

The petitioners' request should be denied.

Active interference with the result of denying access to wireless services is effectively jamming. In this case the interference is more subtle, and does not take place at the physical layer (where one traditionally thinks of "jamming"), yet it is in effect and intent an example of illegal jamming.

Many end user groups have previously cited significant value and benefit to be gained from allowing selective jamming of signals. The Commission has routinely denied these requests in the past and would be wise to maintain that precedent.