

60000985561.txt

As a Comcast user I pay for the speed which they deny, in their Terms and Conditions, they have any responsibility to meet. Now, they want to go even further and impose charges on certain content providers at the expense of others, thereby discriminating against those who do not pay a premium. Nor is their comment that no content would be slowed down, just some content would be faster, at all credible. For this to be true the entire bandwidth provided would have to be increased and there has been no evidence that these monopolies have or will commit to providing the lines and equipment that would support additional bandwidth. Nor will they, without regulation.

President Obama is right. These companies ARE utilities and should be regulated as such. It is unfortunate that money in politics is more likely to play a role in the decision made by the FCC than the public comment. It is my guess that the VAST majority of people commenting on this issue favor net neutrality. And yet I doubt their voices or even the voice of the President will change the influence these large companies seem to have. Perhaps only litigation will change this and it is heartening to know that even conservatives on the Supreme Court like Scalia consider the cable industry a utility that can and should be regulated. It is perhaps only this that gives me some hope that a decision may be made in favor of the people and not the monies interests.