

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
GAME SHOW NETWORK, LLC,)	MB Docket No. 12-122
Complainant,)	File No. CSR-8529-P
)	
v.)	
)	
CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORP.,)	
Defendant)	

To: Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Attention: Chief Administrative Law Judge Richard L. Sippel

**ENFORCEMENT BUREAU’S UNOPPOSED REQUEST
REGARDING CABLEVISION’S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DECISION¹**

On April 30, 2015, Cablevision Systems Corp. (Cablevision) filed a Motion for Summary Decision (Motion) in the above-captioned matter. Section 1.251 of the Commission’s rules provides that oppositions to motions for summary decision are due 14 days thereafter.² However, the Enforcement Bureau (Bureau) believes it will lead to greater efficiency and less likelihood of repetitive arguments in the record if the Bureau is given the opportunity to review any opposition filed by Game Show Network, LLC (GSN) before determining if additional comment is needed from the Bureau to fully represent the public interest.³ Accordingly, the Chief, Enforcement Bureau (Bureau), by his attorneys, respectfully requests that the Presiding

¹ The Enforcement Bureau (Bureau) notified counsel for each of the parties of the instant request. Neither party objected to the Bureau’s request.

² See 47 C.F.R. § 1.251.

³ Although the Bureau is, pursuant to Section 0.111(b) of the Commission’s Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.111(b), a party in this proceeding, the Bureau’s interests in this case differ from those of the captioned parties. Thus, while Cablevision and GSN have properly sought to serve their respective pecuniary and other private interests, the Bureau’s role is to ensure that the public interest is served.

Judge modify the briefing schedule with respect to Cablevision's Motion to allow the Bureau to submit its comments on the Motion, if it should have any, within seven calendar (7) days after GSN files its opposition.

Respectfully submitted,

Travis LeBlanc
Chief, Enforcement Bureau



Pamela S. Kane
Special Counsel
Investigations and Hearings Division
Enforcement Bureau

William Knowles-Kellett
Attorney
Investigations and Hearings Division
Enforcement Bureau

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW, Room 4-C330
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1420

May 11, 2015

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pamela S. Kane, an attorney in the Enforcement Bureau's Investigations and Hearings Division, certifies that she has, on this 11th day of May 2015, sent via email copies of the foregoing "ENFORCMENT BUREAU'S UNOPPOSED REQUEST REGARDING CABLEVISION'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DECISION" to:

Jay Cohen
Andrew G. Gordon
Gary R. Carney
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,
WHARTON & GARRISON, LLP
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6064

Howard J. Symons
Tara M. Corvo
Ernest C. Cooper
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20004

Dominic J. Picca
Scott A. Rader
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.
Chrysler Center
666 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10017

Counsel to Cablevision Systems Corp.

Stephen A. Weiswasser
Paul W. Schmidt
Elizabeth H. Canter
Neema D. Trivedi
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2401

C. William Phillips
Laura Flahive Wu
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
620 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10018-1405

Counsel to Game Show Network, LLC

Copies of the foregoing also were hand-delivered to:

Richard L. Sippel
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Austin K. Randazzo
Attorney-Advisor/Law Clerk
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Administrative Law Judges
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554


Pamela S. Kane