



March 19, 2015

VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: In the Matter of Applications of Comcast Corporation and Time Warner Cable Inc. for Consent to Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations, MB Docket No. 14-57

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, Writers Guild of America, West ("WGAW") submits this letter summarizing the following meetings that WGAW Political Director John Vezina, WGAW Research & Public Policy Director Ellen Stutzman (by telephone) and WGAW DC Representative Michael Forscey of Forscey PLLC had on Wednesday March 18, 2015:

- A meeting with Valery Galasso, Policy Advisor to Commissioner Rosenworcel.
- A meeting with Hillary Burchuk, Office of General Counsel; Maria Kirby, Legal Advisor to Chairman Wheeler, Philip Verveer, Senior Counselor to Chairman Wheeler; and Gigi Sohn, Counselor to Chairman Wheeler.

During the meetings, WGAW representatives expressed continued opposition to the proposed merger of Comcast and Time Warner Cable ("TWC") and the belief that no set of conditions can mitigate the harms posed by the merger. WGAW representatives asked that the FCC reject the merger. WGAW representatives discussed the findings of the WGAW and Future of Music Coalition's reply comments, research conducted on the likely effects of the merger in Los Angeles, and the impact of the Commission's Net Neutrality rules on the merger.

We outlined research contained in our reply comments that suggests Comcast exercises monopsony power in television by paying less for content and restricting output in the form of smaller, more expensive channel bundles than competing MVPDs. Comcast executives have

estimated programming cost savings as a result of extending the lower rates Comcast pays for television networks across TWC systems, demonstrating that Comcast pays less for programming. We have also found evidence that Comcast restricts output, offering fewer channels in programming bundles than competitors. Applicants' economists attempted to refute this argument in reply comments, but they provided misleading information that inaccurately represented the price of Comcast's services. Our analysis, provided in reply comments, reveals that Comcast actually charges consumers *more* for a smaller bundle of channels. WGAW found that the average video package prices across Comcast's top twenty DMAs (covering 70% of Comcast subscribers) compared with the information from economists Gregory L. Rosston and Michael D. Topper, reveals that Comcast charges higher prices for fewer channels, at every package level, than other major providers.

For instance, Applicant's economists reported that Comcast offers a cable television bundle consisting of 140+ channels for \$29.99 per month.¹ They used this information to claim that Comcast does not exercise monopsony power by restricting channel output because Comcast offers more channels at a lower price point than competitors. They failed to note that the price of \$29.99 was not widely available to Comcast subscribers. According to WGAW research, undertaken in October and updated in December of 2014, the price of \$29.99 price was only found to be available in four² of the top 12 DMAs served by Comcast, while elsewhere, the relevant package was priced between \$44.99 and \$49.99 per month. However, between October and December, this lower price vanished. Among the top twenty DMAs, the price range for the 140+ channel package is between \$39.99 and \$49.99 with an average price of \$46.48

WGAW representatives also highlighted how the prices presented by Comcast's economists for its "Digital Preferred" and "Digital Premier" cable packages were not representative of the pricing offered to the overwhelming majority of Comcast customers. Rather, WGAW research revealed the average prices offered to consumers to be much higher than what Comcast reported. As a result, it is clear that the information Comcast provided does not accurately represent the price of its services. Instead, the evidence supports WGAW's argument that Comcast exercises monopsony power by paying less and restricting output.

WGAW representatives also outlined concern with Comcast's expanded control online, which is heightened by the fact that it will face little competition in high-speed broadband. We mentioned how DSL and wireless broadband technologies cannot be considered relevant competition because DSL cannot match the speeds of cable and fiber broadband and wireless data plans make video consumption cost prohibitive. WGAW is concerned with Comcast's control of national broadband distribution because online video is a significant source of creative and economic growth for writers, who are creating original series on Netflix, Amazon, Hulu, Crackle, Playstation and Yahoo. These new services are also increasing content competition and

¹ *Opposition to Petitions to Deny and Response to Comments of Comcast Corporation and Time Warner Cable, Inc.*, MB Docket No. 14-57, September 23, 2014, Exhibit 2, Reply Declaration of Dr. Gregory L. Rosston and Dr. Michael D. Topper, "An Economic Analysis of The Proposed Comcast Transactions with TWC and Charter In Response to Comments and Petitions," Table III.A.1.

² San Francisco, Seattle, Denver and Houston.

consumer choice. But this progress threatens Comcast's cable and content businesses and the merger would put it in control of half or more of the broadband market. Comcast's content ownership and dominance in cable and broadband gives it the unique incentive and ability to harm online video, as the FCC's review of Comcast-NBCU established. Both the incentive and ability will increase if this merger is permitted.

WGAW also shared research published on the likely effects of the transaction in Los Angeles County, which is currently served by TWC and Charter. If Comcast acquires both the TWC and Charter cable systems, it will offer service to 96% of the County's population. Los Angeles is a microcosm of many of the concerns raised in this merger regarding consumers, Latinos, sports fans and content creators. The implications of allowing Comcast to become the dominant national gatekeeper of television and online content will be felt in LA, along with tangible changes to service offerings by replacing TWC with Comcast.

- **Consumers:** LA consumers are likely to face higher prices for cable service because the average price for Comcast cable packages is higher than packages with similar numbers of channels from local providers including AT&T, DirecTV, DISH, Verizon FIOS, and Time Warner Cable. They are also likely to face higher prices for broadband service because Comcast's service is more expensive than TWC's across 3 speed tiers. LA consumers will face more restrictive practices such as the implementation of usage-based billing (data caps) and authentication policies that limit consumer choice. Local consumers will have little choice but to accept these practices because almost three-quarters of County residents will have no other choice for broadband at 25 Mbps or higher.
- **Latinos:** Nationwide, Comcast will reach markets where 90% of Latino households are located, including Los Angeles, the top Latino media market in the US. This unprecedented level of control will give Comcast the power to determine the success or failure of Latino-focused programming. Comcast has the incentive to favor its affiliated, Spanish-language networks over independently-owned networks serving the needs and interests of all parts of the Latino community. In addition to Comcast acting as a gatekeeper for Latino program content, the higher prices that will likely occur as a result of the merger will have a disproportionate impact on Latinos, who are less able to afford cable TV and broadband with a median income of \$21,314, compared with \$44,929 for Anglo-Americans in LA County.
- **Sports Fans:** Sports fans can expect to pay higher prices to watch their local team on television or see fewer games. Research has found that when a cable company owns a regional sports network (RSN), prices go up and availability goes down; this dynamic increases as a company gets larger, as Comcast plans to do in Southern California. With estimated affiliate fees of \$4-\$5 a month, SportsNetLA is one of the most expensive RSNs in the country. As a result, 70% of Angelenos do not have access to the Dodgers channel this season. This situation will only get worse if the transactions are approved.
- **Content Creators:** Comcast's projected cost savings will come from reductions to affiliate fees paid to television networks and its nationwide dominance of online video

Comcast says it offer more channels at lower prices:

Table III.A.1
Advertised Video Packages and Channel Counts
 September 2014

This price was in just 4 of the top 12 markets at the time of this report, and then vanished.

| MVPD Type | MVPD | Package | Number of Channels | Price |
|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Cable | Comcast | Digital Starter | 140+ | \$29.99 |
| | | Digital Preferred | 220+ | 39.99 |
| | | Digital Premier | 260+ | 84.99 |
| | Time Warner Cable | Starter TV | 20+ | 19.99 |
| | | Standard TV | 70+ | 39.99 |
| | | Preferred TV | 200+ | 49.99 |
| | Cox Communications | TV Economy | 155+ | 24.99 |
| | | Advanced TV | 220+ | 49.99 |
| | | Advanced TV & Contour | 220+ | 61.98 |
| DBS | DirecTV | Select | 130+ | 24.99 |
| | | Entertainment | 140+ | 29.99 |
| | | Choice | 150+ | 34.99 |
| | | Xtra | 205+ | 39.99 |
| | | Ultimate | 225+ | 44.99 |
| | | Premier | 285+ | 92.99 |
| | Dish Network | Smart Pack | 55+ | 19.99 |
| | | America's Top 120 | 190+ | 29.99 |
| | | America's Top 120 Plus | 190+ | 34.99 |
| Telco | AT&T U-verse ^[1] | U-Family TV | 140+ | 29.00 |
| | | U200 TV | 300+ | 44.00 |
| | | U200 TV Latino | 350+ | 54.00 |
| | | U300 TV | 390+ | 59.00 |
| | | U450 TV | 470+ | 91.00 |
| | Verizon FiOS | FiOS TV Local | 17 | 10.00 |
| | | Select HD | 135+ | 49.99 |
| | | Preferred HD | 225+ | 64.99 |
| | | Extreme HD | 300+ | 74.99 |
| | | Ultimate HD | 390+ | \$89.99 |

We couldn't find this price in any of the top 20 markets.

Gregory L. Rosston, Michael D. Topper, "An Economic Analysis of The Proposed Comcast Transactions with TWC and Charter In Response to Comments and Petitions," September 20, 2014

Instead, we found that Comcast's average prices in its top 20 markets were higher, for fewer channels:

| Comcast vs. Other MVPD Prices for Video Packages | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Channel Category | Operator | Package | # of channels | Monthly Price | |
| 10-20 | Verizon FiOS | FiOS TV Local | 17 | \$10.00 | |
| | TWC | Starter TV | 20+ | \$19.99 | |
| | Comcast Average | Limited Basic | 10+ | \$21.91 | |
| 45-55 | Dish | Smart Pack | 55+ | \$19.99 | |
| | Comcast Average | Digital Economy | 45+ | \$30.33 | |
| 100-190 | Cox | TV Economy | 155+ | \$24.99 | |
| | DIRECTV | Select | 130+ | \$24.99 | |
| | AT&T U-Verse | U-Family TV | 140+ | \$29.00 | |
| | DIRECTV | Entertainment | 140+ | \$29.99 | |
| | Dish | America's Top 120 | 190+ | \$29.99 | |
| | DIRECTV | Choice | 150+ | \$34.99 | |
| | Dish | America's Top 120 Plus | 190+ | \$34.99 | |
| Comcast Average | Digital Starter | 140+ | \$46.48 | vs. \$29.99 | |
| 200-250 | DIRECTV | Xtra | 205+ | \$39.99 | |
| | Dish | America's Top 200 | 220+ | \$39.99 | |
| | DIRECTV | Ultimate | 225+ | \$44.99 | |
| | Cox | Advanced TV | 220+ | \$49.99 | |
| | TWC | Preferred TV | 200+ | \$49.99 | |
| | Comcast Average | Digital Preferred | 220+ | \$56.48 | vs. \$39.99 |
| 260+ | AT&T U-Verse | U200 TV | 300+ | \$44.00 | |
| | Dish | America's Top 250 | 290+ | \$44.99 | |
| | AT&T U-Verse | U200 TV Latino | 350+ | \$54.00 | |
| | Verizon FiOS | Extreme HD | 300+ | \$74.99 | |
| | Comcast Average | Digital Premier | 260+ | \$88.34 | vs. \$84.99 |
| | Dish | America's "Everything" PAK | 320+ | \$89.99 | |
| | Verizon FiOS | Ultimate HD | 390+ | \$89.99 | |

Comcast's average was calculated using prices as of December 2014 from Comcast's Top 20 DMAs, representing 70% of its total subscribership: Chicago, Philadelphia, San Francisco-Oakland, Boston, Seattle, Atlanta, Washington DC, Denver, Houston, Detroit, New York, Miami, Minneapolis, Pittsburgh, Portland, Sacramento, Baltimore, Hartford, Indianapolis, Lancaster, and weighting the average by the percentage of the total 20-market sample in each DMA.

L.A. CONSOLIDATION

THE EFFECTS OF THE COMCAST-TIME WARNER CABLE MERGER IN LOS ANGELES

FEBRUARY 18, 2015



INTRODUCTION

It has been more than a year since Comcast, the nation’s largest pay TV and broadband Internet service provider announced its plans to acquire the second largest cable operator, Time Warner Cable (TWC). If approved, Comcast will control 30% of the pay TV market and 50% of high-speed broadband connections nationally. In addition, Comcast intends to acquire certain cable systems from Charter Communications, including those in LA County, which will increase Comcast’s regional concentration in Southern California. Locally, Comcast will acquire almost 2 million TWC and Charter subscribers, eliminating two providers and becoming the largest pay TV distributor in the area.¹

Comcast’s increased national and newly-established local dominance will impact local consumers, sports fans, content creators and diverse Southlanders, particularly Latinos who today represent 48% of Los Angeles County’s population.

This paper outlines why such a result will be harmful to all of these parties.


LA CONSUMERS

If Comcast acquires both the TWC and Charter cable systems, it will offer service to 96% of the County’s population.² Comcast claims that this merger will benefit consumers, ignoring that Los Angeles residents are almost certain to face higher prices, the implementation of anti-consumer policies that limit access to content and the ability to use the device of their choice and even worse customer service. With little competition, particularly in high-speed broadband, LA consumers will be forced to accept this new and harmful reality.

HIGHER PRICES

Higher prices for cable TV service are likely if Comcast becomes the dominant local provider. The average prices for Comcast’s “Limited Basic,” “Digital Economy,” “Digital Starter,” “Digital Preferred” and “Digital Premier” cable packages across its twenty largest markets are higher than cable packages offering similar numbers of channels from local competitors including AT&T, DirecTV, DISH, Verizon FiOS and the incumbent provider in Los Angeles, Time Warner Cable.³

CHART 1: MONTHLY CABLE PACKAGE PRICES



Standalone broadband is also likely to become more expensive for LA residents because almost all of Comcast’s California prices are higher than Time

TABLE 1: STANDALONE BROADBAND INTERNET OFFERS

| Speed | Comcast | TWC |
|--------------|----------|---------|
| 3 Mbps | \$39.95 | \$14.99 |
| 6/10 Mbps | \$29.99 | \$29.99 |
| 50 Mbps | \$39.99 | \$34.99 |
| 105/100 Mbps | \$44.99 | \$44.99 |
| 150/200 Mbps | \$114.95 | \$54.99 |

LOW INCOME CONSUMERS

Comcast's acquisition of TWC would impact low income residents who could lose Time Warner Cable's valuable standalone 3 Mbps Internet offering for a non-promotional price of \$14.99 per month. In contrast, Comcast's lowest-cost standalone Internet offerings in California are 3 Mbps for \$39.95 per month, or 6 Mbps, currently offered at a discounted price of \$29.99 per month for the first year, but regularly priced at \$49.95 per month.⁴ Comcast's Internet Essentials program offers 6 Mbps service for \$9.95 per month but has overly restrictive eligibility requirements. The program does not do enough to address the problem of access for low-income consumers because it limits participation through requirements such as having a child enrolled in the federal reduced cost lunch program and not allowing current subscribers or those with an outstanding balance within the past year with the company. The California Emerging Technology Fund (CETF) has noted that only 11% of eligible households in California have enrolled in the program.⁵ The CETF says that the application process is long and cumbersome, and sometimes requires traveling long distances to Comcast offices if an applicant does not have a Social Security number.⁶ Comcast also ran credit checks on some participants despite the program's rules against such checks.⁷

Warner Cable's. Comcast's 3 Megabits per second (Mbps) service costs more than double TWC's offering. While both companies have a service tier for \$29.99 per month, TWC's offers a faster speed. At 50 Mbps, Comcast's standalone Internet service costs 14% more than TWC's. Only at the 100 Mbps speed do the prices match. For the fastest speeds, however, TWC's service is far more affordable.


ANTI-CONSUMER PRACTICES

Time Warner Cable customers currently have access to unlimited broadband data, and TWC has stated that its customers "will always have access to unlimited broadband."⁸ In contrast, Comcast's Executive Vice President has stated that Comcast envisions moving to a "usage-based billing model" for all customers within the next five years.⁹ Los Angeles Internet users will, as a result of the merger, likely face higher costs or have to restrict their Internet

usage if Comcast becomes LA's dominant Internet service provider.

Comcast's usage-based billing practices further drive up the cost of Internet access by charging customers additional fees for using data above a given allowance, generally 300 GB per month.¹⁰ In real dollars, this could add an extra \$130 per month to the Comcast bill for a family of two who want to watch all of their video online.¹¹


CHART 2: THE COST OF INTERNET FOR CORD-CUTTERS



TWC customers have also had more flexibility in the devices they may use to access cable content because TWC has been open to working with third-party device suppliers, even allowing customers to use a Roku instead of a leased set top box for a second television.¹² Comcast, on the other hand, has focused on its own X1 streaming service and platform, and has used restrictive authentication and licensing policies to limit what devices customers can use to watch the content of their choice. While TWC announced that it would support HBO Go on devices such as the Roku in May 2012,¹³ Comcast has only just announced that it would do the same for the Roku, and it still does not support HBO Go on the Playstation 3 or the Amazon Fire TV, which TWC does.

Roughly 70% of County residents, or 6.8 million people, will only have a choice between Comcast and one DSL provider. DSL providers offer much lower speeds, which are not competitive with cable. Therefore, the overwhelming majority of County residents will have only one choice for high speed Internet service:

CHART 3: RESIDENTIAL PROVIDERS OFFERING 25 MBPS+ IN COMCAST'S PROPOSED FOOTPRINT (% OF POP.)



FEW ALTERNATIVES

LA residents will have little recourse in the face of Comcast’s anti-consumer policies, because of the lack of broadband competition and limited alternatives for high-speed Internet service. Cable and fiber-based Internet technologies are the only services that are capable of delivering the faster speeds that consumers demand, particularly for data-intensive activities such as video streaming. According to Writers Guild of America, West (WGAW) analysis of NTIA broadband data, only 22% of LA County residents within Comcast’s footprint would have access to both cable and fiber Internet services. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) recently adopted a broadband benchmark speed of 25 Mbps (download). Analysis of competition using this standard reveals that 72% of LA County residents served by Comcast will have no alternate provider offering such speeds while only 28% will have a choice of one other provider.

Comcast. This gives Comcast significant power over the broadband market and will allow it to institute price increases and other anti-consumer policies such as data caps with the knowledge that consumers do not have any alternatives.

“72% of LA County residents served by Comcast will have no alternate provider offering speeds of 25 Mbps or greater.”

The state of broadband competition and deployment in Los Angeles is unlikely to improve if the merger is allowed. The proposed market swaps with Charter reveal that Comcast’s goal in this merger is to consolidate existing networks, not to build new ones. Increased geographic clustering reduces the

THE MERGER'S EFFECT ON LOS ANGELES RESIDENTS OF COLOR

This merger would make Comcast the largest broadband provider and video distributor in Los Angeles County, where half of all residents are people of color. Comcast will be the only choice for most residents of color who want high-speed broadband service at speeds of 25 Mbps or faster. Seventy-eight percent of African-Americans and 73% of Asian-Americans in Comcast's LA County footprint will have no option for high-speed Internet aside from Comcast, according to WGAW analysis of NTIA broadband data. Only 22% of African-Americans and 27% of Asian-Americans in LA County will be served by at least two high-speed providers.

Comcast will become a major video and broadband distributor in the Southland, but it has made minimal effort to invest in sourcing from diverse suppliers, in accordance with the California Public Utility Commission's General Order 156 (GO 156) program. While the California telecommunications industry collectively spent over \$2.6 billion on supplier diversity in 2013, Comcast only spent \$24 million.¹⁴ In comparison, Cox Communications, which has a smaller footprint in the state, spent \$90 million on minority business enterprise (MBE) suppliers in 2013. On a related issue, Comcast, NBCU and the Hispanic Leadership Organizations entered a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) in 2010 that stated Comcast would "recruit and retain more Latinos so that their workforces more accurately represent the communities they serve." Despite this commitment, Comcast has not publicly shared information on its workforce demographics, either nationwide or in California.¹⁵ Comcast's minimal participation in GO 156 and its lack of transparency in connection with the MOU raises concerns that Comcast will not invest in LA's diverse communities, either by sourcing from MBEs or by adopting diverse hiring practices.

likelihood of new providers entering the market and the acquisition of an adjacent provider eliminates the most likely prospect for competition.

“While the California telecommunications industry collectively spent over \$2.6 billion on supplier diversity in 2013, Comcast only spent \$24 million.”

WORSE CUSTOMER SERVICE

Neither Comcast nor TWC can count customer service as a core strength, as reported by major national consumer surveys, but numerous customer service horror stories shared online by customers frustrated in their attempts to change or cancel Comcast service paint a vivid picture of how much worse things could get for LA consumers.¹⁶ Comcast is currently under investigation by the California Public Utilities Commission for disclosing unlisted phone numbers, a service customers pay for, over a period of two years.¹⁷ Some customers had unlisted numbers because of personal safety or domestic violence concerns.¹⁸ Comcast claims that it doesn't track broadband outages in California (Time Warner and Charter do) so we do not know how reliable its network is.¹⁹ However, Comcast and TWC have similar numbers of California customers, but Comcast reported twice as many "escalated complaints" about broadband as TWC reported for *all three* of its services.²⁰

LATINOS

Post-merger, Comcast will be the cable provider for up to 90% of U.S. Latinos, including those in Los Angeles, the largest Latino media market.²¹ The merger will give Comcast unprecedented national and local control over access to Latino households.

As the gatekeeper to the Latino pay TV market, Comcast will have the power to decide what content reaches this audience. Without carriage by Comcast, Latino programmers will have little chance of survival. This power dynamic will allow Comcast to hold Latino

programmers captive, paying them below market rates and negotiating carriage agreements that limit how Latino programmers may distribute their content, thereby stifling the ability of Latino programmers, in Los Angeles and elsewhere, from becoming viable content suppliers. It is no surprise the Los Angeles Latino Chamber of Commerce has come out in opposition to the merger.

Because Comcast already owns Latino content delivery providers, including major networks Telemundo, NBC Universo and TeleXitos, it has the incentive and ability to discriminate and foreclose against independent Latino programmers through below-market

“Post-merger, Comcast will be the cable provider for up to 90% of U.S. Latinos, including most Latinos in Los Angeles, the largest Hispanic media market.”

payments, channel positioning and outright exclusion. When its ownership of Latino content is combined with the increased control over Latino audiences, the incentive and ability to discriminate and foreclose against unaffiliated Latino content and programmers will be significantly enhanced. Comcast’s increased buyer power in this market and its gatekeeper role over Latino viewership will hurt the quantity, quality and diversity of Latino programming, threatening the ability of Latino audiences to receive diverse and quality programming that is produced by Latinos in the U.S., including in Los Angeles, and attuned to the interests of the growing Latino community, including its youth, its women, and its bilingual members.

In addition to Comcast acting as a gatekeeper for Latino programming, the higher prices that will likely occur as a result of the merger will have a disproportionate impact on Latinos, who are less able to afford cable TV and broadband with a median income of \$21,314, compared with \$44,929 for Anglo-Americans in LA County.²²

LOCAL SPORTS FANS

Los Angeles Dodgers and Lakers fans will likely face a choice of watching fewer games or paying a higher price to watch their home teams if the merger is approved. TWC owns the regional sports networks (RSNs) that broadcast Lakers and Dodgers games. TWC has already used control of 140 regular season Dodgers games to demand excessive fees from competing pay TV distributors. TWC is reportedly demanding \$4-\$5 per month per subscriber for SportsNet LA, which would make it one of the most expensive RSNs in the country, according to SNL Kagan estimates.²³ Currently 70% of local pay television customers do not have access to the Dodgers channel because TWC is demanding such a high price.

Research has demonstrated that when a cable company owns an RSN, the prices for that network go up and the availability to competitors goes down. The research also found that the problem only gets worse as the cable company gets larger. “[W]hen an RSN is owned by a cable or satellite operator, the RSN

COMCAST IN PHILADELPHIA

Comcast historically refused to sell its affiliated RSN, Comcast SportsNet Philadelphia, to DIRECTV and DISH Network, depriving sports fans who did not subscribe to Comcast cable, including many rural Pennsylvanians who could only get satellite, of local Phillies (MLB), Flyers (NHL) and 76ers (NBA) games. The Federal Communications Commission found that Comcast’s behavior reduced satellite TV penetration in Philadelphia by 40%.²⁴ While the FCC no longer allows such exclusive contracts, Comcast has kept the price of SportsNet Philadelphia so high that neither DirecTV nor Dish has entered into a carriage agreement for the network. Speaking in 2012, former Executive Vice President of DirecTV Derek Chang said, “They [Comcast] win either way... They’re either going to gouge our customers, or they’re going to withhold it from our customers.”²⁵

charges rival distributors a significantly higher license fee. Most significantly for our purposes, the vertical integration premium increases significantly with the local downstream market share of the RSN's affiliated distributor."²⁶ Comcast intends to acquire both TWC and Charter Communications cable systems locally, making it an even larger local provider than TWC has been. Combined with Comcast's control of local NBC and Telemundo broadcast stations and its suite of almost 20 basic cable networks, it will have the power to demand ever-increasing fees for access to this unique local content.

“This is not a healthy situation for the community. The Dodgers are a key part of the fabric of this City, and Dodgers games with the legendary Vin Scully in the broadcast booth have traditionally been available to viewers throughout this region at no extra charge.”

**- LA Mayor Eric Garcetti
From the City's FCC Filing**

THE CREATIVE COMMUNITY

This merger will have troubling consequences for writers and others in the entertainment industry because it will give one company too much power over content. This will affect how much and what type of content is made, which could have negative implications for employment in one of this region's most important industries.

Comcast has told the FCC that it expects to save \$1.5 billion in the first three years following the merger and \$1.5 billion each year thereafter. Comcast has attributed some portion of this to programming cost savings from paying lower rates to carry television networks than TWC does. The problem is that these fees, paid by cable companies to television networks and other content suppliers, have helped finance

television's recent creative renaissance. As a result of the merger, content suppliers will have less money to invest in content, which could mean less creativity, fewer jobs and lower quality productions.

The merger also threatens the growing online video distribution market. Comcast would control half of the high-speed broadband market and, as the largest pay TV distributor and major television network owner, has strong incentives to limit the growth of competing alternatives. With control of broadband, it will have the power to implement practices that harm unaffiliated online video distributors. Comcast has already engaged in such behavior in its interconnection dispute with Netflix. By refusing to upgrade connections to handle the Netflix traffic that Comcast consumers were demanding, Comcast was able to extract higher fees from Netflix. Netflix has said that the fees are 150% more than its combined costs for transit, hardware, engineering and colocation to deliver Comcast subscribers' data.²⁷ Comcast can use its control of interconnection to charge companies like Netflix or Amazon for access to consumers. This will raise costs for online video distributors, which could mean less investment in content.

While the effects of this merger will be felt nationally, the planned cost-cutting and increased control over broadband will have real consequences here in Los Angeles. Los Angeles is the home of the entertainment industry, providing 132,000 jobs and \$14.2 billion in payroll, and generating \$2.8 billion in taxes.²⁸ State and local officials have worked hard to keep production here through local programs and improvements to the state's incentive program, such as eligibility for Internet productions. FilmLA reports that in 2014, on-location TV drama production increased almost 30% from 2013, to 3,666 days.²⁹ In 2014, there were 1,129 web TV production shooting days.³⁰ Web TV now constitutes more on-location shooting days locally than TV pilots and sitcoms, according to FilmLA. Amazon projects including *Transparent*, *Bosch* and a number of pilots are shot here in Los Angeles. The rise of Internet-delivered television series is important for local employment,

as incentive programs from other states have lured away some television production.

CONCLUSION

The Comcast-TWC merger is a bad deal for Los Angeles as well as the rest of the country. Comcast's increased buyer power as a distributor of television and the Internet will lead to higher prices for consumers, fewer content choices and less diverse and innovative content. The California Public Utilities Commission and the FCC both have the ability to prevent this outcome and should act to deny the merger because it does not serve the public interest.

PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS

ENTRAVISION

Entravision Communications Corporation is a diversified Spanish-language media company utilizing a combination of television, radio and digital operations to reach Latino consumers across the United States, as well as the border markets of Mexico. Entravision is the largest affiliate group of both the top-ranked Univision television network and Univision's UniMas network, with television stations in 20 of the nation's top 50 Latino markets. The company owns and/or operates 58 primary television stations and also operates one of the nation's largest groups of primarily Spanish-language radio stations, consisting of 49 owned and operated radio stations. Additionally, Entravision has a variety of cross-platform digital content and sales offerings designed to capitalize on the company's leadership position within the Latino broadcasting community.

THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

The Greenlining Institute was created 21 years ago to drive solutions to redlining, the unsustainable practice of excluding communities of color from economic opportunities. The term Greenlining is the proactive practice of providing targeted access and service to communities of color. Greenlining represents a coalition of 50 community based organizations in California that collaborate to bring more Greenlining policies to the forefront on issues related to the Environment, the Economy, Health, Energy, Voting,

and Telecommunications and Technology. Greenlining strongly believes that for our nation to succeed, communities of color will have to succeed.

PRESENTE.ORG

Presente's mission is to advance Latino power and create winning campaigns that amplify Latino voices; expand the political imagination and traditional boundaries; and foster inspiration for freedom, equity, and justice. Presente is the largest national Latino online organization advancing social justice with technology, media, and culture.

PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE

Public Knowledge promotes freedom of expression, an open internet, and access to affordable communications tools and creative works. We work to shape policy on behalf of the public interest.

SPORTS FANS COALITION

Sports Fans Coalition is the American sports fan's advocate in the Washington D.C. public policy arena and around the country for fair return to the fans for public resources used in sports, and fair access to sporting events at the game and in the media. We are a new, non-profit organization made up of sports fans who want to have a say in how the sports industry works, and to put fans first.

WRITERS GUILD OF AMERICA, WEST

The Writers Guild of America, West (WGAW) is a labor union representing writers of motion pictures, television, radio, and Internet programming, including news and documentaries. Founded in 1933, the Guild negotiates and administers contracts that protect the creative and economic rights of its members. It is involved in a wide range of programs that advance the interests of writers, and is active in public policy and legislative matters on the local, national, and international levels.

APPENDIX

The table below provides the detailed channel package information represented in Chart 1.³¹


| Comcast vs. Other MVPD Prices for Video Packages | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Channel Category | Operator | Package | # of channels | Monthly Price |
| 10-20 | Verizon FiOS | FiOS TV Local | 17 | \$10.00 |
| | TWC | Starter TV | 20+ | \$19.99 |
| | Comcast Average | Limited Basic | 10+ | \$21.91 |
| 45-55 | Dish | Smart Pack | 55+ | \$19.99 |
| | Comcast Average | Digital Economy | 45+ | \$30.33 |
| 140-190 | AT&T U-Verse | U-Family TV | 140+ | \$29.00 |
| | DIRECTV | Entertainment | 140+ | \$29.99 |
| | Dish | America's Top 120 | 190+ | \$29.99 |
| | Comcast Average | Digital Starter | 140+ | \$46.48 |
| 200-250 | Dish | America's Top 200 | 220+ | \$39.99 |
| | DIRECTV | Ultimate | 225+ | \$44.99 |
| | TWC | Preferred TV | 200+ | \$49.99 |
| | Comcast Average | Digital Preferred | 220+ | \$56.48 |
| 260+ | AT&T U-Verse | U200 TV | 300+ | \$44.00 |
| | Dish | America's Top 250 | 290+ | \$44.99 |
| | Verizon FiOS | Extreme HD | 300+ | \$74.99 |
| | Comcast Average | Digital Premier | 260+ | \$88.34 |

ENDNOTES


1. SNL Kagan estimate of Pay TV subscribers.
2. US Department of Commerce, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, State Broadband Initiative (CSV format December, 31, 2013). WGAW analysis of broadband data for Los Angeles County.
3. SNL Kagan estimates of Comcast subscribers by Designated Market Area used to identify the top 20 markets. Pricing information from company websites. Prices as of December 2014 for Comcast; January 2015 for all other providers. All prices listed are as advertised promotional prices; Verizon's prices are for a home not already wired for FiOS.
4. Current promotional pricing is \$29.99 for 6 Mbps for the first 12 months.
5. Press Release, California Emerging Technology Foundation, "California Emerging Technology Fund and Partners Urge FCC to Hold Comcast Accountable," July 22, 2014, http://www.cetfund.org/files/140711_CETF_Partners_Comcast-TWC_FCC_PR_and_Filing.pdf.
6. Jon Brodtkin, "Comcast's Internet for the poor too hard to sign up for, advocates say," *Ars Technica*, July 23, 2014, <http://arstechnica.com/business/2014/07/comcasts-internet-for-the-poor-too-hard-to-sign-up-for-advocates-say/>.
7. Press Release, California Emerging Technology Foundation, "California Emerging Technology Fund and Partners Urge FCC to Hold Comcast Accountable," July 22, 2014, http://www.cetfund.org/files/140711_CETF_Partners_Comcast-TWC_FCC_PR_and_Filing.pdf.
8. Jeff Simmermon, Director, Digital Communications, TWC, "Launching an Optional Usage-Based Broadband Pricing Plan in Southern Texas," *Time Warner Cable Untangled* (blog), February 27, 2012, <http://www.twcableuntangled.com/2012/02/launching-an-optional-usage-based-pricing-plan-in-southern-texas-2/>.
9. Josh Lowensohn, "Comcast could mandate a monthly data cap on all customers in the next five years," *The Verge*, May 14, 2014, <http://www.theverge.com/2014/5/14/5718746/comcast-says-it-could-bring-data-caps-to-home-Internet-service-for-all>.
10. "What XFINITY Internet Data Usage Plans will Comcast be Launching?," Comcast website, updated December 5, 2014, accessed January 30, 2015, <http://customer.comcast.com/help-and-support/internet/data-usage-what-are-the-different-plans-launching>. Comcast charges \$10 per 50 GB above the 300 GB threshold.
11. Nielsen estimates that Americans spend 155 hours per month watching traditional TV, and Netflix estimates an hour of HD video requires 3 GB of data. 155 hours per month of online video each for a household of two would require 930 GB of data.
12. Jody Vogelaar, VP Global Partner Marketing, "Get more from your cable subscription with 'TV Anywhere Channels'," *Roku* (blog), November 4, 2014, <http://blog.roku.com/blog/2014/11/04/get-more-from-your-cable-subscription-with-tv-anywhere-channels/>.
13. Jeff Simmermon, Director, Digital Communications, TWC, "Our Customers Can Use HBO Go on Roku, Xbox and Samsung Smart TVs," *Time Warner Cable Untangled* (blog), May 17, 2012, <http://www.twcableuntangled.com/2012/05/our-customers-can-use-hbo-go-on-roku-xbox-and-samsung-smart-tvs/>.
14. Stephanie Chen and Noemí Gallardo, *2014 Supplier Diversity Report Card: Unexpected Achievements and Continuing Gaps*, (June 2014), pp. 4, 10, 12, <http://greenlining.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/2014-Supplier-Diversity-Report-Card-printer-friendly.pdf>.
15. Rep. Raul Ruiz, M.D., CA-36, to David Cohen, Executive Vice President, Comcast Corporation, August 4, 2014, available upon request.
16. Alyssa Berezna, "6 Jaw-Dropping Cable Provider Horror Stories from Yahoo Tech Readers," *Yahoo! Tech*, July 16, 2014, <https://www.yahoo.com/tech/6-jaw-dropping-cable-provider-horror-stories-from-yahoo-91950382669.html>; and Chris Moran, "Comcast Rings Out 2014 With Yet Another Tape-Recorded Customer Service Disaster," *Consumerist*, December 30, 2014, <http://consumerist.com/2014/12/30/comcast-rings-out-2014-with-yet-another-tape-recorded-customer-service-disaster/>.
17. California Public Utilities Commission, Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion Into the Operations, Practices, and Conduct of Comcast Phone of California LLC (U-5698-C) and its Related Entities (Collectively "Comcast") to Determine Whether Comcast Violated the Laws, Rules, and Regulations of this State in the Unauthorized Disclosure and Publication of Comcast Subscribers' Unlisted Names, Telephone Numbers, and Addresses, Order Instituting Investigation into the Unauthorized Disclosure and Publication of Unlisted Telephone Numbers by Comcast, I.13-10-003 (Oct. 3, 2013).
18. Mindy Spatt, "Did Comcast Violate Your Privacy?," *TURN* (blog), November 6, 2013, <http://turn.org/press-room/blog/item/688-did-comcast-violate-your-privacy?.html>.
19. California Public Utilities Commission, Office of Ratepayer Advocates, Brief (Public), Applications of Comcast Corporation, Time Warner Cable Inc., Time Warner Cable Information Services (California), LLC, and Bright House Networks Information Services (California), LLC for Expedited Approval of the Transfer of Control of Time Warner Cable Information Services (California), LLC (U-6874-C); and the Pro Forma Transfer of Control of Bright House Networks Information Services (California), LLC (U-6955-C), to Comcast Corporation Pursuant to California Public Utilities Code Section 854(a), A.14-04-013 (Apr. 11, 2014) and Related Matter, p. 66.
20. *Ibid.*, p. 65.

21. National Hispanic Media Coalition, Comments, Applications of Comcast Corp. and Time Warner Cable Inc. For Consent To Assign or Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations, FCC MB Docket No. 14-57, August 25, 2014, p. ii.
22. Frank Shyong, "Quality of life scores run along racial lines in California," *Los Angeles Times*, January 22, 2015, <http://www.latimes.com/local/california/la-me-snapshot-disparities-20150122-story.html>.
23. Ted Johnson, "Regulators Ready to Take the Field for Dodgers Dispute," *Variety*, August 6, 2014, <http://variety.com/2014/tv/news/regulators-may-mediate-cable-dispute-over-dodgers-1201276090/>.
24. Applications for Consent to the Assignment and/or Transfer of Control of Licenses Adelpia Communications Corporation, Assignors, to Time Warner Cable, Inc., Assignees; Adelpia Communications Corporation, Assignors and Transferors, to Comcast Corporation, Assignees and Transferees, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 21 FCC Rcd. 820339 ¶ 149.
25. Jeff Gelles, "Comcast and satellite companies at impasse over SportsNet programming," *Philly.com*, April 15, 2012, http://articles.philly.com/2012-04-15/business/31345434_1_satellite-providers-cable-companies-comcastsportsnet.
26. Kevin W. Caves, Chris C. Holt & Hal J. Singer, "Vertical Integration in Multichannel Television Markets: A Study of Regional Sports Networks," *Review of Network Economics* 12, no. 1 (2013): 66.
27. Netflix, Notice of Ex Parte Submission, Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet, FCC GN Docket No. 14-28, Applications of Comcast Corporation and Time Warner Cable Inc. for Consent to Assign or Transfer Control of Licenses and Applications, FCC MB Docket No. 14-57, Applications of AT&T, Inc. and DIRECTV for Consent to Assign or Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations, FCC MB Docket No. 14-90, November 5, 2014, p. 5.
28. Los Angeles County Economic Development Corporation, *2013 Otis Report on the Creative Economy*, (Los Angeles, CA: Otis College of Art and Design, February 2014), pp. 87, 91, 92, www.otis.edu/econreport.
29. Film L.A. Inc., *Filming On-Location in Los Angeles, 2010-2014*, (Los Angeles: Film L.A. Inc., 2015), p. 13, http://www.filmla.com/data_reports.php.
30. *Ibid.*, pp. 21-22.
31. Comcast's average was calculated using prices as of December 2014 from Comcast's Top 20 DMAs: Chicago, Philadelphia, San Francisco-Oakland, Boston, Seattle, Atlanta, Washington DC, Denver, Houston, Detroit, New York, Miami, Minneapolis, Pittsburgh, Portland, Sacramento, Baltimore, Hartford, Indianapolis, Lancaster, and weighting the average by the percentage of the total 20-market sample in each DMA. "Xfinity TV from Comcast: Digital Cable TV Service," Comcast, accessed December 17, 2014, <http://www.comcast.com/Corporate/Learn/DigitalCable/digitalcable.html>; "FiOS TV," zip code 90805, Verizon, accessed January 23, 2015, <http://www.verizon.com/home/fiostv/>; "Offers: Shop U-Verse," zip code 90048, AT&T, accessed January 23, 2015, <https://www.att.com/shop/u-verse/offers.html>; "DirecTV Packages and Pricing," zip code 90048, DirecTV, accessed January 23, 2015, <https://www.directv.com/DTVAPP/pepod/configure.jsp?ACM=false&lpos=Header:3#package-section>; "DISH Network Packages," DISH, accessed January 23, 2015, <http://www.dish.com/entertainment/packages/offers/value/>; and "Digital Cable TV Services Plans and Packages," zip code 90048, Time Warner Cable, accessed January 23, 2015.

Time Warner Cable and Charter Footprint Los Angeles County




Comcast's Proposed Footprint Los Angeles County



Esri, DeLorme, GEBCO, NOAA NGDC, and other contributors

Comcast's Proposed Footprint Residential Providers Offering 25 Mbps+ Internet Service



THE COMCAST-TIME WARNER CABLE MERGER

THE IMPACT ON CALIFORNIANS

On February 13, 2014, Comcast, the largest multichannel video programming distributor (MVPD) and Internet service provider (ISP) in the US, announced its intent to acquire Time Warner Cable, the 4th largest MVPD and 2nd largest ISP in the country, for \$45.2 billion. In a related transaction, Comcast and Charter have agreed to exchange cable systems in certain markets, including those in California. If these transactions are approved, Comcast will control 30% of the MVPD market and more than 50% of high-speed broadband connections nationally and reach 84% of CA households.

CONSEQUENCES FOR CALIFORNIA

These transactions could be harmful to Californians because they may lead to higher priced cable and broadband services, increased control over programming for Latino audiences, reduced investment in programming, less access to local sports teams and the extension of anti-competitive network management practices across a greater share of the market.

Consumers

- Higher prices for cable service because the average price for Comcast cable packages is higher than packages with similar numbers of channels from AT&T, DirecTV, DISH, Verizon FIOS, and Time Warner Cable.
- Higher prices for broadband service because Comcast's service is more expensive than TWC's across 3 speed tiers.
- More restrictive practices such as the implementation of usage-based billing (data caps) for all Internet subscribers within 5 years and authentication policies that limit consumer choice.
- Californians will have little choice but to accept these practices because for 73% of residents Comcast will be the only choice for Internet service at the benchmark speed of 25 Mbps or higher.

Latinos

- Nationwide, Comcast will reach markets where 90% of Latino households are located, including Los Angeles, the top Latino media market in the US.
- This unprecedented level of control will give Comcast the power to determine the success or failure of Latino-focused programming.
 - Comcast has the incentive to favor its affiliated, Spanish-language networks over independently-owned networks serving the needs and interests of all parts of the Latino community.

Content Creators

- Comcast has reported that it expects this transaction will allow it to reduce costs by *at least* \$1.5 billion over the first three years and then \$1.5 billion each year thereafter. Some portion of the cost savings will come from reductions to affiliate fees paid to television networks, the impact of which will be less investment in programming and potentially fewer entertainment industry jobs in CA.
- Comcast's anti-competitive practices, including paid interconnection and usage-based billing, could limit the potential of the online video market, which has helped drive Hollywood employment to its highest level in a decade.

Sports Fans

- Sports fans can expect to pay higher prices to watch their local team on television or see fewer games.
- Research has found that when a cable company owns a regional sports network (RSN), prices go up and availability goes down; this dynamic increases as a company gets larger, as Comcast will do in Southern California.
- With estimated affiliate fees of \$4-\$5 a month, SportsNetLA is one of the most expensive RSNs in the country. As a result, 70% of Angelenos do not have access to the Dodgers channel this season. This situation will only get worse if the transactions are approved.

ABOUT THE COMPANIES

| | Comcast | Time Warner Cable |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Cable | 22 million subscribers | 11 million subscribers |
| Broadband | 22 million subscribers | 12.2 million subscribers |
| VOIP (Voice over Internet Protocol) | 10.7 million subscribers | 4.8 million subscribers |
| Content Ownership | 2 Broadcast Networks (NBC and Telemundo), 27 broadcast stations, 12 RSNs, 16 basic cable networks, 33% of Hulu | Time Warner Cable SportsNet (Lakers), Time Warner Cable Deportes, and SportsNetLA (TWC handles affiliate sales) |

STATUS OF REGULATORY REVIEW

- Federal Communications Commission: The Commission's 180-day review is set to conclude in April 2015; however, the process could extend to early summer.
- California Public Utilities Commission: Commissioner Peterman circulated a proposed decision which may be brought to a vote at the CPUC's March 26th meeting.