

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In re)
)
MARITIME COMMUNICATIONS/LAND) EB Docket No. 11-71
MOBILE, LLC) File No. EB-09-IH-1751
) FRN: 0013587779
Participant in Auction No. 61 and Licensee of Various)
Authorizations in the Wireless Radio Services)
)
Applicant for Modification of Various)
Authorizations in the Wireless Radio Services)
) Application File Nos. 0004030479,
Applicant with **ENCANA OIL AND GAS (USA),**) 0004144435, 0004193028,
INC.; DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY; DCP) 0004193328, 0004354053,
MIDSTREAM, LP; JACKSON COUNTY) 0004309872, 0004310060,
RURAL MEMBERSHIP ELECTRIC) 0004314903, 0004315013,
COOPERATIVE; PUGET SOUND ENERGY,) 0004430505, 0004417199,
INC.; ENBRIDGE ENERGY COMPANY, INC.;) 0004419431, 0004422320,
INTERSTATE POWER AND LIGHT) 0004422329, 0004507921,
COMPANY; WISCONSIN POWER AND LIGHT) 0004153701, 0004526264,
COMPANY; DIXIE ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP) 0004636537 & 0004604962
CORPORATION, INC.; ATLAS PIPELINE –)
MID CONTINENT, LLC; DENTON COUNTY)
ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC., DBA)
COSERV ELECTRIC; AND SOUTHERN)
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL RAIL AUTHORITY)

To: Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Attn: Chief Administrative Law Judge Richard L. Sippel

FINAL JOINT TRANSCRIPT REDACTIONS
OF MARITIME AND CHOCTAW

Maritime Communications/Land Mobile, LLC (“Maritime”), Choctaw Telecommunications, LLC, and Choctaw Holdings, LLC (“Choctaw”), by their respective attorneys, jointly tender herewith their final redactions to the hearing transcript in accordance with paragraph 12 of the Presiding Judge’s February 25, 2015, *Memorandum Opinion and Order* (FCC 15M-7).

The pages attached hereto are the only redactions being designated by Maritime and Choctaw. In preparing these pages, Maritime and Choctaw have removed all of the redactions specified in footnote 1 of the Presiding Judge's January 16, 2015, *Order* (FCC 15M-3), with the exception of lines 6-7 of page 1052 and lines 6-19 of page 1503 which were approved at paragraph 5 of FCC 15M-7.

Respectfully submitted,

MARITIME COMMUNICATIONS/
LAND MOBILE, LLC

By: 

Robert J. Keller
LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT J. KELLER, P.C.
P.O. Box 33428 – Farragut Station
Washington, D.C. 20033-0428
202.656.8490

Its Attorney

CHOCTAW TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC
CHOCTAW HOLDINGS, LLC

By: /s/ Robert G. Kirk

Robert G. Kirk
Mary N. O'Connor
WILKINSON BARKER KNAUER, LLP
2300 N Street, NW Suite 700
Washington, DC 20037
202.783.4141

Their Attorneys

Dated: March 6, 2015

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, so the plan going forward for Choctaw
2 is slightly different than the plan going forward from Maritime, so
3 if I could address, perhaps, Choctaw first, which would be at the
4 Choctaw acquires the licenses.

5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, and you're speaking as an employee of
6 Choctaw?

7 THE WITNESS: Correct. Correct. And then I can also
8 address what happens at Choctaw is not successful when Maritime
9 retains the license at this point.

10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.

11 THE WITNESS: Would that be okay?

12 JUDGE SIPPEL: That would be perfect.

13 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]

21 JUDGE SIPPEL: Wait a minute. Hold up just a second now.

22 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

23 JUDGE SIPPEL: You've got to slow down there.

24 THE WITNESS: Okay.

25 JUDGE SIPPEL: So how is it, what is the first spectrum

1 you mentioned?

2 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

3 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

4 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

5 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

6 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

7 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

8 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

9 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

10 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

11 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

12 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

13 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

14 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

15 [REDACTED]

16 [REDACTED]

17 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

18 [REDACTED]

19 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

20 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

21 [REDACTED]

22 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

23 [REDACTED]

24 [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED]

1 [REDACTED]

2 [REDACTED]

3 [REDACTED]

4 [REDACTED]

5 [REDACTED]

6 [REDACTED]

7 [REDACTED]

8 [REDACTED]

9 [REDACTED]

10 [REDACTED]

11 [REDACTED]

12 [REDACTED]

13 [REDACTED]

14 [REDACTED]

15 [REDACTED]

16 [REDACTED]

17 [REDACTED]

18 [REDACTED]

19 [REDACTED]

20 [REDACTED]

21 [REDACTED]

22 [REDACTED]

23 [REDACTED]

24 [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED]

1 that's a mischaracterization. I don't strike testimony, Your
 2 Honor, that's your job.

3 JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. Mr. Kirk?

4 [REDACTED]
 5 [REDACTED]
 6 [REDACTED]
 7 [REDACTED]
 8 [REDACTED]
 9 [REDACTED]
 10 [REDACTED]

11 JUDGE SIPPEL: Correct. So, whatever your objection to
 12 is overruled. Whatever relief you're asking for is not going to be
 13 granted, Mr. Stenger.

14 CROSS-EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. STEGNER

16 Q Now, let me ask you to break it down a little bit. All
 17 right, you've given us a big overview, and could you tell me now,
 18 or tell us how is this kind of a plan put together in court or
 19 province.

20 A Yes.

21 Q You know, is there a written plan that's been circulated
 22 to directors of the company and, you know, been a thick piece
 23 passed around and this is what they're really thinking about? Is
 24 that in existence?

25 A So the document that's been circulated to Pat Trammell

1 and the, I assume, captain, had sent it to the other members of the
2 Choctaw board.

3 Q And Pat Trammell is who?

4 A I'm sorry, Pat Trammel is the head of Choctaw, the
5 managing director of Choctaw Telecom.

6 Q Is she like the CEO?

7 A He'll be, he'll be testifying here, I believe later
8 today.

9 Q Because I didn't see his name. Yes.

10 A So I run the day to day but Pat is the, sort of --

11 Q He's the big thing.

12 A Yes, the chairman of the board, if you will, in a sense.

13 Q Okay. All right.

14 [REDACTED]

15 [REDACTED]

16 [REDACTED]

17 [REDACTED]

18 [REDACTED]

19 [REDACTED]

20 [REDACTED]

21 [REDACTED]

22 [REDACTED]

23 [REDACTED]

24 [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Q [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

MS. KANE: Your Honor, Choctaw was not required to produce discovery in this case because its role in this case was limited by Your Honor to just the bankruptcy related proceedings.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's, again, that goes back to why, because I was told that they didn't want to participate. I mean, I don't know, I can't tell who's on first here. I, all right, whatever I did I did, whatever happened happened, but it seems to me that Choctaw is integral in terms of what the future plans are. Do you agree with that, Ms. Kane?

MS. KANE: We made that argument initially, Your Honor. If you recall, we served them with discovery; we were able to obtain some discovery from them at the very end of the case.

JUDGE SIPPEL: But they opposed it?

MS. KANE: No, they provided interrogatory responses, but if you recall, you granted us very limited discovery at the very end of, I believe it was July or August of this year after the summary decision motion had been filed, and we served interrogatories on them, but not document requests because they had taken such a limited role.

1 MR. STENGER: I wouldn't be asking --

2 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, let me ask that question. What
3 would you be doing about putting the 16 stations back on the air?

4 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

5 [REDACTED]

6 [REDACTED]

7 [REDACTED]

8 [REDACTED]

9 [REDACTED]

10 [REDACTED]

11 [REDACTED]

12 [REDACTED]

13 [REDACTED]

14 [REDACTED]

15 [REDACTED]

16 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

17 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

18 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

19 [REDACTED]

20 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

21 [REDACTED]

22 [REDACTED]

23 [REDACTED]

24 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

[REDACTED]

THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

MR. STENGER: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

MS. KANE: Your Honor, please. This is just, he's just testifying, he hasn't provided any basis for his objection.

JUDGE SIPPEL: That's right.

MR. STENGER: I'm trying to stop the witness, but --

JUDGE SIPPEL: You aren't stopping the witness.

MR. STENGER: You're wasting everyone's time.

MS. KANE: You're the one who's wasting everyone's time.

JUDGE SIPPEL: You're sucking up all the air is what you're doing. What about the status of Pinnacle and these stations?

THE WITNESS: Yes, so --

JUDGE SIPPEL: Current status, I should say.

THE WITNESS: Sure, so the, the lease that was signed in 2008 combines the Meadowlands lease with the turnpike lease into one master lease that shows all of the --

JUDGE SIPPEL: And Pinnacle is the, Pinnacle is the lessee?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. And then Pinnacle's customers, in turn, are the New Jersey Sports and Entertainment Authority and the New Jersey Turnpike Authority or, which operates both the Garden State Parkway and the turnpike.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Okay, so to go forward with my response,

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: Is he excused?

2 MR. STENGER: I thought you were asking him questions
3 about his business plans. He said that he was going to talk about
4 Choctaw. I forget what he said but he was going to talk about one
5 plan first and then another plan. I don't know if he's finished
6 his answer, his long answer or not.

7 JUDGE SIPPEL: You were objecting to his long answer.

8 MR. STENGER: Well, I objected to it to the extent that
9 it wandered off from the 16 stations, so maybe we should ask him
10 the question do you have anymore testimony about the plans to put
11 the 16 authorized stations back into service? That would be my
12 question.

13 THE WITNESS: Yes, so as I continue, so there's Choctaw
14 and there's Maritime, and I talked about Choctaw's overall plan
15 with relative to the acquiring of the spectrum and operating --

16 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, wait a minute. You answered the
17 question yes.

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 JUDGE SIPPEL: And that's all you were asked. Is there
20 any follow up on that?

21 MR. STENGER: [REDACTED]

22 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

23 [REDACTED]

24 [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

[REDACTED]

JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, you answered the question. Pretty clear answer. Any follow up?

MR. STENGER: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

MR. STENGER: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] swer

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

MR. STENGER: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

MR. STENGER: [REDACTED]

JUDGE SIPPEL: The per se. He's answered the question. He's answered the question very clearly. And if you can't follow what the testimony is five seconds ago that's not my fault. It's not my job to do that for you.

MR. STENGER: Well, the items that he just referred to, are they in the record? Have they been produced?

MS. KANE: Objection, Your Honor. Who's he asking this question to?

1 MR. STENGER: Mr. Reardon.

2 THE WITNESS: Me.

3 MR. STENGER: [REDACTED]

4 [REDACTED]

5 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

6 [REDACTED]

7 MR. STENGER: Per se.

8 JUDGE SIPPEL: Per se or any which way.

9 MS. KANE: Your Honor, now he's just being argumentative.

10 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

11 MR. STENGER: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

12 Q [REDACTED]

13 [REDACTED]

14 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

15 [REDACTED]

16 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

17 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

18 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

19 [REDACTED]

20 [REDACTED]

21 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

22 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]

23 [REDACTED]

24 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED]

1 with these 16 authorizations?

2 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
3 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
4 [REDACTED]
5 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
6 [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
23 [REDACTED]

24 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, that's, that's all very
25 interesting, but it's not really what I'm looking for. If this is

1 for the debts of the DePriests, which would be discharged, not paid
 2 at all under the plan. And so I'm hoping, I hope I'm answering

3 [REDACTED]

4 [REDACTED]

5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's what I'm trying to get at.

6 [REDACTED]

7 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's what I'm trying to get at. Now,
 8 this, so this seems pretty, take your testimony, your broad
 9 testimony, at face value. It seems that Choctaw sees a good
 10 investment opportunity and, but is Choctaw trying to, is it a
 11 combination of creditors that are trying to reprove their, their
 12 losses or their perspective losses, or is this an investment
 13 opportunity for Choctaw, or possibly both?

14 THE WITNESS: I believe it's possibly both. Mr. Trammell
 15 will be here to testify.

16 JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand.

17 THE WITNESS: He interacts directly with the other
 18 members of Choctaw. I never manage the day-to-day, but my hope or
 19 my expectation is that because not only is Choctaw made up of
 20 secured creditors who want their money back, they're also the debt
 21 lenders so that they're putting in money to continue the operations
 22 from day to day to do that.

23 JUDGE SIPPEL: What did you call them?

24 THE WITNESS: They are the debtor in possession
 25 financiers.

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, okay.

2 THE WITNESS: So they're paying the bills and keeping the
 3 lights on at Maritime under a DIP facility, so as they put the
 4 money in the, the debt accumulates somewhat, so not only do they
 5 want to get their money back, but I believe they also, of course,
 6 want to get their DIP funds back as well.

7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Sure.

8 THE WITNESS: And under the plan of reorganization make
 9 sure, of course, that the other secured lenders are paid and the
 10 unsecured lenders are paid, and there's a liquidating agent, and
 11 this has all been approved by the bankruptcy court. So then I
 12 think your question was do they just want their money back or do
 13 they also want to go forward. My understanding is, and again, one
 14 of the reasons I'm here --

15 JUDGE SIPPEL: It's very speculative now.

16 THE WITNESS: Sure. Is that I'm hopeful that they'll
 17 want to continue to employ me and continue to do the things that I
 18 testified to under their business plan, you know, which involved

19 [REDACTED]

20 [REDACTED]

21 [REDACTED]

22 JUDGE SIPPEL: So it sounds like they've got a good
 23 spouse.

24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

25 JUDGE SIPPEL: The, the what, you're testifying from two

1 can give us with respect to what the current operating plans, the
2 current operating plans and operating in venture of these 16
3 authorizations?

4 THE WITNESS: Hopefully. Yesterday, I testified about
5 the two sites, KAE3, KAE8893 and 13, which were involved in the
6 Evergreen lease.

7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.

8 THE WITNESS: I understand that Mr. Thackeray is not
9 coming on behalf of Evergreen.

10 JUDGE SIPPEL: He's not coming.

11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]

21 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's okay. You -- that's a way of
22 refreshing everything. Hold on just a second. Does anyone else
23 have any further questions of this witness?

24 MR. STENGER: Yes, Your Honor.

25 JUDGE SIPPEL: Ah, oh, you're --

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's okay. You've answered the
 2 question.

3 [REDACTED]
 4 [REDACTED]
 5 [REDACTED]
 6 [REDACTED]

7 MS. KANE: Objection, Your Honor. Compound and it's
 8 seeking hearsay information. We don't actually have the document
 9 in front of him.

10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'll sustain the objection. I
 11 think, but I want, I think there's another way to get at it. Kind
 12 of break it down into segments if you can.

13 MR. STENGER: Did any of those, did any of those
 14 proposals provide for the railroads to put these specific licensed
 15 sites back into operation?

16 MS. KANE: Same objection, Your Honor. It's seeking
 17 hearsay information.

18 JUDGE SIPPEL: No, that's okay. He can do that.

19 THE WITNESS: Yes, so Tim Smith is the engineer who
 20 produced for the Amtrak --

21 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, can you answer, before we get to
 22 Tim, can you answer his question?

23 THE WITNESS: Well, I don't, I don't recall if in those
 24 proposals the specific site would be used by the railroad or
 25 needed, or even if the railroad required that information, but I do

1 operations or the Turnpike Authority and the Meadowlands and all
2 these fill-in sites, the 20 fill-in sites?

3 A That's my recollection, yes.

4 Q And did you agree with that? Were you convinced? Did
5 you --

6 [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]

20 Q You did answer the question, thank you. Do you, do you
21 know how much money may have been spent by Pinnacle in building out
22 the turnpike system?

23 A I don't know. I recall that there was a newspaper
24 article when Pinnacle first got the award from the state or from
25 the turnpike authority, and I believe that the award was somewhere

1 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's fine.

2 MS. KANE: And, Your Honor, as I stated, I do not believe
3 the bureau has any specific redirect questions, but if I recall,
4 yesterday morning Mr. Keller reserved the right to redirect the
5 Maritime witnesses.

6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, he did.

7 MS. KANE: So we tender the witness to Mr. Keller.

8 JUDGE SIPPEL: Sorry, I was a little bit hasty in here
9 and letting this go too far. Sorry, go ahead.

10 BY MR. KELLER:

11 Q [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]

18 A So why did Maritime file chapter 11 or why?

19 Q Yes.

20 A Okay well I guess two things. The first is that there's
21 been a significant amount of legal cost related to bi-coastal
22 litigation, defending the company in California. Mr. Havens had
23 sued Maritime. He lost their appeal, lost their, there's a written
24 hearing by the Supreme Court denying his appeal to the Supreme
25 Court. We've just finished with about five years of litigation in

1 a number of those companies like Duquesne Light, DEMCO is Dixie
2 Electric, Puget Sound Energy, Southern California Regional Rail.
3 To date, none of those contracts have closed. Not a single license
4 has been sold by Maritime since the time it owned it, so it's, in
5 fact, a number of transactions were entered into and then the buyer
6 or lessee walked away because of the regulatory challenges and the
7 uncertainty. So it's put a significant cloud -- It's kind of like
8 if you bought a house and somebody that you outbid for the house
9 stood out on the curb and said, you know, they cheated me and they
10 lied and they camped out there on your curb, next to the street.

11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]

22 the lease. Have you spoken to anybody from Evergreen, and if so,
23 what did you learn?

24 A Yes, I called Bill Thackeray sometime maybe in August of
25 this year. Bill Thackeray is the person with whom I interacted at

1 periodically. Mr. Reardon and I, are continuously, and Mr.
2 Reardon's real task is to continue to keep his hand on the pulse of
3 the industry, as you know better than I do, that the, the original,
4 the original AMTS spectrum usage has pretty much gone away, but the
5 Marine --

6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, it's private.

7 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]

14 JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand what you're saying.

15 THE WITNESS: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]

22 JUDGE SIPPEL: [REDACTED]

23 MR. TRAMMELL: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
24 [REDACTED]
25 [REDACTED]

1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
2 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
3 [REDACTED]
4 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
5 [REDACTED]
6 [REDACTED]
7 [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED]
17 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
18 [REDACTED]
19 [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
21 [REDACTED]

22 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what geographic area would that be
23 covering?

24 THE WITNESS: It's nationwide.

25 JUDGE SIPPEL: Nationwide?

1 used up paying those creditors?

2 THE WITNESS: You know --

3 JUDGE SIPPEL: It doesn't sound like an option, but --

4 THE WITNESS: Well, we're going to pay the creditors, you
5 know. We've got creation with credit, but I guess my point of that
6 is and, and bear in mind there are, there are 10 million dollars
7 worth of contracts that are in limbo in the bankruptcy process.
8 And, and depending on the, that you're aware of that?

9 JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I can't say I am. At least not at the
10 top of my mind.

11 THE WITNESS: Okay, in the, when the company went into
12 bankruptcy, they had contracts with Duquesne, who's been here and
13 some other utilities. They had a seven million dollar contract
14 with Southern California Railroad, so there's 10 million in
15 contracts there, and I can't speak to the penny on, on the
16 creditors, but there is roughly 30 million dollars of creditor debt
17 out there, plus what is approaching now about a little over four
18 million dollars of debtor and possession financing that's got to be
19 repaid, plus probably another million dollars in administrative
20 claims. So there are about 35, 36 million dollars.

21 [REDACTED]

22 [REDACTED]

23 [REDACTED]

24 [REDACTED]

25 [REDACTED]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

[REDACTED]

It is terribly frustrating to me to have a 25 year business career and this entire process is foreign to me. When this happened I got with Mr. Kirk and our attorneys and I said, let's go up to the FCC, sit down, give them our plan, tell them what we're going to do and work this out. And, well, because of the ex parte rules and our friend from California suing us every five minutes and writing stories about, fiction about myself and what my motives were, and what my friendships are, we've not been able to do that. So I appreciate the opportunity to do that today.

JUDGE SIPPEL: So, the idea is -- well, I don't know that much about bankruptcy practice, but it's usually a trustee; the trustee collects on the end of the credit, and then the creditors get paid off. This goes beyond that. This is a combination of an investment opportunity, plus a function of paying off credit.

THE WITNESS: Well, the first thing we do is pay off creditors.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And then we'll go from there.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, I did it in reverse, yes.

THE WITNESS: That's correct. We're not in this as an

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 6th day of March, 2015, I caused copies of the foregoing report to be served, by U.S. Postal Service, First Class postage prepaid, on the following:

Pamela S. Kane, Esq., Deputy Chief
Brian J. Carter, Esq.
Investigations and Hearing Division
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, S.W. – Room 4-C330
Washington, D.C. 20554
Counsel for the Enforcement Bureau

Charles A. Zdebski, Esq.
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Counsel for Duquesne Light Co.

Jeffrey L. Sheldon, Esq.
Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby, LLP
2001 L Street, NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20036
Counsel for Puget Sound Energy, Inc.

James A. Stenger, Esq.
Chadbourne & Parke LLP
1200 New Hampshire Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Counsel for Environmental LLC
and Verde Systems LLC

Jack Richards, Esq.
Wesley Wright, Esq.
Keller & Heckman LLP
1001 G Street, N.W.
Suite 500 West
Washington, D.C. 20001
Counsel for Atlas Pipeline – Mid Continent LLC;
DCP Midstream, LP; Enbridge Energy Co., Inc.;
EnCana Oil and Gas (USA), Inc.; and Jackson
County Rural Membership Electric Cooperative

Albert J. Catalano, Esq.
Keller & Heckman LLP
1001 G Street, N.W.
Suite 500 West
Washington, D.C. 20001
Counsel for Dixie Electric Membership Corp.

Matthew J. Plache, Esq.
5425 Wisconsin Ave., N.W.
Suite 600 – PMB 643
Chevy Chase, MD 20815
Counsel for Pinnacle Wireless

Warren Havens
2509 Stuart Street
Berkeley, CA 94705
Pro Se



Robert J. Keller
Counsel for Maritime Communications/
Land Mobile, LLC