



September 10, 2015

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

**Re: Promoting Innovation and Competition in the Provision of Multichannel
Video Programming Distribution Services, MB Docket No. 14-261**

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On September 9, 2015, the undersigned, along with NATOA President Tony Perez, NATOA President-elect Jodie Miller, and NATOA members Ken Fellman, Joseph Van Eaton, and Frederick Ellrod, met with Gigi Sohn, Counselor to FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler, to discuss the above-referenced proceeding.

During the meeting, we discussed our agreement with the Commission's tentative conclusion that a cable operator delivering cable services using IP remains subject to regulation as a cable operator. However, we voiced our confusion with the Commission's tentative conclusion in paragraph 78 that video programming services offered by a cable operator over the Internet should not be regulated as cable services. If the Commission wants to ensure that cable services remain subject to public interest obligations, including franchise fees and PEG programming, we asserted that such services should be treated in a manner similar as to how Comcast proposes to treat its new Internet streaming video service – Stream; namely, as an IP cable service, subject to franchise fees and important public interest obligations. We also voiced our objection to some comments filed asserting that MVPD classification be optional.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. Traylor". The signature is fluid and cursive, written over a white background.

Stephen Traylor
Executive Director/General Counsel

Cc: Gigi Sohn