

60000996551.txt

This merger would be the largest mistake the FCC has ever made. Comcast and TimeWarner do not 'need' to merge in order to "Make Life Online Better" (as their latest online/video advertisement would suggest). You don't need to merge in order to "extend net neutrality protection" - Extend? What does that mean? Are they proposing that net neutrality is a malleable object, and they would stretch it so that it covers more of the net? "Make it faster" with speeds "up to 505 MBPS" - Up to includes less; and there's no explanation of how anyone could get 505 MBPS in their home. I did a little research; apparently, Comcast is planning to offer the 505Mbps service (with 100Mbps upstream) for \$399.95 per month plus \$19.95 / month for the router, if you sign up for a three year contract - That's a guaranteed income of \$15,116 for Comcast - Is that affordable? NO!. You can get faster now (faster than the typical 25Mbps download speed), you don't need a merger in order to "Make it faster" . All you need as a consumer is more money. "Extend the power of the internet, so that every child can have the internet at home". Are they proposing "free internet access" for children? I doubt it. The audio seems to imply free access by saying "every child", yet the words on the screen say "low-cost internet access". That's a mixed message. What's low-cost? "Every child" is a very broad statement. They couldn't do that if they wanted to. They are definitely pandering to the "parental instinct" by throwing that children's education angle into the mix. Life gets better when people have a "Faster, more secure and reliable" internet. Life gets better? According to who? Some might argue that the internet makes life more difficult and less enjoyable. This latest advertisement is a good example of how this merger is NOT going to make things better for the customers of Time Warner and Comcast. There is nothing substantial in this add. It's all anecdotal, lacking in substance, full of buzz words and social memes designed to make you clamor for a controlled experience where Comcast / TimeWarner knows what's best for you. A world where less competition is better for consumers? In what universe does that make any sense? I'd like to see some competition in my neighborhood, but I can't choose alternate providers because Comcast owns the rights to the fastest access in my community. Sure, I could run four phone lines to my home, and pay four times as much. Sure I could opt for internet via satellite, provided I can put up with the shoddy and expensive service offered by the big companies that control that access. I could go with the wi-fi option, but there's no way to know whether the coverage will give me a signal until after I've signed up. I can't get fiber because the provider constantly promises "fiber to the home", but never delivers. Our options are already limited, overpriced, unreliable and undelivered. Combining these two providers under one name will result in bigger profits for the combined company. They will reduce their staff - Will that bring us better service? They will eliminate redundancy in their communications centers. Will that be better for the vendors? Will it make my customer experience better? No, I may have to get a new modem. The company will eliminate some of their billing staff. Will that result in a lower bill for me? I doubt it. They'll make more money, so their stockholders might be happy, but it won't benefit the end customer. This isn't about improving customer service, expanding our access to content, increasing our internet speeds, or making our life online better. It's about consolidation, cost savings and elimination of duplicate staffing functions. In my opinion/based upon my experience; Comcast customer service is terrible, their VoIP service is 1000x more expensive than other VoIP services, their policies make customer ownership of modems/equipment extremely difficult. They still charge extra for HD formatted programming; the current television standard - I'm surprised they don't charge extra for color television signals. This is a bad idea all around. I can't imagine any way in which this merger might improve my experience as a customer.