

Submitter Info.txt

Please Do Not Reply To This Email.

Public Comments on 911 Call-Forwarding Requirements for Non-Service-Initialized Phones:=====

Title: 911 Call-Forwarding Requirements for Non-Service-Initialized Phones

FR Document Number: 2015-10472

RIN:

Publish Date: 5/6/2015 12:00:00 AM

Submitter Info:

First Name: Peter

Last Name: Duniho

Mailing Address: 12900 NE 78th Pl

City: Kirkland

Country: United States

State or Province: WA

ZIP/Postal Code: 98033

Email Address:

Organization Name:

Comment: I am opposed to the elimination of the support of 911 calls on non-service-initialized phones. While I understand that fraud has been an issue, the answer is not to disable an important safety feature of phones.

The first priority should be to focus on effective penalties for such fraud, along with enforcement. Fraudulent 911 calls are just one of many "anonymous" crimes, not to mention are non-service-initialized phones not the only avenue by which one would make a fraudulent 911 call. Locking legitimate callers out from 911 service, just to effect what is likely to be a marginal reduction in the fraud rate at best, is simply not good public policy.

Frankly, the current requirements work fine. But if one is bound and determined to block 911 calling in some way, owners of phones should be given the opportunity to submit their phone information to a database, which cellular service providers would then check before blocking a 911 call. Only calls coming from unregistered phones would be blocked.

I am opposed to the elimination of the support of 911 calls on non-service-initialized phones. While I understand that fraud has been an issue, the answer is not to disable an important safety feature of phones.

The first priority should be to focus on effective penalties for such fraud, along with enforcement. Fraudulent 911 calls are just one of many "anonymous" crimes, not to mention are non-service-initialized phones not the only avenue by which one would make a fraudulent 911 call. Locking legitimate callers out from 911 service, just to effect what is likely to be a marginal reduction in the fraud rate at best, is simply not good public policy.

Frankly, the current requirements work fine. But if one is bound and determined to block 911 calling in some way, owners of phones should be given the opportunity to submit their phone information to a database, which cellular service providers would then check before blocking a 911 call. Only calls coming from unregistered phones would be blocked.