

November 26, 2014

Samuel L. Feder  
Tel 202 639-6092  
Fax 202 661-4999  
sfeder@jenner.com

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: **REDACTED – FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION**  
**Charter Communications, Inc.’s Request for Confidential Treatment and Redacted**  
**Submission of Information in WC Docket Nos. 10-90, 14-93**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of Charter Communications, Inc. (“Charter”), attached please find Charter’s Response to the served-to-unserved challenges filed in this proceeding by Mid-Communications, Inc. (“Mid-Com”) and Mankato Citizens Telephone Company (“Mankato”).

To support this Response, Charter is filing two FCC Form 505s. The first FCC Form 505 identifies census blocks in which Charter has records of providing the requisite services to a current or former voice and broadband subscriber (hereinafter “Form 505-1”). The second FCC Form 505 identifies census blocks in which Charter offers the requisite voice and broadband services (i.e., Charter has voice and broadband physical assets in the census block and makes the requisite services available) but has no record of having a current or former voice or broadband subscriber (hereinafter “Form 505-2”).<sup>1</sup>

Charter’s Response is further supported by the enclosed Declaration of James Daley, supporting Exhibits, and accompanying Response Memorandum. The enclosed exhibits containing customer invoices, screenshots from Charter’s online serviceability tool, engineering maps, and direct mail marketing materials, are explained in the Daley Declaration.

In accordance with the Protective Order adopted in the above referenced proceeding,<sup>2</sup> Charter seeks confidential treatment for certain evidence supporting its response, specifically, Charter’s engineering maps that indicate the geocoded locations of Charter’s plant (“plant

---

<sup>1</sup> As part of this filing, Charter is petitioning the Bureau to waive its requirement that challenging parties must produce evidence of actual or former customers in a census block in order to establish that the block is served.

<sup>2</sup> 47 C.F.R. § 0.459.

Ms. Marlene Dortch  
November 26, 2014  
Page 2

maps”) accompanying this submission as Appendix 505-2(A). Charter has submitted these plant maps confidentially to the Commission, on machine readable media by hand delivery. As described in the attached Statement of James Daley, these plant maps provide evidence of Charter’s serving census blocks in which Charter provides the requisite voice and broadband services but has no record of having a current or former voice or broadband subscriber listed on the attached Form 505-2. Therefore, Charter submits that the census blocks indicated on the plant maps and listed on Form 505-2 should be deemed served for the purposes of the CAF Phase II.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions about this matter.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Samuel L. Feder in blue ink, including the initials "LCP" at the end.

Samuel L. Feder

Enclosures

cc: Gilbert Smith, Telecommunications Access Policy Division  
Wireline Competition Bureau