Many people are using 3.600 to 3.650 MHz for voice communications. It is easy for the ARRL to tell these people, "Well, you'll just have to move." But in reality, it is not that easy, and that is the main reason why most of the comments here are opposed to the proposal.

A decade ago, the ARRL reported that the digital (CW) bands were "underutilized". Now, after voice operators have settled into this previous "wasteland", they report that the digital portion of 80 meters is too small. Whatever the truth is, they have not made any plans for the current users of these frequencies, nor shown any concern for these people. The people that they are concerned about want their own frequencies for automatically-controlled stations, so that they can receive e-mails out in the ocean.

I think the ARRL should take care of these problems, and shore up support of their plan before re-submitting this proposal.

That is my opinion, and so I am opposed to the FCC making these changes at this time.

Thank-you for your time,

James M. Cordes ki8jd