



The Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians

2605 N. West Bay Shore Drive • Peshawbestown, MI 49682-9275 • (231) 534-7750

May 21, 2015

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians' Reply Comments on Public Notice FCC 15-49—Request for Further Comment on Issues Related to Competitive Bidding Proceeding; WT Docket Nos. 14-170 and 05-211, GN Docket No. 12-268, and RM-11395

Dear Secretary Dortch:

On behalf of the Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians (GTB) I respectfully submit these Reply Comments in response to Public Notice FCC 15-49. The GTB became a federally-recognized Indian Tribe having a government-to-government relationship with the United States effective May 27, 1980 (see 45 Fed. Reg. 18321-322 (March 25, 1980)) and GTB is organized under a Tribal Constitution approved by the Secretary of the Interior on March 29, 1988.

High-speed and dependable telecommunications services continue to evade many tribal lands throughout the United States. Consequently, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has a very in-depth understanding of the challenges tribes face in deploying or bringing telecommunications services to tribal lands. This has been well documented in the FCC's Docket filings by tribes, tribal organizations, and tribal telecommunications providers. The FCC itself continues to reference these challenges when it initiates a rulemaking that includes specific tribal proposals. The GTB currently operates a series of cell towers in Northwest Michigan and has operated the towers for over 20 years. GTB also participated in the bidding for wireless spectrum in the early 1990's for Northwest Michigan and then operated a telephone company, in partnership with other local telephone companies, for the development of cell service for our area.

GTB is filing in support of comments already submitted by Doyon, Limited and Chugach Alaska Corporation, Leech Lake Telecommunications, Native Public Media, and the National Congress of American Indians.¹ The aforementioned commenters highlighted key reasons as to

¹ See Doyon, Limited and Chugach Alaska Corporation, Comments. May 14, 2015 Available at <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment/view?id=60001031750>. Leech Lake Telecommunications, Comments. May 14, 2015. Available at <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment/view?id=60001031605>. Native Public Media, Comments. May

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
May 21, 2015
Page 2

why the FCC must preserve the small business designated entity program, and the National Congress of American Indians also provided sound justification for preserving the tribal land bidding credit since WT Docket No. 11-40 has remained inactive in the rulemaking process. In order for tribes to break into this highly competitive market, programs like the small business designated entity and the tribal land bidding credit are essential in providing tribes with an opportunity to participate in future auctions.

Additionally, we do not agree with the comments filed by NTCH, Inc., which made blatant assumptions about tribal nations, their citizens, and their financial statuses.² NTCH, Inc.'s recommendation to develop some sort of "means testing" to determine telecommunications need in Indian Country is a disrespectful assumption of the state of telecommunications on tribal lands. The FCC, along with other federal entities, has already acknowledged and documented the telecommunications infrastructure disparities that have persisted on tribal lands for decades. We respectfully urge the FCC to disregard such comments and preserve the tribal land bidding credit and small business designated entity programs for the benefit of future tribal participation.

Furthermore, we would encourage that the FCC take into serious consideration how any modifications to the tribal land bidding credit and/or small business designated entity program may adversely affect tribal opportunities to participate in future spectrum license auction. Given the immensely competitive nature of spectrum auctions and the vast amount of capital needed to participate, the FCC should not hastily modify programs that will further prevent tribal participation.

Tribes already face daunting barriers to obtaining spectrum licenses for commercial mobile wireless services on tribal lands. Therefore, we strongly urge that the FCC not promulgate rules that would further hinder tribes from obtaining such spectrum licenses.

Sincerely,



Alvin V. Pedwaydon
Tribal Chairman
GTB of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians

Cc: GTB Tribal Council

14, 2015. Available at <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment/view?id=60001031662>. National Congress of American Indians, Comments. May 14, 2014. Available at <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment/view?id=60001031719>.

² See NTCH, Inc., Comments, WT Docket Nos. 14-170 and 05-211, GN Docket No. 12-268, and RM-11395. "B. No Automatic Bidding Credits for Indians" Pages 4-5. May 13, 2015. Available at <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view?id=60001047246>.