



February 19, 2016

Marlene H. Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 Twelfth Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20554

**Re: Notice of Oral *Ex Parte* Presentation**

*Revision of Part 15 of the Commission's Rules to Permit Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure (U-NII) Devices in the 5 GHz Band, ET Docket No. 13-49*  
*OET and Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Seek Information on Current Trends in LTE-U and LAA Technology, ET Docket No. 15-105*

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On February 17, 2016 Michael Calabrese of New America's Open Technology Institute (OTI), met with Julius Knapp, chief of the Office of Engineering and Technology (OET), along with OET staff members Ira Keltz, Mark Settle, Aole Wilkins, and Matthew Hussey, concerning the above-referenced proceedings.

With respect to the Commission's ongoing proceeding to make next generation Wi-Fi possible by authorizing unlicensed use of all or a portion of the U-NII-4 band with the auto industry, the OTI representative summarized a number of the key points in a report he authored and released last month: *Spectrum Silos to Gigabit Wi-Fi – Sharing the 5.9 GHz 'Car Band.'* A copy of the report is attached. The report explains that even if NHTSA adopts a vehicle-to-vehicle DSRC safety mandate, most of the ITS band would not be used for real-time crash avoidance or public safety purposes. This distinction between real-time V2V safety signaling (which will be required to operate on a single, dedicated 10 megahertz Basic Safety Messaging channel) and non-safety DSRC applications (which will occupy most of the ITS band) is critical to a policy outcome that best serves consumers and the economy.

OTI stated that the FCC and the Obama Administration should expedite a collaborative testing process aimed at a win-win compromise that permits the two services – DSRC and U-NII devices – to coexist and share at least the lower portion of the 5.9 GHz band without causing harmful interference to V2V crash avoidance and real-time safety-of-life communications. The OTI representative also recommended that the public notice, or FNPRM, that will refresh the record in the 5 GHz proceeding should be released on an expedited basis and should ask all the questions needed so that the Commission can go directly to an Order. Specifically, the notice should ask questions about the distinction between

DSRC safety- and non-safety applications, the feasibility of segmenting the band, and whether the Qualcomm, Cisco or some entirely new or different approach will work best to ensure consumers benefit from both real-time safety signaling and next generation gigabit Wi-Fi.

Finally, concerning LTE-U, the OTI representative summarized the concerns that OTI and other consumer advocacy groups raised in joint comments filed last June in response to the Commission's Public Notice in Docket No. 15-105.<sup>1</sup> Mobile carriers have both the ability and strong incentives to use LTE-U to engage in anti-competitive behavior harmful to consumers, while for the first time being able to charge consumers for the use of unlicensed spectrum. Carriers have powerful incentives to use LTE-U to deter mobile market entry by "Wi-Fi First" providers, such as wireline ISPs.

Although OTI acknowledged that it regards the recent STA granted to Qualcomm for testing LTE-U technology to be routine and unobjectionable, Calabrese stated that it must not be conflated with the Coexistence Testing Plan still under development by the companies participating in the Wi-Fi Alliance process. It remains to be seen whether the LTE-U Forum companies fully cooperate in truly joint and collaborative testing. More critically, he emphasized that even if the LTE-U Forum and other Wi-Fi Alliance member companies reach a consensus on a Coexistence Testing Plan, the primary stakeholders in the Wi-Fi ecosystem – which includes more than 100 million consumers, schools, libraries, start-ups, app developers and others who are increasingly reliant on Wi-Fi for affordable and fast broadband connectivity – will need to rely on the Commission to conduct its own independent analysis, and additional testing if necessary, to ensure that LTE-U will not unduly disrupt Wi-Fi and cause an avoidable 'tragedy of the spectrum commons.'

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Michael Calabrese  
Director, Wireless Future Project  
Open Technology Institute at  
New America  
740 15th Street, NW 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Washington, DC 20005

cc: Julius Knapp  
Mark Settle  
Aole Wilkins  
Ira Keltz  
Matthew Hussey

---

<sup>1</sup> See Reply Comments of OTI, Public Knowledge, Free Press and Common Cause, *OET and Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Seek Information on Current Trends in LTE-U and LAA Technology*, ET Docket No. 15-105 (June 26, 2015).