December 10, 2015

VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Applications of Charter Communications, Inc., Time Warner Cable Inc., and Advance/Newhouse Partnership for Consent to the Transfer of Control of Licenses and Authorizations, MB Docket No. 15-149

REDACTED – FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Time Warner Cable Inc. (“TWC”) hereby submits a supplemental response to the Commission’s Information and Data Request, dated September 21, 2015 (the “Request”). Pursuant to the Protective Order, TWC will separately submit a Highly Confidential version of this filing via hand delivery. The {{ }} symbols denote Highly Confidential Information.

Please contact the undersigned should you have any questions regarding this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew A. Brill

Matthew A. Brill
of LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Attachments

1 Applications of Charter Communications, Inc., Time Warner Cable Inc., and Advance/Newhouse Partnership For Consent To Assign or Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations, Protective Order, MB Docket No. 15-149, FCC 15-100 (rel. Sept. 11, 2015).
December 10, 2015

VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Applications of Charter Communications, Inc., Time Warner Cable Inc., and Advance/Newhouse Partnership for Consent to the Transfer of Control of Licenses and Authorizations, MB Docket No. 15-149

REACTED – FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On October 13, 2015, and in subsequent supplemental submissions, Time Warner Cable Inc. (“TWC” or the “Company”) provided the Commission with narrative, data, and documentary responses to the Commission’s Information and Data Request, issued on September 21, 2015 (the “Request”). In response to Request 22, TWC identified {} in which the Company “attempted to partner with another MVPD to achieve joint objectives.” In addition to producing all documents dated on or after July 24, 2013, from the identified Company custodians that may describe these efforts, TWC identified two specific documents (Exhibits 22-01 and 22-02) that were responsive to the request.

In response to the Commission’s request that TWC identify additional documents relating to TWC’s activities identified in response to Request 22, a further search of Company records has yielded the documents attached here as Exhibits 22-03 through 22-05, which relate to TWC’s joint efforts regarding national enterprise customers and {}. Consistent with previous discussions with Commission staff, TWC has not identified documents evidencing TWC’s longstanding relationship with Bright House Networks. TWC’s efforts in the following areas pre-date the Commission’s July 24, 2013, start date for responsive documents: {}. TWC included a description of these efforts in an effort to provide the Commission with a fulsome narrative response, but TWC has identified no additional documents relating to these activities.
within the specified date range. Similarly, while TWC recently joined {\{ }, this effort is in its earliest stages and TWC identified only one responsive document.

In addition to providing the attached exhibits, TWC hereby submits into the record in this proceeding its responses to the Wireline Competition Bureau’s special access data collection (WC Docket No. 05-25). TWC’s responses in that proceeding were filed on January 27, 2015, and supplemented on July 13, 2015. As these data responses contain Highly Confidential Information, originally submitted pursuant to the protective order in WC Docket No. 05-25, TWC respectfully requests that these responses be treated as Highly Confidential under the protective order in the instant proceeding.

Please contact the undersigned should you have any questions regarding this notice.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew A. Brill

Matthew A. Brill
of LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Attachments

cc: Owen Kendler

---

1 TWC notes, however, that documents pre-dating the July 24, 2013 start date—perhaps including documents covering the efforts identified in TWC’s narrative response to Request 22—have been submitted to the Commission on the hard drive labeled “Volume 1.”
