



James J.R. Talbot
General Attorney

1120 20th Street NW
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036
202-457-3048 (phone)
202-457-3073 (fax)
jjtalbot@att.com (email)

November 12, 2015

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

*Re: Petition for Protection from Anticompetitive Behavior and Stop Settlement
Payment Order on the U.S.-Pakistan Route, IB Docket No. 12-324*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

AT&T Corp. (“AT&T”) provides the following information concerning the U.S.-Pakistan route, as required by Paragraph 20 of the Memorandum Opinion and Order (“Order”) released on March 5, 2013 in the above-referenced matter.¹

AT&T has been informed by a correspondent long distance international carrier in Pakistan that AT&T may terminate direct traffic in Pakistan with this correspondent at a rate compliant with the Order. Specifically, this is an offer of rates below those paid by AT&T to this correspondent immediately prior to the termination rate increase imposed in early October 2012. Accordingly, under this proposed arrangement, AT&T’s traffic terminated with this correspondent after direct service resumes on the U.S.-Pakistan route would not incur an increased settlement or termination rate, as required by the Order.

This correspondent offer is contingent upon the settlement of outstanding traffic balances for the period January 1, 2013 through March 9, 2013 at the increased settlement rate imposed effective in early October 2012. AT&T incurred such traffic charges for the period March 5-9, 2013 in order to continue service on this route while AT&T inquired whether the correspondent would accept the lower rate required by the Order. AT&T promptly moved traffic to third country indirect routes after the correspondent declined to accept the requirements imposed in the Order. To enable the resumption of direct service on this route at the low rates now offered by this correspondent, AT&T respectfully requests the International Bureau to clarify whether AT&T may settle these outstanding traffic balances in the event that the Bureau removes the stop payment order.

¹ *Petition for Protection from Anticompetitive Behavior and Stop Settlement Payment Order on the U.S.-Pakistan Route*, 28 FCC Rcd. 2127, ¶ 20 (2013) (“IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all facilities-based carriers subject to Commission jurisdiction having a correspondent agreement for direct termination of U.S. traffic on the U.S.-Pakistan route with any of the Pakistan LDI carriers on the U.S.-Pakistan route shall notify the Commission immediately if they are informed by any or all of the Pakistan LDI carriers that they are no longer required to pay any settlement or termination rate increase imposed effective on or around October 1, 2012 for direct termination of U.S. switched voice traffic on the U.S.-Pakistan route.”)

One electronic copy of this Notice is being submitted in the above-referenced proceeding in accordance with section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James Talbot
James Talbot

CC: Denise Coca, International Bureau
Kimberly Cook, International Bureau
David Krech, International Bureau