November 30, 2015

BY ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Letter, Applications of Charter Communications, Inc., Time Warner Cable Inc., and Advance/Newhouse Partnership for Consent to Assign or Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations, MB Docket No. 15-149

Dear Ms. Dortch:

In accordance with the Protective Order in the above-captioned proceeding, DISH Network Corporation ("DISH") submits the attached public, redacted version of its ex parte letter dated November 30, 2015. DISH has denoted with "{{BEGIN HCI END HCI}}" symbols where Highly Confidential Information has been redacted. The designated Highly Confidential Information in the letter was taken from or derived from Highly Confidential Information in the Applicants' filings. A Highly Confidential version of this letter is being simultaneously filed with the Commission and will be made available pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order.

Please contact me with any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew Golodny
Counsel for DISH Network Corp.

Enclosure

By ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Applications of Charter Communications, Inc., Time Warner Cable Inc., and Advance/Newhouse Partnership for Consent to Assign or Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations, MB Docket No. 15-149

Dear Ms. Dortch:

As DISH Network Corp. ("DISH") previously demonstrated,¹ the claimed "benefits" of the proposed transaction are either illusory or are not transaction specific.² The lack of a causal nexus between the merger and the benefits is particularly stark in the case of Bright House Network ("BHN"). Under its existing partnership with Time Warner Cable ("TWC"), BHN is already positioned to complete deployment of its next generation network in a timely fashion. Far from making those deployments possible, or even accelerating their deployment, it seems the


² See Applications of AT&T Inc. and Deutsche Telekom AG for Consent to Assign or Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations, Staff Analysis and Findings, 26 FCC Rcd. 16188, 16247-49 ¶¶ 124-28 (2011) ("Efficiencies that can be achieved through means less harmful to competition than the proposed merger ... cannot be considered to be true pro-competitive benefits of the merger.").
proposed merger may have had the reverse effect: BHN admits internally that {{BEGIN HCI END HCI}}. ³

Described below are some additional areas in which benefits by the Applicants to be produced by the transaction appear well on their way to being produced without it.

Capital Spending. BHN had already made significant capital expenditures {{BEGIN HCI END HCI}} Further, {{BEGIN HCI END HCI}} ⁴

All Digital. The Applicants claim that “New Charter will transition Time Warner Cable[’s] and Bright House Networks’ cable systems to all-digital networks within 30 months of the close of the Transaction.”⁶ But BHN’s plans for all digital conversion are already well underway, and it is unclear whether the proposed merger would do anything other than delay those plans. BHN has slated its all-digital conversion in {{BEGIN HCI END HCI}} ,⁷ which is significantly faster than the 30 months cited by Applicants. Moreover, BHN plans to spend {{BEGIN HCI END HCI}} ⁸

Speed Upgrades. A major supposed benefit of the proposed transaction is that New Charter will bring a minimum 60 Mbps broadband service “to consumers in Time Warner Cable

³ BHN response to September 21, 2015 Information Request, Exhibit 12 at 000139.
⁴ {{BEGIN HCI END HCI}}
⁵ {{BEGIN HCI END HCI}}
⁶ Public Interest Statement at 19.
⁷ {{BEGIN HCI END HCI}}
⁸ {{BEGIN HCI END HCI}}
and Bright House Networks’ areas where the cable systems are all-digital at closing. Yet, not unlike Time Warner with its TWC Maxx service, BHN is already well-positioned to offer a higher level of speed tiers to customers whether or not the merger is consummated. In fact, BHN has itself already made significant investments through [(BEGIN HCI

was completed in [(BEGIN HCI

END HCI)}]. As a result, BHN has launched a 300 Mbps broadband service throughout its Florida service area (including Tampa Bay and the Central Florida region). BHN is set to [(BEGIN HCI

END HCI}]. BHN’s planning for 2017 contemplates [(BEGIN HCI

END HCI}]. Put simply, it is unclear what Charter’s management of BHN will do to improve upon BHN’s existing and ongoing network deployment plans. Additionally, and as noted in DISH’s Reply, it would not be a benefit for Bright House customers to be forced to pay for a higher speed tier of 60 Mbps without a lower cost alternative. In that respect, as BHN recently recognized, customers in the “lite” tier (i.e. speeds up to 2 Mbps) [(BEGIN HCI

END HCI}]

Wifi Deployment. BHN has expanded (and plans to continue expanding) its public Wifi hotspots even without the transaction. BHN’s response to the Commission’s information requests reveals that [(BEGIN HCI

END HCI}]

9 Public Interest Statement at 19.

10 [(BEGIN HCI

END HCI}]


12 [(BEGIN HCI

END HCI}]

13 [(BEGIN HCI

END HCI}]


15 [(BEGIN HCI

END HCI}]

16 BHN Response to September 21, 2015 Information Request, Exhibit 3 (July 2013 Strategic Review).
Presentations show that BHN’s Wifi network\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI},\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}, and that \footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}
\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI} The same memorandum shows BHN estimated that \footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}
\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI} Another document reveals that BHN \footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}

As for Charter, while the Applicants have now stated the intention of New Charter to join the Cable Wifi consortium,\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI} TWC and BHN are already members of Cable Wifi, and Charter should not need the impetus of this proceeding to push it to join. Indeed, another internal document shows that \footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}\footnote{BEGIN HCI}{END HCI}{}

\textit{As it is Applicants’ burden to demonstrate that the proposed merger is in the public interest, and that any claimed benefits of the merger would only occur if the merger takes place, the proposed transaction cannot proceed on the basis of the benefits claimed in the Public Interest Statement.}
Sincerely,

Stephanie A. Roy
Counsel for DISH Network Corporation