

60001324643.txt

I would like to make a comment on M.B. Docket 14-261 and 10-71:

I'm glad you're doing something to those over-the-top (OTT) providers. I'm also glad that FilmOnX won its compulsory license last July from the 9th Circuit Courts in California. The broadcasters and the NAB loves to stifle innovation and we don't like it. I love innovation. I hope FilmOnx's injunction gets lifted in the 9th Circuit Courts as well. I hope the other circuit courts lift the injunctions.

We need to break the barriers when it comes to local broadcast TV on over-the-top providers. I think OTT should be treated like a cable company. But I would love to see the program exclusivity rules gets eliminated from the rule books. I wanted to watch in-state local channels from Columbia and Charleston, SC DMAs because they tell relevant South Carolina local news while the market which I'm in (Greenville, SC/Asheville, NC DMA) does irrelevant North Carolina and less often Georgia local news besides relevant news from South Carolina. I think we deserved to watch local channels from all 210 markets on OTT providers (including in-state locals) like Netflix, Amazon Prime, Amazon Fire TV Stick, Hulu, and of course FilmOnTV. OTT providers shouldn't be discriminated from providing local broadcast affiliated TV stations online like the internet and OTT providers. Cord-Cutters have become a trend in this country in recent months, and more people use the internet than traditional TV for content. What's missing from OTT providers? Local broadcast TV stations!

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Spencer Karter  
Greenwood, SC