As an active amateur radio operator for 58 years (W3NRJ currently) I am concerned that the proposed action will have adverse, unforeseen consequences for those amateurs employing narrow band transmission modes such as older CW, SSB, and newer digital protocol transmissions. Regulated sub bands are necessary to maximize limited frequency allocation and to minimize interference from non compatible modes. Unfortunately in today's culture we can not solely rely on "gentlemen's agreements" among radio amateurs through its organizations such as the ARRL to protect law abiding and courteous amateurs from the "bad apples" in our midst. The amateur service exists to provide emergency back-up service and to further skills in a technical field. I believe creating an intermixing of modes will lead to operational interference and frustration, lessening the use of amateur frequencies. Additionally, amateur bands were not intended to be used as an access component to the internet for any use other than to further amateur communication. It is the obligation and requirement of any radio amateur to check the frequency of a proposed transmission to see if it is in use, and thus not create willful interference. Using an amateur transmission as a substitute for Wi-Fi access to use email, for example, was not what the FCC intends for the purpose of the service and could cause willful interference. Limited frequency spread modes currently in use are adequate for the type of communication on the HF bands amateurs use to communicate with each other. In fact, there is fast growth in the use of digital modes using very limited bandwidth. This allows for more active amateur operations in the often crowded HF amateur bands. I believe adding spectrum allocation for higher bandwidth modes or allowing the intermixing of these modes with lower bandwidth modes, such as CW and SSB, is unwarranted and would be a mistake. I urge the FCC to consider the adverse ramifications of any change to the present sub band allocation.