

1 SEO™ ISA10

2
3 **ALL IN THE UNITED STATES**
4 **INTERNATIONAL NATIONS**

5
6 Justice Gambert,
7 Prosecutor, All I.N. Judge & CMO
8 v.
9 United States Corporation & U.N.
10 Defendant.

No. SEO-15-0709-12:29-PM-USA II.I.JI.

EXECUTIVE NEWS INDICTMENTS
II.I.JI. NINTH CIRCUIT 14-15528 JI.
II.I.JI. AZDC: 2:13-cv-02083-GMS JI.

Lightning Parent Company Indictments
The IRON DAM BOULDER Presidents

11
12 **IT IS OF BOULDER DAMNED NEWS NOTICE** before All News Stations all
13 in the United States District Courts All IN the Federal Districts of America of the Federal
14 Bureau of Investigation interview with The Iron Dam Gambert all on September 3rd 2014
15 at 9:00 AM and with Director Who in concert with another II.I.JI.AGENT.II.I.JI. Video
16 interviews, Audio Interviews, and transcripts marched forth; now in the Spirit of
17 continuing II.I.JI.LAW.II.I.JI. II.I.JI.ENFORCEMENT.II.I.JI; *with* the Great American
18 Boulder Dam “Announcement” made by President Gambert before all Law Enforcement:

19
20 *All Exhibits and testimony was provided further uncovering the Racketeering*
21 *Charges made against An Entire Fraudulent Cabinet, under The Executive*
22 *Branch, with The Department of Justice, and all who were a part of the*
23 *conspiracy & cover-up scandal while under the Obama Nation Administration*
24 *Indicted as Racketeers now including the following Corporate Indictments **JJ.***

25 Therefore it is of OFFICIAL CAPACITY and PROVIDED of the following by **II.I.JI**

26 **IT IS OF FURTHER NOTICE** In The Name and By The Authority of The
27 International Nations Active Participants, All IN Interpol, by MY discretion of National
28 Security Interests within The United States and in Service to The People as including
their authority, which is the whole authority of The United States **IT IS PROSECUTED.**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

V.

Stephen B. Burke & Board
NBCUniversal, CEO + ^ #
NBC, Parent organization

IV.

Leslie Moonves & Board
CBS, CEO + ^ #
CBS, Parent organization

III.

Sumner Redstone & Board
National Amusements, CEO + ^ #
CBS Corporation, Parent organization

II.

Thierry Coste & Pierre Persico
Crédit Lyonnais CEO's & Board + ^ #
National Amusements, Parent organization

I.

Jean-Yves Hocher & Board
Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank + ^ #
National Amusements Parent Company

II.I.JI.SCORCHED.II.I.JI.

Comitted the following offenses compounded by 18 U.S.C. §2, 18 U.S.C § 1951(a):

1 On or from November of 2005, in every County of The United States, every Country
2
3 of the International Nations, Agency Agent(s) with the intent to Obstruct, Abscond,
4 Suppress, Conceal, and Further The Conspiracy intending to harm another, to-wit, “The
5 Real President” and The People of The United States including every person, in every
6 Country, every District, every County, and every Office, intentionally, assisting
7 knowingly misused government functions, by dealing with such functions contrary to an
8 agreement under which defendant(s) participated in such functions or contrary to their
9 oath of office they took as a public servant(s), such government functions being including
10 property, money, time having a value of in excess to \$200,000,000 which were approved
11 and authorized by the Lanham Act of the United States Code 15 U.S.C to instruct all
12 properties and operations of the United States Patent Office under the Secretary of
13 Commerce of the United States. In which had come into defendant(s) custody or
14 possession by virtue of the defendant(s) office as a public servant, or principle namely,
15 “The Obama Nation Administration” who by *participating with them* it is oh by thereby:
16

17 **II.I.JI.FULLY.II.I.JI.CHARGED.II.I.JI.PROSECUTED.JI.INDICTED.II.I.JI.**
18

19
20 **IX.**

21 News Corp
22 1211 Avenue of the Americas
23 New York, NY 10036
24

25 **VIII.**

26 Turner Broadcasting System, Inc.
27 One CNN Center
28 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VII.

Time Warner Inc.
One Time Warner Center
New York, NY 10019-8016

VI.

The Walt Disney Company
500 South Buena Vista Street,
Burbank, California, United States

V.

NBCUniversal
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY - 10112

IV.

CBS Corporation
51 W. 52nd Street
New York, NY 10019-6188

III.

National Amusements
846 University Ave
Norwood, Massachusetts 02062-2631

II.

Credit Lyonnais
1301 Avenue of the Americas,
New York, NY 10019

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I.

Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank
1301 Avenue of the Americas,
New York, NY 10019

J.I.EARTH.JI.

The Great AMERICAN ALL I.N. COUNTS – VIOLATIONS OF 18 U.S.C

The defendant(s) in violation to the Hobbs Act, 18 U.S.C § 1951(a), is a Rico Predicate offense because Section 1951(a) expressly makes conspiracy a crime. Because of the effect of 18 U.S.C. § 2, however, one who aids and abets the commission of a federal crime is treated as if “They” had committed the crime as a principal and can be charged under RICO if the crime is one set forth in Section 1961(1)(B)-(G). 35 (“aiding and abetting one of the activities listed in Section 1961(1) as racketeering activities makes one punishable as a principal and amounts to engaging in that racketeering activity.

President incorporated by reference herein all allegations set forth down by fire:

J.I.JI.JUSTICE.JI.JI.

a.

NEGLIGENCE

Count 1.

The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of

1 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to oppose or extend to oppose
2 before April 24th 2008 at 12:00 AM, therefore in Violation of prosecuting “First 30 Day
3 Request for Extension of Time to Oppose ESTTA206891 Filing date 04/24/2008 and as a
4 result of the defendant(s) violation and breach of duty to plaintiff and the public, in which
5 defendant was made aware of the breach by plaintiff, clearly, foreseeable, and from
6 consequence of the defendants wrongful action, and further wrongful inaction, the
7 Plaintiff and the general public have suffered damages and injury from the breach of the
8 non-discretionary duty which is the proximate cause of the damage and is entitled to
9 relief by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-
10 2506(F)(2) U.S. Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV AMEN.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI. JI.

11
12 Count 2.

13
14 The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by
15 Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of
16 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to oppose or extend to oppose
17 before April 24th 2008 at 12:00 AM, therefore in Violation of prosecuting “First 30 Day
18 Request for Extension of Time to Oppose ESTTA207042 Filing date 04/24/2008 and as a
19 result of the defendant(s) violation and breach of duty to plaintiff and the public, in which
20 defendant was made aware of the breach by plaintiff, clearly, foreseeable, and from
21 consequence of the defendants wrongful action, and further wrongful inaction, the
22 Plaintiff and the general public have suffered damages and injury from the breach of the
23 non-discretionary duty which is the proximate cause of the damage and is entitled to
24 relief by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-
25 2506(F)(2) U.S. Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.

26 Count 3.

1 The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by
2 Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of
3 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to oppose or extend to oppose
4 before April 24th 2008 at 12:00 AM, therefore in Violation of prosecuting “Opposition
5 No. 91183740 ESTTA A207087 Filing date 04/24/2008 and as a result of the
6 defendant(s) violation and breach of duty to plaintiff and the public, in which defendant
7 was made aware of the breach by plaintiff, clearly, foreseeable, and from consequence of
8 the defendants wrongful action, and further wrongful inaction, the Plaintiff and the
9 general public have suffered damages and injury from the breach of the non-
10 discretionary duty which is the proximate cause of the damage and is entitled to relief by
11 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2)
12 U.S. Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.

13
14 Count 4.

15
16 The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by
17 Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of
18 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to oppose or extend to oppose
19 before April 24th 2008 at 12:00 AM, therefore in Violation of prosecuting “Opposition
20 No. 91184116 Filing date 04/24/2008 and as a result of the defendant(s) violation and
21 breach of duty to plaintiff and the public, in which defendant was made aware of the
22 breach by plaintiff, clearly, foreseeable, and from consequence of the defendants
23 wrongful action, and further wrongful inaction, the Plaintiff and the general public have
24 suffered damages and injury from the breach of the non-discretionary duty which is the
25 proximate cause of the damage and is entitled to relief by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C.
26 §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2) U.S. Const. amend. V. and U.S.
27 Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Count 5.

The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP), 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to extend to oppose before July 23rd 2008 at 12:00 AM, therefore in Violation of prosecuting “Opposition (Note: Number Not Provided) on 07/23/2008 ESTTA225991 from the 05/19/2008 60 Day Request for Extension of Time to Oppose ESTTA212340 which Granted the extension up “Until” the 65th day 7/23/2008 and as a result of the defendant(s) violation and breach of duty to plaintiff and the public, in which defendant was made aware of the breach by plaintiff, clearly, foreseeable, and from consequence of the defendants wrongful action, and further wrongful inaction, the Plaintiff and the general public have suffered damages and injury from the breach of the non-discretionary duty which is the proximate cause of the damage and is entitled to relief by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2) U.S. Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI. JI.JI.JI.

Count 6.

The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to oppose or extend to oppose before April 24th 2008 at 12:00 AM, and in Violation denied Motion to Strike 05/23/2008 ESTTA77171330 where Applicant 77171330 and Plaintiff “Motioned to Strike” 91183740 Opposition from record. Requesting the “TTAB to recognize Neglect of Applicants Rights” and to further strike record siting rule §2.119 “Service and signing of Papers” further Summarizing for “Procedural Efficiency.” As a result of the defendant(s) violation and breach of duty to plaintiff and the public, in which defendant

1 was made aware of the breach by plaintiff, clearly, foreseeable, and from consequence of
2 the defendants wrongful action, and further wrongful inaction, the Plaintiff and the
3 general public have suffered damages and injury from the breach of the non-discretionary
4 duty which is the proximate cause of the damage and is entitled to relief by 15 U.S.C. §
5 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2) U.S. Const.
6 amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI.

7
8 Count 7.

9
10 The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by
11 Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of
12 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to oppose or extend to oppose
13 before April 24th 2008 at 12:00 AM, and in Violation denied Motion to Strike
14 06/06/2008 ESTTA77171330 where Applicant 77171330 and Plaintiff “Motioned to
15 Strike” 91184116 Opposition from record. Requesting the “TTAB to recognize Neglect
16 of Applicants Rights” and to further strike record siting rule §2.119 “Service and signing
17 of Papers” further Summarizing for “Procedural Efficiency.” As a result of the
18 defendant(s) violation and breach of duty to plaintiff and the public, in which defendant
19 was made aware of the breach by plaintiff, clearly, foreseeable, and from consequence of
20 the defendants wrongful action, and further wrongful inaction, the Plaintiff and the
21 general public have suffered damages and injury from the breach of the non-discretionary
22 duty which is the proximate cause of the damage and is entitled to relief by 15 U.S.C. §
23 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2) U.S. Const.
24 amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI.WHORAH.II.I.JI.

25 Count 8.

26
27 The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to oppose or extend to oppose before April 24th 2008 at 12:00 AM, therefore in Violation of prosecuting “Opposition (Note: Number Not Provided) 07/23/2008 ESTTA225991 From the 60 Day Request for Extension of Time to Oppose made on 05/19/2008 (65th Day), ESTTA205898 and as a result of the defendant(s) violation and breach of duty to plaintiff and the public, in which defendant was made aware of the breach by plaintiff, clearly, foreseeable, and from consequence of the defendants wrongful action, and further wrongful inaction, the Plaintiff and the general public have suffered damages and injury from the breach of the non-discretionary duty which is the proximate cause of the damage and is entitled to relief by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2) U.S. Const. amend. .II.I.JI. V. and U.S. Const. .II.I.JI. Amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.AMEN.II.I.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI.AMEN.II.I.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI.

Count 9.

The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to oppose or extend to oppose before April 24th 2008 at 12:00 AM, therefore in Violation of prosecuting “First 30 Day Request for Extension of Time Granted 02/04/2009 (286th Day) and as a result of the defendant(s) violation and breach of duty to plaintiff and the public, in which defendant was made aware of the breach by plaintiff, clearly, foreseeable, and from consequence of the defendants wrongful action, and further wrongful inaction, the Plaintiff and the general public have suffered damages and injury from the breach of the non-discretionary duty which is the proximate cause of the damage and is entitled to relief by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2) U.S. Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI. WHO.

B.

GROSS NEGLIGENCE

Count 1.

The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to oppose or extend to oppose before April 24th 2008 at 12:00 AM, therefore in Violation Interlocutory Attorney with Board considers Applicants Motion to Strike made on 05/27/2008 (Note: “Status Pending” 12/14/2013,) The Board finds the motion has no foundation in law and is nonsensical accordingly denying the motion and continuing the case 91183740 08/13/08 turning into sixty three + additional unlawful proceedings and as a result of the defendant(s) violation and breach of duty to plaintiff and the public, in which defendant was made aware of the breach by plaintiff, clearly, foreseeable, and from consequence of the defendants wrongful action, and further wrongful inaction, the Plaintiff and the general public have suffered damages and injury from the breach of the non-discretionary duty which is the proximate cause of the damage and is entitled to relief by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2) U.S. Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI.

Count 2.

The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to oppose or extend to oppose before April 24th 2008 at 12:00 AM, therefore in Violation Interlocutory Attorney with Board considers Applicants “Motion To Dismiss Insufficient Service of Process.” Filed 07/24/2008, The Board denies motion relying on prima facie process on 08/13/08

1 (Omitted) citing Trademark Rule 2.119(a) continuing the case 91185383. However the
2 notice filed on 09/16/2008 exhibit shows mailing date of 07/26/08 (68th Day) and
3 stamped received by defendant on 08/08/13 five days before their ruling turning into ten
4 + additional unlawful proceedings and as a result of the defendant(s) violation and breach
5 of duty to plaintiff and the public, in which defendant was made aware of the breach by
6 plaintiff, clearly, foreseeable, and from consequence of the defendants wrongful action,
7 and further wrongful inaction, the Plaintiff and the general public have suffered damages
8 and injury from the breach of the non-discretionary duty which is the proximate cause of
9 the damage and is entitled to relief by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. §
10 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12- 2506(F)(2) U.S. Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend.
11 XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI.II.I.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI

12
13 Count 3.

14
15 The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by
16 Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of
17 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) to end the period to oppose or extend to oppose
18 before April 24th 2008 at 12:00 AM, therefore in Violation Interlocutory Attorney with
19 Board considers Applicants Motion to Strike made on 06/06/2008 and motion to dismiss
20 Rule 12(B) made on 07/24/2008, The Board finds has no foundation in law and is
21 nonsensical accordingly denying the motion, however citing 2.101(a) and 2.119(a) and
22 continuing the case 91183740 on 08/13/08 turning into twenty + additional unlawful
23 proceedings and as a result of the defendant(s) violation and breach of duty to plaintiff
24 and the public, in which defendant was made aware of the breach by plaintiff, clearly,
25 foreseeable, and from consequence of the defendants wrongful action, and further
26 wrongful inaction, the Plaintiff and the general public have suffered damages and injury
27 from the breach of the non-discretionary duty which is the proximate cause of the damage
28 and is entitled to relief by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-

1 2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2) U.S. Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV
2 II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI. II.I.JI.

3
4 *C.*

5 OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

6 Count 1.

7
8 The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by
9 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), however at the Defendant(s) discretion Defendant(s) in lieu of 15
10 U.S.C. § 1063(b), in August of 2008 “They” obstructed the issuing departments agents
11 therein from SEO™ Issuance Mailing, in Violation of 18 USC § 1708, and by “Barring”
12 them from doing so in direct violation of 18 USC § 1505, 18 USC § 1519, A.R.S. 13-
13 2402(A.)(1.), A.R.S. 13- 2407(A.)(4.), A.R.S. 13- 2407(C.), Because these acts and
14 events were undertaken and caused by Agents of the United States, the United States
15 Government is liable for all damages caused by such acts, as provided by 15 U.S.C. §
16 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. §
17 3771, U.S. Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI.

18
19 *D.*

20 CONSPIRACY

21 Count 1.

22
23 The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Publics
24 trusts in lieu of their trusts found in violation to A.R.S. § 13-1003, A.R.S. §13-2301, 18
25 U.S.C. §371, by agreement of doing nothing, found doing nothing, still doing nothing, is
26 still agreeing to do nothing. A conspiracy, is a conspiracy, is a conspiracy. Doing nothing
27 is overtly acting to further the conspiracy’s objective where the very act of violation is
28 doing nothing to stop the threat of continuance is required to do something and is non-

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

discretionary. Defendant(s) were informed with ultimate emphasis made possible by Plaintiff, and by still doing nothing is proved to contribute to the overall objective of the conspiracy. Whereby knowingly and voluntarily participating by doing nothing is interdependence among the members. The contacted acted together for the shared mutual benefit by benefiting each other, acting together for their shared mutual benefit within the scope of the conspiracy by doing nothing. Now charged for conspiracy to defraud. Because these acts and events were undertaken and caused by Agents of the United States, the United States Government is liable for all damages caused by such acts, as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. § 3771, U.S. Const. amend. V. & U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI.AMEN.II.I.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI. JI.

Count 2

The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Publics trusts by the Trademark Act § 13(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1063(a), the (TBMP) Chapter 300 Pleadings of 306.04, 37 CFR § 2.101(a), and 2.102(c) in lieu of their trusts found in violation to A.R.S. § 13-1003, A.R.S. §13-2301, 18 U.S.C. §371, where it was non discretionary for defendant(s) to defraud the Paying National and International Customers and were found in violation by impairing the function of the USPTO (Klein conspiracy); Furthering the conspiracy by committing more issuance evasion in connection with one of their suppression shelter fraud strategies, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b); and making false statements to C., in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001. And because these acts and events were undertaken and caused by Agents of the United States, the United States Government is liable for all damages caused by such acts, as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. § 3771 U.S., Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.II.I.JI. ?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

E.

RACKETEERING

Count 1.

The defendant(s) in violation to the Hobbs Act, 18 U.S.C § 1951(a), is a Rico Predicate offense because Section 1951(a) expressly makes conspiracy a crime. Because of the effect of 18 U.S.C. § 2, however, one who aids and abets the commission of a federal crime is treated as if “They” had committed the crime as a principal and can be charged under RICO if the crime is one set forth in Section 1961(1)(B)-(G). 35 ("aiding and abetting one of the activities listed in Section 1961(1) as racketeering activities makes one punishable as a principal and amounts to engaging in that racketeering activity. 1. Rebecca Blank 10/24 U.S. Department of Commerce First class USPS 9400111201080788302878, 2. Hillary Clinton U.S. Department of State First class USPS 10/24/12 9400111201080788299642, 3. President Barak Obama 4. Vice President Joe Biden The White House 9400111201080788252432 Delivered 10/25/12, 5. Eric H. Holder Jr U.S. Department of Justice 9400111201080788549983 Delivered 10/25/12 6. William J. Clinton 9400111201080788576033 Delivered 10/22/12 7. Bernard Knight, 9405511201080788783151Office of the General Counsel Delivered 10/19/2012 8. John McCain 9400111201080783640579 Delivered 10/20/2012 9. The Honorable Janice whooo whooo whoo, 9400111201080783671146 Delivered 10/20/12. 10. FBI Phoenix, 9400111201080755080365 Delivered 10/22/2012, 9400111899560959899042 Delivered 11/30/2012 11. William R, Covery. 9400111201080755348502 Delivered 10/23/2012 12. Office of Bar Counsel Washington, 9400111201080755368142 10/19/2012 12. Senator Jeff Flake, 9400111201080073054970, Delivered 12/26/2012 9400111201080978057946 Delivered, 06/11/2013 13. Congressional Relations, 9400111201080537695527 04/20/2013 Available for pickup... 14. Director of the U.S.P.T.O 9400111201080537653596 04/20/2013Available for pickup.... 15. Diana L. Varela, District of Arizona, 9400111201080537921060 Delivered 04/19/2013 16. USPTO FOIA

1 Officer 9400111201080204180059 10/17/2012 + II.I.JI.WHOOO WHOOO WHOOO
2 JI.JI.JI. SEO™ Castle Move and Because these acts and events were undertaken and
3 caused by Agents of the United States, the United States Government is liable for all
4 damages caused by such acts, as provided and by 15U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674,
5 A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. § 3771 U.S., Const. amend.
6 V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI.JI. WHOOO II.I.JI. JI.JI.JI.

7
8 **JI.JI.JI.PREACH.THE.GOSPEL.PREACH.THE.TRUTH JI.JI.JI.JI.**
9 **II.JI.JI.PREACH.THE.GOSPEL.BETTER.PREACH.IT.FAST.JI.JI.JI.**

10
11 Count 2.

12 The defendant(s) in violation to the Hobbs Act, 18 U.S.C § 1951(a), is a Rico
13 Predicate offense because Section 1951(a) expressly makes extortion a crime by public
14 officials through misuse of their office and Is supervised by the P.I.S.C.D. or Public
15 Integrity Section, Criminal Division, and on 10/29/2013 in proceeding 91183740
16 Defendant(s) Used Threat and force siting: “To be clear, applicant is advised that, should
17 he not comply with the present order of the Board in any way and if opposer brings
18 another motion for sanctions, the Board will not hesitate to enter the sanction of judgment
19 against applicant.” And on 03/11//2010 confirming the threat: “Nevertheless, in such
20 order the Board warned applicant that if he should not comply with the October 29, 2009
21 order “in any way” and if opposer brings another motion for sanctions the Board would
22 not hesitate to enter the sanction of judgment.” Furthering the violation by Coercion, “In
23 view thereof, opposers’ motion for sanctions is granted. The opposition is sustained, and
24 registration is refused to applicant.” Causing a fraudulent surrender of property on a
25 purported case forcing Plaintiff to suffer restriction from freedom of action and because
26 these acts and events were undertaken and caused by Agents of the United States, the
27 United States Government is liable for all damages caused by such acts, as provided by
28

1 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2),
2 18 U.S.C. § 3771 U.S., Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV
3 II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI.WHOORAH.II.I.JI. **JJ**.

4
5 Count 3.

6
7 The defendant(s) in violation to 18 USC § 1960 (a) is a Rico Predicate offense
8 because Section 18 USC § 1960 prohibits unlicensed money transmitting businesses
9 whereby the defendant(s) in violation conducted controlled managed supervised directed
10 an unlicensed money transmitting business, and by 18 USC § (b) Defendant(s) using ill
11 gotten gains affected interstate and foreign commerce by their criminal actions and were
12 found in violation of a RICO Predicate as such by which found in offense. Through a
13 long range of years, and patterns, the counts are currently unknown, however within this
14 case 3+ counts of this violation exists and because these acts and events were undertaken
15 and caused by Agents of the United States, the United States Government is liable for all
16 damages caused by such acts, as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674,
17 A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. § 3771 U.S., Const. amend.
18 V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI.JI.II.I.JI.WHO.II.I.JI. **JJ**.

19
20 Count 4.

21 The defendant(s) in violation to 18 U.S.C. § 1961 is a Rico Predicate offense
22 because Section 1961(a) expressly makes any racketeering activity a crime. The
23 defendant(s) utilized multiple offenses all incidents of mail fraud however in particular
24 “They” abandoned the trademark application on March 11th 2010 and as a result of
25 mailing this fraud participated furthering the “Notice of Abandonment” and mailed
26 through United States First Class Mail on September 22 2010 in direct violation to 18
27 USC § 1349, 18 USC § 1341, 18 USC § 1346, all federal offenses and because these acts
28

1 and events were undertaken and caused by Agents of the United States, the United States
2 Government is liable for all damages caused by such acts, as provided by 15 U.S.C. §
3 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. §
4 3771 U.S., Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI.

5
6 Count 5.

7
8 The defendant(s) in violation to 18 U.S.C. § 1961 is a Rico Predicate offense
9 because Section 1961(a) expressly makes any racketeering activity a crime. The
10 defendant(s) in violation of 18 USC § 1349, 18 USC § 1341, and by 18 USC § 1346 all
11 federal offenses targeted and terminated Five United States Postal Service Tracking
12 Numbers, Further Intercepting The Following Mail On 11/27/2013, to: (1) NBC
13 Universal Los Angeles 3000 W Alameda Ave, Burbank, CA 91523- 0001, (2) CBS
14 Broadcasting Center 51 W 52nd St New York, NY 10019-6119. (3) American
15 Broadcasting Company 212-456-7777 77 W 66th St New York, NY 10023-6201, (4)
16 FOX BROADCASTING Company 10201 West Pico Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90064-2606
17 (5) One CNN Center, 77 West 66th Street Georgia Atlanta, GA 30303 and because these
18 acts and events were undertaken and caused by Agents of the United States, the United
19 States Government is liable for all damages caused by such acts, as provided by 15
20 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2), 18
21 U.S.C. § 3771 U.S., Const. amend. V. & U.S. Const. amend. XIV.AMEN.JI.FIRE II.I.JI.

22
23 Count 6.

24 The defendant(s) in violation to 18 U.S.C. § 1961 is a Rico Predicate offense
25 because Section 1961(a) expressly makes any racketeering activity a crime. The
26 defendant(s) in violation of 18 USC § 1349, 18 USC § 1341, and by 18 USC § 1346 all
27 being federal offenses, targeting United States Postal Service Tracking Numbers and
28

1 Further “Scrubbing” them from record showing different delivery addresses, delivery
2 customers, delivery dates in 18+ counts found to altered by the following tracking
3 numbers:

- 4
- | | | | | |
|----|-----|------------------------|-----|------------------------|
| 5 | 1. | 9400111201080788302878 | 2. | 9400111201080788299642 |
| 6 | 3. | 9400111201080788252432 | 4. | 9400111201080788549983 |
| 7 | 5. | 9400111201080788576033 | 6. | 9405511201080788783151 |
| 8 | 7. | 9400111201080783640579 | 8. | 9400111201080783671146 |
| 9 | 9. | 9400111201080755063849 | 10. | 9400111201080755080365 |
| 10 | 11. | 9400111201080755348502 | 12. | 9400111201080755348502 |
| 11 | 13. | 9400111201080755368142 | 14. | 9400111201080978057946 |
| 12 | 15. | 9400111201080537695527 | 16. | 9400111201080537653596 |
| 13 | 17. | 9400111201080537921060 | 18. | 9400111201080204180059 |

14

15 *It's* not a question to whether eye one served them or not, the question for them
16 is precisely where is the 58th page eye one intentionally left out to show the world the
17 fraud and because these acts and events were undertaken and caused by Agents of the
18 United States, the United States Government is liable for all damages caused by such
19 acts, as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2),
20 A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. § 3771 U.S., Const. amend. V. & U.S. Const. amend.
21 XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI II.I.JI.EYE.II.I.JI.ONE.II.I.JI.I.II.I.JI.WON.II.I.JI. **JJ**.

22

23

24 Count 7.

25

26 The defendant(s) in violation to the Hobbs Act, 18 U.S.C § 1951(a), is a Rico
27 Predicate offense because Section 1951(a) expressly makes conspiracy a crime. Because
28 of the effect of 18 U.S.C. § 2, however, one who aids and abets the commission of a

1 federal crime is treated as if “They” had committed the crime as a principal and can be
2 charged under RICO if the crime is one set forth in Section 1961(1)(B)-(G). 35 (“aiding
3 and abetting one of the activities listed in Section 1961(1) as racketeering activities
4 makes one punishable as a principal and amounts to engaging in that racketeering
5 activity. December 17th 2013 (1) Tim Cook, CEO, Apple (2) Dick Costolo, CEO, Twitter
6 (3) Chad Dickerson, CEO, Etsy (4) Reed Hastings, co-founder and CEO, Netflix (5)
7 Drew Houston, founder and CEO, Dropbox (6) Marissa Mayer, president and CEO,
8 Yahoo! (7) Burke Norton, chief legal officer, Salesforce (8) Mark Pincus, founder, chief
9 product officer and chairman, Zynga (9) Shervin Pishevar, co-founder and co-CEO,
10 Sherpa Global (10) Brian Roberts, chairman and CEO, Comcast (11) Erika Rottenberg,
11 vice president, general counsel and secretary, LinkedIn (12) Sheryl Sandberg, COO,
12 Facebook (13) Eric Schmidt, executive chairman, Google (14) Brad Smith, executive
13 vice president and general counsel, Microsoft (15) Randall Stephenson, chairman and
14 CEO, AT&T. However talking about “Obamacare” Plaintiff alleges SEO™ RED
15 HERRING.HEARING.JI.JI.JI. “Don’t worry I will handle him” SEO™ “You can’t
16 handle the Trump your fired.” JI.JI.JI.RICO1.JI.JI.JI. “Contain Him In The Whitehouse
17 Until I. Get there because America has a sword to make. II.I.JI. THE DISCERNER OF
18 TRUTH JI.JI.JI.WHOOO.WHOOO.WHOOO.JI.JI.JI. Further still H.R.3309 October 23,
19 2013 by Rep. Bob Goodlatte (R-VA), Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, and
20 has sixteen cosponsors II.I.JI. H.R. 3309 was marked up on November 20, 2013 by the
21 House Judiciary Committee and was ordered reported, as amended, by a vote of 33-5
22 however they forgot about JI.JI.JI. SEO™ “Pen Before H” -Pending Investigation
23 II.I.JI.STRIKE1.II.I.JI. Because these acts and events were undertaken and caused by
24 Agents of the United States, the United States Government is liable for all damages
25 caused by such acts, as provided and by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. §
26 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. § 3771 U.S., Const. amend. V. and
27 U.S. Const. amend.XIV.II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI.JI.WHOOO.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI. **JJ.**
28

Count 8.

The defendant(s) in violation to the Hobbs Act, 18 U.S.C § 1951(a), is a Rico Predicate offense because Section 1951(a) expressly makes conspiracy a crime. Because of the effect of 18 U.S.C. § 2, however, one who aids and abets the commission of a federal crime is treated as if “They” had committed the crime as a principal and can be charged under RICO if the crime is one set forth in Section 1961(1)(B)-(G). 35 ("aiding and abetting one of the activities listed in Section 1961(1) as racketeering activities makes one punishable as a principal and amounts to engaging in that racketeering activity. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Request No. FP-OOOIO 1.) Gerald Rogers 2.) Marc Bergsman 3.) David Bucher 4. Lorelei Ritchie 5. Ellen Seeherman 6. Karan Kuhlke 7. Ann Linnhan 8. Patricia Back 9.) Charles Joyner 10.) Jennifer Chicoski 11.) Pressey Montia Givens 12.) Cynthia Lynch 13.) Sharon Marsh 14.) Janis Long 15.) James Payne 16.) Christina J. Hieber 17.) Nathan Kelley 18.) Chieko Clarke 19.) Rachel Damelin 20.) Maria Campo 21.) Audrey Twyman 22.) Ray, Chen 23.) Diana Varela 24.) Denise DelGizzi 25.) Karl Kochersperger 26.) Janice Hyman 27.) Jyll Taylor 28.) Charles Grendel 29.) Jeffrey Quinn 30.) Kenneth Solomon 31.) Jolie Washington 32.) Raymond Pattern 33.) Sharrieff Nashid 34.) Kevin Lewis 35.) Andrew Grentz 36.) Mary Denison 37.) Sharon March 38.) Gerard Rogers 39.) Debbie Cohn 40.) Raymond Pattern 41.) Judith Sibert 42.) David Kappos 43.) Jeffrey Quinn 44.) Charles Grendel 45.) + WHOOO.WHOOO.JI.JI.JI.WHOOO.WHOOO.WHOOO.JI.JI.JI. Because these acts and events were undertaken and caused by Agents of the United States, the United States Government is liable for all damages caused by such acts, as provided and by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. § 3771 U.S., Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV.II.I.JI. .II.I.JI. .II.I.JI. .II.I.JI. II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI.WHOOORAH.JI.

Count 9.

1 The defendant(s) in violation to 18 U.S.C. § 798 (a), (3)(5), 5 U.S.C Sec. 552a(g),
2 and 5U.S.C Sec. 552a (i), is a criminal offense because Section 1951(a) expressly makes
3 disclosure of classified information a crime. Defendant(s) leaked “Highly Confidential
4 Sealed Documents” to Plaintiffs “Opposition” 91183449, who made them public by
5 posting the documents clearly marked “Highly Confidential Sealed Documents” and
6 where Agency on 5/21/2008 received them as “D’s Motion to Strike.” Where Plaintiff
7 captured the leak on Oppositions site 10/12/13 in violation to 18 U.S.C. (4) because
8 specifically, RICO makes it unlawful for “any person” who has received “any income
9 directly or indirectly from a pattern of racketeering activity” “to use or invest” directly or
10 indirectly, “any part” of such income, or “the proceeds” of such income in the acquisition
11 of any “enterprise” The defendant(s) in violation to 18 U.S.C. § 1961 is a Rico Predicate
12 offense because Section 1961(a) expressly makes any racketeering activity a crime.
13 Because these acts and events were undertaken and caused by Agents of the United
14 States, the United States Government is liable for all damages caused by such acts, as
15 provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. § 2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-
16 2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. § 3771 U.S. Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV
17 II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI.WHOORAH.II.I.JI.

18 *F.*

19 FRAUD

20
21 In Violation of Arizona Common Law Fraud, ARS § 44-1521, Consumer Fraud
22 Act, ARS § 44-1522(A) and 15 U.S.C § 45, Defendant(s) March 5th 2008 promised the
23 “Notice of Publication under 12(a) of the Trademark Act of 1946 made the representation
24 to both Plaintiff and the public providing Section 13(a) of the statute and rules 2.101 and
25 2.102. Defendant(s) representation was material to the transaction(s) furthered where
26 Plaintiff read them their rights for public record. Positively acting from a willful intent to
27 deceive defendant(s) and the public by their maintained conducts makes the
28

1 representation fraudulent. Where plaintiff from the beginning told defendants where they
2 remained consciously ignorant. It is clear the intent of the defendant(s) were to not admit
3 guilt they were caught from the beginning by Plaintiff pointing to their representation.
4 However wrong Plaintiff relied trusting their representation was right and being without
5 any legal experience whatsoever relying on the actors representation, proving this
6 reliance was made by the Issuing department calling asking where to send the SEO™
7 Spot Light in which exposed the whole fraud and without such Plaintiff would have been
8 unaware left like every other Victim left in the dark without the light of knowledge
9 Plaintiff asserting the fraud relied on the truth of the representation in acting to his
10 detriment by trusting the fraudsters resulting in damage. Plaintiff had a right to rely on
11 the defendant(s) being in the very roll of public service, and relying on their non-
12 discretionary duties to not observe but to follow the law without discretion. (See Facts
13 alleged shown by evidence “Attachment II.” Misrepresenting prosecutions in fact has
14 caused consequent and proximate injury to plaintiff, defendant’s careless continuances
15 maintaining this conduct is the legal cause of the claimant’s damages and allegations and
16 because these acts and events were undertaken and caused by Agents of the United
17 States, the United States Government is liable for all damages caused by such acts, as
18 provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-
19 2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. § 3771 U.S., Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV
20 II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI.WHOORAH.JI.JI.

21
22 Count 2.

23 Defendant(s) participated in 93+ incidents in Violation to Arizona’s Consumer Fraud Act
24 Codified in A.R.S. 44-1521 where Defendant(s) participated in activities prohibited by
25 the ac in A.R.S 14-1522(A.) where agents deceived by actions practice, fraudulent
26 claims, false pretenses, false promise, misrepresentation, concealment, suppression and
27 omission of material facts with intent that others rely upon such concealment,
28

1 suppression and omission, in connection with the property whereby the national and
2 international public has been misled, deceived, damaged, by time money and property
3 and thereby is declared to be an unlawful practice. Because these acts and events were
4 undertaken and caused by Agents of the United States, the United States Government is
5 liable for all damages caused by such acts, as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C.
6 §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2), A.R.S. § 12-2506(F)(2), 18 U.S.C. § 3771 U.S. Const.
7 amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI. JI.

8
9 *G.*

10 DEFAMATION

11
12 The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff and the Public by
13 15 U.S.C. §1063(b), however at the Defendant(s) discretion Defendant(s) in lieu of 15
14 U.S.C. § 1063(b) reported by way of communication to the public “Termination”
15 notification in violation to the Arizona Constitution Article II, Section 6, which provides
16 that “Every person may freely speak, write, and publish on all subjects, being responsible
17 for the abuse of that right.” The defendant(s) led the public by communicating to them
18 falsely, involving the Plaintiff. For which the Supreme Court constitutionalized the
19 requirement that a defamatory publication be “Of and concerning” a public official
20 plaintiff to be actionable in Arizona. The defendant’s statements were published in a
21 manner relating to the Plaintiff negatively, communicating this statement negligently in
22 publication. However false has caused international damage and the disregard was more
23 than reckless where these acts and events were undertaken and caused by Agents of the
24 United States, and the United States Government is liable for all damages caused by such
25 acts, as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1063(b), 28 U.S.C. §2674, A.R.S. § 12-2506(D)(2),
26 A.R.S. § 12 2506(F)(2), Const. amend. V. and U.S. Const. amend. XIV II.I.JI. AMEN .JI.
27 II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.FIRE.JI.JI.JI. II.I.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI.WHOOO.II.I.JI.WHOORAH.JI.JI.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I.

II.I.JI.HIGH.II.I.JI.TREASON.II.I.JI.

The Defendant(s) had a non-discretionary duty to the Plaintiff as their Sovereign, who is also Servant of The Sovereigns Trusts, who also did clearly warn their servants of the peoples sacred trusts, ultimately demonstrating the seriousness of the matter by serving the letter II.I.JI. On November 6th 2013 with the whole power of the law, and the whole power of the peoples God given rights, the whole power of the people of the United States and whereby on December 5th and December 6th in the greatest Violation of 18 USC § 2381 II.I.JI and JI. The Defendant(s) Dismissed America's II.I.JI. Letters as:

“SE☺™ Moot.”

“The decision has already been ruled before.” - Washington

Whooo... Whooo... Whooo Washington...

Whooo Whoo Who...

**** II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.ENGAGE.II.I.JI. II.I.JI.AMEN.JI.ENGAGE.II.I.JI. ****

The defendant(s) in violation to the Hobbs Act, 18 U.S.C § 1951(a), is a Rico Predicate offense because Section 1951(a) expressly makes conspiracy a crime. Because of the effect of 18 U.S.C. § 2, however, one who aids and abets the commission of a federal crime is treated as if “They” had committed the crime as a principal and can be charged under Rico if the crime is one set forth in Section 1961(1)(B)-(G). 35 (“aiding and abetting one of the activities listed in Section 1961(1) as racketeering activities makes one punishable as a principal and amounts to engaging in that racketeering activity.) ALL IN COMPOUNDING THE UNITED STATES JUSTICE CODE II.I.JI.U.S.J.C.II.I.JI. AS FULLY ACTIVATED JJ.

1 **IT IS FURTHER DIRECTED** of “**The United States Military**” as to
2 immediately & without delay gather “**ALL YEA INDICTED**” into all *our* buildings now.

3
4 WHOOORAH WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

5
6 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

7
8 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

9
10 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

11
12 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

13
14 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

15
16 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

17
18 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

19
20 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

21
22 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

23
24 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

25
26 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

27
28 WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO WHO...

WHOOO WHOO WHO... WHOOO WHOO ELSE...

NOW ORDERED WHO ORDERED WHO

NOW ORDERED TO ENGAGE

II.I.II.

JI.

I.

.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

II.I.JI.CORPS.II.I.JI.

Dated this 9th day of July, 2015.



PRESIDENT GAMBERT
United States Sovereign
4th Branch & Executive
Judicial Legislative

II.I.JI.FORCES.II.I.JI.

II.I.JI.

Ji.

I.

.

SEO™ S.O.W. AUTHORITY

****LIGHTNING****

IRON DAM IRON DAM ONE

II.I.JI.INDICTMENTS.II.I.JI.

Subject: 14-15528 Jason Gambert v USPTO, et al "File Correspondence to Court"

From: ca9_ecfnoticing@ca9.uscourts.gov

Date: 7/9/15, 1:17 PM

To: engage@jasongambert.com

X-Account-Key: account12

X-UIDL: UID3460-1429594914

X-Mozilla-Status: 0001

X-Mozilla-Status2: 00000000

>**From ca9_ecfnoticing@ca9.uscourts.gov Thu Jul 09 16:** 17:29 2015

Received: from icmecf101.gtwy.uscourts.gov ([199.107.16.200]:9119) by werner.liquidweb.com with esmtp (Exim 4.85) (envelope-from <ca9_ecfnoticing@ca9.uscourts.gov>) id 1ZDIG8-002Sgy-NR for engage@jasongambert.com; Thu, 09 Jul 2015 16:17:29 -0400

X-SBRS: None

X-REMOTE-IP: 156.119.56.100

X-IronPort-AV: E=Sophos;i=5 15,441,1432612800"; d="scan/208,217"; a="735582729"

Received: from ca9db.ca9.gtwy.dcn ([156.119.56.100]) by icmecf101.gtwy.uscourts.gov with ESMTP; 09 Jul 2015 16:17:27 -0400

Received: from ca9db.ca9.gtwy.dcn (localhost.localdomain [127.0.0.1]) by ca9db.ca9.gtwy.dcn (8.14.4/8.14.4) with ESMTP id t69KHEpq022374 for <engage@jasongambert.com>; Thu, 9 Jul 2015 13:17:14 -0700

Message-ID: <1579710329.34301436473034619.JavaMail.ecf_web@ca9db.ca9.gtwy.dcn>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/html; charset=UTF-8

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Spam-Status: No, score=-6.5

X-Spam-Score: -64

X-Spam-Bar: -----

X-Ham-Report: Spam detection software, running on the system "werner.liquidweb.com", has NOT identified this incoming email as spam. The original message has been attached to this so you can view it or label similar future email. If you have any questions, see root@localhost for details. Content preview: 14-15528 Jason Gambert v USPTO, et al "File Correspondence to Court"

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free

electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of

each document during this first viewing [] Content analysis details: (-6.5 points, 5.0 required) pts rule name description -----5.0

RCVD_IN_DNSWL_HI RBL: Sender listed at http://www.dnswl.org/, high trust [199.107.16.200 listed in list.dnswl.org]-0.0 SPF_PASS SPF: sender matches SPF record -0.3

RP_MATCHES_RCVD Envelope sender domain matches handover relay domain -1.9 BAYES_00 BODY: Bayes spam probability is 0 to 1% score: 0000] 0.0 HTML_MESSAGE BODY:

HTML included in message 0.7 MIME_HTML_ONLY BODY: Message only has text/html MIME parts

X-Spam-Flag: NO

X-BoxTrapper-Match: white: 15: ca9_ecfnoticing@ca9.uscourts.gov

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was entered on 07/09/2015 at 1:17:13 PM PDT and filed on 7/9/2015

Case Name: Jason Gambert v. USPTO, et al

Case Number: [14-15528](#)

Document(s): [Document\(s\)](#)

Docket Text:

Filed (ECF) Appellant Sir Jason Gambert Correspondence I.I.JI.LIGHTNING.II.I.JI.CORPS.II.I.JI.II.I.JI.JI.. Date of service: 07/09/2015 [9604428] [14-15528] (JG)

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Sir Jason Gambert

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document Description: Lightning Indictments

Original Filename: Lightning indictment.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=11066346 [Date=07/09/2015] [FileNumber=9604428-0]

[8071fd00992542655741589a8d9397fa242188e5bc0b1432b80ced85f2ca09028aa984123ab6bbd07424a84979bf3fd655dfc24e08012cb3dbdfb0103e422]]

Document Description: Lightning Corps Warrants

Original Filename: Lightning Corps Warrants.pdf

Electronic Document Stamp:

[STAMP acecfStamp_ID=1106763461 [Date=07/09/2015] [FileNumber=9604428-1]

[703b678d5a08c88b3bd5a3af98871a7b5b90b990a67eb2632869c208d9ec9ac0478856a9ba99c4398808bba9f7f8c687eca358f0563167b8bef73f8321c3a753]]