

April 17, 2016

FILED ELECTRONICALLY

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *In the Matter of Applications of Charter Communications, Inc. ("Charter"), Time Warner Cable Inc., and Advance/Newhouse Partnership for Consent to Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations*, MB Docket No. 15-149

Dear Ms. Dortch,

For the government record it has been one week since my letter to the FCC regarding both Microsoft and proof that I have been subjected to on-going electronic surveillance directly and/or indirectly pertaining to MB Docket 15-149. This letter provides new information.

As stated in previous filings, I remain indigent. I do not own a vehicle, mobile phone, nor do I subscribe to video, voice or Internet services. And at present my primary source for Internet access is wherever it is publicly available.

My sister owns a newer Honda Accord equipped with SiriusXM, and this week she left for a Caribbean cruise letting me borrow her car. Ever since then I have been followed in that vehicle by overhead fly-bys without other electronic devices in the car, such as a mobile phone, laptop or iPad. That alone is proof that not only is my sister's car known in connection with me, but her car is being tracked via SiriusXM.

This morning I heard a plane approach my mom's apartment and it was the twin-wing plane that I showed in my previous letter. About two hours later I drove to my sister's house to walk her dogs, and what happened? That same twin-wing plane flew so low directly circling her house that I almost plainly read the numbers on its side. But that wasn't what I focused on. The back of the plane had the same type of device as many other planes I've seen: a protruding black dome hanging from the belly (or on a wing or near the back). I present photos taken today, Sunday, April 17, 2016.

The following was taken today at my mom's apartment. What looks like a black wheel in the back is a black dome hanging from the bottom. It is not a wheel. This plane followed me around Turlock from at least morning to late afternoon.

After leaving my sister's house today I decided to test if I was indeed being followed using my sister's car. I drove to the nearby university and meandered through the campus and stopped the car in a random parking lot and waited for about 15 minutes. To my surprise not only did I watch the plane shown below fly across town to my location, but a private jet flew over at a high speed and two other small planes flew over from different directions all within minutes.

The plane below has black-and-white checkers around the propeller area.



Here is the private jet that flew over me at the university. It was not a casual, normal fly-by.



The following are three planes that flew over my mom's apartment and/or my sister's house today, and at least two of them many times from different directions. Not all of the planes have a black dome underneath but a device must be onboard because these planes fly directly over or nearby and briefly tilt so that the belly faces my location.





As I was typing this letter, the following plane flew over my mom's apartment. This is likely the same plane shown in my previous letter of April 11, 2016. In no way was this plane approaching an airport or on a normal flight path. As arrogant as it sounds, that flyover was for me.



Every photo contained in this letter was taken by me today at different locations in Turlock. This is not outlandish. This is not absurd. I am writing about surveillance that is taking place in the present, directly and/or indirectly pertaining to the FCC's review of the Applications.

Another point I didn't make in the previous letter was how AT&T is an accomplice. AT&T provides the Wi-Fi service at McDonalds restaurants, Starbucks, FedEx Office and for many of my neighbors. Many times I have been followed where no Charter Wi-Fi signal was present in the list of available signals when turning on my devices, so AT&T Wi-Fi signal has been directly utilized.

While a customer, Charter provided the identifying addresses of my laptop and iPad to one or more third parties, or the addresses were obtained through subterfuge. Liberty-related companies are suspect, because not only are my devices tracked, but at least two SiriusXM-equipped vehicles linked to me are also tracked live.

How would you like to be staring at the navigation screen in your car watching yourself move down the street and *know* that others are watching you, as well? Just like Enron where it was learned that young floor traders got a kick out of causing an electricity crisis in California, there are those who may think violating my privacy on a 24/7 basis is fun, but who can reign in the big dogs?

As a non-expert, free-thinking consumer, I again ask critical questions...

Why did Liberty Media's leadership name a new entity Liberty Broadband Corporation, and harness a subsidiary that provides global device location services, with solely monetary investments in third-party broadband service providers, and appoint the same Chairman and CEO who not only direct the controlling Applicant but oversee the largest stake in the controlling Applicant? Who holds the prevailing vision for a new Charter? A CEO who renewed his contract for only one year?¹

Sincerely,



Shawn Sheridan
Turlock, California

cc:	Jessica Almond	Chris Clark	David Grossman	Kiley Naas
	Ty Beam	Adam Copeland	Owen Kendler	Joel Rabinovitz
	Matthew Berry	Andrew Erber	William Lake	Jonathan Sallet
	Jim Bird	Madeleine Findley	Vanessa Lemmé	
	Jessica Campbell	Brendan Holland	Elizabeth McIntyre	

Tom Rutledge at Charter Communications via t...@charter.com
Greg Maffei at Liberty Broadband via g...@libertymedia.com

¹ See article, *Charter Extends Rutledge Pact One-Year*, by MultiChannel.com dated February 12, 2016.
Source: <http://www.multichannel.com/news/cable-operators/charter-extends-rutledge-pact-one-year/402578>