

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Junk Fax Prevention Act of 2005)	CG Docket No. 02-278
)	
Rules and Regulations Implementing the)	CG Docket No. 05-338
Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991)	
)	
Petitions for Declaratory Ruling and Retroactive)	
Waiver of 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4)(iv) Regarding)	
the Commission's Opt-Out Notice Requirement)	
for Faxes Sent with the Recipient's Prior Express)	
Permission)	

**JOSEPH T. RYERSON & SON, INC.'S UNOPPOSED MOTION
TO ALTER RESPONSE DATES**

To: Office of the Secretary

Attention: The Commission
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau

Blaine C. Kimrey
bkimrey@vedderprice.com
Bryan K. Clark
bclark@vedderprice.com
VEDDER PRICE P.C.
222 N. LaSalle St.
Chicago, IL 60601
T: (312) 609-7500
F: (312) 609-5005

Attorneys for Joseph T. Ryerson
& Son, Inc.

Dated: October 13, 2015

Joseph T. Ryerson & Son, Inc. (“Ryerson”), through its counsel, respectfully requests an extension of the date for it to determine whether the Application for Review filed by a group of TCPA¹ plaintiffs represented by Anderson + Wanca (the “Applicants”) on September 28, 2015, warrants a response from Ryerson. In support of this motion, Ryerson states as follows:

Although Ryerson is not specifically referenced in the Application for Review, Ryerson was one of the 117 petitioners granted a retroactive waiver by the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau’s August 28, 2015 Order. Thus, Ryerson may choose to file a response in opposition to the Application for Review, which asks the Commission to vacate all 117 waivers.

The Application for Review was filed on September 28, 2015. Pursuant to Section 1.115(d) of the Commission’s rules, any response from Ryerson is due on October 13, 2015. But Ryerson’s counsel was not aware that the Application for Review had been filed until October 13, 2015, and thus needs additional time to determine whether a response is necessary. Ryerson therefore requests that its deadline to respond to the Application for Review be extended to October 27, 2015. Ryerson requests a commensurate extension of time for Applicants to file their reply, up to and including November 20, 2015.

A grant of this request would not prejudice any party. Counsel for Ryerson has conferred with Applicants’ counsel, and Applicants’ counsel does not object to this motion. Moreover, this request is in the public interest because it will allow the parties to conduct a full and complete discussion of the pertinent issues.

¹ Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 (“TCPA”).

Dated: October 13, 2015

VEDDER PRICE, P.C.

By: /s/ Blaine C. Kimrey

Blaine C. Kimrey
bkimrey@vedderprice.com
Bryan K. Clark
bclark@vedderprice.com
222 N. LaSalle St.
Chicago, IL 60601
T: (312) 609-7500
F: (312) 609-5005

Attorneys for Joseph T. Ryerson & Son, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Blaine C. Kimrey, hereby certify that on this 13th day of October, 2015, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by email and U.S. mail to the following parties:

Brian J. Wanca, Esq.
Glenn L. Hara, Esq.
David Max Oppenheim, Esq.
Anderson + Wanca
Suite 760
3701 Algonquin Road
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008

/s/ Blaine C. Kimrey

An attorney for Joseph T. Ryerson & Son, Inc.