

RECEIVED

OCT 18 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

**From:** Bryan Sixberry <Bryan.Sixberry@geps.ge.com>  
**To:** K1DOM.K1PO1(BKENNARD)  
**Date:** Mon, Oct 19, 1998 5:49 PM  
**Subject:** Comments to the Chairman

Bryan Sixberry (Bryan.Sixberry@geps.ge.com) writes:

I am writing this E-Mail concerning what I feel is an inappropriate or ambiguous definition of the FCC's "Grade B" intensity signal predictive modeling maps to disallow network reception on a consumer digital satellite system. I live in a farm in upstate NY, and due to the topography of the region, it is impossible to receive a clear network signal. I believe that the initial ruling looked for an existing standard of signal strength modeling which was based on the FCC's desire to avoid interference between broadcast stations, and should not apply determining signal strength necessary for clear reception of network stations with an ordinary rooftop mounted antenna. I'm sure that many consumers are affected by the lack of a standard in determining adequate reception strength, and I request that your office consider the viewpoint of "adequate signal strength" when defining and administrating "Grade B" intensity prediction techniques and rulings.

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.0  
Remote host: 192.35.39.37  
Remote IP address: 192.35.39.37

2 of 3 Copies rec'd 0+1  
LIST ABODE