

Andrew Jay Schwartzman
600 New Jersey Avenue, NW
Room 312
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 662-9170
AndySchwartzman@gmail.com

November 4, 2015

Marlene Dortch
Secretary,
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

RE: Proposed Transfer of Control of Time Warner Cable, Inc.
and Charter Communications Inc. and Proposed Transfer of
Control of Bright House Networks from Advance/New-
house Partnership to Charter Communications Inc.

Docket 15-149

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On November 2, 2015 Andrew Jay Schwartzman, counsel to Zoom Telephonics, Inc. (Zoom) met with Phillip Verveer, Senior Counselor to the Chairman.

Mr. Schwartzman stated that Zoom had filed a petition to deny in Docket 15-149 and would address the issues it had raised with the Transaction Team. He explained that he wished to discuss broader policy and legal issues pertaining to promoting a vibrant market for customer-owned equipment. However, Mr. Schwartzman did describe Zoom's petition to deny in Docket 15-149 and summarized the four different statutory arguments Zoom made to the Commission with respect to Charter's practices with respect to allowing customer-owned modems on its network and bundling the price of cable modem leases with the price of Internet service, *i.e.*, that Charter is in violation of Title II (Sections 201 and 202) and Section 629 of the Communications Act, Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and the public interest standard.

Mr. Schwartzman also discussed how promoting a robust retail market for cable modems advances the Commission's overall policy of promoting competition and broadband deployment.

Respectfully submitted,



Andrew Jay Schwartzman
Counsel to Zoom Telephonics, Inc.

cc. Phillip Verveer