondon buriness  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:01  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

dondon buriness  
1936 Waimano Home Rd  
Pearl City, Hawaii 96782  

==================================================================================================

From: Erlend Lie  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Erlend Lie  
ADDRESS: Rådmand Steins Alle 17  
POST CODE: 2000  
COUNTRY: DK  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108948444842000ec58f40

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Jackson Wolf
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:01
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Jackson Wolf  
ADDRESS: 310 WOODLAND DR JACKSONVILLE NC  
POST CODE: 28540  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider's network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC's proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---

REF: 54108936003331000ed4e001

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lavaboom
ADDRESS: Köln
POST CODE: 508823
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108918dac595000ea70a40
---
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From: Victoria Bonin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:01
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Victoria Bonin
1404 Oubre Ln
Saint Martinville, Louisiana 70582
From: deanna young  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:02  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

deanna young  
175 Ricky Young Rd  
Deridder, Louisiana 70634  

From: F Afua Bromley  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:02  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
From: Jacob Brouwer  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jacob Brouwer  
ADDRESS: 2706 Salado St, #202, Austin TX  
POST CODE: 78706  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for everyone.
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410894fb7cb89000e2b32a9

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/LrE2EgVKT62a9RyLMvsUsA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/LrE2EgVKT62a9RyLMvsUsA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Joan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joan
ADDRESS: 7807 LPoint
POST CODE: 77055
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410893b15ab86000e5eab24

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/o27_Dfj6Q1i8zIuVDev41Q/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/o27_Dfj6Q1i8zIuVDev41Q/uh1>.

---

From: larry Simpson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:02
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.
Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

larry Simpson
2313 Green St
Mobile, Alabama 36610

From: martin robertson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: martin robertson
ADDRESS: 24 e. liberty st savannah, ga
POST CODE: 31401
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410894b99efdf000c345d50

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/Vh1A3atZQK00KCP3yXJA4A/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/Vh1A3atZQK00KCP3yXJA4A/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Cedricka Westmoreland
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cedricka Westmoreland
ADDRESS: 7316 Appomattox Drive
POST CODE: 37130
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410895162b49c000e6c619b

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/gUv4pb3jRRe1m9Yz3_CPUQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/gUv4pb3jRRe1m9Yz3_CPUQ/uh1>.

From: Daniel Zell
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Zell
ADDRESS: 3518 SHARONWOOD RD #2D, Laurel, MD
POST CODE: 20724
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108952fbecab000e3eaf19

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/f7uYW6f7TKyTweY4Vkrhzw/o.gif>
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: F
ADDRESS: FG
POST CODE: 06
COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410893299efdf000bbabf15

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gail Duggan
ADDRESS: 6831 Amboy
POST CODE: 48127-1903
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108952444842000d5ba45b

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: John Tittle
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:03
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know
that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will
send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering
users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into
asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to
using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the
federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it,
remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

John Tittle
1355 Repoll Rd
Mobile, Alabama 36695

=======================================================================================

==

==

==
From: liz mcAleer
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:03
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

liz mcAleer
14400B Charles Dickens Dr
Pflugerville, Texas 78660

============================================================================

From: Wes Loveday
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:03
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

PROTECT Net Neutrality! I do NOT trust large companies to play fair and I do NOT WANT them to have a chance to prove me right. NO "FAST" and "SLOW" LANES! NO TIERED INTERNET! EQUAL OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL!

We are paying attention, and we VOTE on EVERY LEVEL. Local, State, and FEDERAL!

PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY. Say NO to big telecom!

Mr. Wheeler, you somehow have this position with your previous affiliations that leave us ALL very concerned.

PROVE that you are for the people and not a corporate SHILL.

Thank you.

Wes Loveday
12601
From: David Morneau  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:04  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Morneau  
ADDRESS: New York, New York  
POST CODE: 11378  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410895299efdf000d805a9c
From: Kathryn Wonder
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kathryn Wonder
ADDRESS: 9900 Mercier. St
POST CODE: 64114
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108952dfbdb5000cb0cc6e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/iRoazT8ASlapRZjtrpbWHg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/iRoazT8ASlapRZjtrpbWHg/uh1>.

=================================================================================================

From: Kyle Twa
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle Twa
ADDRESS: 30 Marlboro Drive
POST CODE: T7X-2L1
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108952dfbdb5000d11f095

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/YkJE-h3sTSqJq7fUyNZ00w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/YkJE-h3sTSqJq7fUyNZ00w/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Alena
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Alena
ADDRESS: 4535 Lynn Road
POST CODE: 44272
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410895015ab86000e5eab2b

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: mario saucda
ADDRESS: 4062 w 26 st chicago ill
POST CODE: 60623
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410894b62b49c000cd1f8b0
---
NAME: Åystein Kolsvik Bjerkevåg
ADDRESS: Norway
POST CODE: 6137
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410895ab0a7e4000bf6a367
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pQA/ZgwNAA/BAMXS6xbS8e7HEfKTewP8w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/BAMXS6xbS8e7HEfKTewP8w/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: R. Susan Woods
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:05
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am commenting as a small business owner. I rely upon the internet for business purposes. Speed is of the essence. If net neutrality is not preserved, small businesses, the backbone of our economy, will suffer enormously. Please keep the internet open and accessible to all people. That is the nature of a truly competitive market place.

R. Susan Woods

01035

=======================================================================================

From: Caroline Moore
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Caroline Moore
ADDRESS: 2040 Whitetree Dr
POST CODE: 48162
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089688b7a18000ddd5ca0

---
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From: Marlene Olson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marlene Olson  
ADDRESS: 20150 Highview Ave, Lakeville MN  
POST CODE: 55044  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108965fbecab000e3eaf1f
NAME: Benté Jorgensen
ADDRESS: 228 22nd Ave
POST CODE: 94403
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410896db7cb89000cb2b213

---
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From: Bjarki Elvar Bryndar son
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bjarki Elvar Bryndar son
ADDRESS: Hæ;engi 08
POST CODE: 800
COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410895fb7cb89000d44cda1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/RfI9ChYKQZq3dXfVnWMgw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/RfI9ChYKQZq3dXfVnWMgw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: David
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: David
ADDRESS: 10620 Matteson
POST CODE: 90066
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410896adfbdb5000e46015e
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410895df1110a000d0e7579

---
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Toby
ADDRESS: 148 Grandview Court, Ithaca NY
POST CODE: 14850
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Vincent Koop
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vincent Koop
ADDRESS: Achter de Muur 54
POST CODE: 9711PS Groningen, The Netherlands
COUNTRY: NL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Alicia
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alicia
ADDRESS: 12 Ridge Terrace
POST CODE: 13317
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================

From: haley lafferty
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:08
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

haley lafferty
123 W Winchester Rd
Libertyville, Illinois 60048

===============================================

From: Justin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin
ADDRESS: 212 Marks st
POST CODE: P7E1m1
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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===============================================================================

From: Krstin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Krstin

ADDRESS: 9315 Wiscassett
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Vivian Schatz  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:09  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

I am 89 years old and am able to use the internet as it is. That is why I am urging that it be saved the way it is and now have it slowed down to ordinary users.

Vivian Schatz  
19119

From: William Andreas Johnsen Lønningen  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: William Andreas Johnsen Lønningen  
ADDRESS: 258 Bridgewater Drive  
POST CODE: 33897  
COUNTRY: NO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Alexander Vogel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander Vogel
ADDRESS: 4638 Weber Ave Terrace BC
POST CODE: V8G 1B8
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Alyssa Thompson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Alyssa Thompson
ADDRESS: 18812 platte river way
POST CODE: 75287
COUNTRY: NL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Jan Path  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:10  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jan Path
ADDRESS: Schönberger Straße 1b
POST CODE: 24217
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410899d15ab86000cb1b944
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/8tB5YOkySyey44g-ZIR5WA/uh1>.

=====================================================================

From: Jon
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jon
ADDRESS: Freedom Street
POST CODE: 73601
COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410898edac595000cde635c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/icd-ERz5TjmdkXBGS5MGUDQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/icd-ERz5TjmdkXBGS5MGUDQ/uh1>.

From: Susan Nielsen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.org.

---

NAME: Susan Nielsen
ADDRESS: Rømers Have 06, 01 105
POST CODE: 9400
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 5410897c1e0849000cdb366b
---
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====================================================================

From: Richard
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard
ADDRESS: 124 hillsdale drive
POST CODE: 37075
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089a5c60b21000e118490

---
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From: benny lugo  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:12  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they were enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

benny lugo  
3104 Ridgewood Ct  
Champaign, Illinois 61821  


---  

From: Harry Riley  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:12  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Harry Riley  
ADDRESS: 04 Shakespeare Road  
POST CODE: CH64 9TQ  
COUNTRY: GB  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089acf35671000d553f2a
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================================================================================================

From: Jonathon Levie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathon Levie
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089af0a7e4000bf6a374

---
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From: Manfred
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Manfred
ADDRESS: Rönnplan 5b
POST CODE: 98142
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Onley  
ADDRESS: 105 James McCullough Road  
POST CODE: L4A 0Z2  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089a81e0849000d05c946

---
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From: Denise
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Denise
ADDRESS: 12527 SE 74 St
POST CODE: 73150
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089a0bfdbd5000e46016c

---
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=====================================================================

From: Kelsey
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Kelsey
ADDRESS: 3172 Lucinda Ln
POST CODE: 93105
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089badac595000ea70a75

---
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From: leon
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: leon
ADDRESS: franzAngatan65
POST CODE: 11215
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089bfda595000ea70a77
---
From: Michael 
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:14 
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment 

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com. 

---

NAME: Michael 
ADDRESS: Danbury ct 
POST CODE: 06811 
COUNTRY: US 
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089b51e0849000d05c947

---
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===============================================================================

From: Samantha Corso  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:14  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Samantha Corso  
ADDRESS: hampshire  
POST CODE: 60140  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089c71e0849000ec72265

---
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089c7f35671000bbeba05

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: joelegg
ADDRESS: 07 cleveland st
POST CODE: 02155
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089ca8b7a18000c574fe7

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Ryan Warrick
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:15
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan Warrick
ADDRESS: 7928 SE 141 Ave Portland Oregon
POST CODE: 97236
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089c862b49c000bd62114

---
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From: ismael medel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: ismael medel
ADDRESS: 34 s highland st
POST CODE: West Hartford, CT 06119
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089e1003331000ed4e045
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:16
Subject: Open Internet Reply Comment

Name: Joanne B. Powell
Email: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Address: 4204 Ivy Hall Drive, Columbia, SC
Zip: 29206

Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $0.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen
Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan “Hands off the Net” approach. You can’t have “just enough Title II” any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim whether that or the vast powers the FCC has claimed under Section 706 to do anything it thinks will promote broadband.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.

---

From: John Coyne
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Coyne
ADDRESS: 2222 Detroit Avenue apt. 408
POST CODE: 44113
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089aff35671000eb3ce34
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========================================================================

From: Mathew  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Mathew

ADDRESS: 02 Caerwent Road

POST CODE: NP44 2QE

COUNTRY: GB

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089f8b0a7e4000c1657b6

---
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anjali
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 02155
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew
ADDRESS: 6285 71st Street N
POST CODE: 33781
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a0e444842000ec58f81
---
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From: Rune Skjold Larsen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rune Skjold Larsen
ADDRESS: Rosengården 12, 03.tv.
POST CODE: 1174 København K
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089d1dac595000d1eb686
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From: Allison M. Hammond
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battelforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Allison M. Hammond
ADDRESS: New Mexico
POST CODE: 88011
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089ebb7cb89000d44cdcc
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From: Christina Cardeni  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christina Cardeni  
ADDRESS: Gevärsrgatan 12  
POST CODE: 25466  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Heriberto González
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Heriberto González
ADDRESS: Villa Icacos A160
POST CODE: 00949
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Jennifer Shepherd
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:18
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

What is more important: protecting the First Amendment, or enabling Big Telecom to extract even greater profits from internet companies and websites?

Democracy depends on the free flow of information and open access to the means of communication. As media companies are consolidated and we see a die-off of true investigative journalism, the internet has been a powerful tool for grassroots organizations and independent sites to continue to share news and information that doesn't make it into the mainstream media. But all of this vital communication could be strangled by being forced into a "slow lane."

The loss of Net Neutrality could also have a chilling effect on economic growth, if startups can no longer compete on a level playing field with established companies. Consider if some of today's most profitable internet sites/services had been crushed out of existence in their early days, because they could not pay to play? What innovations might never have made it to market?

I've read that the FCC should assert authority it already has under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 to regulate the internet as a public utility.
Please, Chairman Wheeler, do whatever you can to protect Net Neutrality. You will be doing a great thing for the American people.

Jennifer Shepherd
98019

=====================================================================================================

From: Katherine Dean
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:18
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Chairman Wheeler:

I respectfully ask that you support Net Neutrality. As a Virtual Assistant providing services to solo entrepreneurs, I do not deserve to be disadvantaged by a "pay-to-play" fee structure for internet access, and neither do my clients. We are all just trying to keep life and limb together in this "new-normal" economy.

Thank you for your careful consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Kate Dean
Katherine Dean
92026

=====================================================================================================

From: Sofus Brixen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Sofus Brixen
ADDRESS: Nærum Hovedgade 19
POST CODE: 2850
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a0ff35671000bbeba12
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We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089f21e0849000ec72271
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From: Eddie Rockwell  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

Eddie Rockwell  
11333 Amanda Ln Apt 901  
Dallas, Texas 75238  

---  

From: Karl Johan Krantz  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Karl Johan Krantz  
ADDRESS: Gamla RÅ¥dstugugatan 46  
POST CODE: 60232  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a15dac595000d1eb6a0
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=======================================================================================

From: Lisa
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Lyndsey  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Lyndsey  
ADDRESS: 105 Pine Street, Atlanta, GA  
POST CODE: 30313  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a16c60b21000df16c65

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/I5dxny2TQfyEidkJNhjLRw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/I5dxny2TQfyEidkJNhjLRw/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: Robert
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert
ADDRESS: 657 West Chestnut Ct.
POST CODE: 80027
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089e499efdf000e082854
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/AeYWsSd3TYOXnofCNY0TwA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/AeYWsSd3TYOXnofCNY0TwA/uh1>.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a23f35671000d553f45
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/BvkGllX2R501tYZgKI9wyg/uh1>.

=====================================================================

From: LĂšszlĂ³ KovĂĄcs
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---
NAME: László Kovács
ADDRESS: Kiskorona utca 02 06/32
POST CODE: 1036
COUNTRY: HU
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a24fbecab000b574985
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/9yOzfvUWS-6SdAM6woXpXA/o.gif>
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Steve
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Steve
ADDRESS: Ninilchik, AK
POST CODE: 99639
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a2e15ab86000cb1b95c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/OvyttNN5T4utrJ1KyqWueg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/OvyttNN5T4utrJ1KyqWueg/uh1> .
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From: Elinore Birchman
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Elinore Birchman
ADDRESS: Lövuddsvägen 03
POST CODE: 18595
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a188b7a18000e7c4fd7

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/KUS91LKgQmK4o9uTNDZvLQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/KUS91LKgQmK4o9uTNDZvLQ/uh1>.
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From: Jennifer Robinson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jennifer Robinson
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a3db7cb89000cb2b240

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAAA/S2dAK7n5QWyUwc5r8JhtBw/uh1>.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Richard
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Richard
ADDRESS: 917 Netherland Drive
POST CODE: 37130
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a29f1110a000cc4f592

---
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From: Stefan Stajkovic
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stefan Stajkovic
ADDRESS: V Skrävlinge v 107
POST CODE: 21234
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a3799efdf000e082878

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/ZQ28bdmZTCKBtpwZKHe4BA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/ZQ28bdmZTCKBtpwZKHe4BA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Jake Sonatore  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jake Sonatore  
ADDRESS: 98 Washington St Apt 04  
POST CODE: 07052
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 541089c5dfbd5b000cb0cca2

---
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<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/1UNRa7rARSen5vB53QLmhA/o.gif>
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a4e62b49c000cd1f90c

---
From: Kara Holton
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kara Holton
ADDRESS: 4046 N. Newhall St.
POST CODE: 53211
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a56b7cb89000e2b32ef
---
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From: Sean
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sean
ADDRESS: 10 Walnut Street Apt 06
POST CODE: 15202
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a3fb0a7e4000dc641fe

---
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From: Bodie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bodie
ADDRESS: 379 W fir Street Stayton Or
POST CODE: 97383
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a52dfbdbf5000d11f0e5

---
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=================================================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Elissa Janca
ADDRESS: 215 St Marks Rd, Toronto
POST CODE: M6S 2J1
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a45003331000c611725

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Matt Christie  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:24  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthener.com.

---

NAME: Matt Christie

ADDRESS: 9102 N Tacoma Ave

POST CODE: 46240

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a63b0a7e4000e469c1e

---
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---

From: Ryan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan
ADDRESS: 11228 Constellation dr. El Cajon CA
POST CODE: 92020
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a4f003331000c611728
---
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========================================================================================================

From: Scott
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Scott
ADDRESS: 3506 W 29th Ave, Vancouver BC Canada
POST CODE: V6S 1T3
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54108a5715ab86000cb1b963

---
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From: Sue Foster
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:24
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

What do we have to do to make you listen to the public and ignore big business??????
How much are they paying you to do this to us?
This is unAmerican and unpatriotic!!!
I am sick of big business DICTATING to us!!
Do something for us for a change!!

Sue Foster
13760

================================================================================================

From: Daniel Adams
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Adams
ADDRESS: 7216 Boston Harbor RD NE Olympia
POST CODE: WA 98506
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a6ddac595000d1eb6b5

---
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From: Jeff Mitchell
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jeff Mitchell
ADDRESS: 7635 Harrington Lane
POST CODE: 34202
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a5eb0a7e4000bf6a38a

---
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54108a66fbecab000e3eaf50
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=================================================================================================
From: Scott Searl  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:25  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthennet.com.

---

NAME: Scott Searl  
ADDRESS: 11196 Bellaire St. #01  
POST CODE: 92354  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a63a26879000decbf5c

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Tammi
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tammi
ADDRESS: 183 Superior Drive
POST CODE: 95008
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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---

From: Antek Krawczyk
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Antek Krawczyk
ADDRESS: Klemkestraße 02
POST CODE: 13409
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a7bc60b21000b542c7d
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==============================================

From: Kaylyn
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Kaylyn
ADDRESS: xxxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 30606
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Maggie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Maggie
ADDRESS: chambersburg
POST CODE: 17202
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a7cf35671000bbeba2a

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jon
ADDRESS: 273 Bass Lake
POST CODE: 29909
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Scottie Baugh
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthent.net.com.
---

NAME: Scottie Baugh
ADDRESS: 2951 Marina Bay Dr #130-6437
POST CODE: 77573
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Gene Herman
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:28
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net neutrality...same speed for all...no ‘fast lanes’...no ‘slow lanes’
been using internet for years...will be 80 next month...don’t screw us over

Gene Herman

94704

=======================================================================================

From: Arne Fjord Rasmussen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

NAME: Arne Fjord Rasmussen
ADDRESS: Grænægade 113, 5th
POST CODE: 8000
COUNTRY: DK

---

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Bobbi DECKER
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:29
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:  
I am very concerned about the first amendment implications of prioritizing, blocking, and slowing down access to information on the internet? I am concerned about a pay-to-play internet arrangement with Big Telecom as gatekeepers? Neutrality is very important to me.

Bobbi DECKER
43211

=======================================================================================

From: Elizabeth
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth
ADDRESS: 1024 Circle Dr. Lot 145
POST CODE: 33897
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108abaa26879000bdcb751

---
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From: Babiker  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Babiker  
ADDRESS: 8602 S 16th Pl  
POST CODE: 85042  
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108aba1e0849000cdb36fa

---
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NAME: Cory Birenbaum
ADDRESS: 1596 E. 115th St. #903B
POST CODE: 44106
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54108a94444842000ec58faf

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Evan Chow  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---

NAME: Evan Chow

ADDRESS: 286 Riverside Dr.

POST CODE: M4S 4B2

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108aac99efdf000d805ae8

---
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From: Jake
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jake
ADDRESS: 93 S Jackson St
POST CODE: 98104
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ab1b0a7e4000dc6421e

---
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===============================================================================

From: Matt
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matt
ADDRESS: 31 Wilkinson Ave
POST CODE: S4s 6c2
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ac0dfbdb5000b872041

---
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====================================================================

From: Michelle Canderan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:30
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michelle Canderan
ADDRESS: 715 V St.
POST CODE: 47421
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a93f1110a000b4b546c

---
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From: Niall McGuinness  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Niall McGuinness  
ADDRESS: Cork city, Ireland  
POST CODE: 0000  
COUNTRY: IE  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108469b0a7e4000e469a15
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=======================================================================================

From: Oaxaca Schroder
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Oaxaca Schroder
ADDRESS: 45 Allerton Ave.
POST CODE: East Providence, RI 02914
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108aad003331000bc7cbdd

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Steve Bartlett
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:30
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please fight to help keep the internet free for all users. Allowing big telecom to regulate the internet as they see fit will put an end to the internet we know today. This same internet that has been used as a platform for revolution in oppressed countries will be destroyed as big telecom will decide what websites are viewable based on their own agendas and their own propaganda. The internet will become a joke if media companies are allowed to regulate themselves.

Steve Bartlett

28025

=======================================================================================
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54108a8cdac595000ea70ac2
From: LOUIS DRINKWATER  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:31  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:  

How dare you give our free internet to the Greedy cable companies!!! We all know that the FCC belongs to we the people but it has been high jacked by Big business. Our own FCC chairmen was put in place by the cable companies just for the reason and he must be fired. The Internet, since its inception, operates in a neutral state as a level playing field. A place where all content and data are passed along from website to user equally, allowing you, the user, to control your experience, with no interference from your internet service provider. This is net neutrality.  

The FCC is considering breaking the way the internet operates and creating two internet experiences at the behest of Big Cable so they can extract more profit. Same on you and we will not stand for it.  

LOUIS DRINKWATER  
02150  

From: Toni Caldwell-Clark  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:31  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:  

I use my computer for everything because I’ve had head and neck cancer and do not speak well... plus, my significant other is in Afghanistan and this is my only way of keeping in contact with him....  

Toni Caldwell-Clark  
66103  

From: Rodrigo Carbiâ§ais de Sã§e Ferreira
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rodrigo Carbiçaís de Sã¡ Ferreira
ADDRESS: Rua André de Resende
POST CODE: 4150 068
COUNTRY: PT
COUNTRY: PT

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108acb8b7a18000b93d01f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Sam Kulka  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:32  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam Kulka

ADDRESS: 8910 N Loop 1604 W

POST CODE: 78249

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ac2f1110a000e42f50f
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/Si8zy1xiSWS5Tuxr_1duhA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/Si8zy1xiSWS5Tuxr_1duhA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: alexandra scassera
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: alexandra scassera
ADDRESS: 195 e. Round Grove rd
POST CODE: 75067
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ad8a26879000e0b1793

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/geeGIuPfRheMafZoh_U9Tg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mAA/ZgwNAA/geeGIuPfRheMafZoh_U9Tg/uhi> .

=====================================================================

From: Denise
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Denise
ADDRESS: 6351 Piney Ridge Drive
POST CODE: 21784
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a94b7cb89000cb2b25c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/izr3i9dXQj6w0YuqeGHozw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/izr3i9dXQj6w0YuqeGHozw/uh1> .
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sarah Carson
ADDRESS: 307 Nazareth Drive
POST CODE: 15012
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ad199efdf000e0828b6

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas grote
ADDRESS: 470 Wilford Ave.
POST CODE: 32750
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ab1a26879000bdcb74f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/g2TgM0d2Tr-UhhVqJQZwBw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/g2TgM0d2Tr-UhhVqJQZwBw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Daniel Dahlén
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Dahlén
ADDRESS: Äkarhagsgatan 01
POST CODE: 72337
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ae4fbecab000b5749b3

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/rckvGP2_QLqkeC1RED_lWg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/rckvGP2_QLqkeC1RED_lWg/uh1>.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ad4dfbdb5000d11f106

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org> <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mAA/ZgwNAA/1hdwaQpjTZaz1xgJht54DQ/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================

From: Kent
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Kent
ADDRESS: 183 Superior Drive
POST CODE: 95008
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ad6003331000bc7cbe5

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/3tyv7TiYQ5agYqfFH73UdQ/o.gif>
From: Petter Olsson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Petter Olsson
ADDRESS: SÄölsundet 113
POST CODE: 86592
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Santiago Quintero  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Santiago Quintero
ADDRESS: Guápulo
POST CODE: 1406
COUNTRY: EC
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ae1b0a7e4000dc64230
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/-whIMJ1cT7mKA-lMkYeYpA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/-whIMJ1cT7mKA-lMkYeYpA/uh1>.

From: Tove Andreassen  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:34  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tove Andreassen  
ADDRESS: Terrängvägen 51  
POST CODE: 12948  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ad5dac595000d1eb6db

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ggA/ZgwNAA/g7dI2abSDmLHL9gKO51LQ/uh1> .

==================================================================
From: Zach
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zach
ADDRESS: 567 Marteeson Ave.

POST CODE: 83634

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ae215ab86000b62d419

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/ogA/ZgwNAA/d9pe_i1uTf-LPS0Bh1SQvg/uh1> .
NAME: Jeronimo Méndez Mallo
ADDRESS: sardenya 541
POST CODE: 08024
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Amos Sanchez  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Amos Sanchez  
ADDRESS: 221 Foundry Circle  
POST CODE: 37128  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ae8dac595000cde63a2

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/Mo8qTvJfTlucpaZGacXAvQ/uh1>

=============================================================================  

From: Carlos Castillo DamiÁ¡n
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carlos Castillo DamiÁ¡n
ADDRESS: Pedernal
POST CODE: 77500
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ae7dac595000d1eb6e1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefutr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefutr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/pEdaxaiLQPypAb5-TSAJGJyw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/pEdaxaiLQPypAb5-TSAJGJyw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Jesper
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:36
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesper
ADDRESS: Nyborgvej 225, Odense SÅ\230
POST CODE: 5250
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108aed15ab86000e5eaba6

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/8Sa8yksWR86bDP8_YrXPFg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/8Sa8yksWR86bDP8_YrXPFg/uh1>.
From: Katherine Levie  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katherine Levie
ADDRESS: 23601 56th AveW Apt 2120 Mountlake Terrace WA
POST CODE: 98043
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ae98b7a18000e7c502f
---
Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/iAA/ZgwNAA/bh36GxACSgKcj7oMR7iQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/bh36GxACSgKcj7oMR7iQ/uh1>.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Laura Montalvo  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:36  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Laura Montalvo  
ADDRESS: Urb. Fairview  
POST CODE: 00926  
COUNTRY: PR  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108adffbecab000b5749b0

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/y2EUKfJvSuKwle5G5-1DbA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/y2EUKfJvSuKwle5G5-1DbA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Björn
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Björn
ADDRESS: Fabiangatan 02
POST CODE: 6234
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b0bf1110a000d0e7610

---

Want more awesome more often?
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From: Jaden Hubbard
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jaden Hubbard
ADDRESS: 220 Avocet Avenue
POST CODE: 95616
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108af6f1110a000e42f51c

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108aef99efdf000e0828c5

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Kyle
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle
ADDRESS: 161 Andalus Dr.
POST CODE: 43230
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Robert Reeser
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert Reeser
ADDRESS: 116 Dot Ave
POST CODE: 95008
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b0ba26879000bdcb766
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From: Sébastien Macher
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sébastien Macher
ADDRESS: 17 rue Traversière, Paris
POST CODE: 75012
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-intended, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Viot
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Viot
ADDRESS: Route de singly
POST CODE: 08160
COUNTRY: FR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b06a26879000e0b17a4

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
From: Lisa Raabe Werth  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:38  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I am a small business owner and hope that you vote to protect net neutrality. We depend on the internet every single day in a wide variety of ways. To limit or slow the internet availability of non-big business companies would hurt everyone, except big business of course. As a citizen of the U.S., I beg you to protect our internet which is now free from discrimination and censorship. Please vote in favor of the American people and preserve meaningful net neutrality.

Lisa Raabe Werth  
50321

From: Samantha  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Samantha  
ADDRESS: 17 01/02 Greenville Street  
POST CODE: 01562  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b11b7cb89000e2b3340
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From: Stuart Davis
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stuart Davis

ADDRESS: 721 Confidence Drive #05

POST CODE: Longmont CO 80504
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108aea003331000c611758

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54108b0299efdf000bbabf61
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======================================================================

From: Ronald Hawk
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:39
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Net Neutrality is key to a thriving democracy in America. Don’t change the rules to give preference on the net to those with money and power.

Sincerely,
Ronald Hawk
Ronald Hawk
98133

======================================================================

From: tomas
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: tomas
ADDRESS: Argentina
POST CODE: 1900
COUNTRY: AR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b33fbebca000db249c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/b0yoM41sTPerM7YLkpuG2g/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/b0yoM41sTPerM7YLkpuG2g/uh1>.

From: Oscar
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Oscar
ADDRESS: .

POST CODE: 33028

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b218b7a18000ddd5ceb

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rAA/ZgwNAA/7hcy0joKQWC7Fi8sb9oSGA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rAA/ZgwNAA/7hcy0joKQWC7Fi8sb9oSGA/uh1> .
From: Robert  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:40  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert  
ADDRESS: 128 Savage Rd  
POST CODE: 03055  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Ruben Svensson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ruben Svensson
ADDRESS: östrastrandgatan 31
POST CODE: 37138
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b21f35671000bbeba47

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/IVTxa9DrT56xxMSzYeOzgw/uh1>.

From: victoriana Serrano
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: victoriana Serrano
ADDRESS: 400 Redstone st
POST CODE: 89145
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b251e0849000cdb372a
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pAA/ZgwNAA/9Lu81YDqTL00pgPR6mk-Zw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pAA/ZgwNAA/9Lu81YDqTL00pgPR6mk-Zw/uh1> .

==============================================================================

From: Kasha deRoos
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kasha deRoos
ADDRESS: 6885 s redwood rd #2411
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b4ef1110a000cc4f5ce

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/onyoHAr6Rvyhopf8i-uRSQ/uh1>.
From: Pär Persson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:41  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pär Persson  
ADDRESS: Farmannsveien 22b  
POST CODE: 3111  
COUNTRY: NO  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108a99dfdb5000e460196
---

Want more awesome more often?
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org><br>
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=======================================================================================

From: Bennett McBride  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Bennett McBride  
ADDRESS: 914 w melmar st  
POST CODE: 72703  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

    We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
    that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
    ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
    toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
    free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

    The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
    the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
    speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

    The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
    to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
    ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

    To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
    for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
    a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
    access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
    so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
    access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
    of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

    The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
    currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
    Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
    certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
    would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
    and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
    would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b47003331000c611775

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/iAA/ZgwNAA/a3gTVZaESfKtPww1E6zq3w/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Jason
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason
ADDRESS: 7554 Norton Ave, Apt 03, West Hollywood, CA
POST CODE: 90046
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b3662b49c000d164b7d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/8QglcxaoQnaw7LtmcPdZVQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/8QglcxaoQnaw7LtmcPdZVQ/uh1>.

---

From: Matthew
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew
ADDRESS: 630 South Capitol St
POST CODE: 52240
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b5699efdf000e0828e7

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/sAA/ZgwNAA/T60bL1lj2T861311dXZNDTw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/T60bL1lj2T861311dXZNDTw/uh1>.

==================================================================================================
From: Mike Parisi  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:42  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mike Parisi  
ADDRESS: Becket ma  
POST CODE: 01223  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b3f15ab86000cb1b998

---

Want more awesome more often?
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adelina Maru
ADDRESS: Teiskontie 20 A 10
POST CODE: 33540
COUNTRY: FI
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b6862b49c000cd1f968
---
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* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Christopher Sevy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christopher Sevy
ADDRESS: 8191 calabash ave
POST CODE: 92335
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b571e0849000cdb373e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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====================================================================

From: Jason Ebbens
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason Ebbens
ADDRESS: 23411 Batey Avenue
POST CODE: Harbor City, CA 90710
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54108b6d444842000c5fffafa3

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Jennifer Alvarez
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jennifer Alvarez
ADDRESS: 1902 Continental Pass
POST CODE: 78613
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b56f35671000eb3ceb4

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Raquel Vélez
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Raquel Vélez
ADDRESS: 2801 Park St
POST CODE: 98704
COUNTRY: IE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b5f15ab86000d450c9b

---
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=================================================================

From: Tom
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tom
ADDRESS: 106 pineview lane
POST CODE: 80302
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b3dfbecab000dab249f

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/lX2m0Dl8Qh2ZYS2mZzvsIQ/uh1> .

From: Omer
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Omer
ADDRESS: 1597 Logans Way
POST CODE: N6K 0B3
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b88f35671000d553fa9

---
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---

From: Wright E Harris III
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:44
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners,

the media, including the internet, are allegedly public utilities, but over the years money in your pockets has persuaded people like you to forfeit that ownership and turn over control to commercial interests, leaving us with the dead zone of tacky content, vapid programming and commercial overload as a result. att inn particular, but other money-grubbing interests can’t wait for you to privatize the internet, and make it their toll road. if you make that terrible, i will count you among the greatest traitors of all time, since you are the steward of our communications systems and should have our interest as your first priority.

Wright E Harris III

63135

From: Darcy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Darcy
ADDRESS: 610 Valley View Dr
POST CODE: 46077
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b5b444842000ba91122
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From: Eric Belski
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric Belski
ADDRESS: 3718 Parkview ave. Pittsburgh PA
POST CODE: 15213
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b8cc60b21000b542cb9

---
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From: Michael
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:45
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael
ADDRESS: 20 Fox Hollow Drive
POST CODE: 17602
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b95444842000ec59015

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/pauNPqPmQfObGRCBU1PEew/o.gif>
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NAME: miller brown
ADDRESS: 608 Williamsburg dr
POST CODE: 37069
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54108b9662b49c000cd1f97b
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Peter
ADDRESS: 220 E 23rd St, New York
POST CODE: 10010
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b9cb7cb89000d44ce59

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Andrea Ordoñez
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Andrea Ordoñez
ADDRESS: 8639 Northridge Loop
POST CODE: 78045
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b61dac595000ea70b0b
---
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=====================================================

From: David
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David
ADDRESS: 30 musconetcong Ave stanhope new jersey
POST CODE: 07874
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b8bb7cb89000cb2b297

---
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---

From: Patrick Dougherty
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patrick Dougherty
ADDRESS: 28 Sagamore Road Island Park NY
POST CODE: 11558
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ba48b7a18000e7c506c

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
From: Sally  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:46  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sally  
ADDRESS: Eichenstraße 03  
POST CODE: 82152  
COUNTRY: DE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ba1f1110a000cc4f5da

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Tara Conklin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tara Conklin
ADDRESS: 32 Fellsway West, Somerville, MA
POST CODE: 02144
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bb0f1110a000d0e764c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: David
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David
ADDRESS: 59 Keene St
POST CODE: 02906
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ba6f35671000d553fb3

---
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=======================================================================================

From: john mckelvey
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:47
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet
To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Chairman Wheeler,

I run a small Architecture firm in California, and our daily business is transacted almost exclusively on the internet. In addition, I have a child in school who is heavily dependent on the internet for executing, submitting, and keeping track of homework and other assignments for his teachers. If internet neutrality is compromised, even partially, the ability of our company as well as other small businesses, students like my son, non-profit groups, youth organizations, and any other non-corporate user of the internet will have their access dramatically and permanently altered and diminished. Every user of the internet should have equal access to available bandwidth, both from a functionality standpoint and in terms of simple fairness. Billions of taxpayer dollars have gone into creating the internet, and public rights-of-way are used for it’s physical distribution. The internet should be treated as a public utility, and access should be available to any and every user on an equal basis as it is now. I urge you to take whatever actions are within your power to make absolutely sure that that net neutrality is forever protected.

john mckelvey

95062

=======================================================================================

From: Paul Côté
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:47
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Paul Côté
ADDRESS: 542 Elm South
POST CODE: P4N 1Y3
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bb315ab86000d450cb7
---
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===============================================================================

From: Eddie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Eddie
ADDRESS: Pleasanton, CA
POST CODE: 94588
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bb81e0849000d05c9b1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/pgA/ZgwNAA/1XEUGKnKQtucNy8vH Gn4Ww/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pgA/ZgwNAA/1XEUGKnKQtucNy8vH Gn4Ww/uh1>.

=================================================================================================

From: Fred
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Fred
 ADDRESS: 2115 S 4th Ave
 POST CODE: 57105
 COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bb0dac595000d1eb720
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/umfPmv9MTKqxhaus9MEunA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Chad Keedy  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chad Keedy  
ADDRESS: 7541 Boone trl  
POST CODE: 68516  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bc762b49c000cd1f987

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/gQ7gy-2bR-OQYfZswEAOw/o.gif>.

From: Christopher
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christopher
ADDRESS: 1118 woodland dr
POST CODE: 18301
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bc9444842000ec59024
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=================================================================================================

From: Sher
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Sher

ADDRESS: Mequon, WI
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bd0f1110a000cc4f5e5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Behnaz Mohammadi  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:50  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I like your newsletter.

Behnaz Mohammadi  
07304

From: Dillon Todd Baker  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dillon Todd Baker  
ADDRESS: 108 Tarrytown drive  
POST CODE: 37167  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bbf444842000d5ba526
---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Justin Pare
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Justin Pare
ADDRESS: 1101 McDougall
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bdb99efdf000e082915

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Michael
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:50
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael

ADDRESS: 2600 SW 115 Ave
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bd215ab86000e5eabf8

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/DYTq_QdCQumEw5M4RqSH1A/uh1>. 
From: Oscar Iniguez  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:50  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Oscar Iniguez  
ADDRESS: 2037 w Tyson Ave Tulare CA  
POST CODE: 93274  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bd262b49c000d164bb2
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bd9fbecab000b574a04

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Lucas sherwin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Lucas sherwin
ADDRESS: 10801 86ave
POST CODE: T6E 2N1
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108be2c60b21000c7f1970

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Robin Angle
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robin Angle
ADDRESS: Vattuvägen 03
POST CODE: 68151
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bddf1110a000b4b54a6

---
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============================================================================
From: Bruce  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:53  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Bruce  
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 201303  
COUNTRY: IN  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.  

---  

REF: 54108be88b7a18000b93d03f  

---  

Want more awesome more often?
NAME: Kade baldwin
ADDRESS: idk
POST CODE: 77532
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designing fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bf3b7cb89000cb2b2b5

---
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From: Kingsley Lintz
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:53
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

This is getting ridiculous. There’s no justification I can see for removing Net Neutrality -- we already have it, and it works great, allowing people to choose for ourselves what we want to view. Passing that control on to Xfinity and Time Warner (and virtually nobody else; and at that, really only one of those in any given location. And even that only until they merge, as they keep asking to do) is just... so.. clearly.. broken, that if there weren’t, in John Oliver’s terms, a Dingo in charge of the daycare, I don’t believe we would even have this question on the table.

Yes, Mr. Wheeler, I have seen the speech in which you insist that you are not a dingo, but with all due respect, your behavior so far on this matter suggests otherwise. If you have people’s best interests at heart -- or commerce’s, or democracy’s, or anything else but Xfinity/Time Warner’s -- then there is just no question here. Net Neutrality has to be preserved, and that *should not have ever been in question*.

Kingsley Lintz

80011

From: lola tuola
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the nuclear option, because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

lola tuola
907 West St
Union City, New Jersey 07087

=======================================================================================

From: Michael
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael
ADDRESS: xxxxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 02053
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bf2a26879000decbff0

---
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---

From: Olivia
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Olivia
ADDRESS: 1700 College Ave
POST CODE: 25703
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108beab7cb89000d44ce73

---
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=================================================================================================

From: sue hessling
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:53
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along – no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

sue hessling
2671 S Ruth Rd
Harbor Beach, Michigan 48441

From: urban.johansson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: urban.johansson
ADDRESS: HÅ¥ljutegatan 8B
POST CODE: 45141 UDDEVALLA
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bb7dfbd5000b872065

---
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From: Vincent Lugo
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Vincent Lugo
ADDRESS: 2335 Atkinson Road, B8
POST CODE: 39531
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108b0c111a0000e42f564

---
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From: ASHLEY COATES  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:54  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

ASHLEY COATES  
4560 C St SE  
Washington, District of Columbia 20019  

---

From: Bryan  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:54  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Bryan  
ADDRESS: 2020 E Inverness Ave Apt. 2027  
POST CODE: 85204  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designing fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108be0444842000c5fffbd

---
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=====================================================================

From: Jimmy Mancha
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:54
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Jimmy Mancha
1214 Pawn Ridge Dr
Duncanville, Texas 75137

From: kelneishia jones
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:54
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

kelneishia jones
6425 Bankside Dr Apt 1089
Houston, Texas 77096
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Liz
ADDRESS: 4855 Erin Lane
POST CODE: 32940-7155
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Nicole
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nicole
ADDRESS: 25 appleseed rd
POST CODE: 07461
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal

---
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bf5dfbdb5000e4601d9
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From: Shaun M ColÃ’n
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:54
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shaun M ColÃ’n
ADDRESS: 5221 Bonita Ave.
POST CODE: 75206
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108beea26879000decbfee
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=======================================================================================

From: Delphin Hugi Johnson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Delphin Hugi Johnson
ADDRESS: SigtÃ‘n 59
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bfda26879000decbff7

---
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From: dixon padilla-sr  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:55  
Subject: Comment on Proceeding 14-28

dixon padilla-sr  
12811n.58st.  
tampa, FL 33617  
September 15, 2014

Dear Tom Wheeler,

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

The internet has experienced unfettered growth and contributed to unprecedented advancements in technology largely because of the current “hands off” regulatory approach. That is why I am writing to express my opposition to the so-called “net neutrality” rule, which would replace the relative freedom of today’s internet with new, heavy-handed federal regulations.

Imposing “net neutrality” and Title II regulations will only create an atmosphere that creates more government-imposed barriers to broadband investment and innovation. These misguided rules will also make it harder for startups to enter the market and slow broadband deployment. Moreover, these proposed regulations will give the federal bureaucracy unparalleled and unprecedented power over the Internet.

In short, these proposed “net neutrality” rules will be bad for competition, bad for consumers, and harm America’s digital economy.

I urge you to leave the internet alone and say no to Title II and so-called “net neutrality.”

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,  
dixon padilla-sr

=================================================================================================

From: Erik Sjögren  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erik Sjögren  
ADDRESS: Kils hagväg 26  
POST CODE: 13236  
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: John
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John
ADDRESS: 01 Whaler Ct Third Lake Il
POST CODE: 60030
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108bf51e0849000ec722f2

---
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From: John Anderson  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:55  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

We already have big corporations controlling Congress and most government agencies. Please do not allow them to control the internet so they can extract more money indirectly from us. More importantly, this is a free-speech issue of the highest order.

Please Chair Wheeler. Take control. Don’t let the people down.

John Anderson

John Anderson

23188

From: sheena smith  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a public utility and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
From: Sheri Orear  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:55  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sheri Orear  
427 Kansas Dr  
Iola, Kansas 66749
ADDRESS: MerveldstraĂ\237e 68a
POST CODE: 45663
COUNTRY: DE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c1ffbecab000e3eafad
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From: Angela Worters  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:56  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through with these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Angela Worters  
4093 Purnell Rd  
Wake Forest, North Carolina 27587

From: Filippa Andersen  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Filippa Andersen  
ADDRESS: Børglumvej 15  
POST CODE: 2720  
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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==============================================================================
NAME: Katherine
ADDRESS: 16 Towns Street
POST CODE: 03431
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54108c19f1110a000e42f578

Want more awesome more often?
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: shirley horsford
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:56
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

shirley horsford
533 Lakeview Dr
Kissimmee, Florida 34759
From: Thomas Barker  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:56  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Mr. Chairman Wheeler:  Why should rich companies with rich employers and rich backers be given a break on fees to use the internet while small companies with not-so-rich employers and few rich backers have to pay "through the nose"?  Could it possibly be MONEY?  And who gets this money?

Thomas Barker  
92264

============================================================================

From: Connie Rabina  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Connie Rabina  
530 Clermont Ave S  
Orange Park, Florida 32073

============================================================================

From: Leanne Stewart  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Leanne Stewart
287 Haynes Chapel Rd
Pelahatchie, Mississippi 39145

From: Luka Brenko
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luka Brenko
ADDRESS: Gorička 06
POST CODE: 3000
COUNTRY: SI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Teresa Taylor  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:57  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Teresa Taylor  
2781 Waggoner Ave  
Shreveport, Louisiana 71108

---

From: Thomas C Thorp II  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Thomas C Thorp II  
ADDRESS: 423 Chestnut Street  
POST CODE: 15601-4021  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Browin Conaway
2610 Oakley Ave
Arlington, Maryland 21215

====================================================================

From: deborah poulos
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:58
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners please ban Big Telecom from creating fast-lanes which only large companies could afford. i want start-ups and independent sites like Daily Kos to be preserved and be able to thrive. the FCC should be as concerned about this as internet users like me are. i use the internet every day to stay in touch w/ family, friends, and doctors. i have ALS and it is difficult to use the phone. i want to maintain the access i have today. i don’t want my access limited, slowed, or prioritized. i want equal access under the First Amendment. that is a principle worth preserving for all of us.

sincerely,
deborah nichols poulos
deborah poulos
95616

====================================================================
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the Hands off the Net approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $1.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t buy claims that the FCC can maintain this firewall if it re-opens Title II. Nor do we trust that the FCC can quickly whittle Title II into something resembling today’s bipartisan Hands off the Net approach. You can’t have just enough Title II any more than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t even allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban fast lanes.

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called vigilant restraint. But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: First, do no harm. If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Rafael Tena
22391 Taranto
Laguna Hills, CA 92653

From: Gabriel Lobo
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:59
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gabriel Lobo
ADDRESS: Rua das Acá;ncias
POST CODE: 88040-560
COUNTRY: BR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning...
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c36444842000ec5904a

---
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============================================================================

From: Linda Platko
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:59
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content if it not broken, leave us, The People alone!! Stop trying to rule us with the thoughts you have on having power over The People...there are more important things going on that needs your help and expertise, not contro, power, or greed.

Linda Platko
16701

============================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: marion long
ADDRESS: 852 RION DR
POST CODE: 46131
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c27b7cb89000d44ce86
---
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:59  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear. "Investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michael Kubes  
2315 Colfax Ave S Apt 31  
Minneapolis, MN 55405

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

From: Vinã-cius Damaceno  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:03:59  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenthe.net.com.
NAME: Vinicius Damaceno
ADDRESS: Brazil
POST CODE: 66023700
COUNTRY: BR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c14c60b21000e11850b

---
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From: Boris Crépeau
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Boris Crépeau
ADDRESS: 203-904, Henri-Bourassa est
POST CODE: H2C 1E9
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
--

REF: 54108c3ba26879000e0b17f1
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=======================================================================================

From: Jack
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jack
ADDRESS: 12 watkinson street
POST CODE: De757fx
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c41b7cb89000cb2b2c5
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==============================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:00
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jade Rendon
7624 Stonecrop Ln
Joliet, IL 60431

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:00
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kelvin Clark
7824 Lowrance Rd
Memphis, TN 38125

From: Ryan Muirhead
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:00
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ryan Muirhead
ADDRESS: 205 San Rafael Ave
POST CODE: 94901
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c3fdac595000ea70b62
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=====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:01
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Christopher Barksdale
38 Northtown Rd # B
Jackson, MS 39211

=======================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle Winfield
ADDRESS: 90 East Lorain Street Oberlin Ohio
POST CODE: 44074
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c27fbecab000b574a20
From: Michael A. Nischke  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:01  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Michael A. Nischke  
ADDRESS: 4849 S 20 street, Milwaukee, WI  
POST CODE: 53221  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c3cdac595000d1eb746
---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:01  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ€”just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sara Reyes
11106 Nolan Ave
From: Ciara
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ciara
ADDRESS: 118 Cortland Ct.
POST CODE: 28786
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
From: Drew Pritchett  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:02  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Drew Pritchett  
ADDRESS: 15813 County Road 1860  
POST CODE: 79424  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c3099efdf000bbabf97
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:02
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Hugh Gallimore
364 E 49th St Apt B1
Brooklyn, NY 11203

=======================================================================================
From: obed Gonzalez
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:02
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: obed Gonzalez
ADDRESS: 4700 E. Rivrside Dr
POST CODE: 78741
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:02
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Todd Bass
8610 Park Highland Dr
Orlando, FL 32818

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Denise Burnette  
8206 Walden Brook Dr  
Lithonia, GA 30038

=================================

From: Hilda Dyck  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:03  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:  
We must not allow Big Telecom to extract more money from companies that operate on the internet.

Hilda Dyck  
V5E 1G4

=================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:03  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Denise Burnette  
8206 Walden Brook Dr  
Lithonia, GA 30038
Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tim Snyder
25820 N Merlot Ln
Paulden, AZ 86334

From: Timothy Barrett
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:03
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthent.net.com.

---

NAME: Timothy Barrett
ADDRESS: 2415 Neil Armstrong drive, west lafayette, indiana
POST CODE: 47906
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c51003331000ed4e106
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---

From: Cole
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cole
ADDRESS: 9091 Blake Dr.
POST CODE: 65201
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c78fbecab000c289130
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=======================================================================================

From: Jason Hargis
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:04
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason Hargis
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c7fa26879000e0b1809

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

John Lundin  
11 Malden St Apt 02  
Worcester, MA 01606

---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:04  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
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From: Andreas Otto
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---
NAME: Andreas Otto
ADDRESS: Beusselstraße 77
POST CODE: 10553
COUNTRY: DE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:05
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Anthony Johnson
2561 Gregory Dr
Carlsbad, CA 92008

---

NAME: Ellen Gardiner
ADDRESS: 304 misty glen circle
POST CODE: 29063
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its

Sincerely,

Ellen Gardiner
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c54b7cb89000d44ce97

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:05
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Richard Wimmermark
3 Winthrop Ct
Waterford, CT 06385

From: Veronica Villalobos
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:05
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Veronica Villalobos
ADDRESS: 8150 winter gardens blvd apt 19
POST CODE: 92040
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c54003331000bc7cc2b

---
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From: Alin ponici
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alin ponici
ADDRESS: 111 Elmerston rd rochester ny
POST CODE: 14620
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54108c981e0849000c8d37dc

---
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From: beth Haskell
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: beth Haskell
ADDRESS: clermont
POST CODE: 34711
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c80f1110a000e42f598

---
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From: Brian Gledhill  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:06  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Brian Gledhill  
ADDRESS: 335 NW 189th st  
POST CODE: 98177  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c6cfebecab000e3eafc1

---
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From: David Rosenstein
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: David Rosenstein
ADDRESS: 302 Amalfi Drive
POST CODE: 90402-1128
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c83003331000bc7cc36
---
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From: Jannette Sánchez-Jackson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jannette Sánchez-Jackson
ADDRESS: 28948 Shadow Valley Lane
POST CODE: 91390-1283
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c6662b49c000cd1f9bb
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From: Jared Ando
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Jared Ando
ADDRESS: 12567 Saint Helena Dr
POST CODE: 44614
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c7e1e0849000ec7230e

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:06
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Willie Davis
1102 Trotters Run Ct
Aiken, SC 29803

From: Mark
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:06
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mark

ADDRESS: 974 Dovercourt

POST CODE: M6H 2X5

COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Micheal Gauthier
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:06  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
William Johnson
400 W Central Ave Apt 214
Wichita, KS 67203

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:07  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the
Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Anita Calloway
930 43rd Ave Apt 123
Sacramento, CA 95831

From: Elisabeth
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elisabeth
ADDRESS: Hluboká 07, Jablonec nad Nisou
POST CODE: 46604
COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Eric J. Schindler
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:07
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Eric J. Schindler
ADDRESS: Newport Beach, CA
POST CODE: 92660
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:07
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Dave Myers
Po Box 223
Irrigon, OR 97844

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:08
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have
been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal
government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded
with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free
is what has kept it open and free all along — no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ed Aguilar
993 Moreno Ave
Palo Alto, CA 94303

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:08
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes
through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have
been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal
government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded
with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free
is what has kept it open and free all along — no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
James Huntrods
9381 W 112th St N
Collins, IA 50055

From: Ragen Venti
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:08
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ragen Venti
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service, it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Samantha Soto
42 Beechland St Apt 188
Roslindale, MA 02131

---

NAME: Shekinah Lavalle
ADDRESS: 1472 S. 2nd St. Apt #02
POST CODE: Louisville, KY 40208
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=================================================================================================

From: Ian Clough
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:09
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Ian Clough
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:09  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Keith Perry
1245 Callaway Rd
Rayle, GA 30660

==================================================================================================

From: Kevin Hennessy  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:09  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Kevin Hennessy
ADDRESS: 1110 W Arroyo Dr
POST CODE: 92833
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:09
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Mike Orouke
9207 S 43rd West Ave
Tulsa, OK 74132

From: Fredrik Sjöstrand
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:10
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Fredrik Sjöstrand
ADDRESS: S:t Johannesgatan 06
POST CODE: 21146
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:10
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ–¬and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulationâ–¬just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Gerald Motzer
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tim Eng
2334 Kwis Ave
Hacienda Hts, CA 91745
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
NAME: Anthony
ADDRESS: 36 N King Street
POST CODE: 08030
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Donald
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Donald
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Walker
4318 Wycliff Dr
Pensacola, FL 32514

---

NAME: phillip trepanier
ADDRESS: Trois-rivieres, Quebec
POST CODE: G8w1e8
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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==========================================================================

From: Tom
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:11
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tom
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Will Oneill  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:11  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Will Oneill  
ADDRESS: 1344 aiken rd  
POST CODE: 30622  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Carrie Abbott
1392 Jones Mill Rd Apt A
Columbus, OH 43229

From: cynthia Timmerman
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:12
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

Please do not act on this new rule that is currently being considered by the FCC. The
internet needs to remain available to everyone equally as it always has. No doubt the big cable companies are exerting great pressure and spending lots of money so they can control the internet. Don’t let their greed prevail. The internet should be regulated as a public utility. All you have to do is issue the order to do that and the internet will remain open and available to everyone as it should be.

Thank you.

cynthia timmerman

cynthia Timmerman

00851

From: Daniel Besseck
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel Besseck
ADDRESS: 14306 Old Frederick road
POST CODE: 21784
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c9eb7cb89000b3cea30
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/0NfksazKL6N0vBxh4WQN/o.gif>.

---

From: Domingo Grullon
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Domingo Grullon
ADDRESS: 29495 rancho California
POST CODE: 92591
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c928b7a18000b93d05a

---

Want more awesome more often?
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===============================================================================

From: lauren
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: lauren
ADDRESS: 1741 harmil way, san jose, ca
POST CODE: 95125
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54108cfa15ab86000b62d465
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From: Marie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Marie
ADDRESS: Rundåsvegen 36
POST CODE: 3740
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d1c7cb89000cb2b2f8

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheft>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheft>
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:12
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michael Bell
109 Scarborough Ct
Ellenwood, GA 30294
Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Russ Ewy
15630 W 143rd Ter
Olathe, KS 66062

---

From: Pablo Nieto
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pablo Nieto
ADDRESS: Madrid España
POST CODE: 28750
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108cff99efdf000d805b66
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=====================================================================

From: Rasmus Otto Ossian Lundberg
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rasmus Otto Ossian Lundberg
ADDRESS: Korngränd 06
POST CODE: 86030
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ceeb7cb89000d44cecd

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/kNBhDxAzQkWjJrHmTxJdeQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/kNBhDxAzQkWjJrHmTxJdeQ/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Sebastián Amprimo
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:12
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Sebastián Amprimo
ADDRESS: Argentina
POST CODE: 8332
COUNTRY: AR
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54108cbd15ab86000d450d12
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Chris
ADDRESS: 230 Pacific Street
POST CODE: Brooklyn NY 11201
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108c69c60b21000c7f1990
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From: Jesse Villalobos
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jesse Villalobos
ADDRESS: 1169 Beverly Dr APT 49
POST CODE: 93245
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d2b1e0849000ec72333
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From: José Iguina Jr.
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: José Iguina Jr.
ADDRESS: Clermont Ave.
POST CODE: 17602
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Kareem beaver
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Kareem beaver
ADDRESS: 202 n swing rd
POST CODE: 27409
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kendra Keim  
1275 Nw 26th St  
Corvallis, OR 97330

From: Kyle Huston  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Kyle Huston  
ADDRESS: 2222 10th St apt 01  
POST CODE: 52241  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Simen Jensen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:13
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Simen Jensen
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:13  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Timothy Kaiser  
4949 Springdale Blvd  
Hilliard, OH 43026

---

---

From: Vivian  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:13  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

NAME: Vivian  
ADDRESS: 3326 Willouby Dr  
POST CODE: 75052  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Julie Mo
3 Rindge Rd
Andover, MA 01810

From: David Prum
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:14
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

David Prum
29401

From: Joe Bolduc
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joe Bolduc
ADDRESS: cartersville, ga.
POST CODE: 30120
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:14
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lou Frascino
10 Jackson Ave
S Plainfield, NJ 07080

From: What the Fuck Lawmakers?
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:14
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: What the Fuck Lawmakers?
ADDRESS: Fuck Corp. Lobbyists, What About Freedoms?
POST CODE: 90806
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:15
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Alexia Stingley
626 11th St
Havre, MT 59501

--------------------------

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:16
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The courts have twice struck down the FCC’s attempts to place the Internet under political control. If the FCC attempts this irresponsible action for the third time, Congress should cut off its funding, shut it down, and assign its legitimate responsibilities to other, more responsible agencies. The American people can and will defend ourselves against power-hungry bureaucrats like the IRS and the FCC.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Alexia Stingley
626 11th St
Havre, MT 59501

--------------------------

From: Gonzalo Serrano
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Gonzalo Serrano
ADDRESS: Valparaíso, Chile
POST CODE: 05101
COUNTRY: CL

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d41dac595000ea70bb7

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sharon Mitchell
9343 Village Green Rd
Meriden, KS 66512
POST CODE: 221
COUNTRY: IS

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d4444842000d5ba593
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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From: Jordan  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jordan  
ADDRESS: 377 S 3RD ST APT 01  
POST CODE: 11211  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d38fbecab000c289153
---

Want more awesome more often?
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* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
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=======================================================================================

From: Matthew Dyker
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:16
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforththenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew Dyker
ADDRESS: Unit 305 10 Discovery Ridge Close SW
POST CODE: T3H5X3
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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REF: 54108d65b7cb89000d44cef0

---
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=====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:16
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Diana Gantka
224 N Pinyon Dr
Apache Jct, AZ 85220
From: Zach Jones  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:16  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zach Jones
ADDRESS: 07 Saddleworth Place?
POST CODE: 72712
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d5415ab86000d450d43
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Alessandria
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alessandria
ADDRESS: Venezuela
POST CODE: <script src=\200\235//widget.battleforthenet.com/widget.min.js\200\235 async></script>
COUNTRY: VE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d6015ab86000e5eac74

---
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From: Federico rincon
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetnet.com.

---

NAME: Federico rincon
ADDRESS: 3761 wilderness wilderness way coral springs
POST CODE: 33065
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d4a003331000c61182d
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=======================================================================================

From: john breiby
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:17
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear Chairman Wheeler: I’m writing to ask you to prevent large telecom corporations from having access to increased speed on the Internet overt those of smaller companies and to maintain Net Neutrality. If Net Neutrality is done away with, it would seem to go against our First Amendment rights of free speech freedom to access information in a free manner. Also, don’t large corporations already enter too many aspects of our lives? The Internet works just fine the way it is; corporations don’t need to make any more money than they already do. Please maintain the Net Neutrality that we have now;
it works just fine, and don’t cave in to the large telecommunication corporations.

Thank you.

John Breiby
5280 E McDowell Rd,
Wasilla, AK 99654
john breiby
99654

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:17
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administration and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Angela Allen
6900 Preston Rd Apt 3826
Plano, TX 75024

=======================================================================================

From: LaÃ-s Queiroz
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:17
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: LaÃ-s Queiroz
ADDRESS: Sao Paulo, Brazil
POST CODE: 06040470
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d4df35671000c181b5a
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:17  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
June Vance  
3036 Spring Hill Pkwy Se Apt F  
Smyrna, GA 30080

From: Will  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:17  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Will  
ADDRESS: 134 Mac Street  
POST CODE: 28607  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=====================================================================

From: anna cantu
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:18
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: 

"What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility... At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control. In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

anna cantu
302 S Doolittle Rd Apt 202
Edinburg, Texas 78542

=================================================================================================================================

From: Brandy Armstrong
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brandy Armstrong
ADDRESS: 349 granite way
POST CODE: 80534
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d65b0a7e4000e469d2d

---
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=======================================================================================

From: DAVE
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:18
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: DAVE
ADDRESS: 726 SAN PASCUAL AVE
POST CODE: 90042
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d6c1e0849000d05ca1c

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:18  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Pau0ose Paily  
276 Utter Ave  
Staten Island, NY 10314

=======================================================================================

From: Pier Finnfors  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:18  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Pier Finnfors  
ADDRESS: Södergatan 35  
POST CODE: 57339  
COUNTRY: SE  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d6815ab86000d450d47

---
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=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:18
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a
justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Cushman
36 N Park St
Ellington, CT 06029

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:19
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years— that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Bruce Carrigan
10225 Feld Farm Ln
Charlotte, NC 28210

=======================================================================================

From: Benjamin Vacek
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:19
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d71c60b21000df16d78

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/6QGty03PQKqUA13uBQcrwQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
From: garret  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: garret  
ADDRESS: 1207mcintosh street  
POST CODE: s4t5c1  
COUNTRY: CA  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
------------------
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From: Margaret Butler  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Margaret Butler  
2508 30th St  
Lubbock, Texas 79410

------------------

From: Matúš Nerád  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:19  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matúš Nerád
ADDRESS: Bratislava
POST CODE: 85101
COUNTRY: SK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d66003331000bc7cc63

---
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From: Roberta Tyler  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:19  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Roberta Tyler  
15688 Vista Del Mar St  
Moreno Valley, California 92555

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:19  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.
Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ted Silva
200 W Fleetwood Ave
La Feria, TX 78559

From: adam
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: adam
ADDRESS: 1412 applewood drive
POST CODE: 98682
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Austin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Austin
ADDRESS: 31888 Track 43 Rd.
POST CODE: 78566
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d75fbecab000c28915d
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=======================================================================================

From: Brandy Sanders
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:20
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Brandy Sanders
412 Sago Palm St
Bakersfield, California 93306

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:20
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet would be a job killer. All those who are employed as tech support, companies that make and deploy servers, routers, coax and fiber-optic cable will suffer job losses if the FCC chases billions of dollars of investments away by regulating Internet services as if they were a public utility. Every time Washington bureaucrats have grabbed massive new powers for themselves, they have created economic and human wreckage in their wake. Obamacare regulations on the healthcare system have cost millions of Americans their health insurance, and raised the cost of insurance and deductibles to millions more. Dodd-Frank financial regulations have shuttered smaller banks and dried up loans to small businesses that create jobs. And the EPA’s draconian rules have driven up electricity prices for millions of Americans. Now the FCC is considering massive new regulations on the Internet, and this power grab threatens to cost the American people dearly as well.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Gilbert
From: George Nunn  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

George Nunn  
309 N Washington St  
Farmersville, Texas 75442  

From: John Rauen  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:20  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.  

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off
its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
John Rauen
9740 S Walden Pkwy
Chicago, Illinois 60643

====================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:20
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
James Rainey
412 Mobile Ln
Charlottesville, VA 22903

====================================================================================================

From: Ville Nykänen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:20
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Ville Nykänen
ADDRESS: Yläkatu 24
POST CODE: 87500
COUNTRY: FI

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54108d7f8b7a18000ddd5d5e
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---

NAME: Aditya Prakash
ADDRESS: HWCC #1030, 40 Rowland Avenue, Delaware
POST CODE: 43015
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
REF: 54108cb61e0849000cdb37ec
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=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:21
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge
you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes
through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will
change— and not for the better.
The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once
again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC
has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet,
it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the
improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the
future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Betty Wones
Po Box 510447
Saint Louis, MO 63151

=======================================================================================
From: Freda Richards
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:21
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC's crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they're enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn't take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn't matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Freda Richards
2447 N 34th St
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53210

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:21
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC's dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

James Viele
16130 Avenida San Miguel
La Mirada, CA 90638
From: larry phillips
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:21
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an independent agency, and it is no longer an expert agency. If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
larry phillips
1723 Loretta Ave
East Saint Louis, Illinois 62206

From: Robert
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:21
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Robert
ADDRESS: Jblm wa
POST CODE: 98433
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: daniel girdner
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:22
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is the biggest economic, intellectual, and artistic success story of the century, and it rose up because of free people, not stifling government. The federal government needs to keep its hands off the Internet. It is not broken, and it does not need to be fixed. It is the federal government, not the Internet, that is broken, and in need of fixing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

daniel girdner
2722 W San Miguel Ave
Phoenix, Arizona 85017

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:22
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Kyugene Lee
17339 Tribune St
Granada Hills, CA 91344
From: Sharath  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:22  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthegenet.com.

---

NAME: Sharath  
ADDRESS: Bangalore  
POST CODE: 560018  
COUNTRY: IN  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d9c444842000d5ba5a7  
---
From: Alina M. Birukov  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthet.net.com.  

NAME: Alina M. Birukov  
ADDRESS: 5531 Corteen Pl  
POST CODE: 91607  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108dbe003331000c611848

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>  
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ogA/ZgwNAA/rO8w81c3RFquZ94DGPZYnQ/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================

From: Brian Trainor  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian Trainor  
ADDRESS: 1616 Ardsley St  
POST CODE: 27103  
COUNTRY: US  
COUNTRY: US  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d7c8b7a18000c5750f9

---
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=========================================================================

From: Bror Jonathan Myhren
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bror Jonathan Myhren
ADDRESS: Änggatan 6c
POST CODE: 223 59
COUNTRY: NO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108da615ab86000b62d479

---
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=====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:23
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Art Clemons
17 Corte Estrella
Martinez, CA 94553

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:23
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
From: Joe petersen  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:23  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joe petersen
ADDRESS: 1214 covina crt
POST CODE: 99354
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108da4a26879000e0b1861

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mQA/ZgwNAA/ZqCeiOBLRA2rR0qXIryLJw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mQA/ZgwNAA/ZqCeiOBLRA2rR0qXIryLJw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Joseph
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:23
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joseph
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 50311
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermines the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108da38b7a18000ddd5d68
---
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[Signature]

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:23
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will changeâ€”and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.
Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kyle Walt
900 Crain St
Carson City, NV 89703

From: Ashley Shepard
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ashley Shepard
ADDRESS: 1927 Ulloa St. B
POST CODE: San Francisco, CA 94116
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108dce99efdf000e0829b6

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:24
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kathy Nielson
4987 Noonan Ln
Fairfield, CA 94533
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along—no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Bryan Lambright
2404 Bayshore Dr
Flower Mound, TX 75022

---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:24
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along—no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Bryan Lambright
2404 Bayshore Dr
Flower Mound, TX 75022

---

From: Oliver Hansen
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:24
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Oliver Hansen
ADDRESS: Trollå°ttanvej 20 1th
POST CODE: 9800
COUNTRY: DK

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108dc81e0849000d05ca3f

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:24
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will
send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Robert Stinson
Po Box 223
Mannsville, OK 73447

=================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:25
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along: no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Carmen Hicks
3576 Linbrook Dr
Columbia, SC 29204

=================================================================================================

From: JeremIah mead
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: JeremIah mead
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108dcc003331000d009b35
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rQA/ZgwNAA/Chw1fs4YSm-DX7CUtP69yw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/Chw1fs4YSm-DX7CUtP69yw/uh1>.
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:25
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Matthew Machowski
10705 Brennan Rd
Emmett, MI 48022

From: Sean
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:25
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Sean
ADDRESS: N Dr
POST CODE: 03810
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108dc6b0a7e4000dc64317
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/y3Q5uR4T4Kvz2n09MqrlA/uh1>.

From: Calvin Williams
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:26
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: Calvin Williams
ADDRESS: 108 Angelina
POST CODE: 75758
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d988b7a18000b93d083

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Drake Sanford  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Drake Sanford  
ADDRESS: 213 Le Ray Ave Apt 02  
POST CODE: 56024  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold...
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108dca15ab86000e5eac93

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:26
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kurt Mascio
285 Via Rengo
Palm Desert, CA 92260
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jordan Desouza
ADDRESS: 2032 ne wells acres bend, OR
POST CODE: 97701
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108dcdd60b21000c7f19de

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:26  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along — no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Ramon Peralta  
461 E Calle Colima  
Nogales, AZ 85621

From: Tim  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:26  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tim  
ADDRESS: 324 Riva Ridge Lane  
POST CODE: 80524  
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108dd3b7cb89000d44cf1a

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/iEk4UBuyR8mY-P0YdsG8dw/uh1>.

=================================================================================================
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along — no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Lori Hada
737 2nd St
Clarkston, WA 99403

===============================================================

From: Aubrie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Aubrie
ADDRESS: 433 casa verde way apt 227
POST CODE: 93940
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d94003331000ed4e176

---
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COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ddadfadb5000ch0ce1d

---
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==================================================================================================
From: Filip Svoboda  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:27  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Filip Svoboda  
ADDRESS: Gymnázijní 1328  
POST CODE: 676 02  
COUNTRY: CZ

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108de6b0a7e4000e469d5b

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Rich
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:27
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

NAME: Rich
ADDRESS: Broadway Rd
POST CODE: 07059
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d93dfdbb5000cb0ce04

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Bob
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bob
ADDRESS: NNeutral ST, P Ave
POST CODE: KIM 2C9
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108de8444842000d5ba5be
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/hQA/ZgwNAA/R0Dhw_XOTWWdkSGg0hVp3Q/uh1> .

---

From: Carlos
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Carlos
ADDRESS: 7421 Frankford Rd
POST CODE: 75252
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d8c003331000bc7cc69

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Christopher christianson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christopher christianson
ADDRESS: 306 w 4th Ave
POST CODE: 32303
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d941e0849000d05ca2d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Fabian Castaneda  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:28  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Fabian Castaneda  
ADDRESS: 333 S Anza st  
POST CODE: 92021  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.  

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.  

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.  

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.  

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.  

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================================

From: Geoffrey Hicks
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Geoffrey Hicks
ADDRESS: 17030 THOUSAND OAKS DR
POST CODE: 20169
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ddf003331000bc7cc76

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Rachel Miller
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rachel Miller
ADDRESS: 244 redwood drive
POST CODE: 95018
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108de299efdf000e0829be
---
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---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sarah Montoya
508 Martha St Ne
Albuquerque, NM 87123

From: Stuart Torrano
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:28
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Stuart Torrano
ADDRESS: 685 Palo alto ave mountain view ca
POST CODE: 94041
COUNTRY: US
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning...
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108d8db7cb89000e2b33fb
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

Before our government can handcuff a citizen, it must have some reasonable evidence that they have done something wrong. Before the FCC places regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers, shouldn’t the government present evidence that they have actually done something wrong? If the police were to handcuff someone because they might, theoretically, maybe, kind of do something wrong someday, there would be justifiable outrage. Such is the case with the FCC’s attempt to place regulatory handcuffs on Internet providers just in case they might do something wrong.
someday. The FCC’s rulemaking in the absence of any actual problem, any actual misbehavior on the part of Internet providers, or any consumer harm is beneath the dignity of an expert agency.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tod Dale
1859 Athens Ave
Clovis, CA 93611

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:28
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tanya Muzyczka
5301 Seville Rd
Seville, OH 44273

From: Christopher Vasankari
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:29
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christopher Vasankari
ADDRESS: Hagvägen 43
POST CODE: 19440
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108dc3003331000ed4e18c
---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:29  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Fran Cockfield
11007 Williamsburg Rd
Savannah, GA 31419

From: jordan  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:29  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: jordan
ADDRESS: 70 highstreet yaddlethorpe
POST CODE: dn172rj
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Alan Mccrary
4942 Harbin Rd
Alvin, TX 77511

From: Austin Akins
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Austin Akins
ADDRESS: 9799 Lakewood Drive
POST CODE: 46077
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=====================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—\200\224and not for the better.

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out
of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Piper
801 N 2nd Ave
Alpena, MI 49707

From: John Leon
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:30
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Leon
ADDRESS: 7610 magnolia ave, apt 04
POST CODE: 92504
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:30
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation— just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Malcolm Chambers
1943 Mount Shasta Dr
Dear Chairman Wheeler, please don’t break the Internet. Don’t abandon the “Hands off the Net” approach begun under President Clinton, which unleashed staggering innovation. That bipartisan approach made possible the $01.03 trillion dollars of private investment that built today’s broadband networks. Imposing Title II would hurt all Americans by slowing broadband deployment and creating a cloud of uncertainty over all Internet services.

Title II’s draconian rules were designed in the 1930s for AT&T’s government-created monopoly. They’re a poor fit for the Internet. FCC Chairmen Bill Kennard (D, 1997-2001) and Michael Powell (R, 2001-2005) knew that. So they wisely drew a clear, bright line between Title II and the Internet. We don’t have Title II anymore than you can be slightly pregnant.

Title II would hurt startups most: they can’t cope with regulation as easily as big companies. Title II would protect incumbent broadband companies from new entrants like Google Fiber. Title II would hurt the underserved by slowing deployment and upgrades in minority and rural communities. And Title II would vastly increase the FCC’s powers over the Internet. Remember, you won’t be Chairman forever, and the powers you claim over the Internet today could be used to control it in ways you don’t intend.

Yet, as you’ve noted, Title II wouldn’t allow the FCC to do what its proponents demand: ban “fast lanes.”

We urge you to carefully focus regulation on real problems, what Chairman Kennard called “vigilant restraint.” But remember Kennard’s digital mantra, too: “First, do no harm.” If you conclude that existing laws aren’t adequate to protect consumers, you should ask Congress for legislation that clearly specifies the boundaries of the FCC’s authority. No government agency should be trusted with a blank check to regulate the Internet at whim.

Most of all, we want continually better, faster broadband and more competition. Instead of imposing Title II, we urge you to identify regulatory barriers at all levels of government that could be removed to help existing companies keep upgrading their networks, but also help Google Fiber, wireless providers and other private companies to compete.

Competition, not endless litigation and political bickering over Title II, should be your legacy.
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:30  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Sheila Johnson  
9545 Cambury Dr  
Santee, CA 92071

From: walter gerken  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:30  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: walter gerken  
ADDRESS: 33 mead ave beacon  
POST CODE: 12508  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Erik Larson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:31
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: Erik Larson
ADDRESS: 967 Millwood Ln
POST CODE: Great Falls, VA 22066
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC is considering monopoly utility regulations on the Internet, even though the Internet is not a monopoly or a utility. The FCC itself argued before the US Supreme Court that Internet services are unregulated information services, not a regulated telephone service. And what events, or what new evidence does the FCC have to justify this about-face? None whatsoever. The FCC’s sudden change of mind in the absence of any real evidence or any clear problem is an arbitrary power grab, plain and simple to any reasonable person. By taking such reckless actions to gain control over the Internet, the FCC is ironically presenting a powerful argument that it has become largely irrelevant in the present world of competitive data services. As Congress reconsiders telecommunications in the coming years, it will no doubt consider what to do with the very expensive, largely irrelevant, and power-hungry agency that the FCC has sadly become.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Aaron Runyon
2346 Washington Ave
Knoxville, TN 37917

Of the million or so comments the Federal Communications Commission received on its rulemaking, did any make an evidence-based case that the Internet is not free, open, and functioning well? Evidence matters. And there simply is no evidence to back up the dire claims of disaster if the Internet is left unregulated. The unregulated Internet
is probably the greatest success story of the century. The body of evidence is very clear: investment and innovation aimed at winning customers is the most powerful force for good when it comes to developing the Internet. Tens of billions of private dollars pour into its networks every year, even in a bad economy. The only reason to change this is to appease a small left-wing political faction that is ideologically obsessed with government control over the economy. If the FCC has descended to the depths where it ignores evidence so it can appease an ignorant, angry left-wing political faction, then it is time to consider whether the agency should continue to exist in its present url.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Salvador Genis
260 Ellis St
Lake Elsinore, CA 92530

=======================================================================================

From: Nev
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:32
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Nev
ADDRESS: 2068 Grandview Dr.
POST CODE: 62281
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e10003331000ed4e1a8

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/wedWuXy5RPe56U4N0BfZ_g/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—200 and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—200 just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Trevor Robison
416 S Navajoe St
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:32
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Tina Alvarez
2032 N 6th St
Estherville, IA 51334

From: Ashley
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ashley
ADDRESS: 3259 S 128th Circle
POST CODE: 68144
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e2b62b49c00b06c62c4

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheft>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheft>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/ggA/ZgwNAA/EbTSrNWTSzCH7OG0OPS57w/o.gif>

From: David niemczura
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e02c60b21000b542d31

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mwA/ZgwNAA/K3WXLkm-QXy79fh6SrE0zA/o.gif>
NAME: Jacob Thom
ADDRESS: 320 Ruby St
POST CODE: 60514
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---
REF: 54108df699efdf000bbabfe9
---
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=======================================================================================
From: Kalpesh
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: Kalpesh
ADDRESS: 4021 N 27th Ave
POST CODE: 85017
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
Currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108df3f35671000d554047

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/gSwImzmtS6yhEk_rHAZU7A/uh1>.

---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:33
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

If the FCC is taking over the Internet to serve President Obama’s political agenda, then it is no longer an "independent agency," and it is no longer an "expert agency." If the FCC has merely become a political extension of Barack Obama’s White House, then it is in violation of the law that created it and it should be shut down. The Courts and the Congress have told the FCC that it is not authorized to regulate the Internet. If the FCC ignores the Courts and the Congress, it can and should suffer the consequences.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Sincerely,
Melanie Kuehl
1119 Hephzibah Mcbean Rd
Hephzibah, GA 30815

=======================================================================================

From: Miguel Estévez Baltasar
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Miguel Estévez Baltasar
ADDRESS: c/ Don Sancho 03, Valladolid, España
POST CODE: 47002
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold...
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e2a003331000ed4e1af

---
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========================================================================

From: Patrícia Baptista
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Patrícia Baptista
ADDRESS: Praça Poetas da Póvoa Nº 04 3º Esquerdo
POST CODE: 2625-062
COUNTRY: PT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e1015ab86000e5eaca7

---
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=================================================================

From: tom
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:33
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: tom
ADDRESS: 123abc lane
POST CODE: 90210
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e08003331000c61185e
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=======================================================================================
From: Ashek
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---
NAME: Ashek
ADDRESS: Mbabane, Swaziland
POST CODE: H1001
COUNTRY: SZ
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e4d1e0849000cdb3896
---
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If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:34
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

In the 2010 election, all 95 congressional candidates who signed Progressive Change Campaign Committee’s pledge to regulate the Internet lost their elections. That’s right, every single candidate who pledged to regulate the Internet was defeated by voters. In regulating the Internet, the FCC is clearly ignoring the will of the American people, the will of the Congress, and the judgment of the Courts. The dangerous legal waters this Administrations and the FCC are entering will end in a justifiable political backlash against those who overstepped their authority in an attempt to wield the powers of the federal government against those who they were sworn to serve. If the FCC places these extreme federal regulations on the Internet in the face of Congressional and judicial opposition, it will harm the Internet and devastate its own reputation.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Karen Hamel
2521 N Main St
Waterbury, CT 06704

---------------------

From: Brian Murphy
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Brian Murphy
ADDRESS: Po box 1624 sultan wa
POST CODE: 98294
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e3f15ab86000e5eacb7

---
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From: Cassidy sainsbury
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:34
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Cassidy sainsbury

ADDRESS: 1115 35th st Boulder, CO

POST CODE: 8033

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e39b7cb89000b3cea61
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To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

To whom it may concern at the FCC

This is the internet age. All of us have come to depend on the internet for our businesses and personal lives. It is something that has been available to everyone equally, regardless of their income. Because of the extreme inequality right now in our country financially, it would be a travesty to take away the one benefit in this country that is equally available to every person in the US. I am completely opposed to this part of my life, my internet, being either controlled by the corporations or their limiting my use, access, or the freedom to access any information from groups or individuals that are expressing themselves via internet. I feel this would infringe on my constitutional rights given in the first amendment, and I will oppose any action making Big Telecom the gatekeepers of internet......therefore a neutral internet is VERY IMPORTANT to me, and I will not support anything else!

Kathleen M. Batesole
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Kathleen Batesole
90024

From: Lourdes Coleman
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:34
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Dear FCC Chair Wheeler:

As a member of the generation that grew up with free television, I am writing to request that internet access be spared from the fate of free television. Many of us are on fixed incomes and found ourselves subject to ever increasing cable television fees. Cancellation of cable eliminated access to public television in many geographic areas and internet access.

With help and a means to purchase antennas and boxes many of us found a way back to free television, frequently without public television. Then we had to find a way to get to the internet. Again, with additional monthly expense.

Our unfettered access to all available information should not depend on our limited monthly fixed income; our ability to find assistance in identifying internet options; our limited ability to travel to public libraries, etc.; or, our reliance on for profit corporations controlling equal access to the internet.
There are many unfortunate distinctions between the haves and have nots in this country. These distinctions frequently form the basis of distrust. Please do not deliberately add to that list unfettered internet access to all available information. This slippery slope should be avoided.

Thank you for your consideration.

Lourdes Coleman
30901

From: Mike Orvab
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:34
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No tiered speeds on the internet. Please maintain net neutrality!

Mike Orvab
80915

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:34
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Derrick Richard
3139 Morningside St
Memphis, TN 38127
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

REF: 54108e7fdfeb5000cb0ce6b
I use the internet for multiple reasons: to keep up with current events by reading articles and viewing video uploads; entertain myself by watching videos on YouTube and even reading up on my favorite topics; study the Bible and engage with others on our biblical studies; explore and study physical and non-tangible topics that most of the time are out of my physical reach; further my own personal studies; and ultimately share my experiences with others across dedicated services and social media; hopefully inspiring others, while at the same time allowing them the opportunity to do the same with me. It is because of a neutral, open, and free internet, where discrimination and censorship are not welcome, that I, and countless others like me are able to do this.

However, FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler’s recent proposal for net neutrality rules would drastically effect how I engage in such activities. His proposal would allow ISPs (Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, etc) to become gatekeepers of the internet and implement a two-tiered internet where there are fast lanes for those who can/will pay for it, and slow lanes for everyone else who can’t/won’t; ultimately creating winners and losers on the internet. Adding to the faultiness of this proposal, the terms "fast lanes" and "slow lanes" will be vulnerable to dangerously broad interpretation; in other words, what one consumer/content provider may be offered as fast lane access could be much slower than the the fast lane access of another consumer/content provider. I am seriously concerned about how this would effect freedom of speech and expression; civil liberties both guaranteed under the 1st amendment. Without net neutrality, I, and other like me, can be discriminated against and censored in so many ways and for so many bias reasons. The same could happen FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler. Does that sound like freedom of speech, freedom expression, or Democracy to you?

The language of the Verizon v. FCC Supreme court ruling clearly mandates that unless the FCC rests its net neutrality rules in Title II of the Communications Act, the FCC must permit ISPs to engage in discrimination, charge access fees, cut exclusive deals, and perhaps block websites. Any other regulation of the internet other than Title II can and will be challenged in court; and ultimately beaten. This includes section 706; because under such regulation. the internet would still be classified as an information service.

The FCC must heed the Supreme Court’s mandate and reclassify broadband as a telecommunications service under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 in order to implement True Net Neutrality.

Thank you for this time to comment. God bless.

Anthony Morton
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:35  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Nick Devine
2705 Lakeview Dr
Santa Rosa, CA 95405

From: Luka  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:35  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luka

ADDRESS: Dinka Ć imunovica 04

POST CODE: 51000

COUNTRY: HR

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Michael Luther
219 Spring St
Latrobe, PA 15650

From: Shannon Styron
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:35
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Shannon Styron
ADDRESS: 531 Berea Rd
POST CODE: Rougemont, NC 27572
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:36
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Millions of liberal fools demanding you reduce the Internet to a public utility don’t give that idea any actual merit. Many of these organizations are openly socialist and see destroying a major sector of the U.S. economy as a good thing in itself. Others are simply being swept up in sloganeering from comedians. And the same scare stories have been told for ten years that unless you regulate, big bad phone and cable companies will destroy the Internet. Never happened and never will, because
of competition. But a powerful government regulator really could create mischief, and there would be no place else to turn. Don’t ruin a good thing.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Jensen
1916 Us Highway 129 S
Stockton, GA 31649

=================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:36
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Russ Craft
9871 Clearcreek Franklin Rd
Miamisburg, OH 45342

=================================
From: Filip vujovic
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:37
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Filip vujovic
ADDRESS: 19 lorupe court
POST CODE: l9g3v9
COUNTRY: CA
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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NAME: Flewren
ADDRESS: tatara11
POST CODE: 515122
COUNTRY: RO
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Satish  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:37  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Satish  
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
POST CODE: 68130  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:37
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an accountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Sophorn Chamreun
9406 Huron Ave
Richmond, VA 23294

=======================================================================================
From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:37  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Kenny Garnett  
239 Pecan Dr  
Augusta, GA 30907

From: TERRY WALKER  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:37  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please do not sell our democratic internet to the Highest bidders! The FCC was created to protect and allocate our national Commons of air/radio/electronic/tv/internet equality. Creating special High Speed Lanes for the Corporate 01% and slower lanes for the rest of us in ANATHEMA to Democracy and goes against the very principals of our Constitution. DO NOT BETRAY THE TRUST OF YOUR OFFICE BY SELLING OUT your fellow Citizens!  
THANK YOU

TERRY WALKER  
95060

From: Curtis Hodak  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Curtis Hodak
ADDRESS: 457 oak meadow dr
POST CODE: 43062
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e8b444842000ec59101

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
From: Diana  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:38  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Diana  
ADDRESS: 418 Civil Drive League City, Tx  
POST CODE: 77573  
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e84b7cb89000e2b3441

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefutr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefutr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/D4cmRT6QSn6_NH44g_5MtA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/D4cmRT6QSn6_NH44g_5MtA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:38
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job Â— providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service Â— it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michael Axon
1028 Mainstreet Lake Dr
Stone Mtn, GA 30088

=======================================================================================

From: Max Doyle
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Max Doyle
ADDRESS: 422 N Huron St Ypsilanti mi
POST CODE: 48083
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e55b0a7e4000e469d7f

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>
NAME: Michael
ADDRESS: 111 Home Address
POST CODE: 60021
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e538b7a18000e7c5167

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefttr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefttr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fwA/ZgwNAA/7H7C2hFmTuWph_PCKsvegg/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fwA/ZgwNAA/7H7C2hFmTuWph_PCKsvegg/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:38
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

The Internet is not broken, and does not need to be fixed. Left-wing extremists have been crying wolf for the past decade about the harm to the Internet if the Federal government didn’t regulate it. Not only were they wrong, but the Internet has exploded with innovation. Do not regulate the Internet. The best way to keep it open and free is what has kept it open and free all along — no government intervention.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Katrina Kelly
12888 Haverford Rd E Apt 09
Jacksonville, FL 32218

=======================================================================================

From: Simon Lindstedt
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Simon Lindstedt
ADDRESS: Riksrådsvägen 50
POST CODE: 128 39
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e42c60b21000b542d3c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Tyler Duncan
ADDRESS: 3034 Belvidere Ave sw seattle wa
POST CODE: 98126
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ea7dac595000cde644e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightforthefftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightforthefftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rgA/ZgwNAA/kdbtUTDwRA2FJ14_sqiegw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/kdbtUTDwRA2FJ14_sqiegw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: Veronica
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:38
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Veronica
ADDRESS: Hyllievångsvägen 33
POST CODE: SWEDEN
COUNTRY: SE

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e8afbecab000e3eb031
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/pQA/ZgwNAA/yb08KD0eQWuheg5p8d4u6w/uhl>.

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

It doesn’t take much to realize that this debate over net neutrality - or worse, turning the Internet into a public utility - is simply another attempt by the federal government to take control of another sector of the economy. Whether for power or tax revenue it doesn’t matter, stop trying to fix things that are not broken, and leave the American people and the Internet alone!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ec0448420000d5ba60c
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/sAA/ZgwNAA/F6HectTkToeUC-UEgRIjeQ/uh1>.

---

From: Ann
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ann
ADDRESS: Elverhøy
POST CODE: 7819
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e9a003331000c61188f

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/4Sbs15eDFOOHQ3kkQYddw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/4Sbs15eDFOOHQ3kkQYddw/uh1>.

============================================================================

From: Austin
Date: 09/15/2014 14:04:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Austin
ADDRESS: Littlefield
POST CODE: 89166
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.
The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e48a26879000e0b18a1

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/mgA/ZgwNAA/iRd7t8tjT-W1Nq0NETIQMA/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/mgA/ZgwNAA/iRd7t8tjT-W1Nq0NETIQMA/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new
regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Christopher Seay
4610 W Alta Vista Rd
Laveen, AZ 85339

==================================================================================================

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:39
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Francisco Hurtado
317 Deerfield Pt
Alpharetta, GA 30004

==================================================================================================
From: Isaac Trumbo  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:39  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Isaac Trumbo  
ADDRESS: 937 E Pico Blvd  
POST CODE: 90021  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108eb4a26879000bdcb83c

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Mike
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mike
ADDRESS: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
POST CODE: 03865
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ebcb0a7e4000e469da3
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
  <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/fAA/ZgwNAA/iwAJaGxMRaavXHcYiG4_dQ/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fAA/ZgwNAA/iwAJaGxMRaavXHcYiG4_dQ/uh1>.

From: Sam mills
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:39
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sam mills
ADDRESS: 115n maple st
POST CODE: 43449
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e94dac595000d1eb7e2
---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/fWA/ZgwNAA/mt8mIstiS2mdSV5r7GeWMA/uh1>.

=========================================================================

From: Ariel Cockfield
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ariel Cockfield
ADDRESS: 2412 highline st
POST CODE: 29550
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54108ebf1e0849000b596d0c

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rQA/ZgwNAA/m2yuPZ9ETIi8y-47oLGCCw/uh1>.

===============================================================================

From: Ashley Simpson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Ashley Simpson
ADDRESS: 39 Campbell road
POST CODE: BH237JY
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ecc8b7a18000c57514d

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change— and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation—just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Chantelle Curtis
Po Box 1550
Craig, CO 81626

The Internet is not a "public utility" and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information...
services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Thomas Tramell
19811 Moonriver Dr
Humble, TX 77338

From: George Buhr
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: George Buhr
ADDRESS: 1414 Jonathan Place
POST CODE: 64850
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108eaf1e0849000cdb38c2

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/owA/ZgwNAA/mXm_f2iWQOun3MXXt9d1fQ/uh1> .

=======================================================================================

From: jessica salas
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:40
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

The American people are watching a Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that is not seeking to make the Internet better, but instead seeking to regulate it. The FCC could improve broadband delivery by auctioning off much-needed spectrum. Or it could get rid of some of its own burdensome rules that prevent companies from attracting investors and innovating. But instead of doing these things that would improve the Internet, the FCC is wasting its time in an obsessive drive to regulate. This tells the American people that once again, a Washington agency is working in a self-interested way to increase its own power at the expense of the free people it is meant to serve.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

jessica salas
62 Hege Ave Apt 01
Columbus, Indiana 47201

================================================================================================

From: John Swagger
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John Swagger
ADDRESS: Ed. Quinta do PaÃ§o, R/C Nascente Sul
POST CODE: 3150 151
COUNTRY: PT
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108e93b7cb89000d44cf51
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From: Ryan Huninghake
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:40
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling
new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and
urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know
that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications
Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than
heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most
onerous regulations imaginable on the Internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style
regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public
utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by
government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers,
businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the
two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this
reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government
control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of
unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of
internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Ryan Huninghake
410 Carolina St
Marysville, Kansas 66508
From: Sigve Steinshamn
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:40
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sigve Steinshamn
ADDRESS: Ingeborg StrÃ¸ms Ve 14
POST CODE: 6530
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Alyssa Gutierrez  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:41  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,  

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.  

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better. The Internet is not a “public utility” and never will be. America has led the world with competitive Internet services developed in a free market for information services. Competition has driven businesses to offer faster and better services. If the FCC regulates the Internet as if it were a public utility this competition will be diminished. Government controls will never be as beneficial as competition. Investors have fled regulated telecommunications services to invest in the unregulated sectors of the industry. Government regulation of Internet services would chase investment out of Internet service providers, and that would be disastrous for any and all who use the internet.  

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.  

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.  

Sincerely,  

Alyssa Gutierrez  
5576 Esplanada Ave  
Santa Maria, California 93455  

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:41  
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn't need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Beth Block
690 Harris Rd Apt C19
Sheffield Lk, OH 44054

---

From: John
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: John
ADDRESS: 777 Glades Road, Boca Raton, FL
POST CODE: 33431
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Katarzyna Ulman
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:41
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Katarzyna Ulman
ADDRESS: KrakĂłw
POST CODE: 31-586
COUNTRY: PL
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:41
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Placing monopoly utility regulations on the competitive services of the Internet will send the crown jewel of the US economy into an economic tailspin. Instead of offering users new products and faster speeds, Internet providers will pour their energy into asking the federal government for permission to do anything from changing rates to using new technology. The Internet is not a monopoly. And it is not a utility. And the federal government should keep away from it and let it, and the Americans who use it, remain free of government control and coercion.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Cathy Mayo
1 Sw Timber Lane Ln
Indiahoma, OK 73552

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:42
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The FCC’s attempts to regulate the Internet have a well-deserved losing record. Once again the FCC is moving to regulate the Internet to fix a nonexistent problem. The FCC has been given warnings by Congress and the Courts. If the FCC regulates the Internet, it will seriously degrade the Internet we have, and will delay or prevent the improvements in speed and services we have almost come to take for granted.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Hatte Robert
15 Ryan St
Fords, NJ 08863
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Donte Webber
1712 W 105th St
Los Angeles, CA 90047

From: Doreen Trowbridge
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:42
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Doreen Trowbridge
16306 Chickadee Cir
Orland Park, Illinois 60467
From: Erin
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erin
ADDRESS: Ontario, Canada
POST CODE: N0H1J0
COUNTRY: CA

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
From: Erlend Sjursen Lie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:42
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Erlend Sjursen Lie
ADDRESS: Rådmand Steins Alle 17 ST. TH.
POST CODE: 2000
COUNTRY: DK
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Kenneth Burt
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:42
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they are enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change—and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulations just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
From: Maribel Fernandez  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: \201\234What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control.\201\235 In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation\201\224just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC\201\231s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Maribel Fernandez  
713 Harper Cir  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

From: Mario Rangel  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:42  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying
for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Mario Rangel
325 Julian St
Denver, Colorado 80219

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:42
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Every infrastructure system in this country other than broadband Internet is decrepit. Water, sewer, power, road and bridges. All in a state of disrepair, dependent on taxpayers, and under the strict control of government regulators. Internet, on the other hand, is dynamic, innovative, and fueled by billions of dollars in private investment. Don’t destroy what works and turn it into just another slow-moving government-controlled mess.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Vincent Pasqualone
14 Edgar Dr
Smithtown, NY 11787

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

From: aaron
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108ee5dfdb5000e460258
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Since the internet became an everyday usable resource to people all over the world, it has grown and expanded in every way. People have been able to use it to express creativity, build businesses, share media, and even connect with people they would have never gotten an opportunity to meet otherwise. The notion that the internet is broken and needs repair is simply not true. The internet is alive, vibrant and growing. Stop trying to govern what doesn’t need to be governed.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Andra Plucker
1825 E Northern Ave Ste 275
Phoenix, Arizona 85020

---

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:43
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

A small fringe of the extremist left has been demanding a government takeover of the Internet for over ten years, but cannot point to any actual misbehavior that would justify such a takeover. When a government agency like the FCC follows such extremists, acting without evidence, and increasing its own power under imaginary or false pretenses, then the people’s representatives in Congress must cut off its funding and place its necessary duties in the hands of agencies that will act
responsibly. All legislative powers reside in the Congress of the United States. The FCC knows full well that the 1996 Communications Act was a deregulatory bill. But in its zeal to ignore the letter and spirit of that law, and place severe regulations on the Internet, the FCC is usurping the legislative powers of the Congress by attempting to rewrite the laws passed by Congress. Such shocking disregard of the will of Congress will not stand.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Carol Rees
1708 Kings Dr
Paso Robles, CA 93446

From: Dejan Ranisavljevic
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Dejan Ranisavljevic
ADDRESS: Jovana Cvijica 19
POST CODE: 21000
COUNTRY: RS
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Joshua McCartney
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Joshua McCartney
ADDRESS: 10245 S. Maryland Pkwy
POST CODE: 89183
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.
The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: Keary Missler
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:43
Subject: I support new net neutrality rules!

Sep 15, 2014

Chairman Tom Wheeler

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

We need real net neutrality. As a SierraRise supporter and member of
the climate movement, I call on you to protect Internet users from monopolistic ISPs. Don’t let companies censor, slow down, or block websites while requiring other sites to pay for faster service -- that’s not the free and open Internet we deserve. Please stand up for all Internet users and our right to communicate!

Thank you,

Keary Missler
6348 Amston Dr
Dublin, OH 43017-1705

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:43
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

At every turn, the Congress and the Courts have told the Federal Communications Commission to cease and desist in its efforts to regulate the Internet. Rather than heed these warnings, the Commission is doubling down, threatening to place the most onerous regulations imaginable on the internet, subjecting it to 1930s-style regulations meant for telephone monopolies. The Internet is not a monopoly or a public utility. The Internet is a massive network of networks that has arisen, not by government fiat, but through free, spontaneous arrangements by investors, engineers, businesses and the consumers they serve. The FCC should heed the sober warnings of the two branches of government that have instructed it to cease and desist in this reckless, destructive effort to regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Andrea Maki
376 Adeline Ave
San Jose, CA 95136

From: xxxx@xxxx.xxx
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:43
Subject: Stop Internet Regulation

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes
through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The ideological leader of the angry liberals calling for you to reduce the Internet to a public utility is Robert McChesney, the avowed Marxist founder of the socialist group Free Press. In an interview with SocialistProject.ca, McChesney said: "What we want to have in the U.S. and in every society is an Internet that is not private property, but a public utility...At the moment, the battle over network neutrality is not to completely eliminate the telephone and cable companies. We are not at that point yet. But the ultimate goal is to get rid of the media capitalists in the phone and cable companies and to divest them from control." In a country of over 300 million people, even an extremist like McChesney can find, perhaps, millions of followers. But you should know better than to listen to them.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Marc Rochon
204 Mayglen Ct
Woodstock, GA 30189

==================================================================================================

From: Rachel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Rachel
ADDRESS: 26701 Quail Creek
POST CODE: 92656
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband...
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: shreesha
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:43
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: shreesha
ADDRESS: #1065 new kantharaja urs road mysore
POST CODE: 570023
COUNTRY: IN
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Stephanie Branch
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:43
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,
As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Reducing the Internet to a public utility is known on Wall Street as the "nuclear option," because it would devastate private investment with the force of an atomic bomb. And when private investment dries up, it’s only a matter of time before taxpayers will be forced to pick up the tab. Why would we possibly go down that path?

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Branch
2535 Waldo Ln
Richmond, Virginia 23228

=======================================================================================

From: Alexander D’Avignon
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alexander D’Avignon
ADDRESS: 4350 Palm Ave
POST CODE: 91941
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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From: Daniel DelMastro
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:44
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Daniel DelMastro

16617

=======================================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Emil Hagström
ADDRESS: Stuguvägen 26A
POST CODE: 83070
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f07b0a7e4000e469db6
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Want more awesome more often?
Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet has always been a solution in search of a problem. By trying for a third time to regulate the Internet, the FCC is demonstrating that it is no longer acting in the interests of the American people. Instead of doing its real job of providing more spectrum for wireless users or deregulating wireline telephone service, it is trying to move backward in time to 1930s-era phone regulation. If the FCC drags 2014 technology back into 1930s regulations, the Internet will suffer, and so will the American people. Do not regulate the Internet.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Harry Luyke
5417 E Harvey Way
Long Beach, CA 90808

From: james’payne’
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.

---

NAME: james’payne’
ADDRESS: 621 oakwook’ park’d
POST CODE: 29678’3619’
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted. If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Regulating the Internet is the first step in regulating the people who use it. We are a free people, and the FCC needs to leave us alone. If the FCC regulates the Internet after Congress and the courts have told it not to, it will have proven itself to be an unaccountable agency, and the Congress should move to severely limit its powers and budget.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth. Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,
Jeff Pape
7656 W Hearn Rd
Peoria, AZ 85381

From: Jonathan
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:44
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Jonathan
ADDRESS: Cowpath Rd
POST CODE: Telford, Pa 18969
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f10dac595000b708b44
---
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=======================================================================================

From: Louis Cappuccio  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:44  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC\201\231s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they\201\231re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change\201\224and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free
and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Louis Cappuccio
109 W Avenida Valencia
San Clemente, California 92672

=======================================================================================

From: Michelle McCarron
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:44
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

The internet cannot be governed as a public utility without being slowed by bureaucratic inertia. We are a country built on principles of free people and free markets, and nothing epitomizes that like the Internet. The Internet is already free and open, for the simple reason that competition between providers forces it to be free and open. That’s what customers want. There is no need for the government to intervene to create something that already exists. The unregulated Internet already helps promote entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of speech. Let the free economy do what it does best, and stop trying to regulate the Internet!

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Michelle McCarron
771 Eastwood Ave
Tallmadge, Ohio 44278

=======================================================================================

From: Sierra
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f05fbecab000e3eb049
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
From: Zachery  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:45  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Zachery  
ADDRESS: 810 rayford apt 704  
POST CODE: 77386  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Anyssa
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Anyssa
ADDRESS: 2059 canal ave
POST CODE: 90810
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f2afbecab000c2891a1
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From: Elizabeth RÅ;kjam
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Elizabeth RÅ;kjam
ADDRESS: Colinas de San Mateo, Naucalpan
POST CODE: 53218
COUNTRY: MX

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f06c60b21000b542d60

---
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NAME: Lauren Reckless
ADDRESS: 193 knowlwood rd
POST CODE: O114 6pf
COUNTRY: GB
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Raimo Jormalainen
ADDRESS: Juolavehnätie 09 E2
POST CODE: 90580
COUNTRY: FI
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: ROBERT ZAGARELLO
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:46
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners!

So what do you think will happen when the big telecoms get what they want? Net
Un-neutrality Wars between the Telecoms, and the public is the one going to pay for it,
because their escapades always comes with a price. I am sick and tired of the
destruction of our old anti-trust laws. Stop selling out to the oligarchs!

ROBERT ZAGARELLO

11720

=======================================================================================

From: Tell Eulenspiegel
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:46
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Tell Eulenspiegel
ADDRESS: C/Cruz del Llanito
POST CODE: 38787 Villa de Garafia
COUNTRY: ES
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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====================================================================

From: Anna
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f43b7cb89000cb2b37a

---
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From: Christian  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Christian  
ADDRESS: 811 Willow Street  
POST CODE: 43420  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f2b62b49c000bd621bc
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---

From: Even
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenten.com.

---

NAME: Even
ADDRESS: Eyvind Løkkens Veg 38
POST CODE: 7092
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides.
Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the
certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal
would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses
and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning,
would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative
startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the
essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our
communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---

REF: 54108f4bb0a7e4000dc6438a
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From: Joshua Kaine
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:48
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

Please, please, please DO NOT adopt these rules. I have worked professionally as an
Internet developer since 1994, and I have seen the importance of a free and neutral
network to the exceptional growth of this new medium. Approving these rules will
damage not just the internet, but our society and country. We need continued growth
and innovation, not restrictions imposed by quarterly earnings reports.

Joshua Kaine

52245
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Lashawn
ADDRESS: 40 W 115th Street
POST CODE: New York, NY 10026
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f028b7a18000c575166
From: Nathan Clark  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:48  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

I think the idea of controlling the flow and speed of internet content, or even outright banning sites if the Internet Provider does not wish to allow the site through their network is outright ridiculous. I am a 34 year old man who pays for my internet service, what I don’t need is this same provider telling me what I can and cannot watch on my own computer, and I most certainly do not want them controlling the speed of the websites I do wish to go to simply because the business isn’t a large corporation that is able to shell out large amounts of money. It actually sickens me to see that this is the direction that business is going in, that this is even being considered a possibility.

The sad part of this is that it likely will not matter what I put in this box because large greedy pricks in charge of these companies are going to throw money at the greedy pricks who make the laws and this bill will pass and piss everyone off who isn’t one of the large greedy prick corporations.

Nathan Clark  
52806  

From: Nick  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:48  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---  

NAME: Nick  
ADDRESS: 17020 US Route 15  
POST CODE: 17810  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f2bc60b21000e11859f
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=======================================================================================

From: Oleg volkov
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:48
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Oleg volkov

ADDRESS: 2240 spring grove drive roseville ca

POST CODE: 95747

COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f3fdffdb5000b8720b1
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---

From: Richard Notestine  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:48  
Subject: Do Not Regulate the Internet  

Dear Mr. Wheeler,

As an American citizen, I wanted to voice my opposition to the FCC’s crippling new regulations that would put federal bureaucrats in charge of internet freedom, and urge you to stop these regulations before they’re enacted.

If the federal government goes through these plans to regulate the internet, I know that the internet will change and not for the better.

Like many Americans, I believe that the internet should remain free of government control and unnecessary regulation just as it has for the last twenty years of unprecedented growth.

Please stop the FCC’s dangerous new regulations, and protect the future of internet freedom here in America.

Sincerely,

Richard Notestine  
1031 Rector St NE.  
Sparta, Michigan 49345

---

From: Israel Hartley  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:49  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Israel Hartley  
ADDRESS: 9393 Oxford Dr Winter Haven, FL  
POST CODE: 33884  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: OnlyAssholes do Popups
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthefuture.com.
NAME: OnlyAssholes do Popups
ADDRESS: 111 Main St.
POST CODE: 48077
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f428b7a18000c57517c
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This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Terre Spencer
ADDRESS: 6000 Bama Court
POST CODE: 30092-1337
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Vivian
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:49
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Vivian
ADDRESS: 104 Union Drive
POST CODE: 27708
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.
The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Martha McAndrews
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:50
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Dear Sirs, I hope you will stand up for net neutrality against corporate interests. In
my lifetime, I believe that the greatest damage to our american life is the purchase of power by large corporate interests. This has already destroyed independent journalism in the news industry and the radio networks and of course, television. Please don’t follow this trend. Keep the internet free from corporate influence. Respectfully yours, Martha McAndrews MD

Martha McAndrews 
46040

From: Barbara Paccione 
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:51 
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet 

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

I totally agree with the concept that large business should not be allowed to dominate with speed on the Internet. Free speech and small enterprise should be ENTIRELY EQUAL in their reach. Big business has enough of an advantage. Far too much, in fact.

Barbara Paccione 
02048

From: James A Smith 
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:51 
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment 

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: James A Smith 
ADDRESS: 19316 1st ave nw 
POST CODE: 98177 
COUNTRY: US 
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler: 

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation. 

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future. 

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f858b7a18000ddd5dd0

---
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From: Luke
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:51
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Luke

ADDRESS: 1064 Route 660

POST CODE: 16933
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f49a26879000bdcb858

---
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From: shawn coyne  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:51  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: shawn coyne  
ADDRESS: 6068 margo dr  
POST CODE: 95662  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f7999efdf000c345f1b  
---
NAME: Aaron Krosnick
ADDRESS: 13734 Bermuda Cay Court, Jacksonville, FL
POST CODE: 32225
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.
The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108fa9444842000d5ba647
---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>

* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/nAA/ZgwNAA/NPRgi2XJQmiotm8aoNRs8w/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/nAA/ZgwNAA/NPRgi2XJQmiotm8aoNRs8w/uh1>.

From: Adriana Soldi
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Adriana Soldi
ADDRESS: Malecón Armendáriz 183-903
POST CODE: Lima 18 Perú
COUNTRY: PE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.
To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f91b7cb89000e2b3483

---
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From: Alfredo
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Alfredo
ADDRESS: 2005 185th pl SE apt s203
POST CODE: 98012
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f8ef1110a000d0e778e

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rgA/ZgwNAA/Rs0ngwYSTGaHetsxSxuXOA/uh1>.

======================================================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthetenet.com.

---

NAME: Carol bercume
ADDRESS: Staunton virgina
POST CODE: 24401
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f65fbecab000b574b1a

---

Want more awesome more often?
From: Daniel  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:52  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Daniel  
ADDRESS: P.O. Box 2642  
POST CODE: 29622  
COUNTRY: US  

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.
When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f8bf1110a000cc4f69d

---
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From: Mathias Solheim
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Mathias Solheim
ADDRESS: SkÅvreråsen 12
POST CODE: 1473
COUNTRY: NO

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f87dfbd5000e460275

---
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==========================================================================

From: Niclas Näslund
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:52
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Niclas Näslund
ADDRESS: Sylviagan7
POST CODE: 56134
COUNTRY: SE
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REP: 54108f57dfbd5000cb0cec5

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/rwA/ZgwNAA/dCq9mvttRO-1aa_2F1sOjw/o.gif>

If you would like to unsubscribe and stop receiving these emails click here <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/u/rwA/ZgwNAA/dCq9mvttRO-1aa_2F1sOjw/uh1>.

=======================================================================================

From: fsdf
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: fsdf
ADDRESS: sdfsdf
POST CODE: sdfsf
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f83fbecab000e3eb061

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Samuel
ADDRESS: 1662 Washington Rd' Apollo PA
POST CODE: 15613
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the
highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for
the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold
and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f968b7a18000e7c51dc

---

Want more awesome more often?
* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
   <http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/hQA/ZgwNAA/ydkNQeqeBSV2Bcg0ye0py2Zg/o.gif>
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=======================================================================================

From: teddy roberts
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:53
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: teddy roberts
ADDRESS: 131 north russell st
POST CODE: 37148
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules
that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its
ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become
the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all
speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access
to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby
ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform
for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning
access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the
so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless
of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108f89444842000ec5914e

---
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=================================================================================================

From: Jaycie Ingersoll
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:54
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

We NEED net neutrality if we are to continue to have free and open discussion about issues of public interest on all subjects! Why is this SO difficult to understand? Most of us "regular" people out here WANT net neutrality’ it’s only those who stand to profit massively from not having net neutrality who want to destroy it!

Jaycie Ingersoll
Los Angeles

Jaycie Ingersoll
90210

=================================================================================================
This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.

---

NAME: Jason Rickett
ADDRESS: 22 Irene Street
POST CODE: 93305
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108fb9444842000c60004b
---
From: Jim Singer  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:55  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet  

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:  

To Whom It May Concern:  

I used the internet for work. I’m an independent contractor, a single father and making just enough to get by. Not only can I not afford to pay more for my internet access, it’s not fair that ANYONE should have to pay more no matter their financial situation. The internet should be free from corporate interference and should be NEUTRAL!!!  

Regards,  
James Singer  

Jim Singer  
95677  

From: Joseph Vaughn  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:55  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment  

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.  

---  

NAME: Joseph Vaughn  
ADDRESS: 226 Somerset church rd  
POST CODE: 42503  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:  

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle
free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108fc7a26879000decc11d

---
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* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>
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=======================================================================================

From: Murad Mirzoyev
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthethenet.com.
NAME: Murad Mirzoyev
ADDRESS: 3903 Nostrand Ave #4S
POST CODE: 11235
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square.

The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108fb4b7cb89000d44cfa6

---

Want more awesome more often?

* Like us on Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/fightfortheftr>
* Follow us on Twitter <https://twitter.com/fightfortheftr>
* Keep us fighting, chip in what you can. <https://donate.fightforthefuture.org>

<http://list.fightforthefuture.org/mpss/o/owA/ZgwNAA/vPpsMLpIQu-88Gge0DKn7w/o.gif>
Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it
affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
---

REF: 54108fbcc60b21000df16e46
---
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=======================================================================================================

From: will
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:55
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
---

NAME: will
ADDRESS: 1714 01/02 Laurel canyon blvd
POST CODE: 90046
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet
currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108fcf444842000ba91215

---
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=======================================================================================

From: AJ NN Jones
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:56
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners

FCC - please do your job and regulate the internet just like you have done for phone service. It must be left open and free from over reaching carriers like ATT and Comcast who are looking to game the system.

AJ NN Jones

91604

=======================================================================================

From: Jan van der Sterre
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.
NAME: Jan van der Sterre
ADDRESS: 336 W BROADWAY STE 202 SLC UT
POST CODE: 84101
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---

REF: 54108fe6dac595000cde6488

---
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From: Joe Coglianese  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:56  
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners:

Please remember that our country was founded as the world’s first experiment to give equal rights to everyone, not just the wealthy and powerful. Throughout our history, we’ve lost our way and few times and government has stepped in on the side of the less powerful. I see this as another opportunity to protect us. An open internet is very important for common people and for keeping The U.S. a model for the world.

Joe Coglianese  
60304

From: Matthew  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:56  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Matthew  
ADDRESS: 655 Hess Street  
POST CODE: 18015  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking,
a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=====================================================================

From: Sophie
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Sophie
ADDRESS: 2990 Windrose Dr. Marietta, GA
POST CODE: 30062
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:
We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================
From: Thomas Wilson
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:56
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Thomas Wilson
ADDRESS: 693 Danbury Ct
POST CODE: 18940
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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From: Bess Hollowell  
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:57  
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Bess Hollowell  
ADDRESS: 7820 Dee St  
POST CODE: 45243  
COUNTRY: US  
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining...
moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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=======================================================================================

From: Felicia hives
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Felicia hives
ADDRESS: 1614englishknollelane
POST CODE: 35235
COUNTRY: US

COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband...
access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.

---
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=======================================================================================

From: Owen lopez
Date: 09/15/2014 15:04:57
Subject: Proceeding 14-28 comment

This is a Proceeding 14-28 comment submitted through battleforthenet.com.

---

NAME: Owen lopez
ADDRESS: 812 Don gaspar
POST CODE: 87505
COUNTRY: US
COMMENT: Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We are writing to urge you to implement strong and unambiguous net neutrality rules that protect the Internet from discrimination and other practices that will impede its ability to serve our democracy, empower consumers, and fuel economic growth. Erecting
toll booths or designating fast lanes on the information superhighway would stifle free speech, limit consumer choice, and thwart innovation.

The FCC must act in a clear and decisive way to ensure the Internet does not become the bastion of powerful incumbents and carriers, but rather remains a place where all speakers, creators, and innovators can harness its power now and in the future.

The Internet is a staple of our lives and our economy. The FCC should protect access to the Internet under a Title II framework, with appropriate forbearance, thereby ensuring greater regulatory and market certainty for users and broadband providers.

To ensure that the Internet fulfills its promise of being a powerful, open platform for social, political, and economic life, the FCC must adopt a rule against blocking, a bright-line rule against application-specific discrimination, and a rule banning access fees. These principles of fairness and openness should not only apply to the so-called last-mile network, but also at points of interconnection to the broadband access provider’s network. Likewise, strong net neutrality rules must apply regardless of whether users access the Internet on fixed or mobile connections.

The FCC’s proposed rules would be a significant departure from how the Internet currently works, limiting the economic and expressive opportunity it provides. Investors, entrepreneurs, and employees have invested in businesses based on the certainty of a level playing field and equal-opportunity marketplace. The proposal would threaten those investments and undermine the necessary certainty that businesses and investors need going forward. The current proposed rules, albeit well-meaning, would be far-reaching. Erecting new barriers to entry would result in fewer innovative startups, fewer micro-entrepreneurs, and fewer diverse voices in the public square. The FCC should abandon its current proposal and adopt a simple rule that reflects the essential values of our free markets, our participatory democracy, and our communications laws.

When the history of the Internet is written, 2014 will be remembered as a defining moment. This FCC will be remembered either for handing the Internet over to the highest bidders or for ensuring that the conditions of Internet openness remain for the next generation of American entrepreneurs and citizens. We urge you to take bold and unequivocal action that will protect the open Internet and the opportunity it affords for innovation, economic development, communication, and democracy itself.
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