

To: Federal Communications Commission

From: Vincent J. Godinich

P O Box 609

Harleton, TX 75651

Subject: Comments concerning WC Docket No. 14-269

It is my opinion that the said transfer of assets of Noxapator Telephone to The Nova Telephone Company be postponed and placed under further review due to current and pending legal actions being taken against The Nova Telephone Company, VNC Enterprises, and Charles D. Mattingly.

Reference:

1. Vincent J. Godinich was the former partner of Charles D. Mattingly and owned 50% of VNC Enterprises LLC.
2. Vincent J. Godinich sold his 50% interest in VNC Enterprises to Mr. Mattingly in November of 2013.
3. The Nova Telephone Company was wholly owned by VNC Enterprises at the time of the interest purchase and is party to and a guarantor of the interest purchase.

Comments:

1. Charles D. Mattingly is the subject of current litigation due to default of numerous items of the purchase agreements with Vincent J. Godinich for the said interest purchase of VNC Enterprises.
2. The Nova Telephone Company is the subject of current litigation for breach of contract with Vincent J. Godinich.
3. VNC Enterprises is the subject of current litigation for breach of contract with Vincent J. Godinich.
4. Vincent J. Godinich holds a first and perfected security agreement against and is seeking 100% of the membership interest of VNC Enterprises and The Nova Telephone Company.
5. The above litigation was filed on November 19, 2014 in the 124<sup>th</sup> District Court of Gregg County, Texas and is referenced by Cause Number 2014-2233-B.
6. The Nova Telephone Company and VNC Enterprises are both subject to numerous liens, including IRS liens due to failure to pay payroll taxes.

It is my strong suggestion to the Commission that approval of the Application be postponed until the outcome of the above litigation is known. The ownership of The Nova Telephone Company as stated in Section 4 of the Application and possibility of imminent business failure as addressed in Section 10 of the Application are both questionable at this time and require further detailed review.

