

60001038591.txt

Thank you for accepting public comments regarding net neutrality and a free internet.

It is my position that a free and unprivileged flow of information is in the best interest of our citizen's 1st amendment rights to express free speech and to congregate, whether in a physical or technologically based atmosphere.

The proposed change under discussion is motivated to advantage service providers against content creators and citizens. Therefore giving service providers influence over what content is more readily and easily accessible.

While such action would not be a direct censorship of materials it could in principle form a de facto censorship. By making some information harder and harder to access, while making other information exceedingly easy to access, service providers would be able to directly influence what is, or more importantly isn't, viewed.

Such an ability in the hands of service providers would threaten a diverse and healthy public discourse, adversely effecting our democratic process and open market.

While service providers are seeking to open a new channel of commerce from content providers and users, flowing in their direction, doing so is in neither the interest of business, nor the consumer.