

60001014689.txt

I believe there is a conflict of interest that needs to be resolved.

Part 15 unlicensed spectrum was never meant to be used for profit. Just the opposite, it was allocated for the general public and industries to provide a method for inexpensive wireless communications, with an understanding that communications would suffer a hostile RF environment.

Years later, billion dollar industries are finding this environment as much too hostile for them.

There are only two solutions. A third solution to allow jamming or hijacking in unlicensed spectrum is a non-starter.

- 1) Provide priority to unlicensed spectrum users who have the most political, and economic power, or
- 2) Keep the unlicensed spectrum open and hostile, and force the users with the most political and economic power to use a licensed spectrum.

It is a fools endeavor to change the law to favor one group of unlicensed spectrum users over another, for the reasons listed in the petition.

If the unlicensed RF spectrum is too hostile to insure a large profit margin, security, etc, then maybe a smaller profit margin, with a licensed non-hostile spectrum is the appropriate choice.

This petition should be denied. If the petition were granted, it would only result in new products coming to the market which removed the protocol weakness.

Respectfully submitted,

Steve Sampson