

Submitter Info.txt

Please Do Not Reply To This Email.

Public Comments on 911 Call-Forwarding Requirements for Non-Service-Initialized Phones:=====

Title: 911 Call-Forwarding Requirements for Non-Service-Initialized Phones
FR Document Number: 2015-10472
RIN:
Publish Date: 5/6/2015 12:00:00 AM

Submitter Info:

First Name: Dave
Last Name: Bachtel
Mailing Address: 655 Austin Creek Drive
City: Sugar Hill
Country: United States
State or Province: GA
ZIP/Postal Code: 30518
Email Address: bachtel@gmail.com
Organization Name:

Comment: A proposed alternative to the disablement of NSI phones:

If an NSI handset is used to make a fraudulent call, it's IMEI number (or other unique handset identifier) is added to a blacklist and text message (or other notification) is sent from the carrier indicating the handset is no longer 911 operable. As part of activation(s), carriers would remove NSI phones from the block lists.

This would allow NSI phones that are used in non-malicious situations (domestic abuse, other emergency forms of communication, etc) to remain active while removing the malicious actors from the system after one fraudulent call. The blacklist period could even be made non-permanent for an extra measure of caution.

Please consider this idea. I believe it to be a great balance between continued allowance of NSI access to the 911 system serving the need for public safety and allowing carriers and local 911 centers to block malicious actors.

Thanks!

A proposed alternative to the disablement of NSI phones:

If an NSI handset is used to make a fraudulent call, it's IMEI number (or other unique handset identifier) is added to a blacklist and text message (or other notification) is sent from the carrier indicating the handset is no longer 911 operable. As part of activation(s), carriers would remove NSI phones from the block lists.

This would allow NSI phones that are used in non-malicious situations (domestic abuse, other emergency forms of communication, etc) to remain active while removing the malicious actors from the system after one fraudulent call. The blacklist period could even be made non-permanent for an extra measure of caution.

Please consider this idea. I believe it to be a great balance between continued allowance of NSI access to the 911 system serving the need for public safety and allowing carriers and local 911 centers to block malicious actors.

Thanks!